IEOR E4735: Final Report

Yang, Sen sy2805 Wang, Weimin ww2504

Ji, Wenyi wj2288

Contents

1	Introduction					
2	Mod	Model Assumption				
	2.1	Interest Rate Term Structure	3			
	2.2	Equity	3			
	2.3	FX and Quanto	4			
	2.4	Joint Dynamics	4			
		2.4.1 Risk Neutral Measure	4			
		2.4.2 Forward Measure	5			
3	Model Calibration					
	3.1	.1 Data Preparation				
	3.2	Data Preparation Yield Curve Calibration				
	3.3	Hull-White Model Calibration	7			
		3.3.1 Mean-Reversion Speed α	7			
		3.3.2 Mean-Reversion Level $\theta(t)$	7			
		3.3.3 Hull-White Volatility	7			

	3.4	Vol Su	rface Construction	. 8		
		3.4.1	SSVI Parameterization	. 8		
		3.4.2	Parameter Optimization	. 8		
	3.5	Local	Volatility	9		
		3.5.1	Derivatives for Different Spreads	. 9		
		3.5.2	Local Variance and Local Volatility	. 9		
	3.6	Correl	ation	10		
4	Imp	implementation				
	4.1	Marke	et Bundle	10		
	4.2	Produ	cts	. 11		
	4.3	Stocha	astic Processes	. 11		
	4.4	Pricing	g Engine	. 12		
		4.4.1	Analytical Engine	. 12		
		4.4.2	Monte Carlo Engine	. 13		
5 Extension: Uncertain Correlation Model				14		
	5.1	Proble	m Formulation	. 14		
	5.2	НЈВ Р	DE	16		
	5.3	Probal	pilistic Representation	. 16		
	5.4	Algori	thm	. 17		
6	Con	Conclusion				
7	Future Work and Improvement					

1 Introduction

We are going to price the following contract who will pay at maturity *T* in USD with the payoff of the form

$$\max \left[0, \left(\frac{S(T)}{S(0)} - k\right) \left(\frac{L(T - \Delta, T - \Delta, T)}{L(0, T - \Delta, T)} - k'\right)\right]$$

where we have used the following notation

- S(t): the stock price of STOXX50E quantoed from EUR into USD
- $L(t, T \Delta, T)$: the time-t price of USD LIBOR rate with tenor Δ
- *T*: the expiration date
- *k*, *k*′ the relative strike prices for equity and rate, respectively

2 Model Assumption

2.1 Interest Rate Term Structure

Assume the domestic short-rate follows a Hull-White model with the dynamics under domestic risk neutral measure Q :

$$dr_t = (\theta(t) - \alpha r_t) dt + \sigma dW_t^2$$

where we assumed a constant mean-reversion speed α , time-dependent mean-reversion level $\theta(t)$, and constant Hull-White volatility σ . The parameter setting will be further discussed in 3.3. W_t^2 denotes the second Brownian motion under \mathbb{Q} , whereas W_t^1 and W_t^3 will be discussed in 2.3.

2.2 Equity

Assume the foreign stock S follows a local volatility model with the dynamics under the foreign risk-neutral measure \mathbb{Q}^f :

$$dS_t = r_t^f S_t dt + \sigma(t, S_t) S_t dW_t^{1,f}$$

where we assume a constant foreign interest rate $r_t^f := r^f$, and $W^{1,f}$ denotes the corresponding foreign Brownian motion discussed in 2.3. We will use the SSVI to fit the market volatility surface, and then the local volatility function $\sigma(t, S_t)$ can then be derived from the surface parameterization, which will be discussed in 3.4 and 3.5.

2.3 FX and Quanto

Here, we will convert the foreign equity model from foreign risk neutral measure \mathbb{Q}^f to domestic risk neutral measure \mathbb{Q} . We have under \mathbb{Q}^f , the foreign exchange rate X follows the dynamics

$$dX = X \left(r(t) - r_f \right) dt + X \sigma_X \left(\rho_X dW_t^{f,1} + \sqrt{1 - \rho_X^2} dW_t^{f,3} \right)$$

where we have the following relationship for \mathbb{Q} -BMs and \mathbb{Q}^f -BMs with Girsanov's theorem:

$$\begin{cases} W_t^{f,1} &= W_t^1 - \int_0^t \sigma_X \rho_X ds \\ W_t^{f,3} &= W_t^3 - \int_0^t \sigma_X \sqrt{1 - \rho_X^2} ds \end{cases}$$

Here we assume a constant correlation between the foreign equity S and foreign exchange rate X, denoted as ρ_X , and a constant volatility for exchange rate X denoted as σ_X . According to the empirical evidence discussed in 4.1, we assume no correlation between foreign exchange rate and domestic short-rate, and thus X takes no W^2 in its dynamics.

With the help of this relationship, we can write down the dynamics of the quantoed foreign equity price S under \mathbb{Q} as

$$dS_t = \left(r_t^f - \rho_X \sigma_X \sigma(t, S_t)\right) S_t dt + \sigma(t, S_t) S_t dW_t^1$$

It is then clear to see that the quantoed equity corresponds just with the first \mathbb{Q} -BM W^1 .

