BanglaClickBERT: Bangla Clickbait Detection from News Headlines using Domain Specific BanglaBERT and MLP Techniques

Anonymous ACL submission

Abstract

News headlines or titles that deliberately persuade readers to view a particular online content are referred to as clickbait. There have been numerous studies focused on clickbait detection in English language, compared to that, there have been very few researches carried out that address clickbait detection in Bangla news headlines. In this study, we have experimented with several distinctive transformers models namely BanglaBERT, XLM-RoBERTa and our created domain-specific pretrained BanglaBERT named BanglaClickBERT, each of them along with MLP techniques, in order to come up with the best performing model. The dataset we used for this study contained 15,056 headlines with labels and 65,406 unlabeled news headlines, in addition to that we have collected more unlabeled Bangla news headlines by scraping clickbait-dense websites making a total of 1 million news headlines in order to make our BanglaClickBERT. Our approach has successfully surpassed the performance of existing state-of-the-art technologies, providing a more accurate and efficient solution to clickbait detection in Bangla news headlines.

1 Introduction

The internet has led to a surge in the use of online news media, which provides users with easy access to information at any time. However, some news websites use clickbait headlines that can be misleading and frustrating for users. These headlines are designed to attract users and create suspense, often containing exaggerated information that does not match the content. Clickbait headlines aim to lure users into clicking on them but ultimately cause frustration. Study (Pengnate et al., 2021), found that clickbait headlines can lead to higher click-through rates, but may also lead to negative user experiences such as frustration and disappointment.

The use of online news media has increased rapidly in Bangladesh, with an estimated 66.3 million internet users[1] and 14 million online readers of Prothom Alo (Correspondent, 2022), one of the top newspapers in the country. However, the increasing number of clickbait titles on news websites has become a significant issue, leading to frustration and disappointment among users. While research has been conducted on clickbait detection in English, very little has been done in Bangla, a language spoken by millions of people in Bangladesh and other countries. In English, for The Clickbait Challenge 2017, Webis Clickbait Corpus 2017 (Potthast et al., 2018b) was created which had a total of 38,517 sentences from major US news publishers. In Bangla, BanglaBait (Mahtab et al., 2023), where they have constructed a Bangla clickbait detection dataset containing 15,056 labeled news articles and 65,406 unlabelled news articles. In this paper, we present BanglaClickBERT, a pretrained model for clickbait detection in Bangla news websites. We use the labeled dataset for training and validating our model and scrape clickbait-dense websites to gather more unlabelled news article headlines, increasing the



Figure 1: Examples of Bangla clickbait news headlines with its corresponding English translation

[1] https://www.cia.gov/the-world-factbook/countries/bangladesh/

number of unlabelled news headlines to around 1 million. We use this to pretrain the BanglaBERT (Bhattacharjee et al., 2022) model, which we then pretrain to create BanglaClickBERT.

The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- We scrape clickbait-dense websites and create an unlabelled news headlines dataset of around 1 million to pretrain our BanglaBERT model, which we then pretrain to create BanglaClickBERT.
- We experiment with different machine learning models, deep neural network models, and transformers models like BanglaBERT, XLM-RoBERTa, and our BanglaClickBERT to develop a Bangla Clickbait Detection model for Bangla news headline data. We compare the performance of our model using different metrics.

