Library Digitalization

COL106 Assignment 4

October 2024

1 Background

The IITD library, which houses thousands of rare books, journals and magazines, is undergoing a major transformation. With the increasing demand for digital access to their resources, the library is working on a project to digitize its entire collection. This effort is not just about scanning books into digital format, but also about making the content understandable and searchable, thereby enhancing the user experience and accessibility of the library's resources.

The library has a huge dictionary of all English words. However, since it is so big, it is not very usable. As part of the library's digitization efforts, they wish to send a **compressed dictionary** along with each book to the user, which contains only the words present in that book. Also, they wish to provide relevant books to the readers by doing a **keyword search** and return relevant books containing that keyword.

2 Modelling

To accomplish both of these tasks, the library hires 4 IITD students desperate for a CV point - a 2nd year rookie dev Musk, and three 4th year (slightly) experienced devs Jobs, Gates and Bezos. Their job is to develop a program that can analyse the text of each book and find and count the distinct words used in it.

Each of them believes that a particular method is best. The $2^{\rm nd}$ year Musk believes a simple sorting algorithm should do the trick, while the $4^{\rm th}$ year Devs believe Hashing to be a superior method; however, they still disagree on the best collision handling method.

2.1 2nd Year - Musk (Mergesort)

In the first method, we will sort the words using **mergesort**. After sorting, we can traverse through the sorted list, extract unique words, and return the list of distinct words.

2.2 4th Years (Hashing)

In this method, we will maintain a set of words in the form of a **Hash Table**. The method to handle collisions with be different for each dev as follows:

- Jobs uses Chaining
- Gates uses Linear Probing
- Bezos uses Double Hashing

The hash tables will also have dynamic resizing as described in the following section.

2.3 Hash Function

For the purpose of this assignment, we will use **Polynomyal Accumulation Hash** with a simple **mod table_size** compression function. For this, we will need a parameter **z** (will be given) and **table_size** (known at the time of hashing). Then, we assign a number to each latin letter: $p(a): 0, p(b): 1 \cdots p(z): 25, p(A): 26, p(B): 27 \cdots p(Z): 51$. The hash function for a string $s = a_0 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is:

$$h(s) = p(a_0) + p(a_1)z + p(a_2)z^2 \cdots p(a_n)z^n$$

This will then be compressed, to get slot number k = h(s) mod table_size. Your hash function should run in time linear in the length of string.

2.4 The Second Double Hash Function

For the step size hash function for double hashing, we will use a hash function similar to Polynomial accumulation with a parameter z (different from the first hash) and a compression function with parameter c2, where c2 will be a given prime. The total hash function that we'll use is:

$$h2(s) = c2 - ((p(a_0) + p(a_1)z + p(a_2)z^2 \cdots p(a_n)z^n) \mod c2)$$

3 Requirements

This assignment is divided in two parts:

- Part 1: we implement the methods related to HashTable and the DigitalLibrary methods to implement the required functionalities of the library
- Part 2: Then, we will try to optimize the space utilized by our Hash Table using Dynamic Resizing (explained in 3.2)

3.1 Part 1: Static Sized Hash Tables

3.1.1 Hash Table Classes

You are expected to make two classes HashSet and HashMap, with support for two operations - insert() and find() as described below.

- HashSet would be a Hash Table with entries as key.
- HashMap would be a Hash Table with entries as (key, value). Note that only key is hashed to find the slot for the pair.

Due to the similarities in the two classes, they have been inherited from a base class HashTable. You are free to decide whether you want to define some logic in the base class or code all logic in the child classes themselves, however you are encouraged to make a more modular program by writing common code in the base class itself.

- __init__(self, collision_type, params): Initialize the hash table with of the given collision_type using the given params. params will contain the necessary parameters for the hash function and table size. To be precise, these arguments can take values:
 - collision_type:
 - "Chain": Use chaining for collision handling and add the new entry at the end of list
 - "Linear": Use linear probing for collision handling
 - "Double": Use double hashing for collision handling
 - params: Slightly different for different collision_type. z is the parameter for polynomial accumulation hash, and table_size is the table size to start with.

```
"Chain": params = (z, table_size)
"Linear": params = (z, table_size)
"Double": params = (z1, z2, c2, table_size)
```

For double hashing, h1 will use z1 as parameter for hash and table size as parameter for compression function (mod table_size), while h2 (step size for probing) will use z2 as parameter for hash and c2 as parameter for compression function (mod c2).