2.4 Joint Dynamics

2.4.1 Risk Neutral Measure

The joint dynamics for quantoed equity and short-rate under Q are

$$\begin{cases} dS_t = \left(r_t^f - \rho_X \sigma_X \sigma(t, S_t)\right) S_t dt + \sigma(t, S_t) S_t dW_t^1 \\ dr_t = \left(\theta(t) - \alpha r_t\right) dt + \sigma dW_t^2 \end{cases}$$

where W^2 and W^1 are two correlated Brownian motion with correlation parameter ρ :

$$dW_t^1 dW_t^2 = \rho dt$$

2.4.2 Forward Measure

We give explicitly the joint dynamics under T-forward measure \mathbb{Q}^T , where \mathbb{Q}^T is defined with the zero coupon bond price as numeraire and density with regard to the risk neutral measure \mathbb{Q}

$$\frac{dQ_T}{dQ} := \frac{d(0,T)}{B(0,T)} = \exp\left\{ \int_0^T b(t,T) dW_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T ||b(t,T)||^2 dt \right\}$$

where b(t, T) is the time-t zero coupon bond volatility with maturity T, and can be derived explicitly under Hull-White rate model as

$$b(t,T) = -\sigma \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)}}{\alpha}$$

Thanks to Girsanov's theorem, the relationship between *T*-forward Brownian motion and domestic risk neutral Brownian motion can be written as

$$\begin{cases} W_t^{T,1} = W_t^1 - \int_0^t \rho b(s, T) ds \\ W_t^{T,2} = W_t^2 - \int_0^t b(s, T) ds \end{cases}$$

Under T-forward measure \mathbb{Q}^T , the joint dynamics of the quantoed equity and domestic short-rate are

$$\begin{cases} dS_t = \left(r_t^f - \rho_X \sigma_X \sigma(t, S_t) + \rho b(t, T) \sigma(t, S_t)\right) S_t dt + \sigma(t, S_t) S_t dW_t^{T,1} \\ dr_t = \left(\theta(t) + \rho \sigma b(t, T) - \alpha r_t\right) dt + \sigma dW_t^{T,2} \end{cases}$$

3 Model Calibration

3.1 Data Preparation

To price the contract, we have utilized the following data at date November 15, 2019.

- Yield Curve with Tenor 1*M*, 2*M*, 3*M*, 5*M*, 1*Y*, 2*Y*, 3*Y*, 5*Y*, 7*Y*, 10*Y*, 30*Y*
- Bid/Mid/Ask Volatility Surface with Tenor $\frac{1}{12}$, $\frac{1}{6}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, 1, $\frac{3}{2}$, 2
- Implied Forward equity price with Tenor 1*M*, 2*M*, 3*M*, 6*M*, 1*Y*, 1.5*Y*, 2*Y* and Moneyness: 0.8, 0.85, 0.9, 0.95, 0.975, 1, 1.025, 1.05, 1.1, 1.15, 1.2., 35.87, 1.57
- At-the-money Caplet Strike and Volatility with 1-year expiration
- Spot Price of Euro Stoxx 50 (STOXX50E)
- 3 Month US Dollar LIBOR interest rate (US0003M)
- Euro to US Dollar Exchange Rate (USDEUR)

3.2 Yield Curve Calibration

The yield curve can be constructed at time 0 with discrete data of the non-negative graph of the map: $T \mapsto r(0,T)$, corresponding to continuously-compounded spot rates. Here we assumed a simple day-count convention $\tau(t,T) = T - t$.

It will also give a deterministic term structure of discount factors (zero-coupon bond price) with maturity T with the map $T \mapsto B(0,T)$ as

$$B(0,T) = e^{-r(0,T)T}$$

the continuously-compounding forward rate with different maturities $0 \le T_1 \le T_2$ with the map $(T_1, T_2) \mapsto f(0, T_1, T_2)$ as

$$f(0, T_1, T_2) = \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \log \left(\frac{B(0, T_1)}{B(0, T_2)} \right)$$

and the corresponding simply-compounding forward rate $L(0, T_1, T_2)$, which is exactly the same as the forward LIBOR rate with maturity T_1 and tenor $T_2 - T_1$ as

$$L(0, T_1, T_2) = \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \frac{B(0, T_1) - B(0, T_2)}{B(0, T_2)}$$

The instantaneous forward rate with maturity T, f(0,T), where we abused the notation $f(0,T) := \lim_{T' \to T} f(0,T,T')$, can be computed through the derivative operator

$$f(0,T) = -\frac{\partial \log B(0,T)}{\partial T}$$

We explicitly gives the map the derivative of instantaneous forward rate with regard to the maturity T, $f_T(0,T)$, which will be used in the Hull-While model calibration 3.3

$$f_T(0,T) = -\frac{\partial f(0,T)}{\partial T}$$

Here we use *monotonic cubic spline interpolation on log-discounts* to construct the yield curve. This choice of interpolation preserves monotonicity of the data set being interpolated and ensure the existence of the first and the second derivative. Past research and empirical evidence also reveal that monotonic spline interpolation on log-discounts appear to be a better approach than other approaches (e.g. piecewise linear fit, interpolation on rate itself).

