# 1 Tstrippy

This project is built on f2py, which allows integration between Fortran and Python. The core motivation behind this choice is performance: Fortran, as a compiled language, provides significantly faster execution for numerically intensive tasks, while Python—especially within Jupyter notebooks—offers a convenient environment for development, experimentation, and visualization. F2py stands for Fortran to Python [Peterson, 2009], and it is included as a module within NumPy [NumPy Developers, 2025, Harris et al., 2020]. The name of the project, tstrippy, stands for Tidal Stripping in Python.

F2py supports Fortran 77, 90, and 95 standards, so we chose to write the code in Fortran 90 to make use of its support for *modules*. In Fortran, a module encapsulates data and subroutines in a manner somewhat analogous to classes in object-oriented programming. However, Fortran modules do not support inheritance, and only a single instance of a module can exist at a time—unlike classes, which can be instantiated multiple times.

Tstrippy package is structured around five core Fortran modules, each responsible for a distinct aspect of the simulation:

- integrator: This is the central module of the code. It stores particle positions and velocities, computes forces, and evolves the system forward in time. It also handles the writing of output data at specified intervals and interfaces with all other modules in the code.
- potentials: This module defines the analytical potentials used to compute gravitational forces. It currently supports several models, including Plummer, Hernquist, AllenSantillian, MiyamotoNagai, the bar model LongMuraliBar from Long and Murali [1992], and the composite model from pouliasis2017pii [Pouliasis et al., 2017]. This module can also be called in Python, allowing users to call potential functions directly, e.g., for computing energies during post-processing.
- hostperturber: This module handles the host globular cluster. It stores its orbit (i.e., timestamps, positions, and velocities) and ensures its synchronization with the simulation's internal clock. It computes the gravitational influence of the host cluster on each star particle. This is an internal module only.
- perturbers: Similar in function to hostperturber, this module supports additional perturbing clusters. It allows for the inclusion of multiple perturbers and computes their collective force on each particle. If object-oriented programming were available in Fortran, both this module and hostperturber would naturally inherit from a shared parent class. This module only uses the positions, masses, and characteristic radii of the other perturbers. The velocities are not imported.
- galacticbar: This module stores parameters for the Galactic bar, including the polynomial coefficients for its angular displacement as a function of time:

$$\theta(t) = \theta_0 + \omega t + \dot{\omega}t^2 + \ddot{\omega}t^3 + \dots$$

It performs transformations into the rotating bar frame, computes the forces in that frame, and then transforms the forces back to the Galactocentric reference frame.

This modular structure makes it straightforward to extend the code by adding new physics in the form of additional modules.

To make the package installable and easy to distribute, I initially followed the guide by Bovy [2025], which describes how to create a Python package using setuptools—the standard build system in the Python ecosystem. However, compatibility between setuptools and f2py was broken starting with NumPy > 1.22 (released June 22, 2022¹) and Python > 3.9.18 (released June 24, 2024²). This meant that Fortran extensions could only be compiled using deprecated versions of both. These older versions of NumPy were also not compatible with Apple's ARM-based M1 and M2 processors, rendering the code unusable on modern Mac systems.

This limitation stemmed from the deprecation and eventual removal of numpy.distutils, the tool that previously enabled seamless integration of Fortran code in NumPy-based packages. As of NumPy 1.23

<sup>1</sup>https://github.com/numpy/numpy/releases/tag/v1.22.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://www.python.org/downloads/release/

and later, numpy.distutils was deprecated, and with NumPy 2.0, it was removed entirely. The NumPy developers recommended migrating to meson [Meson Developers, 2025] or Cmake.

To address these issues, I migrated the build process to meson, a language-agnostic build system capable of compiling Fortran, C, and Python extensions across architectures. This eliminated compatibility problems and made the build process architecture-independent. The build system now automatically detects the system architecture and compiles accordingly.

The code is fully open source and available on GitHub.<sup>3</sup> To support users, I created documentation hosted on readthedocs.io<sup>4</sup>, which includes working examples and basic usage guides. A minimal test suite, written using pytest, is also included. While not exhaustive, the tests ensure that core functionality remains intact as the code evolves. In the next section, I present a minimal example of how the user may use the code within a python script.