2 Literature Review

The roots of clickbait can be found in tabloids, a form of journalism that has existed since the 1980s (Bird, 2008). The three primary sources from which clickbait identification attributes may be generally retrieved are (1) the related article that the post text wants the user to visit, (2) metadata for both, and (3) the connected article. (Munna and Hossen, 2021). (Potthast et al., 2016) and (Biyani et al., 2016) additionally took into account metadata, related content, and handcrafted elements in addition to the post-text analysis. They used methods like Gradient Boosted Decision Trees (GBDT) and assessed the TF-IDF similarity between the headline and article content. (Potthast et al., 2018a) mentioned the Clickbait Challenges 2017, which invited the affirmation of 13 detectors were presented as the clickbait detectors for screening, realizing considerable enhancements in detecting performance above the prior state of the art. (Zhou, 2017) first used a self-attentive RNN to choose the crucial terms in the title before building a Bi-GRU network to encode the contextual information for the 2017 Clickbait Challenge. On the contrary, (Thomas, 2017) used an LSTM model for the clickbait challenge that included article content. To create the word embedding of clickbait titles, (Rony et al., 2017) applied the continuous skip-gram model. Nevertheless, (Indurthi et al., 2020) were the first to study the use of transformer regression models in clickbait identification and won the clickbait challenge. Additionally, (Hossain et al., 2020) produced the first dataset of Bengali newspapers for Bengali false news detection of around 50K Bangla news articles in an annotated dataset. Apart from Bangla language, clickbaits are used in some different languages news and social media for detection. (Genç and Surer, 2021) used Logistic Regression (85 percent accuracy), Random Forest (86 percent accuracy), LSTM (93 percent accuracy), ANN (93 percent accuracy), Ensemble Classifier (93 percent accuracy), and BiLSTM (97 percent accuracy) on 48,060 headlines from news sources pulled from Twitter for Turkish clickbait detection. Moreover, (Razaque et al., 2022) used models in the research are Long short-term memory in particular in recurrent neural networks for clickbait detection on social media. Word2vec, use of word embedding vectorize headlines and comparison with Naive Bayes classifier are also performed. (Bronakowski et al., 2023) achieved 98 percent accuracy in recognizing clickbait headlines by using thirty distinct types of semantic analysis and six different machine-learning approaches, both individually and in groups. The suggested models can be used as a model for creating useful programs that swiftly identify clickbait headlines. We reviewed a paper where a Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) and Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)-based ensemble model is used by (Farhan et al., 2023) suggested sarcasm detection AI for Bangla language. achieving 96 percent F1 score and accuracy. We reviewed this paper just to gather information on what type of work can be done using NLP and for gathering some knowledge and examples related to our work. Additionally, for some domain-specific BERT, (Beltagy et al., 2019) in order to help enhance efficiency on a range of scientific NLP tasks and produce cutting-edge results, SciBERT which is a pretrained language model based on BERT used unsupervised pretraining on scientific articles. Moreover (Jahan et al., 2022) used BanglaHateBERT, which is a retrained version of the pre-existing BanglaBERT model, and trained it having a widespread corpus of hostile, insulting, and offensive Bengali language, and outperformed the generic pre-trained language model in various datasets.

3 Dataset Description

The dataset consists of two sets: an annotated set and an unannotated set of clickbait news informa-

Information	Value
Crawling Period	Feb 2019 - June 2023
Total Clickbait	5,239
Total Non-clickbait	9,817
Total Unlabelled	1,078,234

Table 1: Information of both the annotated and unannotated datasets

tion. The information of both of these is shown in Table 1.

3.1 Annotated Dataset

The annotated dataset comprises 15,056 articles, each meticulously labeled with one of two categories: Clickbait as 1 and Non-clickbait as 0. The articles in this subset cover a diverse range of topics and were collected from February 2019 to February 2022 through web crawling. For our task, we focus only on the columns "Headlines" and "Labels" as they are essential. This dataset will be used for the classification task.

3.2 Unannotated Dataset

The unannotated dataset consists of 65,406 Bangla articles with clickbait titles. These articles were gathered from clickbait-dense websites. However, since 65k unlabelled samples may not be sufficient for our task, we expanded the dataset by scraping more clickbait-dense websites using BeautifulSoup and Selenium Python libraries. This effort resulted in a total of 1,078k or 1 Million unlabelled clickbait headlines. This unannotated dataset will be used mainly for the pretraining of the BanglaBERT Model.

4 Methodology

We will use some Statistical Models and Deep Learning Models for setting a baseline score and then we will implement Transformers Like BanglaBERT, XLM-RoBERTa and Domain Specific BanglaBERT with several variations. Based on these, variation we try to come up with the best model.

4.1 Statistical Models

For statistical methods, we will employ Logistic and Random Forest classifiers on a combination of various features like TF-IDF (term frequency–inverse document frequency) of the word and character n-grams, Bangla pre-trained word embeddings, punctuation frequency, and normalized Parts-of-Speech frequency.

4.2 Deep Learning Models

When it comes to deep learning models, there are several powerful techniques that can be employed Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), Bidirectional LSTM (BiLSTM) and ensemble methods. These models have shown great success in various natural language processing tasks, including sentiment analysis and text classification.

4.3 Transformer Models

4.3.1 BanglaBERT

BanglaBERT (Bhattacharjee et al., 2022) is a BERT-based Natural Language Understanding (NLU) model pre-trained specifically on Bangla using a massive 27.5GB pretraining corpus. BanglaBERT has demonstrated remarkable performance in achieving state-of-the-art results across diverse NLP tasks.

