

# Simple Regression

## Theorem 2.1 (Unbiasedness of OLS)

$$SLR.1 \rightarrow SLR.4 \Rightarrow E(\hat{\beta}_0) = \beta_0, E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1$$

### Bivariate Linear Regression Assumptions

#### SLR.1 (Linear in Params)

- In population, rel'shp b/w x and y is linear
- not very restrictive since error not restrained yet

#### SLR.2 (Random Sampling)

- data is a random sample from the population

#### SLR.3 (Sample variation in explanatory variable)

- not all values of explanatory var are equal
- not something to worry about

#### SLR.4 (Zero conditional mean)

$$E(u_i|x_i) = 0$$

- value of explanatory var must contain no info about the mean of the unobserved factors

### OLS as Error Minimization

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{cov(x_i, y_i)}{var(x_i)}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$$

### Algebraic Properties of OLS Estimators

Est. Errors Sum to 0  $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i = 0$

Correlation b/w residuals and regressors is 0  $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i, \hat{u}_i) = 0$

Sample avgs of y and x lie on a regression line  $\bar{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$

### Goodness of Fit: Measures of Variation

Total Sum of Squares (SST)  $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$

Meaning: Total Variation in dependent variable

Explained Sum of Squares (SSE)  $\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$

Meaning: Variation explained by regression

Total Sum of Squares (SSR)  $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{u}_i^2$

Meaning: Variation not explained by regression

$$SST = SSE + SSR$$

R squared  $R^2 = 1 - \frac{SSR}{SST}$

Meaning: variation of y explained by regression  
Requirements: All OLS assumptions

### Theorems

#### Theorem 2.4 (Gauss-Markov Theorem)

$$MLR.1 - MLR.5 \Rightarrow OLS \text{ ests. are BLUE}$$

- BLUE - Best Linear Unbiased Estimators or regression coefficients

#### Theorem 4.1 (Normal Sampling Distributions)

$$MLR.1 - MLR.6 \Rightarrow OLS \text{ coeffs. } \sim N$$

$$\hat{\beta}_j \sim N(\hat{\beta}_j, Var(\hat{\beta}_j))$$

#### Theorem 3.1 (Unbiasedness of OLS)

$$MLR.1 - MLR.4 \Rightarrow E(\hat{\beta}_j) = \beta_j$$

Gauss-Markov Assumptions = MLR.1 - 5

Classical Linear Model Assumptions = MLR.1 - 6

## Multiple Regression

### Partialling Out (Multiple Regression)

Step 1: Regress x\_1 on all the other x's

$$x_1 = \delta_0 + \delta_2 x_2 + \dots + \delta_k x_k + r_1$$

Step 2: Regress y on the residuals of x\_1 from step 1

$$y = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 r_1 + v$$

- beta1 is the same as the coeff on r1 in this new regression

Regression Anatomy Formula  $\Rightarrow \beta_1 = \frac{cov(r_1, y)}{var(r_1)}$

### Measures of Fit

Multiple  $R^2$  - Single value that can be used for multiple explanatory vars  
- Higher signifies better fit

Con: Will always increase when predictor variables are added, even if they are junk

Adjusted  $R^2$  - increases only if the new var improves the model more than would be expected by chance

Pro: Only increases when the model improves

AIC (Akaike Information Criterion) - AKA - Parsimony-adjusted measure of fit  
- Way to look at several models (w/same data and same dependent vars) to find the most parsimonious model that has good fit

Pro: Penalizes the model when variables are added

### Leverage and Influence

Leverage - amt of potential ea data point has to change the regression  
- Range is 0-1; low # = low leverage

Influence - amt that ea data point actually changes the regression  
- large residual needed for high influence  
- Measured by Cook's Distance,  $> 1$  = too much influence

# Multiple Regression, cont.

## Theorem 3.1 (Unbiasedness of OLS)

$$MLR.1 \rightarrow MLR.4 \Rightarrow E(\hat{\beta}_j) = \beta_j$$

### Bivariate Linear Regression Assumptions

#### MLR.1 (Linear in Params)

- In population, rel'shp b/w x and y is linear
- not very restrictive since error not restrained yet

#### MLR.2 (Random Sampling)

- data is a random sample from the population
- data must be iid

#### MLR.3 (No perfect collinearity)

- no exact rel'shps b/w indep vars and none are constant
- Only perfect collinearity is not allowed