We transform discrete continuously-compounded zero rate data $r(0, T_i)$ into log-discount $\log B(0, T_i)$ for all tenors observed in the market, and interpolate y_i with regard to T_i . After interpolation and extrapolation the log-discount will be available for all tenor T > 0. We can then use the formula provided above to compute these value.

The yield curve object is involved in the other models and will be discussed afterwards.

3.3 Hull-White Model Calibration

3.3.1 Mean-Reversion Speed α

The mean-reversion speed parameter α is set to be a plausible constant calculated from historical data. According to lots of literatures, it is said that α will not change a lot over time and is not sensitive to the model.

3.3.2 Mean-Reversion Level $\theta(t)$

 $\theta(t)$ is chosen to fit the theoretical instantaneous forward rate curve $T \mapsto f(0,T)$ generated from the model to the observed curve $T \mapsto f^M(0,T)$ using an affine structure. The observed curve is derived from the constructed yield curve mentioned in 3.2. After derivation, we have

$$\theta(T) = \alpha f^{M}(0,T) + \frac{\partial f^{M}(0,T)}{\partial T} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2\alpha}(1 - e^{-2\alpha T})$$

Then the yield curve generated from the model will match with the initial yield curve.

3.3.3 Hull-White Volatility

Since we have the data of caplet/floorlet volatility, we need to derive the Hull-White volatility σ from caplet/floorlet volatility vol. Given caplet/floorlet implied black volatility with tenor $T_2 - T_1$, maturity T_2 and strike K, denoted as $\sigma_C(T_1, T_2, K)$ and in short σ_C , we can get the corresponding caplet price with the famous Black-76 formula,

Caplet
$$(T_1, T_2, K, \sigma_C) = B(0, T_2)BS^C(K, T_1, L(0, T_1, T_2), \sigma_C, 0)$$

where $BS^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Black-Scholes formula discussed in 4.4.1.

As $Caplet(T_1, T_2, K, \sigma_C)$ is known, we can compute the corresponding bond option price $C^B(0, T_1, T_2, K^B)$ (since caplet is equivalent to a put option on bond) and then derive the bond volatility σ^B using Newton's method with Black-Scholes formula

$$C^{B}(T_{1}, T_{2}, K^{B}) = \frac{T_{2} - T_{1}}{1 + K(T_{2} - T_{1})} Caplet(T_{1}, T_{2}, K, \sigma_{C}) = B(0, T_{1})BS^{P}(K_{B}, T_{1}, S_{B}, \sigma_{B}, 0)$$

where $K_B = \frac{1}{1 + K(T_2 - T_1)}$ and $S_B = \frac{1}{1 + (T_2 - T_1)L(0, T_1, T_2)}$.

Then we can generate the Hull-White volatility with formula

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{2a^3\sigma_B^2T_1}{(1 - e^{-a(T_2 - T_1)})^2(1 - e^{-2aT_1})^2}}$$

3.4 Vol Surface Construction

3.4.1 SSVI Parameterization

We consider the following SSVI parameterisation of the total implied variance surface:

$$w(k, \theta_t) = \frac{\theta_t}{2} \left\{ 1 + \rho \varphi(\theta_t) k + \sqrt{\left(\varphi(\theta_t) k + \rho\right)^2 + 1 - \rho^2} \right\}$$

with $\theta_t = \sigma_{BS}(F_t, t)^2 t$ the at-the-forward-money implied total variance and $k = \log\left(\frac{K}{F_t}\right)$ the log-forward-moneyness where K denotes the strike, and F_t denotes the time-t forward price. ρ is a parameter that needs to be optimized.

We first calculate θ_T for each discrete maturity T in market data by interpolating the discrete implied volatility with log forward moneyness $K \mapsto \log(K/F_T) \mapsto \sigma_{BS}(K,T)$ using cubic spline, and then interpolate the discrete $T \mapsto \theta_T$ map with monotonic cubic spline to get the θ_t level for all maturity T to ensure the monotonicity.

The $\phi(\cdot)$ function controls the implied variance skew.

For Heston-like Surface, the function φ is defined as:

$$\varphi(\theta) = \frac{1}{\lambda \theta} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1 - e^{-\lambda \theta}}{\lambda \theta} \right\}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $\rho \in (-1,1)$. We further need to impose $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{4}(1+|\rho|)$ in order to exclude arbitrage.

For Power-Law Surface:

$$\varphi(\theta) = \frac{\eta}{\theta^{\gamma} \left(1 + \theta\right)^{1 - \gamma}}$$

where $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\rho \in (-1, 1)$. We further need to impose $\eta (1 + |\rho|) \le 2$ in order to exclude arbitrage.

3.4.2 Parameter Optimization

In order to fit the whole surface, we first need to find the optimal parameter set Ω where $\Omega := \{\rho, \lambda\}$ for Heston-like surface and $\Omega := \{\rho, \gamma, \eta\}$ for power-law surface, which can be solved by minimizing the loss function discussed below.

The basic loss function is

$$l(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t} \sum_{k} \left(\sqrt{w_{t_i,k}^{SSVI}/t_i} - \sigma_{t_i,k}^M \right)^2$$

or

$$l(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t_i} \sum_{k} \left(w_{t_i,k}^{SSVI} - \left(\sigma_{t_i,k}^M \right)^2 t_i \right)^2$$

Here we use power-law surface and the first version of loss function to do optimization with SLSQP algorithm, which can deal with linear constraints.