4.3.2 XLM-RoBERTa

XLM-RoBERTa(Conneau et al., 2020), a large-scale multilingual language model based on Facebook's RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019). XLM-RoBERTa undergoes pretraining on an extensive 2.5TB dataset of filtered CommonCrawl data.

4.3.3 Domain Adaptive Pretraining: BanglaClickBERT

We also propose to further pretrain BanglaBERT using a large number of headlines extracted from clickbait-filled websites. The study (Gururangan et al., 2020) finds that tailoring pretrained language models to specific domains through adaptive pretraining techniques leads to significant improvements in task performance.

5 Creation of BanglaClickBERT

Language models like BERT have revolutionized the field of NLP by introducing context-aware learning and significantly improving performance across various NLU tasks. However, applying these models to low-resource languages such as Bangla requires specialized adaptation to achieve optimal results. To address this challenge, we propose the development of BanglaClickBERT by retraining BanglaBERT with a vast dataset of clickbait news headlines. A workflow of this is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Workflow of *BanglaClickBERT* Creation

5.1 Reason for Pretraining

In the paper (Gururangan et al., 2020), they investigate whether it is still helpful to tailor a pretrained model to the domain of a target task. From their research, it was found that a second phase of pretraining in-domain (domain-adaptive pretraining) leads to performance gains, in both high and low-resource settings. Also, in the BanglaHateBERT paper (Jahan et al., 2022), we saw performance gains after pretraining.

5.2 Pretraining Data

We collected a diverse set of clickbait news headlines mentioned in section 3, comprising 1 million samples from various online sources. These headlines were chosen to cover a wide range of clickbait headlines, ensuring the model's adaptability to different contexts like news on lifestyle, entertainment, business, viral videos etc.



Figure 3: Frequnecy of all the sentences in the unannotated corpus. It shows that all the sentences length are less than atleast 30.

5.3 Training Strategy

The retraining process was carried out using the Masked Language Model (MLM) approach. During training, we masked 15% of the tokens in each sequence, forcing the model to predict these masked tokens and thus gain contextual understanding. Additionally, we set the model to accept up to 128 sentence tokens to capture more extensive contextual dependencies. BanglaClickBERT was pretrained for 10 epochs, on an *NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3070*. It took us almost 28 hours to pretrain for 10 epochs. We adopted the Adamw (Loshchilov and Hutter, 2019) optimization solver, known for its computational efficiency and memory-friendly characteristics, with a learning rate of 5e-5. The maximum sequence length was set to 32 as there was no sentence bigger than 30 shown in Figure 3. The pretrained models are uploaded on Hugging face website[2]. The unannotated dataset of clickbaits will also be provided on request[3].

6 System Overview

We will be using Statistical models and Deep learning models for Baseline Creation. Then we will be using transformer models. Our main focus is on using Transformer. We have used Transformers with several variations. Based on this variation we try to come up with the best model. The labeled dataset is divided into three distinct subsets: the training set, test set, and validation set. This allocation was thoughtfully proportioned, with 70% (10839 headlines) of the data reserved for training, 20% (3012 headlines) for testing, and 10% (1205 headlines) for validation purposes. We used the same data splits used in (Mahtab et al., 2023). We have used the Precision, Recall, macro F1-Score and Accuracy as measures of evaluation.

[2] https://huggingface.co/samanjoy2/banglaclickbert_base

[3] https://tinyurl.com/BanglaClickBERTdata



Figure 4: BanglaBERT and XLM-RoBERTa concatenation of the last layer + MLP Architecture

6.1 Statistical Models

We used two Statistical models: Logistic Regression and Random Forest. Logistic Regression and Random Forest both are widely used classification algorithms that are particularly well-suited for binary classification tasks. We use TF-IDF vectors. This captures the sequential patterns of characters in the text using character n-grams of lengths 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. For example, for n=3, the word "hello" would be represented as ['hel', 'ell', 'llo']. These character n-grams can capture important linguistic information and patterns in the text, such as common prefixes, suffixes, and other recurring character sequences.

6.2 Deep Learning Models

We used two deep learning models: the Bi-LSTM Network model and Ensemble of Convolutional neural network + Gated recurrent unit (Farhan et al., 2023) both with Bengali GloVe Embeddings (Sarker, 2021). Bengali GloVe Pretrained Word Vectors was pre-trained with Wikipedia and crawled news articles with 39 million tokens and has a 0.18 million vocab size. We used the 300d vector version.