#### MLR.4 (Zero conditional mean)

$$E(u_i | x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{ik}) = 0$$

- strongest assumptions so far
- enforces linearity

#### MLR.4' (Exogeneity)

$$Cov(x_j, u) = 0, \text{ for all } j$$

- more critical assumption for real world data sets
- estimators are biased, but consistent
- bias goes to zero for large sample sizes

$$MLR.1 - 3 \text{ \& } MLR.4' \Rightarrow \text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{\beta}_j) = \beta_j$$

$$MLR.1 - 3 \text{ \& } MLR.4' \Rightarrow \text{consistency achieved}$$

### Types of Residuals

#### Unstandardized

- measured in same units as outcome variable
- Do not indicate which residual is too large, only applicable for single model

#### Standardized

- normal residual divided by their standard error
- Used to compare residuals across different models

- Used to ID outliers
- If 1% or more of cases have residuals > 2.5, model has too much error
- If 5% or more cases have residuals > 2, model has too much error

#### Studentized

- difference from standardized: before computing standard error, we remove one data point to make numerator and denom. indep.

- follows a student's t distribution; lets us apply precise tests to ID significant outliers

### Causality

#### Counterfactual

- What if x were some other value?
- Would y change in the way our population model predicts?

#### Manipulation

- We can imagine making different choices and imagine what the results would be

$$\frac{\delta y}{\delta x} = \beta_1 \text{ as long as } \frac{\delta u}{\delta x} = 0$$

- beta1 is the rate of change of y w/respect to x but only if the rate of change of u w/respect to x is 0

#### Causality vs Exogeneity

- Causality is about whether manipulations to x do not influence the error term
- Exogeneity is about whether OLS can correctly estimate beta1

Outcome variables only go on the left!!

### MLR.5 - Homoskedasticity

#### MLR.5 (Homoskedasticity)

- Variance of the error term is constant
- $Var(u_i | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \sigma^2$
- error term cannot vary more for some values of x than others
- strong assumption that is unrealistic for many real datasets
- homoskedasticity = even thickness of residuals band on scatter plot, indicates equivalent variance for all values of x

### Sampling Variance of OLS Estimators

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_j) = \frac{\sigma^2}{SST_j(1-R_j^2)}, j = 1, \dots, k$$

- **sigma squared** - variance of error term
  - more error varies, more noise exists to throw estimates off, variance increases
- **SST** - total sample variation in x
  - more variation in x, more precise the estimate
- **(1 - R2)** - fraction of variation in x not explained by other vars; only unique variation in x left in denom.
- If multicollinearity, unique variation small and precision is lost

### MLR.6 - Normality of Error Terms

#### MLR.6 (Normality of Error Terms)

- distribution of error terms is normal,  $u_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
- rather strong assumption

### Theorem 2.4 (Gauss-Markov Theorem)

$$MLR.1 - MLR.5 \Rightarrow OLS \text{ ests. are BLUE}$$

- **BLUE** - Best Linear Unbiased Estimators or regression coefficients
- There are sometimes biased estimators that are still consistent and can outperform OLS

### Unbiasedness vs Efficiency

- **Consistency:** est. of param is consistent if the est converges to the true value of the param in the plim as the sample size increases; accuracy improves as n incr
  - only tells us that we're right in the expectation
  - but how close are the coefficients to true values?

- **Efficiency:** refers to variance of estimator; we want est. that varies less across diff samples
  - Since our model coefficients are rv's, we need to know how much they vary b/w draws

### Regression Steps

- 1.) Inspect data
  - look for NAs
  - inspect data types
  - check sample distros w/histogram
  - correlationmatrix or correlation plot
- 2.) Create model
  - remember to use heteroskedastic robust standard errors
- 3.) Check assumptions
  - Inspect diagnostic plots
  - Run necessary statistical tests (R2, VIF, Condition Number, Breusch-Pagan test, Shapiro-Wilk test, etc.
  - Adapt as necessary to correct violations
- 4.) Inspect for influential cases
  - Use residuals vs leverage plot to detect highly influential cases
- 5.) Present results of model
  - Regression Table (Stargazer)

# Multiple Regression, cont.

## Diagnostic Plots

### Residuals vs Fitted Plot

#### Used for testing:

- homoskedasticity: looking for uniform thickness of band
- zero-conditional mean: if plot shows curvature, zero cond. mean is violated

#### Notes:

- red smoothing line that approx. mean of residuals

### Scale-Location Plot

#### Used for testing:

- homoskedasticity: if fitted line is horizontal, this indicates homoskedasticity; if fitted line is not horizontal, this indicates heteroskedasticity

#### Notes:

- same as residuals vs. fitted plot + two transformations
  - 1.) calculate absolute value of data points
  - 2.) calculate the square root to reduce skew and move pts away from x-axis

### Q-Q Plot

#### Used for testing:

- Normality of residuals: more deviation from the diagonal line, less normality in residual distro.