## 1.1 Minimum example

If the package is properly installed on the system, it can be imported at the top of any Python script:

```
import tstrippy
```

Next, the user must load or define the initial conditions. The code provides:

- The masses, sizes, and kinematics of the globular cluster catalog from Baumgardt and Hilker [2018];
- The galactic potential parameters for model II of Pouliasis et al. [2017]; and
- A galactic reference frame.

```
GCdata = \
    tstrippy.Parsers.baumgardtMWGCs().data

MWparams = \
    tstrippy.Parsers.potential_parameters.pouliasis2017pii()

MWrefframe = \
    tstrippy.Parsers.potential_parameters.MWreferenceframe()
```

The user must then select the system to integrate. For example, to integrate the orbits of observed globular clusters, one must convert the ICRS coordinates to a Galactocentric frame using astropy and the provided MW reference frame. Alternatively, to simulate a star cluster, one can generate a Plummer sphere:

```
xp,yp,zp,vxp,vyp,vzp=\
tstrippy.ergodic.isotropicplummer(G,massHost,halfmassradius,NP)
```

Here, NP is the number of particles, halfmassradius is the system's half-mass radius, massHost is the total mass of the Plummer sphere, and G is the gravitational constant. All values must be in the same unit system.

The integrator must then be initialized. All parameters are passed via lists that are unpacked at the function call. Here is an example of initializing the integrator for a stellar stream in a potential that includes a rotating galactic bar:

```
tstrippy.integrator.setstaticgalaxy(*staticgalaxy)
tstrippy.integrator.setinitialkinematics(*initialkinematics)
tstrippy.integrator.setintegrationparameters(*integrationparameters)
tstrippy.integrator.inithostperturber(*hostperturber)
tstrippy.integrator.initgalacticbar(*galacticbar)
tstrippy.integrator.setbackwardorbit()
```

- setstaticgalaxy specifies the static potential model and passes its parameters.
- setinitialkinematics provides the initial positions and velocities of the particles.
- setintegrationparameters defines the initial time, timestep, and number of steps.
- inithostperturber specifies the globular cluster's trajectory and mass as a function of time.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ https://github.com/salvatore-ferrone/tstrippy

<sup>4</sup>https://tstrippy.readthedocs.io/en/latest/

- initgalacticbar defines a rotating bar. It takes the name of the bar model, potential parameters, and spin parameters.
- setbackwardorbit reverses the velocity vectors and sets the internal clock to count down:  $t_i = t_0 i \cdot \Delta t$ . For the usecase presented in this work, setbackwardorbit is used for computing the globular cluster orbits and not for the star-particles.

The user can choose between two output modes during integration:

```
tstrippy.integrator.initwriteparticleorbits(nskip,myoutname,myoutdir)tstrippy.integrator.initwritestream(nskip,myoutname,myoutdir)
```

Conceptually, these represent two output paradigms:

- initwriteparticleorbits saves the full orbit of each particle to an individual file.
- initwritestream saves full snapshots of all particles at selected timesteps.

Both functions take:

- nskip: number of timesteps to skip between outputs;
- myoutname: the base file name;
- myoutdir: the output directory.

The output files will be named like: ../dir/temp0.bin, ../dir/temp1.bin, ..., up to ../dir/tempN.bin, where  $N = N_{\rm step}/N_{\rm skip}$ . Note that the files are written in Fortran binary format. Although scipy.io.FortranFile can read them, I use a custom parser based on numpy.frombuffer to avoid the SciPy dependency.

Once all parameters are set, the user can proceed with integration using one of two methods:

#### Full orbit integration (in memory)

```
xt,yt,zt,vxt,vyt,vzt=\
    tstrippy.integrator.leapfrogintime(Ntimestep,nObj)
timestamps=\
    tstrippy.integrator.timestamps.copy()
```

leapfrogintime stores the full orbit of each particle in memory. This is useful for a small number of particles or short integrations—e.g., rapid parameter studies in a notebook. However, for large simulations it can be prohibitively memory-intensive. For instance, integrating all globular clusters at high time resolution might require:

$$7 \times N_p \times N_{\text{step}} \times 8 \text{ Byte} \approx 450 \text{ GB}$$
 (1)

if  $N_{\rm step} \approx 10^7$ . This will likely exceed system RAM.

### Final state only

```
tstrippy.integrator.leapfrogtofinalpositions()
xf = tstrippy.integrator.xf.copy()
yf = tstrippy.integrator.yf.copy()
zf = tstrippy.integrator.zf.copy()
vxf = tstrippy.integrator.vxf.copy()
vyf = tstrippy.integrator.vyf.copy()
vzf = tstrippy.integrator.vzf.copy()
finaltime=tstrippy.integrator.currenttime.copy()
```

leapfrogtofinalpositions() performs the integration but only returns the final phase-space coordinates. These arrays must be copied before deallocating memory:

```
tstrippy.integrator.deallocate()
```

Deallocating is necessary to avoid memory leaks or crashes in Jupyter when rerunning code cells.