6.3 Transformer Models

In our study, we have employed three transformer-based models, namely BanglaBERT, XLM-RoBERTa, and our domain-specific pretrained, BanglaClickBERT. For this specific study, we have chosen to mainly use the base (12 layers) versions of these models, as the large (24 layers) models will be computationally expensive and unnecessary for our task. We experimented with BanglaBERT Large model, however, it was providing similar results (discussed in section **??**) to the BanglaBERT base model. So, for further experimentation, we continued with the base models.

For hyperparameters, we have taken the number of epochs for training as 20, the learning rate is 1e-5, maximum length is 32, batch size of 128, the loss function is Cross Entropy Loss and the optimizer is AdamW (Loshchilov and Hutter, 2019).

Throughout our experimentation, we have explored various architectural configurations for these transformer models. To illustrate the general architecture that we employed, we present an example in Figure 4.

6.3.1 BanglaBERT / XLM-RoBERTa / BanglaClickBERT (last layer) + MLP

In this setup, the last layer of the BanglaBERT and XLM-RoBERTa base models is used as the input. The last layer contains contextualized information learned from pre-training on the Bangla and multilingual data, respectively. These representations are then passed through additional linear layers and fine-tuned on the specific task or dataset during the training phase. This allows the model to adapt to the task while benefiting from the pre-trained language representation capabilities of BanglaBERT and XLM-RoBERTa.

6.3.2 BanglaBERT / XLM-RoBERTa / BanglaClickBERT (average of all layers) + MLP

Instead of using only the last layer, this setup takes the average of all layers in the BanglaBERT and XLM-RoBERTa base models. By doing so, the model can incorporate information from various depths of the transformers, capturing different levels of context and features. The averaged representations are then fed into linear layers and fine-tuned for the specific task.

SL	Model Names	Precision	Recall	F1-Score	Accuracy
1	Logistic Regression (with TF-IDF 1-5 n-grams)	0.6540	0.3745	0.4763	0.7102
2	Random Forest (with TF-IDF 1-5 n-grams)	0.6789	0.4509	0.5419	0.7317
3	Bi-LSTM Network (with GloVe Embeddings)	0.6544	0.5877	0.6192	0.7457
4	Ensemble of CNN + GRU (with GloVe Embeddings) (Farhan et al., 2023)	0.6774	0.6103	0.6421	0.7606
5	GAN-BanglaBERT (Mahtab et al., 2023)	0.7545	0.7481	0.7513	0.8257
6	BanglaBERT last layer + MLP	0.7377	0.7241	0.7308	0.8088
7	BanglaBERT Large last layer + MLP	0.7349	0.7328	0.7338	0.8124
8	XLM-RoBERTa last layer + MLP	0.7038	0.7505	0.7264	0.8134
9	**Domain Specific BanglaClickBERT last layer + MLP**	**0.7802**	**0.7081**	**0.7424**	**0.8094**
10	BanglaBERT avg of all layers + MLP	0.7293	0.7138	0.7214	0.8018
11	XLM-RoBERTa avg of all layers + MLP	0.6962	0.6474	0.6709	0.7596
12	**Domain Specific BanglaClickBERT avg of all layers + MLP**	**0.7717**	**0.7343**	**0.7525**	**0.8214**
13	BanglaBERT + XLM-RoBERTa + Embeddings concatenated. Before concatenating passed through one linear layer. Followed by MLP	0.7821	0.7153	0.7472	0.8138
14	**Domain Specific BanglaClickBERT + XLM-RoBERTa + Embeddings concatenated. Before concatenating passed through one linear layer. Followed by MLP**	**0.7896**	**0.7234**	**0.7551**	**0.8197**

Table 2: Performance comparison of different Models. Precision, recall and F1-Score are for the *clickbait class*. The models that used BanglaClickBERT have shown consistent results than other models.

6.3.3 BanglaBERT / BanglaClickBERT and XLM-RoBERTa concatenation of the last layer + MLP

In this approach, the outputs from the last layers of BanglaBERT and XLM-RoBERTa are concatenated together. This allows the model to combine the representations learned by each transformer independently. The concatenated representations are then fed into an MLP (multi-layer perceptron) with fully connected layers before producing the final output, which is the prediction for the given task.

