## **DL4NLP - AI text detection**

We have one month in total for the whole project.

Workflow: We have human and AI written texts: first step is to find a dataset with both these texts (check on google scholar) - these datasets are usually obtained by asking AI to re-write human-written text; second step we need to define our methodology: we can for example implement a simple NN as a classification task; we can also use the model internal metrics (perplexity and loss) with no training (DetectGPT paper): we do perturbations on both AI and human generated texts and then we see how the loss and perplexity of the model compares between the perturbed and non-perturbed texts: for AI generated text loss and perplexity between the two will be high and for human generated text will be low; we can also train a detector with a contrastive learning loss; we can fine-tune an LLM for this task. We need to choose one of these methods to implement. In the first week we have to do the proposal: choose dataset, set goal and submit a mini-project proposal. Then we need to implement, get results and get a 4 page report.

## Methodology

Distinguishing the text using the model's internal metrics will be our project because it allows us to to more exploratory work. Some possible research questions are:

- Can we use LLM's internal metrics to distinguish between AI and human generated texts according to their change before and after perturbations?
- Will different kinds of perturbations make the internal metric's differences sharper and the detection better?
- How does the AI text detection performance task change with AI text generated text from different models? Given a fixed model used for detection, is AI generated text from some models easier to detect than from others?
- Are some models better than others at detecting AI generated texts? Are smaller models better at this kind of agentic task? Given a fixed AI text that we want to detect, are some models better at detecting AI text than others?

The original paper repo is in <a href="https://github.com/eric-mitchell/detect-apt">https://github.com/eric-mitchell/detect-apt</a>.

## Meetings

September 15th

The proposal is good and we should go for the implementation; Start doing the API implementation; Maybe leave Qwen on the side and only use GPT and DeepSeek; research questions should have more extras but that will come along the implementation; With the OpenAl API we can have the log probabilities but with the HuggingFace one we need to checks; We should narrow down datasets: HC3 is a good starting points but we need 1 or two more; maybe even only HC3 is enough; QG dataset suggested in Canvas project proposal is also a nice extra dataset if we want to do one after the HC3 (this is a dataset made by another TA on the course); A good starting point would be two models and two datasets. Main priority now would be implementation and once we start getting results we will have extensions coming up.