# Titanic Survival Prediction – Report

### 1. Introduction

Titanic dataset is a classic machine learning problem where the goal is to predict whether a passenger survived or not based on demographic and travel information. This project followed a complete data science workflow: data cleaning, exploratory data analysis (EDA), feature engineering, model building, evaluation, and prediction on unseen test data.

#### 2. Data Overview

#### Two datasets:

- **train.csv** (891 passengers, includes the target variable Survived)
- **test.csv** (418 passengers, no Survived, predictions required)

#### **Key Features**

- PassengerId: Unique passenger ID
- Pclass: Ticket class (1st, 2nd, 3rd)
- Name: Passenger name (titles useful)
- Sex: Gender
- Age: Age in years
- SibSp: Number of siblings/spouses aboard
- Parch: Number of parents/children aboard
- Ticket: Ticket number
- Fare: Ticket fare
- Cabin: Cabin number (many missing)
- Embarked: Port of embarkation (C = Cherbourg; Q = Queenstown; S = Southampton)

# 3. Data Cleaning & Feature Engineering

#### Steps taken:

#### • Missing Values:

- o Age: filled with median per passenger title (e.g., Mr, Miss, Mrs).
- Embarked: filled with the most common value (S).
- Cabin: dropped but replaced with binary feature HasCabin.

#### • Feature Engineering:

- Extracted Title from names, grouped rare titles into "Rare".
- Created FamilySize = SibSp + Parch + 1.
- Created **IsAlone** = 1 if FamilySize = 1, else 0.
- Encoding: Converted categorical variables (Sex, Embarked, Title) into numeric via mapping and one-hot encoding.

# 4. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

#### Key findings:

- Sex: Females had much higher survival rates than males.
- **Pclass**: First-class passengers survived more frequently than third-class.
- Age: Children had a higher survival rate.
- **FamilySize**: Very large families and solo travelers survived less than medium-sized families.

A correlation heatmap confirmed strong relations between survival and features like Sex, Pclass, and Fare.

# 5. Modeling

We tested three models: Logistic Regression, Decision Tree, And Random Forest

Validation accuracy (20% split of training data):

• Logistic Regression: 82.7%

• Decision Tree: 77.6%

• Random Forest: 77.6%

**Best model: Logistic Regression** 

## 6. Predictions on Test Data

The test.csv dataset was cleaned with the same preprocessing steps as the training data, aligned to have identical feature columns, and passed through the Logistic Regression model.

#### 8. Conclusion

The Logistic Regression model performed the best, achieving 82.7% validation accuracy

- The most important predictors of survival were: Sex, Pclass, Age, Fare, and Title.
- Future improvements could include feature scaling, hyperparameter tuning, and advanced models like XGBoost or LightGBM.

This project demonstrated the full data science pipeline — from raw data to final predictive model and Kaggle submission.