References

Iz Beltagy, Kyle Lo, and Arman Cohan. 2019. SciBERT: A pretrained language model for scientific text. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 3615–3620, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Abhik Bhattacharjee, Tahmid Hasan, Wasi Ahmad, Kazi Samin Mubasshir, Md Saiful Islam, Anindya Iqbal, M. Sohel Rahman, and Rifat Shahriyar. 2022. BanglaBERT: Language model pretraining and benchmarks for low-resource language understanding evaluation in Bangla. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: NAACL 2022*, pages 1318–1327, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.

S. Elizabeth Bird. 2008. *Tabloidization*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Pranav Biyani, Kostas Tsioutsiouliklis, and Jeffrey Blackmer. 2016. "8 amazing secrets for getting more clicks": Detecting clickbaits in news streams using article informality. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 30.

Mark Bronakowski, Mahmood Al-khassaweneh, and Ali Al Bataineh. 2023. Automatic detection of clickbait headlines using semantic analysis and machine learning techniques. *Applied Sciences*, 13(4).

Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Edouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020. Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8440–8451, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Staff Correspondent. 2022. Prothom alo at the top with 5 million readers. *Prothomalo*. [Online].

Niloy Farhan, Ishrat Tasnim Awishi, Md Humaion Kabir Mehedi, MD. Mustakin Alam, and Annajiat Alim Rasel. 2023. Ensemble of gated recurrent unit and convolutional neural network for sarcasm detection in bangla. In *2023 IEEE 13th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC)*, pages 0624–0629.

Sura Genç and Elif Surer. 2021. Clickbaittr: Dataset for clickbait detection from turkish news sites and

social media with a comparative analysis via machine learning algorithms. *Journal of Information Science*, 49:480 – 499.

Suchin Gururangan, Ana Marasović, Swabha Swayamdipta, Kyle Lo, Iz Beltagy, Doug Downey, and Noah A. Smith. 2020. Don't stop pretraining: Adapt language models to domains and tasks. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8342–8360, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Md Zobaer Hossain, Md Ashraful Rahman, Md Saiful Islam, and Sudipta Kar. 2020. BanFakeNews: A dataset for detecting fake news in Bangla. In *Proceedings of the Twelfth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 2862–2871, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.

Vijayasaradhi Indurthi, Bakhtiyar Syed, Manish Gupta, and Vasudeva Varma. 2020. Predicting clickbait strength in online social media. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 4835–4846, Barcelona, Spain (Online). International Committee on Computational Linguistics.

Md Saroar Jahan, Mainul Haque, Nabil Arhab, and Mourad Oussalah. 2022. BanglaHateBERT: BERT for abusive language detection in Bengali. In *Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Resources and Techniques for User Information in Abusive Language Analysis*, pages 8–15, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.

Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach.

Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. 2019. Decoupled weight decay regularization.

Motahar Mahtab, Monirul Haque, and Mehedi Hasan. 2023. Banglabait: using transformers, neural networks & statistical classifiers to detect clickbaits in new bangla clickbait dataset. Brac University.

Mahmud Hasan Munna and Md Shakhawat Hossen. 2021. Identification of clickbait in video sharing platforms.

Supavich Fone Pengnate, Jeffrey Chen, and Alex Young. 2021. Effects of clickbait headlines on user responses: An empirical investigation. *Journal of International Technology and Information Management*, 30(3):1.

Martin Potthast, Tim Gollub, Matthias Hagen, and Benno Stein. 2018a. The clickbait challenge 2017: Towards a regression model for clickbait strength. *CoRR*, abs/1812.10847.

Martin Potthast, Tim Gollub, Matti Wiegmann, Benno Stein, Matthias Hagen, Kristof Komlossy, Sebstian Schuster, and Erika P. Garces Fernandez. 2018b. Webis clickbait corpus 2017 (webis-clickbait-17).

Martin Potthast, Sebastian Köpsel, Benno Stein, and Matthias Hagen. 2016. Clickbait detection. volume 9626, pages 810–817.

Abdul Razaque, Bandar Alotaibi, Munif Alotaibi, Shujaat Hussain, Aziz Alotaibi, and Vladimir Jotsov. 2022. Clickbait detection using deep recurrent neural network. *Applied Sciences*, 12(1).

Md Main Uddin Rony, Naeemul Hassan, and Mohammad Yousuf. 2017. Diving deep into clickbaits: Who use them to what extents in which topics with what effects? In *Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining 2017*, ASONAM '17, page 232–239, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.

Sagor Sarker. 2021. Bnlp: Natural language processing toolkit for bengali language.

Philippe Thomas. 2017. Clickbait identification using neural networks.

Yiwei Zhou. 2017. Clickbait detection in tweets using self-attentive network.