

Last Time:

- LQR via shooting
- LQR as a QP
- LQR via Riccati
- Infinite-Horizon LQR

Today:

- Controllability
- Dynamic Programming

\* Controllability:

- How do we know if LQR will work?
- We already know  $Q \geq 0$ ,  $R > 0$
- For the time-invariant case there is a simple answer
- For any initial state  $x_0$ ,  $x_n$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}x_n &= Ax_{n-1} + Bu_{n-1} \\&= A(Ax_{n-2} + Bu_{n-2}) + Bu_{n-1} \\&\quad \vdots \\&= A^nx_0 + A^{n-1}Bu_0 + A^{n-2}Bu_1 + \dots + Bu_{n-1} \\&= \underbrace{[B \quad AB \quad A^2B \quad \dots \quad A^{n-1}B]}_C \begin{bmatrix} u_{n-1} \\ u_{n-2} \\ u_{n-3} \\ \vdots \\ u_0 \end{bmatrix} + A^n x_0\end{aligned}$$

- Without loss of generality, we solve for  $x_n = 0$

- This is equivalent to a least-squares problem for  $u_{0:n-1}$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{0,1} \\ u_{0,2} \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\left[ C^T (CC^T)^{-1} \right]}_{\text{"Pseudo-inverse"}} (x_n - A^n x_0)$$

- For  $CC^T$  to be invertable:

$$\Rightarrow \text{rank}(C) = n, \quad n = \dim(x)$$

- I can stop at  $n$  time steps in  $C$  because the Cayley-Hamilton theorem say that  $A^n$  can be written in terms of a linear combination of lower powers of  $A$  up to  $n$

$$A^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k A^k \quad (\text{for some } \alpha_k)$$

- Therefore adding more time steps/columns to  $C$  can't increase the rank:

$$\Rightarrow C = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} B & AB & \dots & A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{"Controllability Matrix"}}$$

---

## \* Bellman's Principle

- Optimal control problems have an inherently sequential structure
- Past control inputs can only affect future states. Future inputs can't affect past states.
- Bellman's principle ("Principle of Optimality") formalizes this.



If this path had lower cost starting at  $x_0$ , I would have taken it starting from  $x_0$

- Sub-trajectories of optimal trajectories have to be optimal for the appropriately defined sub problem.

## \* Dynamic Programming

- Bellman's Principle suggest starting from the end of the trajectory and working backwards.
- We've already seen this with Rcat + Pontryagin
- Define "optimal cost-to-go" aka "value function"  $V_k(x)$
- Encodes cost incurred starting from state  $x$  at time  $k$  if we act optimally

- For LQR

$$V_N(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q_N x = \frac{1}{2} x^T P_N x$$

- back up one step and calculate  $V_{N-1}(x)$ :

$$V_{N-1} = \min_u \frac{1}{2} x_{N-1}^T Q x_{N-1} + \frac{1}{2} u^T R u + V_N(Ax_{N-1} + Bu) *$$

$$\Rightarrow \min_u \frac{1}{2} u^T R u + \frac{1}{2} (Ax_{N-1} + Bu)^T P_N (Ax_{N-1} + Bu) \quad D_u = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow R u + B^T P_N (Ax_{N-1} + Bu) = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

$$\Rightarrow u_{N-1} = - \underbrace{(R + B^T P_N B)^{-1} B^T P_N A}_{K_{N-1}} x_{N-1}$$

- Plug  $u = -Kx$  back into \*

$$\Rightarrow V_{N-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T \underbrace{[Q + K^T R K + (A - BK)^T P_N (A - BK)]}_P_{N-1} x$$

$$\Rightarrow V_{N-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T P_{N-1} x$$

- Now we have a backward recursion for  $K$  and  $P$  that we iterate until  $K = 0$

## \* Dynamic Programming Algorithms:

$$V_N(x) \leftarrow l_N(x)$$

$K \leftarrow N$

while  $K = 1$

$$V_{K-1}(x) = \min_{u \in U} [l(x, u) + V_K(f(x, u))]$$

$K \leftarrow K - 1$

end

- If we know  $V_1(x)$ , the optimal policy is:

$$u_*(x) = \arg \min_{u \in U} [l(x, u) + V_{K-1}(f(x, u))]$$

- DP equations can be written equivalently written in terms of "action-value" or "Q" functions:

$$S_n(x, u) = l(x, u) + V_{n+1}(f(x, u))$$

- Usually denoted  $Q(x, u)$  but we'll use  $S(x, u)$

- Avoids need for explicit dynamics model

## \* The Curse

- DP is sufficient for global optimum
- Only tractable for simple problems (LQR, low dimensional)
- $V(x)$  stays quadratic for LQR but becomes impossible to write analytically, even for simple nonlinear problems
- Even if we could,  $\min S_{\text{CSu}}$  will be non-convex and possibly hard to solve
- Cost of DP blows up with state dimension due to cost of representing  $V(x)$

## \* Why Do we Care?

- Approximate DP with a function approximator for  $V(x)$  or  $S_{\text{CSu}}$  is very powerful
- Forms basis for modern RL
- DP generalizes to stochastic problems (just wrap everything in expectations). Pontryagin's does not.

\* Finally : What are the Lagrange Multipliers ?

- Recall Riccati derivation from QP:

$$\lambda_u = p_u x_u$$

- From DP:

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T P x$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_u = \nabla_x V_u(x)$$

- Dynamics multipliers are cost-to-go gradients
- Carries over to nonlinear setting (not just LQR)