Trivial File Transfer Protocol

153728

```
tftp client
enter '?' to print a list of commands
tftp> connect localhost 6009
tftp> get /Users/Guest/Public/image.jpg
received 3833 bytes in 0.1 seconds
tftp> put image.jpg /Users/Shared/returned.jpg
sent 3833 bytes in 0.1 seconds
tftp>
```

1 Core

In implementing the Trivial File Transfer Protocol, I attempted to abstract as much as possible so that a large amount of code could be shared between the client and server applications (in both the UDP version and the TCP version). So initially, I focused on translating the specifications of the TFTP to 'Java representation'. The tftp.core package contains enums describing error types (e.g. ErrorType.FILE_NOT_FOUND), transfer modes (Mode.OCTET) and classes describing TFTP packets (e.g. WriteRequestPacket and DataPacket). Each of these TFTP packet classes inherit from a base class TFTPPacket. Each TFTPPacket subclass has two constructors - one for creating a new TFTPPacket from 'logical data' (e.g. new ErrorPacket(ErrorType.FILE_NOT_FOUND, "file.txt")), and another for parsing the packet from an array of bytes. Byte array parsing is done using a ByteBuffer wrapping the buffer. The parent class TFTPPacket contains a static method fromByteArray which takes a byte buffer (and length) and returns a TFTPPacket. This was done by examining the first two bytes (the opcode) of the packet and then, based on this opcode, instantiating the relevant TFTPPacket subclass.

2 UDP

The TFTPUDPServer program takes two optional arguments: -port port-number to set the port to bind to and -timeout time-in-ms to set the timeout length - for example,

java tftp.udp.server.TFTPUDPServer -port 7000 -timeout 4000.

By default, the server binds to port 6009 and has a timeout of 3000ms.

The main server class TFTPUDPServer handles accepting write/read requests from clients, and spawning the relevant 'worker' thread to send or receive the client-specified file. This is done by binding a datagram socket to a given port (passed to the class constructor), port 6009 in my implementation. From there, a buffer to hold received packets is allocated, and then the server infinitely loops. In each pass through the loop, the socket waits to receive a datagram (blocking). When a datagram is received, the TFTPPacket is extracted from the buffer. Based on the type of request (RRQ or WRQ), the server submits a job to an asynchronous ExecutorService to respond to the request, and the loop repeats.

The two worker classes to respond to read and write requests are ServerRRQHandler and ServerWRQHandler respectively. Constructors for both these classes accept a client address and client port (to know where to send datagrams), and the original request packet (to obtain the file name and to ensure the transfer is taking place using octet mode).

Upon running, the ServerWRQHandler creates a new datagram socket and binds it to a random free port. A timeout is also specified based on the server configuration. Then the transfer mode of the RRQ packet is checked - if not Mode.OCTET then an error packet is sent to the client and the server worker terminates. If the mode is octet however, an input stream is opened to the file specified by the client (if the file does not exist, an error packet is sent to the client and the transfer terminates). Then an output

stream is opened to the file specified in the received WRQ packet. A new byte array and datagram packet is created to hold received datagrams. The initial acknowledgement packet (block number of 0) is converted to a datagram using the UDPUtil class. This datagram is sent to the client. Immediately after sending, the method ServerSocket#receive(Datagram) is called (blocking) to wait for a response from the client. If this is not received within the timeout length, a variable called timeouts is incremented and the last datagram is resent. If the server times out 5 times in a row, the server gives up. However all going well, when the response is received it is converted into a TFTPPacket. Using instanceof, the type of the packet is checked - if it is an error packet, the error message is printed and the transfer is cancelled. If it is a data packet with the expected block number (kept track of with a local variable called ackNumber), the data contained in the packet is written to the file output stream and ackNumber is incremented. Then DataPacket#isFinalPacket() is called - this method simply checks the length of the packet - if less than 512 bytes it is the final packet. If it is, the transfer is finished. If not, the server continues the loop by sending the current acknowledgement packet.

The ServerRRQHandler works similarly. Note that write requests and read requests do almost the same thing - both read from some input and write that input to some output. As before, the ServerRRQHandler opens a socket, binds it, sets up the timeout, and checks the transfer mode. Then the file is read from the input stream in 512-byte chunks. This 512-byte chunk is encapsulated in a data packet, initially with a block number of 1. The TFTP packet is then 'converted' to a datagram which is sent to the client. Using the same timeout method as described before, it waits for an acknowledgment of the correct packet from the receiver. Once received it increments the block number and continues the loop by again reading a chunk of 512 bytes from the file. The final packet is indicated by a less-than-512-byte data packet once less than 512 bytes are read from the input file, it signals the last data packet. Once this packet is sent and the acknowledgement is received, the transfer is complete. A complication arises if the file is a multiple of 512 bytes long. As specified in the RFC, this is resolved by sending a 0-byte final data packet. So in the implementation if a read from the file returns -1 (signalling EOF) and if the last packet sent was a multiple of 512 bytes, the length of the data packet to send is set to 0 in order to send an empty data packet.

