

Time Series

Sudipta Das

Assistant Professor,
Department of Computer Science,
Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational & Research Institute

1 Modeling and Forecasting with ARMA Processes

- Estimation of parameters of $ARMA(p, q)$
 - Initial Order Selection
 - Maximum Likelihood Estimation
 - Order Selections
- Forecasting

Estimation of parameters of ARMA(p, q) I

- Steps to fit a time series $\{X_n\}$, by an ARMA(p, q) model as

$$X_t - \phi_1 X_{t-1} - \cdots - \phi_p X_{t-p} = Z_t + \theta_1 Z_{t-1} + \cdots + \theta_q Z_{t-q} \text{ where } \{Z_t\} \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$$

- Make an initial guess of the orders p and q from the sample ACF and PACF plots
- Perform a preliminary estimation of the parameters $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p)'$, $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q)'$, and σ^2 from the sample observations x_1, \dots, x_n .
- Perform the final estimation of the parameters by maximum likelihood estimators
- Recheck the orders p and q by calculating some metrics like AICC
- Diagnostics checking of the residuals

- Behavior of the ACF and PACF for Causal and Invertible ARMA Models

	AR(p)	MA(q)	ARMA(p, q)
ACF	Tails off	Cuts off after lag q	Tails off
PACF	Cuts off after lag p	Tails off	Tails off

Maximum Likelihood Estimation I

- Assume that $\{X_t\}$ is a Gaussian time series with mean zero and autocovariance function $\gamma(|i - j|) = E(X_i X_j)$
 - We assume that the sample size is large
- From the n data sample $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)'$, form the likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\Gamma_n|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_n' \Gamma_n^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n \right\} = \mathcal{L}(\phi, \theta),$$

where $\Gamma_n = E[\mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_n']$

- Likelihood of a single data point of n dimensional random vector.

Maximum Likelihood Estimation II

- Matrix inversion (Γ_n^{-1}) can be avoided by the use of following identity

$$\mathbf{x}_n = C_n(\mathbf{x}_n - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n),$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n = (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_n)'$ and

$$C_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \theta_{11} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \theta_{22} & \theta_{21} & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta_{n-1,n-1} & \theta_{n-1,n-2} & \theta_{n-1,n-3} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e. for all $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$x_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \theta_{k-1,j} (x_{k-j} - \hat{x}_{k-j}),$$

with $\theta_{k-1,0} = 1$ and $\hat{x}_1 = 0$.

Maximum Likelihood Estimation III

- Note that,
 - $x_n - \hat{x}_n$, (residuals) is uncorrelated with x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} , (predictors).
 - It concludes that $(x_n - \hat{x}_n)$ is uncorrelated with the innovations $(x_1 - \hat{x}_1), \dots, (x_{n-1} - \hat{x}_{n-1})$.
- As the components of $\mathbf{x}_n - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n$ are uncorrelated, $\mathbf{x}_n - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n$ has the diagonal covariance matrix, i.e.,

$$\text{Var}(\mathbf{x}_n - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n) = D_n = \text{diag}\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\},$$

where $v_i = E(x_{i+1} - \hat{x}_{i+1})^2$.

- Therefore,

$$\Gamma_n = \text{Var}(\mathbf{x}_n) = C_n \text{Var}(\mathbf{x}_n - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n) C_n' = C_n D_n C_n'$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation IV

- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}_n' \Gamma_n^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n &= \mathbf{x}_n' (C_n')^{-1} D_n^{-1} C_n^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_n - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n)' D_n^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_n - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \hat{x}_j)^2 / v_{j-1}\end{aligned}$$

and $|\Gamma_n| = |C_n| |D_n| |C_n| = v_0 v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}$.

- Simplified likelihood

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sqrt{v_0 v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \hat{x}_j)^2 / v_{j-1} \right\}$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation V

- For more simplification, consider a transformed process $\{W_t\}$ as

$$W_t = \begin{cases} \sigma^{-1}X_t, & t = 1, \dots, m = \max(p, q) \\ \sigma^{-1}\phi(B)X_t, & t > m \end{cases},$$

whose MSE

$$r_j = E(W_{j+1} - \hat{W}_{j+1})^2 = \sigma^{-2}E(X_{j+1} - \hat{X}_{j+1})^2 = v_j/\sigma^2$$

- Thus replacing v_j by $\sigma^2 r_j$, we get the Gaussian Likelihood for an ARMA Process as

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi, \theta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi\sigma^2)^n r_0 r_1 \cdots r_{n-1}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \hat{x}_j)^2 / r_{j-1} \right\}$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation VI

- Differentiating $\log \mathcal{L}(\phi, \theta, \sigma^2)$ partially with respect to σ^2 and noting that \hat{x}_j and r_j are independent of σ^2 , we find that the maximum likelihood estimators ϕ, θ and σ^2 from the following equations

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = n^{-1} S(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta})$$

where

$$S(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \hat{x}_j)^2 / r_{j-1}$$

and $\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta}$ are the values of ϕ, θ that minimize

$$l(\phi, \theta) = \ln(n^{-1} S(\phi, \theta)) + n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \log r_{j-1}$$