3.5 Local Volatility

3.5.1 Derivatives for Different Spreads

To compute the local volatility for a given set of parameter Ω in SSVI parameterization, we first need to derive the derivatives corresponding to vanilla spread $\frac{\partial w(k,\theta_t)}{\partial k}$, butterfly spread $\frac{\partial^2 w(k,\theta_t)}{\partial k^2}$ and calender spread $\frac{\partial w(k,\theta_t)}{\partial t}$.

For vanilla spread and butterfly spread we have the following formulas

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w(k,\theta_t)}{\partial k} &= \frac{\theta \varphi(\theta_t)}{2} \left(\rho + \frac{k \varphi(\theta_t) + \rho}{\sqrt{(\varphi(\theta_t)k + \rho)^2 + (1 - \rho^2)}} \right) \\ \frac{\partial^2 w(k,\theta_t)}{\partial k^2} &= \frac{\theta \varphi^2}{2} \frac{1 - \rho^2}{\left((\varphi(\theta_t)k + \rho)^2 + (1 - \rho^2) \right)^{3/2}} \end{cases}$$

For calendar spread, we use the chain rule to find the first derivative of the SSVI function, $w(k, \theta_t)$, with respect to t

$$\frac{\partial w(k,\theta_t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial w(k,\theta_t,\varphi(\theta_t))}{\partial \theta_t} \frac{\partial \theta_t}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial w(k,\theta_t,\varphi(\theta_t))}{\partial \varphi} \frac{\partial \varphi(\theta_t)}{\partial \theta_t} \frac{\partial \theta_t}{\partial t}$$

where $\frac{\partial \theta_t}{\partial t}$ can be calculated by monotonic cubic spline interpolation and

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial w(k_t \theta_t, \varphi(\theta_t))}{\partial \theta_t} &= \frac{w(k_t \theta_t)}{\theta_t} \\
\frac{\partial w(k_t \theta_t, \varphi(\theta_t))}{\partial \varphi} &= \frac{k \theta_t}{2} \left(\rho + \frac{k \varphi(\theta_t) + \rho}{\sqrt{(\varphi(\theta_t)k + \rho)^2 + (1 - \rho^2)}} \right)
\end{cases}$$

The derivatives for $\varphi(\cdot)$ function for Heston-like surface and power-law surface can be calculated as following

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varphi^{Power}(\theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\eta(\theta + \gamma)(1 + \theta)^{\gamma - 2}}{\theta^{1 + \gamma}} \\ \frac{\partial \varphi^{Heston}(\theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{2 - 2e^{-\lambda \theta} - \lambda \theta e^{-\lambda \theta} - \lambda \theta}{\lambda^2 \theta^3} \end{cases}$$

3.5.2 Local Variance and Local Volatility

3.5.2 We can derive the Dupire-like formula for SSVI volatility surface via the following formula

$$\sigma_{\text{loc}}^{2}(K,T) = \frac{\partial_{t} w(k, \theta_{T})}{g(k, w(k, \theta_{T}))T}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \ge 0$, where the function $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined as:

$$g(k, w(k, \theta_t)) := \left(\left(1 - \frac{k \partial_k w(k, \theta_t)}{w(k, \theta_t)} \right)^2 - \frac{(\partial_k w(k, \theta_t))^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{w(k, \theta_t)} \right) + \frac{\partial_{k^2} w(k, \theta_t)}{2} \right) \Big|_{(k, \theta_t)}$$

and the local volatility is the square root of the local variance:

$$\sigma_{\rm loc}(K,T) = \sqrt{\sigma_{\rm loc}^2(K,T)}$$

3.6 Correlation

We compute the correlations among the daily return of Euro Stoxx 50(STOXX50E), the daily difference of 3 Month US Dollar LIBOR interest rate (US0003M), and the daily return of Euro to US Dollar Exchange Rate(USDEUR) in November 15, 2019 and assume them to be constant.

The corresponding correlation between STOXX50E and US0003M, denoted as ρ , will be utilized for the models in 2.4. The other correlation parameter between STOXX50E and USDEUR, denoted as ρ_X , will be used in 2.3 and 2.4. The third correlation between USDEUR and US0003M is essentially very near zero and can be ignored, which supports our assumption in 2.3.

4 Implementation

4.1 Market Bundle

We have mainly established three abstract base classes that contain member functions related to various market object.

• Yield Term Structure

It takes discrete market rates data to initialize, and has member functions to compute for all tenor the corresponding discount factor, zero rate, forward rate, instantaneous forward rate, etc. Two classes, flat yield curve and interpolated yield curve, are inherited from this class.

• Volatility Term Structure

It takes discrete market implied volatility to initialize, and can output implied volatility and local volatility for all expirations and all strikes. Two classes, flat volatility surface and SSVI volatility surface, are inherited.

• Correlation Term Structure

It takes market correlation data to initialize, and can return the implied correlation and local correlation between two underlyings.

4.2 Products

Three different types of instruments are used to price the contract. All products are compatible for both absolute strike and percentage strike. Below are examples under absolute strike.