### Residuals vs Leverage Plot

#### Used for testing:

- Influence: Cook's Dist. > 1 is too much influence

#### Notes:

- If a value has Cook's Dist > 1, don't automatically remove; must assess whether the data point is an error or if the value is meaningful

## Troubleshooting Assumption Violations

### Linearity of Parameters

- Since the error terms are not constrained yet, this assumption is a freebie and doesn't require any testing or diagnostic summaries

### Random Sampling

- Confirming this assumption requires knowledge of the data and how it was collected; there are no diagnostic summaries to explicitly confirm or deny this assumption

### Homoskedasticity

- Use heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors
  - AKA: Huber-White, Eicker-White, Eicker-Huber-White, White std errors

#### - Diagnostic Summaries:

- Residuals vs Fitted: looking for uniform thickness of band = homoskedasticity
- Scale-Location Plot: if fitted line is horizontal, this indicates homoskedasticity; if fitted line is not horizontal, this indicates heteroskedasticity

#### - Tests:

- Breusch-Pagan test: Null Hyp = there is homosked.
  - signif. result = evidence supporting heterosked.
  - sample size is crucial: for large datasets, nearly any heterosked. will appear as signif.; vice versa for small datasets
  - use in conjunction w/diagnostic plots
  - `bptest(model)`

#### - Mitigation Options:

- Switch to heteroskedastic robust tools:
  - White standard errors (**\*\*to be safe, use these all the time!!\*\***)
  - `coefTest(model, vcov = vcovHC)`
  - `vcovHC(model)`

## Troubleshooting Assumption Violations - cont.

### Normality of Residuals

#### - Diagnostic Summaries:

- Residuals vs. Fitted Plot: if plot shows curvature, normality violated
- Scale-Location Plot: If plot shows curvature, normality violated
- Q-Q Plot: more deviation from the diagonal line, less normality in residual distro.
- Histogram of residual values

#### - Tests:

- Shapiro-Wilk Test: Null Hyp = errors are normal
  - don't directly tell how large deviations from normality are; large datasets will show signif. for even tiny deviations; small datasets will rarely be signif. regardless of deviation from normality
  - use in conjunction w/diagnostic plot
  - `shapiro.test(model)`

#### - Mitigation Options:

- CLT applies for large datasets; inspect Q-Q plot if  $30 < n < 100$
- transform y variable for small datasets
- bootstrap: simulate repeated samples from population by resampling from our one existing sample; generally not used w/OLS

### Multicollinearity

#### - Diagnostic Summaries:

- VIF (Variance Inflation Factor):  $VIF = 1/(1-R^2)$ 
  - score of 10 or higher provides evidence of serious multicollinearity
- Condition Number (k): sq root of the ratio of the largest eigenvalue to the smallest; k of 30 or larger indicates serious multicollinearity
- $R^2$ : (**\*\*THIS IS  $R^2$  FROM THE VIF EQUATION\*\***) as it increases toward 1, magnitude of potential problems assoc w/multicollinearity increases correspondingly
- Correlation Matrix: strong correlation between explanatory variables is an indicator of multicollinearity

#### - Tests: None

#### - Mitigation Options:

##### For perfect multicollinearity:

- If an explanatory variable is a perfect linear combination of other explan vars, it's superfluous and can be removed; redundant vars can be dropped

##### For strong multicollinearity:

- impact: betas will have a lot of noise and high standard error
- Decide how much you care about the beta being estimated; if you care a lot, consider dropping other less important vars

### Zero-Conditional Mean

#### - Diagnostic Summaries:

- Residuals vs. Fitted Plot: If plot shows curvature, zero cond. mean is violated

#### - Tests: None

#### - Mitigation Options:

**Small Sample Sizes (< 30):** Add more flexibility to your model by adjusting the specification; consider transformations; consider omitted vars; requires domain expertise and knowledge of the data

**For Large Sample Sizes (>30):** Violations of zero-conditional mean are not a major concern; we are only concerned about establishing consistency through exogeneity

### Exogeneity

- when present, this feature indicates the presence of consistency; this is really just saying that there are no omitted variables missing from our model

#### - Diagnostic Summaries: None

#### - Tests: None

#### - Mitigation Options: None covered in this class