Note that table_size here is just the initial size, and may change later in the problem (as discussed in 3.2).

- insert(self, x): Insert a new value in the table, if it does not already exist. x will be:
 - x = key for HashSet

- -x = (key, value) for HashMap
- find(self, key): Find entry corresponding to key in the hash table,
 and return whether found:
 - Return True or False for HashSet
 - Return value or None for HashMap
- get_slot(self, key): Return the slot index for the given key.
- get_load(self): Return the load factor α :

```
\alpha = \frac{\text{Number of elements in the table}}{\text{Total number of slots in the table}}
```

• __str__(self): Return the contents of the HashTable in a string format. This function will be used to print the table, i.e., when you write print(ht), it will print the string returned by this function similar to a "print(ht.__str__())" call.

The expected format is as follows:

- For a HashSet, an element will just be printed as key, while for for a HashMap, an entry will be printed as (key, value), eg. (Hash, 4).
 Notice the lack of "" in printing any string.
- Each table slot will be separated by a
- For Chaining, entries in the same slot must be separated by a ;
- For an empty slot, print $\langle EMPTY \rangle$

Examples: Consider a ${\tt HashMap}$ and ${\tt HashSet}$ of COL106 assignments, assuming Stack and AVL hash to the same slot

Chaining HashMap: (Stack, 1); (AVL, 2) | $\langle EMPTY \rangle$ | (Heap, 3) | (Hash, 4)

Chaining HashSet: Stack ; AVL | $\langle EMPTY \rangle$ | Heap | Hash

Probing HashMap: (Stack, 1) | (AVL, 2) | $\langle EMPTY \rangle$ | (Heap, 3) | (Hash, 4)

Probing HashSet: Stack | AVL | $\langle EMPTY \rangle$ | Heap | Hash

Please pay attention to the printing format, otherwise your code may not be autograded correctly. You may ask on Piazza if there is any ambiguity.

3.1.2 DigitalLibrary Class

The DigitalLibrary class is our main aim of this assignment. It has two different implementation, for the $2^{\rm nd}$ year Musk (MuskLibrary) and for the $4^{\rm th}$ years (JGBLibrary). The DigitalLibrary class is an Abstract Class, only intended to define the methods to be supported by the child classes.

An instance of either of the child classes will be given multiple books, identified by their titles, and the corresponding text. You should implement the logic of each dev as described in section 2. Your implemented HashTable should come in handy for the 4th years

Common Functions

- distinct_words(self, book_title): Return the list of distinct words present in the book with the given title. For MuskLibrary the words should be in lexicographically sorted order, while for JGBLibrary it should be in order as it appears in your Hash Table.
- count_distinct_words(self, book_title): Return the number of distinct words in the book with the given title.
- search_keyword(self, keyword): Return a list of all book titles which contain the given keyword.
- print_books(self): Print the book titles along with the distict words in a format similar to the HashTable prints.

For example, if we had two books Hamlet and Macbeth in Jobs method (chaining):

Hamlet: the; a | mother | son Macbeth: the; a | lover | death

For Musk's method:

Hamlet: a | mother | son | the Macbeth: a | death | lover | the

Once again, For MuskLibrary the words should be in lexicographically sorted order, while for JGBLibrary it should be in order as it appears in your Hash Table.

Methods Different for the 2 Classes

The two child classes differ in how the books will be given to them. For MuskLibrary, you will be given all the books and all the texts together in __init__ method, while for JGBLibrary, each book will be given one by one:

- MuskLibrary.__init__(self, book_titles, texts): All the books in the library are given. book_titles[i] corresponds to texts[i]. texts[i] will be a list of words.
- JGBLibrary.__init__(self, name, params): We are given the name of the person who's strategy is to be implemented (Jobs, Gates or Bezos) and the corresponding params that must be used to make the hash tables (refer to section 3.1 __init__ for details).
- JGBLibrary.add_book(self, book_title, text): Add a new book to the library's collection with given title and words given as a list (text)

3.2 Part 2: Dynamically Sized Hash Tables

One of the major reasons of using Hash Tables instead of simple buckets is space efficiency. Therefore, in this part, we will attempt to keep the space utilized by our Hash Tables to be as efficient as possible, while not sacrificing running time.