• **Vanilla European Call/Put Option** with payoff at maturity *T* of the form

$$\xi_{call} = \max(S_T - K_S, 0)$$

$$\xi_{vut} = \max(K_S - S_T, 0)$$

where K_S is the strike price, and S_T is the stock price at maturity T

• **Vanilla Caplet/Floorlet** with payoff at maturity T_2 of the form

$$\xi_{cap} = \max(L(T_1, T_1, T_2) - K_r, 0)$$

$$\xi_{floor} = \max(K_r - L(T_1, T_1, T_2), 0)$$

where K_r is the strike rate, and $L(T_1, T_1, T_2)$ is the time- T_1 observed LIBOR rate with tenor $T_2 - T_1$.

• **Hybrid Product** with payoff at maturity T_2 of the form

$$\xi_{hybrid} = \max((S_{T_2} - K_S)(L(T_1, T_1, T_2) - K_r), 0)$$

where K_S , K_r , S_{T_2} , $L(T_1, T_1, T_2)$ is the equity strike price, interest rate strike rate, stock price at time T_2 , time- T_1 observed LIBOR rate with tenor $T_2 - T_1$, respectively. This product is a mix of Vanilla European Option and Vanilla Caplet/Floorlet.

4.3 Stochastic Processes

Each stochastic process is inherited from an abstract base class Stochastic Process which provide two virtual member function drift and diffusion which must be defined in each inherited classes. The general setting is from the following SDE

$$Y_t = Y_0 + \int_0^t \mu(s, Y_S) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, Y_S) \cdot dW_S$$

Here we provide Local Vol Process, GBM Process, Local Vol Quanto Process and GBM Quanto Process for equity, Hull-White Rate Process and Hull-White Rate Forward Process for short-rate under Q and Q^T , and Equity Quanto Hull-White Rate Hybrid Process and Equity Quanto Hull-White Rate Hybrid Forward Process for the hybrid under Q and Q^T . The dynamics (drift and diffusion function)has been discussed in 2.

4.4 Pricing Engine

4.4.1 Analytical Engine

4.4.1.1 Vanilla European Analytical Engine

This engine utilized the classical Black-Scholes formula to price the Vanilla European Option products. The value for a European call option is then

$$C(K, T, S_0, \sigma, r) = S_0 \mathcal{N}(d_1) - Ke^{-rT} \mathcal{N}(d_1)$$

where

$$d_{1,2} = \frac{\log\left(\frac{S_0}{K}\right) + \left(r \pm \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}$$

and K, S_0 , T, σ and \mathcal{N} denote respectively the strike price, equity spot price, time to maturity, corresponding implied volatility and the cumulative density function of normal distribution.

Note that the formula for an European put option $P(K, T, S_0, \sigma, r)$ is similar.

4.4.1.2 Vanilla Rate Hull-White Analytical Engine

This engine utilized the Hull-White Model to price zero coupon bond, options on bond and caplet/floorlet. We use directly the notation in section 2.1 and 3.2.

For this model, the time-*t* value of the zero coupon bond with maturity *T* has a affine term structure as:

$$B(t,T) = e^{M(t,T) - N(t,T)r(t)}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} N(t,T) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-\alpha(T-t)} \right) \\ M(t,T) &= \int_t^T \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 N^2(s,T) - \theta(s) B(s,T) \right) ds \end{cases}$$

The call option with maturity T_1 on a bond with maturity $T_2 \ge T_1$ has the price

$$c(T_1, T_2, K) = B(0, T_1)BS^P\left(K, T_1, \frac{B(0, T_2)}{B(0, T_1)}, \sigma_B, 0\right)$$

where

$$\sigma_B = rac{\sqrt{T_1}}{lpha} \left(1 - e^{-lpha(T_2 - T_1)}
ight) \sqrt{rac{\sigma^2}{2lpha} (1 - e^{-2lpha T_1})}$$

Note that the put option $p(T_1, T_2, K)$ on bond has similar formula.

The caplet is equivalent to a put option on bond

Caplet
$$(T_1, T_2, K) = \frac{1 + K(T_2 - T_1)}{T_2 - T_1} B(0, T_1) p(T_1, T_2, K_B)$$

where
$$K_B = \frac{1}{1 + K(T_2 - T_1)}$$
.

The floorlet is then equivalent to a call option on bond with similar formula.

4.4.2 Monte Carlo Engine

Five types of Monte Carlo Engines have been used to price the Vanilla European Option, the Caplet/Floorlet, and the Hybrid Product. There will be two engines corresponding to both Caplet/Floorlet and Hybrid Product, paralleling to the domestic risk neutral measure \mathbb{Q} and T-forward measure \mathbb{Q}^T

We simulate N = 1,000,000 paths for each product type with 252 steps per year, with time interval Δt of 1 day. Then we calculate the discounted payoff for all the paths and take the average as the prices. We also use antithetic variables to reduce variance, i.e. reverse $dW_{t_i,k}$ in the first 500,000 paths to $-dW_{t_i,k}$ in the second 500,000 paths.

