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For now, the data and results belong to the island CZA and phenotype thickness.

For each sample in both generations, scaling was accomplished by substracting from the individual phenotype value the total mean phenotype and dividing by the total phenotype of generation zero.

where and is the phenotype value of sample in the parental and offspring populations, respectively. Scaled variables are marked with the symbol , total mean of parents is , and total of parents is referred as . Then, for each parental and offspring population, we calculated mean and and used these measures as input for the regression model (Table 1; Fig. 1).

autofit(flextable(as.data.frame(x\_meas$x, y\_meas$x)))

| mean | sd |
| --- | --- |
| 0.44788530 | 0.4836293 |
| 0.73056389 | 0.6815531 |
| 0.94529054 | 0.5925082 |
| 0.25921700 | 0.3554665 |
| 0.72864730 | 0.5597599 |
| 0.91360196 | 0.9842751 |
| 0.88376734 | 0.7250956 |
| 0.73005916 | 0.8247595 |
| 0.55433156 | 0.7135161 |
| 0.03248742 | 0.5121288 |
| -0.11001634 | 0.4249177 |
| -0.30562418 | 0.4713868 |
| -0.42661696 | 0.4534415 |
| -0.97155508 | 0.7179067 |
| -1.16439827 | 0.5223894 |
| -1.02154338 | 0.7140969 |
| -0.97510614 | 0.6441891 |
| -1.46953512 | 0.3260681 |

# autofit(flextable(as.data.frame(y\_meas$x)))

We defined a regression model with measurement error in x an y using Stan.

// The input data are vectors 'x', 'sd\_x', 'y' and 'sd\_y' of length 'N'.  
data {  
 int<lower=0> N;  
 vector[N] x;  
 vector<lower=0>[N] sd\_x;  
 vector[N] y;  
 vector<lower=0>[N] sd\_y;  
}  
  
// The parameters accepted by the model.  
parameters {  
 vector[N] x\_lat;  
 vector[N] y\_lat;  
 real alpha;  
 real beta;  
 real<lower=0> sigma;  
}  
  
transformed parameters {  
 vector[N] mu\_yhat = alpha + beta \* x\_lat;  
}  
  
// The model to be estimated. We model the output  
// 'y\_lat' to be normally distributed with mean 'mu\_hat = alpha + beta \* x\_lat'  
// and standard deviation 'sigma'.  
model {  
 x\_lat ~ normal(0, 100);  
 alpha ~ normal(0, 10);  
 beta ~ normal(1, 10);  
 sigma ~ normal(0, 100);  
   
 x ~ normal(x\_lat, sd\_x);  
 y\_lat ~ normal(mu\_yhat, sigma);  
 y ~ normal(y\_lat, sd\_y);  
}

There were warning messages when using scaled SD ():

1: There were 177 divergent transitions after warmup. Increasing adapt\_delta above 0.99 may help. See <http://mc-stan.org/misc/warnings.html#divergent-transitions-after-warmup>

2: There were 4 chains where the estimated Bayesian Fraction of Missing Information was low. See <http://mc-stan.org/misc/warnings.html#bfmi-low>

Divergent transitions increase when the prior ranges are reduced but completely disappear if the non-scaled SD () are used. We can examine the Stan output to diagnose the above sampling problems (Fig. 2).

Another alternative would be to try a mixed model with by population random effect.

Since we standardized the data we know that should be centered near 0 and near 1 (Table 3). We would also expect the fitted values to fall onto the 1:1 line (Fig. 3).