Basic Info

Title: US Mass Shootings (1966-2017)

Team :

Junior Rojas u1114542 u1114542@utah.edu Saman Sepehri Nejad u1113773 s.sepehrinejad@utah.edu **Github**: https://github.com/samansepehri/US-Mass-Shootings

• Background and Motivation

In last 50 years, the United States has had over 300 mass shootings that resulted in over 1900 deaths and over 2400 injured. The death tolls and places are different in every case, and the most recent mass shooting in Las Vegas had more than 500 victims, a very tragic incident with an uncommonly high number of victims. We believe that knowing more about the details of these incidents is of interest to many people like ourselves, who are currently living in the United States.

Project Objectives

We would like to get some insights about common patterns in past US mass shootings. In particular, some interesting questions we would like to answer and some quantities and patterns we would like to show include:

- Number of people killed and injured per year
- Visualize the location of the incidents on a US map
- Are these incidents more common in some states than others?
- Is there a correlation between number of people killed and injured in an incident?
- Are these incidents more common in certain months or days of the week?

Data

Our main data source is www.kaggle.com, which provides a CSV file with information of US mass shootings since 1966.

URL: https://www.kaggle.com/zusmani/us-mass-shootings-last-50-years/data

Data Processing

The data requires some processing to be used in our visualization. There is no "state" column in the data set, but it can be extracted from the "location". There is no longitude and latitude in the dataset, but we can get the city where the mass-shooting happened, so that we can use that to locate positions on map. The "date" column is a simple string, which is not appropriate for certain comparisons and analyses we want to do. We will extract the year, month and date from this column. Since we also want to analyze patterns about days of the week, we will need to extend the data with the corresponding day of the week in which each incident happened. We also need certain

aggregate quantities, such as number of victims per state and total number of victims per year, which are not directly included in the data set. We will either pre-compute some of these values or compute them on the fly with JavaScript.

• Visualization Design


We guide our design by the general rule "overview first, zoom and filter, then details on demand". The following sections describe some proposals to achieve an effective visualization following this idea.

Overview by year and location

We propose that the initial state of the visualization show a bar chart with the total number of incidents per year. The years are selectable. When the user selects a year from the bar chart, the visualization is updated with a map that shows more information about the selected year. Allowing the user to select more than one year might also be a useful addition.

We propose two options for the map:


- A. A tile map that shows each state and uses saturation as the channel to indicate the number of incidents.
- B. A more traditional US map that shows the exact location of the incidents.



We believe both map options are valuable in different cases. Map A is useful for a quick overview of the number of incidents per state. Map B shows more detailed information of the locations and allows nice zoom and filtering, which is especially useful if most incidents

happened in the same state. One important thing to note is that some incidents in our data set do not have exact location information available, so for some years in which exact location information is scarce, Map A might be more appropriate.

Another interesting alternative for an overview of the data per year is a bar chart of the number of victims, where each bar has two components: injured and killed, as shown in the following chart. In some cases, visualizing the total number of victims per year provides interesting insights that are absent in a chart of total number of incidents. For example, one single incident in 2017 had more than 500 victims, and the number of victims per year is often less than 50.




Filtering and details

Each map alternative proposed in the previous section provides different ways to filter the data and get more information about specific incidents.

Map A: The user can select states from the tile map, which in turn displays a table of detailed information of the incidents in the selected states.


AK					Hospitarill				-			
		4		3				0.0	o propi	C COL	اعدد ۱۹۵	
	TWA	ID	MT	ND	1					MA 3		
	OR	NA	MY								- printyo	
20 0	XAX 2	UT	Co	and is	in C	837				7.97.412	10 90M 3	
79 130		AZ	NM			1		to	ID AT SIDE	The state of the state of	uda? Viva	
				OK	LA	M2	AL	GA				
100	HI			TX	11				FL			
Selecte	on St	ntes:	CA	MA	1							
title	1	Location	1	in and		Day	4		Portalities	Injured	001	
title 1	44 5	Son Bernardino, Calfornia				01/01/2016			1	5		
title 1		Son Bernardino, California				02/02/2016			2	4	1/2 1/10	
title 3	9	Boston, Mossachusetts					120	16	37	3/	200	
title 4		chelsia		04/04/2016			4	2	Amolia			
			Mossachusetts			05/05/2016			5			

Map B: This map uses circles as marks for each incident. The size of the circle can be used as a channel to encode a relevant criterion such as number of victims, and the position of the circle can be used as a channel to encode the city where the incident happened. When the user points to a circle, a tooltip with detailed information is shown.




Comparison of changes over time in different states

We also want to get some insights about changes over time for different states. One alternative to achieve that is with a graph like the following, which shows the percentage of incidents in different years for different states. Other quantities of interest that could use the same type of graph are the number of people injured, killed and total victims. This type of graph is useful for our data because in some cases, plotting absolute values is not be the best choice. For example, one incident in 2017 had 585 victims, and the number of victims in one year is often less than 50. Comparing percentages is more appropriate for cases like this.




Correlation killed - injured

It would also be interesting to get some insights about correlations between number of people injured and killed in each incident, for which we propose a scatter plot. As shown in the following plot, each point corresponds to an incident and the color encodes the total number of victims. A tooltip is also available when the user points to an incident.



Patterns per month and day of the week


To analyze if some months or days of the week are more common for these incidents, we propose two alternatives shown in the following figures. One alternative is to show circles of different size (proportional to the number of incidents) for each combination of year - month and year - day of the week (the graph only shows months, but the same design applies to days of the week) in a grid-like arrangement. The other alternative is a bar chart by month (and day of the week), which provides a more concise representation, but the information per year is lost.



Proposed interactive visualization incorporating previous designs

The initial state of the visualization is an overview bar chart by years. The next level is to display a map for the selected years. The next level allows the user even more filtering, depending on the type of map (option A or B).

In addition, the visualization displays a comparison between different states and a scatter plot of injured - killed. The visualizations of frequency per month and day of the week are not shown in the following figure due to space limitations, but it should also be included in some part of the layout.



Must-have features

- Overview bar chart per year (either number of incidents or number of victims)
- At least one map from the proposed options
- The map must be updated to show information about the selected year
- Table of incidents
- At least one graph that compares some quantity (such as incidents or victims) between states.
- Scatter plot killed injured
- Visualization of frequency by month and day of the week (at least one of the proposed options)

Optional features

- Allow the user switch between number of incidents and number of victims in the overview bar chart
- Allow the user switch between different map options (tile map and geographical map) or show them side to side
- Allow the user select more than one year
- Allow the user switch between different comparisons (such as incidents or victims) between states
- Allow the user rearrange the layout
- Make the table of incidents sortable by column
- Allow the user switch between different visualization alternatives for frequency by month and day of the week.

• Project Schedule

Action Points	Oct	Nov	Dec			
Action Folias	Oct 28	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Dec 1
Project Proposal						
Data processing						
Bar chart by year						
Table of incidents						
Мар						
Project milestone						
Comparison between states						
Frequency by month and day						
Scatter plot						
Tweak interactivity						
Optional features						
Final submission						