4.4.2.1 Vanilla European Monte Carlo Engine

The Vanilla European Monte Carlo Engine is implemented to price the Vanilla European Options. For each t_i where $0 = t_0 < t_i < t_n := T$, denote $t_{i+1} = t_i + \Delta t$, and for each path $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we simulate $\Delta W_{t_i,k} \sim \sqrt{\Delta t} \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and use the dynamics that are uniquely determined by a given Stochastic Process class discussed in 4.3 to generate paths for equity. After that, the payoff of each path can be calculated with the payoff function within the given Product class discussed in 4.2. For instance, for a vanilla call option, we have

$$\xi_{call,k} = \max(S_{t_n} - K_s, 0)$$

The discounted payoff can then be calculated as

$$C_k = e^{-rT} \xi_{call,k}$$

where r is the explicitly given by a rate class discussed in 4.1.

Finally the Monte Carlo price can then be derived by averaging the payoff for all paths.

$$C_{MC} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} C_k$$

4.4.2.2 Vanilla Rate Hull-White Semi Monte Carlo Engine

Vanilla Rate Hull-White Semi Monte Carlo Engine and Vanilla Rate Hull-White Forward Semi Monte Carlo Engine are two different engines for caplet/floorlet pricing. It is similar with the

previous one whereas the payoff on each path, for example for a caplet, is

$$\xi_{caplet,k} = \max(L(T_1, T_1, T_2) - K_r, 0)$$

where

$$L(t,t,T) = \frac{1 - B(t,T)}{T - t}B(t,T)$$

For Vanilla Rate Hull-White Semi Monte Carlo Engine, the discounted payoff is then

$$Caplet_k = e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{n} r_{t_i,k} \Delta t} \xi_{Caplet,k}$$

whereas for Vanilla Rate Hull-White Forward Semi Monte Carlo Engine

$$Caplet_k = B(0, T_2)\xi_{Caplet,k}$$

Finally the price leads to $Caplet_{MC} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} Caplet_k$

4.4.2.3 Hybrid Monte Carlo Engine

Hybrid Monte Carlo Engine and Hybrid Monte Carlo Forward Engine use the two Monte Carlo Engines mentioned above to price the hybrid products by simulating $S_{t,k}$, $r_{t,k}$. The payoff (in absolute form) is

$$\xi_{hybrid,k} = max((S_{T_2} - K_s)(L(T_1, T_1, T_2) - K_r), 0)$$

The discounted hybrid payoff in Hybrid Monte Carlo Engine is then

$$C_{hubrid,k} = e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{n} r_{tn,k} \Delta t} \xi_{hubrid,k}$$

whereas with Hybrid Monte Carlo Forward Engine as

$$C_{hybrid,k} = B(0, T_2) \Delta t \xi_{hybrid,k}$$

The final price leads to

$$C_{MC,hybrid} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} C_{hybrid,k}$$

5 Extension: Uncertain Correlation Model

5.1 Problem Formulation

Consider the following stochastic control problem

$$u(0, S_0, r_0) = \sup_{[0, T_2]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(0, T_2) \left(\left(\frac{S(T_2)}{S(0)} - k \right) \left(\frac{L(T_1, T_1, T_2)}{L(0, T_1, T_2)} - k' \right) \right)^+ \right]$$

where S and r follow the dynamics under \mathbb{Q} mentioned in 2.4.1

$$\begin{cases} dS_t = \left(r_t^f - \rho_X \sigma_X \sigma(t, S_t)\right) S_t dt + \sigma(t, S_t) S_t dW_t^1 \\ dr_t = \left(\theta(t) - \alpha r_t\right) dt + \sigma dW_t^2 \end{cases}$$

where W^2 and W^1 are two correlated Brownian motion with correlation parameter ρ :

$$dW_t^1 dW_t^2 = \rho dt$$

Here the control is on correlation parameter ρ , and the control constraint set $\Omega := [\rho_{min}, \rho_{max}]$.

Recall that

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(0,T_{2}) \left(\left(\frac{S(T_{2})}{S(0)} - k \right) \left(\frac{L(T_{1},T_{1},T_{2})}{L(0,T_{1},T_{2})} - k' \right) \right)^{+} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(0,T_{1}) \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(T_{1},T_{2}) \left(\left(\frac{S(T_{2})}{S(0)} - k \right) \left(\frac{L(T_{1},T_{1},T_{2})}{L(0,T_{1},T_{2})} - k' \right) \right)^{+} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{1}} \right] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(0,T_{1}) \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(T_{1},T_{2}) \left(\frac{S(T_{2})}{S(0)} - k \right)^{+} \left(\frac{L(T_{1},T_{1},T_{2})}{L(0,T_{1},T_{2})} - k' \right)^{+} + \left(\frac{S(T_{2})}{S(0)} - k \right)^{-} \left(\frac{L(T_{1},T_{1},T_{2})}{L(0,T_{1},T_{2})} - k' \right)^{-} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{1}} \right] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(0,T_{1}) \left[\left(\frac{L(T_{1},T_{1},T_{2})}{L(0,T_{1},T_{2})} - k' \right)^{+} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(T_{1},T_{2}) \left(\frac{S(T_{2})}{S(0)} - k \right)^{+} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{1}} \right] \right] \\ &+ \left(\frac{L(T_{1},T_{1},T_{2})}{L(0,T_{1},T_{2})} - k' \right)^{-} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(T_{1},T_{2}) \left(\frac{S(T_{2})}{S(0)} - k \right)^{-} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{1}} \right] \right] \right] \end{split}$$