After writing the server, the client was fairly straightforward because all code for transferring and receiving a file over TFTP had already been done. So most of the work developing the client involved coding the command line interface. My client is modelled after the OSX tftp program, a TFTP client bundled with OSX. As with the OSX program, entering '?' lists the available commands:

- connect hostname [port] sets the address and port of the TFTP server. If no port is specified port 6009 will be used. This is not connection in the TCP sense, but rather just sets the destination of outgoing datagrams.
- get remote-path [local-path] retrieves a file from the connected TFTP server. remote-path is the path to the file on the server. local-path is the path to the file on the local machine if not specified the file is saved to the working directory.
- put local-path [remote-path] writes a file to the connected TFTP server. local-path is the path to the file on the local machine, and remote-path is where the file will be saved on the server by default in the server's working directory.
- timeout time-in-ms sets the timeout length in milliseconds.
- exit quits the client.

As mentioned above, the code for client sending and receiving is similar to the code written for the server (but in reverse - i.e. for a RRQ the client reads and the server writes, for a WRQ the client writes and the server reads). As such, the shared code was abstracted into classes FileReceiver and FileSender: both the client and server use these. The only way that they differ is in the initial packet sent. The initial client packet sent is a RRQ/WRQ and the initial server packet sent is an ACK0/DATA1. So for a RRQ the client opens an output stream to the file, constructs the initial ReadRequestPacket and then proceeds as described in the server implementation above using FileReceiver. For a WRQ, it opens an input stream to the user-requested file (or prints an error if the file does not exist), constructs a WriteRequestPacket, and then uses FileSender to send the file to the server.

3 TCP

Since TCP provides a reliable transport service for the application layer, the explicit ACKs, timeout handling, etc. are not required in this case. Rather, the protocol can simply involve connecting the receiving a RRQ/WRQ, and then, upon 'acceptance' of the request, a direct sending of the file bytes to/from the server.

The read request interaction:

- 1. client opens connection to server
- 2. client sends RRQ to server
- 3. server sends TFTP ACK to indicate that the file transfer has been approved
- 4. server sends file bytes to client
- 5. after file is fully sent, server closes connection

The write request interaction:

- 1. client opens connection to server
- 2. client sends WRQ to server
- 3. server sends TFTP ACK to client (block number is irrelevant, this is just for the server to explicitly approve the transfer)
- 4. client sends file bytes to server
- 5. after file is fully sent, client closes connection

If the file does not exist, in step 3 the server will send back an error packet instead, containing an error message.

The server first opens a ServerSocket port on a particular port (default 6009). The server then blocks until a client connects. When a client connects, a job is submitted to an Executor to be executed asynchronously - this job is in charge of responding to the client request. The first read from the socket input stream is interpreted as a request packet. The transfer mode of the request packet is ensured to be octet.

If the packet is a WRQ, the server sends an ACK to the client. Then the file is received by continually reading bytes from the input stream and writing them to file until the connection is closed by the client.

If the packet is a RRQ, the server then sends an ACK to the client to indicate that the read request has been accepted (or an error packet if the file does not exist). The server then opens an input stream to the requested file, and sends the file bytes over TCP. Once the sending is complete, the server closes the connection.

A difficulty in developing this protocol over TCP was that because TCP provides a continuous stream of bytes to the application layer, it is hard to tell where the server response packet ends and the file bytes begin. The response packet from the server (either an acknowledgement packet or an error packet) is of variable length depending on what it is and what error text it may contain - and so the client may read in file bytes while incorrectly interpreting them as TFTP packet bytes. There are other ways to get around this, but the simple solution I took was to pad out the packet to Configuration.MAX_PACKET_LENGTH bytes long. Then it is certain that the file bytes start at offset Configuration.MAX_PACKET_LENGTH.

The client uses the same structure as the UDP TFTP client, supporting identical commands. The only subtlety is with the connect command - as with the UDP client this does not mean connect in the TCP sense, it just sets the server's hostname and port. A separate TCP connection is initiated with every get and put.

A get operation causes a ReadRequestPacket with the appropriate filename to be sent to the server. Then the client receives the file bytes from the server and writes them to file. Once the transfer is complete the server terminates the TCP connection.

A put operation causes a WriteRequestPacket to be sent to the server. The client then waits for an AcknowledgementPacket to be received from the server. Once received, the client sends the file bytes to the server and closes the connection.

This implementation supports the error packet ErrorType.FILE_NOT_FOUND by the client checking the initial response to the request - if it is of type AcknowledgementPacket the data is sent/received, but if it is of type ErrorPacket then the contained error message is printed to the output.