If we simply allocate a table for a big size at the start, if the number of inserts is too small in comparison to this size, we would have wasted the memory. Instead, we will start with a table with a small size, and **dynamically resize** the table whenever it is considerably full.

The load factor(α) of a hash table can be expressed as:

 $\alpha = \frac{\text{Number of elements in the table}}{\text{Total number of slots in the table}}$

If the load factor exceeds 50% ($\alpha \geq 50\%$), we allocate a new table with size as a prime that is just over double the old size and rehash all existing elements into this new table (getting this new size is already implemented as get_new_size function). For rehashing all elements, we use the following scheme:

- Linear Probing and Double Hashing: Iterate the old table, one element at a time, and rehash each element into the new table
- Chaining: Iterate the old table, and for each slot (containing a list of elements), iterate through the elements from start to end of the list, and insert them one by one into the new table.

We then discard the old table.

For the implementation, we have created DynamicHashSet and DynamicHashMap classes that inherit from HashSet and HashMap respectively. These classes inherit all functions from your implementation in Part 1, except the insert function, which now rehashes if load is high. You only need to implement the rehash function, and the insert function logic has already been written.

- rehash(self): You will need to implement the rehash function in class DynamicHashSet and DynamicHashMap.
- insert function has already been implemented for Dynamic classes, and you need not change it.
- For rehashing, follow the order as mentioned above.
- Use the get_next_size() function to get the new size of the resized hash table

4 Clarifications

4.1 Regarding Hash Table

• For HashMap, you can assume every key will have a unique value.

- Initialization of a HashTable instance should take time **O(table_size)**.
- insert method should run in $O(|\mathbf{key}|)$ time.
- find method should run in $O(|\mathbf{key}|)$ time.
- get_slot should run in time O(|key|), the length of key.
- Functions will only be called with a HashSet or HashMap instance, and not with a HashTable instance. HashTable class is only there for ease of coding.

4.2 Regarding DigitalLibrary

- MuskLibrary class should not use HashTable in any way.
- You can assume all added books will have different titles (and duplicate books will not be added).
- The expected running time for MuskLibrary is:
 - __init__ with k books each with \leq W words, should run in $O(kW \log W + k \log k)$.
 - distinct_words should run in time $O(D + \log k)$, where D is the number of distinct words in the book with the given title and k is the number of books.
 - count_distinct_words should run in time $O(\log k)$.
 - search_keyword should run in time $O(k \log D)$ where D is the maximum number of distinct words in any book.
 - print_books should run in time O(kD)
- The expected running time for JGBLibrary is:
 - __init__ should run in time O(table_size).
 - add_book should run in time O(W+table_size), where W is the number of words in the given book.
 - distinct_words should run in time O(table_size), where D is the number of distinct words in the book with the given title.
 - count_distinct_words should run in time O(1).
 - search_keyword should run in time O(k) according to the same notation.
 - print_books should run in time O(k table_size)

We disregard dependancy on word length here, since we expect small enough words in books. However, due to the linear requirement of get_slot function, the running time for any DigitalLibrary function should have atmost linear dependancy in the length of words.

For any hash based function, the given time complexity requirements are expected time complexity, while for mergesort impelementation it is the worst case time complexity.

4.3 General Clarifications

- As usual, you are free to define new methods in any of the classes, however
 you must keep the signature of all methods mentioned in the previous
 section unchanged.
- You are not allowed to use the inbuilt set or dictionary, and are expected to use your own implementation instead.
- You must not import any Python library in any of the files, and must implement the functionalities from scratch.
- Keep yourself updated with the Piazza post for Assignment 4, as there may be new clarifications that we may have missed while writing the assignment.

5 Submission

- 1. You are expected to complete this assignment in the next 2 weeks during your lab hours. (Deadline: 29th October 11:59 PM)
- 2. The starter code for this assignment is available on Moodle_New.
- 3. The submission on Moodle_New should contain the following files:
 - (a) dynamic_hash_table.py
 - (b) hash_table.py
 - (c) library.py
 - (d) main.py (This file is for debugging purposes only)
 - (e) prime_generator.py (You should NOT MODIFY this file)
- 4. Late submission policy is available on the course website.