We can then define a function $g(\cdot, \cdot)$ as follow to equate the conditional part above, thanks to the Markovian property:

$$g(s,r) = g^{+}(s,r) + g^{-}(s,r)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} g^{+}(s,r) &= \left(\frac{L(T_{1},T_{1},T_{2})}{L(0,T_{1},T_{2})} - k'\right)^{+} h^{+}(s,r) \\ g^{-}(s,r) &= \left(\frac{L(T_{1},T_{1},T_{2})}{L(0,T_{1},T_{2})} - k'\right)^{-} h^{-}(s,r) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} h^{+}(s,r) &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(T_{1},T_{2}) \left(\frac{S(T_{2})}{S(0)} - k \right)^{+} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{1}} \right] \\ h^{-}(s,r) &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(T_{1},T_{2}) \left(\frac{S(T_{2})}{S(0)} - k \right)^{-} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{1}} \right] \end{cases}$$

After this trick, we can write explicitly the control problem as

$$u(0, S_0, r_0) = \sup_{[0, T_2]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(0, T_2) \left(\left(\frac{S(T_2)}{S(0)} - k \right) \left(\frac{L(T_1, T_1, T_2)}{L(0, T_1, T_2)} - k' \right) \right)^+ \right]$$

$$= \sup_{[0, T_1]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[d(0, T_1) \sup_{[T_1, T_2]} \left[g(r_{T_1}, S_{T_1}) \right] \right]$$

Then observe that

$$\begin{cases} h^{+}(s,r) = B(T_{1},T_{2})\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{T}} \left[\left(\frac{S(T_{2})}{S(0)} - k \right)^{+} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{1}} \right] \\ h^{-}(s,r) = B(T_{1},T_{2})\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{T}} \left[\left(\frac{S(T_{2})}{S(0)} - k \right)^{-} \mid \mathcal{F}_{T_{1}} \right] \end{cases}$$

and thus we can deduce that

$$\frac{\partial h^+(s,r)}{\partial \rho} = \frac{\partial h^-(s,r)}{\partial \rho} < 0$$

Therefore, the internal control can be solved by just imposing the lowest correlation $\rho_{min} \in \Omega$, and the problem becomes

$$u(0, S_0, r_0) = \sup_{[0, T_1]} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} [d(0, T_1) g^*(r_{T_1}, S_{T_1})]$$

where $g^*(\cdot,\cdot)$ the corresponding optimal value function thereafter, which is a classical stochastic control problem and can be solved numerically.

5.2 HJB PDE

We can write down the HJB equation for this problem as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,s,r) + \sup_{\rho \in \Omega} \left\{ \alpha_s(t,s) u_s + \alpha_r(t,r) u_r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_s(t,s)^2 u_{ss} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r(t,s)^2 u_{rr} + \sigma_s(t,s) \sigma_r(t,r) u_{rs} \rho - r u(t,s,r) \right\} = 0, \\ u(T_1,s,r) = g(s,r) \end{cases}$$

where we have used the notation

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_s(t,s) &= (r^f - \rho_X \sigma_X \sigma(t,s)) s \\ \sigma_s(t,s) &= \sigma(t,s) s \\ \alpha_r(t,r) &= \theta(t) - \alpha r \\ \sigma_r(t,r) &= \sigma \end{cases}$$

We see that just the $\sigma_s(t,s)\sigma_r(t,r)u_{rs}\rho$ part in the supreme in the HJB PDE relates to the control ρ , and both $\sigma_s(t,s)$ and $\sigma_r(t,r)$ are greater to zero. Therefore, the control will be a bang-bang type in the range $[\rho_{min}, \rho_{max}]$. We define the function

$$\sup_{\rho} \{u_{rs}\rho\} = \Sigma(u_{rs}) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \rho_{min} & \text{if } u_{rs} \leq 0 \\ \rho_{max} & \text{if } u_{rs} > 0 \end{array} \right.$$

and the HJB equation becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,s,r) + \alpha_s(t,s)u_s + \alpha_r(t,r)u_r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_s(t,s)^2 u_{ss} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_r(t,s)^2 u_{rr} + \sigma_s(t,s)\sigma_r(t,r)u_{rs}\Sigma(u_{rs}) - ru(t,s,r) = 0 \\ u(T_1,s,r) = g(s,r) \end{cases}$$

5.3 Probabilistic Representation

According to the Pardoux-Peng Theorem, this PDE has a corresponding probabilistic representation as a second order nonlinear backward stochastic differential equation, in short, 2-BSDE, as follow:

$$\begin{cases} dY_t = -f(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t, \Gamma_t)dt + Z_t \circ \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t \\ dZ_t = \alpha_t dt + \Gamma_t \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t \end{cases}$$

where $Y_{T_1} = g(X_{T_1})$ and $X_{T_1} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{T_1} & r_{T_1} \end{pmatrix}^{\top}$. The use of the Stratonovich product \circ is only for convenience and can be replaced by an Ito integral

$$dY_t = \left(-f(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t, \Gamma_t) + \frac{1}{2}tr\left[\sigma(t, X_t)\sigma(t, X_t)^{\top}\Gamma_t\right]\right)dt + Z_t \cdot \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t$$