## 1.2 Reflection on developping tstrippy

The earliest version of this code began as a simple Fortran script, built to test particle integrations in customized gravitational potentials. Since I was already familiar with Fortran and had working routines, I chose to build on that foundation, gradually transforming the script into a modular and more reusable package. Instead of switching to C++ or Python-only solutions, I continued using Fortran with f2py, which allowed me to integrate low-level performance with Python's usability.

One of the primary motivations for writing my own code was flexibility. When I tried to implement a particle spray method in Galpy, I found that its performance suffered when using custom potentials not built from its internal C++ combinations. For instance, I wanted to use the Allen & Santillan (1991) halo model, which was not natively supported. While I wrote a Python class for it, the integration was noticeably slower since the custom potential bypassed Galpy's C backend. This made it clear that developing my own tool would give me more control and better performance for non-standard models.

However, this came with challenges. Implementing features like flattened halos or exponential disks revealed the complexity of coordinate systems and the subtleties of integrating in non-Cartesian bases. At one point, I tried using elliptical coordinates, only to discover much later that while the basis vectors are orthogonal, they are not otrhonormal. Properly integrating the orbits requires Christoffel symbols. This experience showed me the hidden depths of dynamics problems that are often abstracted away in other packages.

Eventually, I decided to pause major code development and focus on scientific analysis using simulations. Still, the journey shaped how I think about tools, software, and reproducibility in astronomy.

#### 1.2.1 Advantages and Limitations

Writing and maintaining this codebase brought several clear benefits:

- *Understanding*. Developing my own routines gave me a deeper grasp of what the code does it's no longer a black box.
- Flexibility. I could implement exactly the models I needed without waiting for upstream support in other packages.
- *Transparency*. Results can be verified and reproduced by others using my code, promoting scientific openness.
- Reusability. Continued development allowed me to identify and fix subtle bugs (e.g., non-symplectic integrators) that would otherwise go unnoticed.
- Collaboration. The fact that someone else is using my code to answer related scientific questions validates its utility.
- Growth. This project pushed me to adopt best practices: version control, documentation, modularity, that I will carry into future work.

But there are also significant drawbacks:

- *Time cost.* Code development took time away from doing science. Perhaps I could have performed more simulations or analyses instead of improving the code.
- Feature limitations. I still lack many features common in other packages such as basis function expansions, action computation, or parallelization strategies like MPI or OpenMP.
- Changing relevance. Scientific questions and the technology evolve quickly. A tool designed to be general and reusable may become obsolete faster than a single-use script.

In the near future, I plan to shift gears. I've already begun diving into other codes, such as implementing the King model using routines inspired by Galpy. One of the unexpected benefits of developing my own software is that it has made reading and contributing to other people's codebases significantly easier.

# References

- H. Baumgardt and M. Hilker. A catalogue of masses, structural parameters, and velocity dispersion profiles of 112 Milky Way globular clusters. MNRAS, 478(2):1520–1557, August 2018. doi: 10.1093/ mnras/sty1057.
- Jo Bovy. Python packaging user guide. https://pythonpackaging.info/, 2025. Accessed: 2025-08-06.
- Charles R. Harris, K. Jarrod Millman, Stéfan J. van der Walt, Ralf Gommers, Pauli Virtanen, David Cournapeau, Eric Wieser, Julian Taylor, Sebastian Berg, Nathaniel J. Smith, Robert Kern, Matti Picus, Stephan Hoyer, Marten H. van Kerkwijk, Matthew Brett, Allan Haldane, Jaime Fernández del Río, Mark Wiebe, Pearu Peterson, Pierre Gérard-Marchant, Kevin Sheppard, Tyler Reddy, Warren Weckesser, Hameer Abbasi, Christoph Gohlke, and Travis E. Oliphant. Array programming with NumPy. Nature, 585(7825):357–362, September 2020. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2.
- Kevin Long and Chigurupati Murali. Analytical Potentials for Barred Galaxies. ApJ, 397:44, September 1992. doi: 10.1086/171764.
- Meson Developers. The meson build system: Manual and reference documentation. https://mesonbuild.com/index.html, 2025. Accessed: 2025-08-06.
- NumPy Developers. F2py user guide and reference manual. https://numpy.org/doc/stable/f2py/, 2025. Accessed: 2025-08-06.
- Pearu Peterson. F2py: a tool for connecting fortran and python programs. *International Journal of Computational Science and Engineering*, 4(4):296–305, 2009. doi: 10.1504/IJCSE.2009.029165.
- E. Pouliasis, P. Di Matteo, and M. Haywood. A Milky Way with a massive, centrally concentrated thick disc: new Galactic mass models for orbit computations. A&A, 598:A66, February 2017. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201527346.