Order Selections I

- Akaike Information criterion

$$AIC(\beta, p, q) :=$$

$$-2 \ln \mathcal{L}_X(\beta, n^{-1} S_X(\beta)) + 2(p + q + 1),$$

where $\beta = [\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_q]'$

- It is an estimate of the *Kullback-Leibler* (KL) index of the fitted model relative to the true model.
- The KL index of $f(\cdot; \psi)$ relative to $f(\cdot; \theta)$

$$\Delta(\psi|\theta) = E_{\theta} [-2 \ln f(\mathbf{x}; \psi)] = \int_{\mathcal{R}^n} -2 \ln (f(\mathbf{x}; \psi)) f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) d\mathbf{x}$$

- Note that the KL discrepancy between $f(\cdot; \psi)$ and $f(\cdot; \theta)$ is defined as

$$d(\psi|\theta) = \Delta(\psi|\theta) - \Delta(\theta|\theta) = \int_{\mathcal{R}^n} -2 \ln \left(\frac{f(\mathbf{x}; \psi)}{f(\mathbf{x}; \theta)} \right) f(\mathbf{x}; \theta) d\mathbf{x} \stackrel{\text{Jensen}}{\geq} 0.$$

- Akaike Information criterion corrected

$$AICc(\beta, p, q) :=$$

$$-2 \ln \mathcal{L}_X(\beta, n^{-1} S_X(\beta)) + 2(p + q + 1)n/(n - p - q - 2)$$

- It is a bias-corrected version of the AIC.

Order Selections III

- Note

- Suppose that our observations X_1, \dots, X_n are from a Gaussian ARMA process with parameter vector $\theta = [\beta, \sigma^2]$ and assume for the moment that the true order is (p, q) .
- Let $\hat{\theta} = [\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2]$ be the mle of θ based on X_1, \dots, X_n and let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be an independent realization of the true process (with parameter θ).
- Then,

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \ln \mathcal{L}_Y(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) &= -\ln \left[2\pi(\hat{\sigma}^2)^n \sum_{j=1}^n r_{j-1} \right] + \hat{\sigma}^{-2} S_Y(\hat{\beta}) \\ &= -2 \ln \mathcal{L}_X(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) + \hat{\sigma}^{-2} S_Y(\hat{\beta}) - n \end{aligned}$$

- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\hat{\theta}|\theta) &= E_{\theta} \left[-2 \ln \mathcal{L}_Y(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) \right] \\ &= E_{\theta} \left[-2 \ln \mathcal{L}_X(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) \right] + E_{\theta} \left[\hat{\sigma}^{-2} S_Y(\hat{\beta}) \right] - n \\ &\approx E_{\theta} \left[-2 \ln \mathcal{L}_X(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) \right] + 2(p+q+1)n/(n-p-q-2) \end{aligned}$$

- Comments

- For fitting autoregressive models, Monte Carlo studies the AIC has a tendency to overestimate p .
- The AICC statistic has a more extreme penalty for large-order models, which counteracts the overfitting tendency of the AIC.
- However, the penalty factors for the AICC and AIC statistics are asymptotically equivalent as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- Bayesian formation criterion

$$BIC(p, q) :=$$

$$(n-p-q) \ln \left[\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{n-p-q} \right] + n \left(1 + \ln \sqrt{2\pi} \right) + (p+q) \ln \left[\left(\sum_{t=1}^n X_t^2 - n\hat{\sigma}^2 \right) / (p+q) \right],$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is the mle of the variance of the white noise process.

- Another criterion that attempts to correct the overfitting nature of the AIC.

- Comments

- The BIC is a consistent order-selection criterion, i.e.,
 - If the data $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ are in fact observations of an $ARMA(p, q)$ process, and if \hat{p} and \hat{q} are the estimated orders found by minimizing the BIC, then $\hat{p} \rightarrow p$ and $\hat{q} \rightarrow q$ wp 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- The AICC and AIC are not consistent.
- On the other hand, order selection by minimization of the AICC or AIC is asymptotically efficient for autoregressive processes, while order selection by BIC minimization is not.

Order Selections VII

- In the modeling of real data there is rarely such a thing as the “true order.”
 - For the process X_t there may be many polynomials $\theta(z)$, $\phi(z)$ such that the coefficients of z_{t-j} in $\theta(z)/\phi(z)$ closely approximate $\psi(j)$ for moderately small values of j .
 - Correspondingly, there may be many ARMA processes with properties similar to $\{X_t\}$.
- The AICC criterion does, however, provide us with a rational criterion for choosing among competing models.
- It has been suggested that models with AIC values within c of the minimum value should be considered competitive (with $c = 2$ as a typical value).
- Selection from among the competitive models can then be based on such factors as whiteness of the residuals and model simplicity.

- Once, we find the fitted model as

$$X_t - \hat{\phi}_1 X_{t-1} - \cdots - \hat{\phi}_p X_{t-p} = Z_t + \hat{\theta}_1 Z_{t-1} + \cdots + \hat{\theta}_q Z_{t-q}$$

with $Z \sim N(0, \hat{\sigma}^2)$, we can go for forecasting as mentioned below.

- One step forecast

$$\hat{X}_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_{nj} (X_{n+1-j} - \hat{X}_{n+1-j}), & 1 \leq n < m \\ \phi_1 X_n + \cdots + \phi_p X_{n+1-p} + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_{nj} (X_{n+1-j} - \hat{X}_{n+1-j}), & n \geq m \end{cases}$$

- Mean square error

$$E \left(X_{n+1} - \hat{X}_{n+1} \right)^2 = v_n^2 = \sigma^2 r_n$$

- Parameters ϕ_i s, θ_i s and σ will be replaced by the corresponding estimates $\hat{\phi}_i$ s, $\hat{\theta}_i$ s and $\hat{\sigma}$, respectively.