Here the $f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ function represents

$$f(t, X_t, Y_t, Z_t, \Gamma_t) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_S(t, S_t) \\ \alpha_r(t, r_t) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} Z_t^1 \\ Z_t^2 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\sigma}_S^2 S_t^2 & \hat{\sigma}_S \hat{\sigma}_r \rho S_t r_t \\ \hat{\sigma}_S \hat{\sigma}_r \Sigma (\Gamma_t^{12}) S_t r_t & \hat{\sigma}_r^2 (1 - \Sigma (\Gamma_t^{12})^2) r_t^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_t^{11} & \Gamma_t^{12} \\ \Gamma_t^{21} & \Gamma_t^{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the process $X_t = \begin{pmatrix} S_t & r_t \end{pmatrix}^{\top}$ follows the dynamics

$$\begin{cases} dS_t &= \hat{\sigma}_S S_t dW_t^1 \\ dr_t &= \hat{\sigma}_r r_t dW_t^2 \\ dW_t^1 dW_t^2 &= \hat{\rho} dt \end{cases}$$

with arbitrary but plausible volatility $\hat{\sigma}_S$ and $\hat{\sigma}_r$, and correlation $\hat{\rho}$.

Here Y_t represents the hybrid product price, Z_t its delta and Γ_t the option gamma matrix.

We then discretize this 2-BSDE and get the following algorithm to solve this equation

$$\begin{cases} Y_{t_{n}} &= g(S_{t_{n}}, r_{t_{n}}) \\ \begin{pmatrix} Z_{t_{n}}^{1} \\ Z_{t_{n}}^{2} \end{pmatrix} &= Dg(S_{t_{n}}, r_{t_{n}}) \\ Y_{t_{i-1}} &= \mathbb{E}_{i-1}[Y_{t_{i}}] + \left(f(t_{i-1}, X_{t_{i-1}}, Y_{t_{i-1}}, Z_{t_{i-1}}, \Gamma_{t_{i-1}}) - \frac{1}{2}tr\left[\sigma(t_{i-1}, X_{t_{i-1}})\sigma(t_{i-1}, X_{t_{i-1}})^{T}\Gamma_{t_{i-1}}\right]\right) \Delta t \\ \begin{pmatrix} Z_{t_{i-1}}^{1} \\ Z_{t_{i-1}}^{2} \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{\Delta_{t_{i}}}\sigma(t_{i-1}, X_{t_{i-1}})^{T^{-1}}\mathbb{E}_{i-1}\left[Y_{t_{i}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \Delta W_{t}^{1} \\ \Delta W_{t}^{2} \end{pmatrix}\right] \\ \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{t_{i-1}}^{11} & \Gamma_{t_{i-1}}^{12} \\ \Gamma_{t_{i-1}}^{21} & \Gamma_{t_{i-1}}^{22} \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{\Delta_{t_{i}}}\mathbb{E}_{i-1}\left[\begin{pmatrix} Z_{t_{i-1}}^{1} \\ Z_{t_{i-1}}^{2} \end{pmatrix}\left(\Delta W_{t_{i-1}}^{1} & \Delta W_{t_{i-1}}^{2} \right)\right] \sigma(t_{i-1}, X_{t_{i-1}})^{-1} \end{cases}$$

The conditional expectations can be approximated by some neural networks.

5.4 Algorithm

- Simulate enough paths and use a neural network to approximate the $g^*(\cdot, \cdot)$ function.
- Use the discretized 2-BSDE scheme and neural networks to approximate the Y, Z, and Γ for each discretized time step.
- Move backward until time 0 and collect the approximation functions for Γ_{12} at each time step.
- Simulate again enough paths with the help of Γ_{12} functions and use $\Sigma(\Gamma_{12})$ as the equity-short-rate correlation for each time step
- Compute the discounted payoff on each path and average them to get the worst-case scenario price of this hybrid product.

6 Conclusion

The final price of this hybrid product is 0.0120269 from the code.

7 Future Work and Improvement

The followings are the future potential improvements given what we have done.

We have assumed that the foreign interest rate r_f is constant throughout since the hybrid price is not sensitive to the foreign interest rate fluctuation. For improvement, we can consider to add a term structure for foreign interest rate, or use stochastic rate model for both domestic rate and foreign rate.

The vol of short-rate σ has been assumed to be constant for simplicity, but consequently it cannot price correctly the volatility smile in caps/floors/swaptions market. Here the product will be most sensitive to the at-the-money rate volatility but not so sensitive to the volatilities on the wings, and hence we use this as an input for the rate model. However, it will be better to price the smile using a local volatility model on short-rate.

Both the correlation ρ and ρ_X has been set constant, whereas though the price is not sensitive to the equity-FX correlation ρ_X , it is indeed sensitive to the equity-rate correlation ρ , which is the reason we have provided the uncertain correlation model in 5. The uncertain correlation model gives a worst case price for the hybrid, nevertheless in order to price the correlation skew more accurately, we can use a local correlation model for ρ , and potentially also for ρ_X .

We did not consider the rate-FX correlation as it is almost zero empirically. However for a more accurate pricing procedure, we can add this correlation in the model discussed in 2.4.

We will consider these improvement directions in the future.