

versus placebo (23% vs 42%,  $p = 0.016$ ). In the P-SUMMIT 1 and 2 trials<sup>16,19</sup>, using the PsA-modified MASES score, differences between mean enthesitis scores at 24 weeks were statistically significant only in the P-SUMMIT 1 trial for the ustekinumab 90-mg group and for the combined ustekinumab group versus placebo, respectively. In the P-SUMMIT 1 trial, effect size was  $-0.3$  (95% CI  $-0.5, -0.1$ ) for ustekinumab 90 mg, not significant for 45 mg [ $-0.19$  (95% CI  $-0.4, 0$ )], and  $-0.25$  (95% CI  $-0.4, -0.1$ ) for the ustekinumab arms combined. In the P-SUMMIT 2 trial, which mainly included participants previously treated with anti-TNF agents ( $> 60\%$ ), effect size was not different than 0 [ $-0.24$  (95% CI  $-0.5, 0.3$ ) for ustekinumab 90 mg;  $-0.19$  (95% CI  $-0.5, 0.1$ ) for ustekinumab 45 mg; and  $-0.22$  (95% CI  $-0.5, 0.1$ ) for the ustekinumab arms combined].

At 24 weeks, percentages of patients with enthesitis as determined by PsA-modified MASES were statistically significantly smaller for ustekinumab versus placebo in both P-SUMMIT trials (percentage of patients with enthesitis in P-SUMMIT1: ustekinumab 90 mg: 61%; ustekinumab 45 mg: 69%; placebo: 81%,  $p$  values: ustekinumab vs placebo 0.0002 and 0.0179, respectively; in P-SUMMIT2: ustekinumab 90 mg: 70%; ustekinumab 45 mg: 76%; placebo: 88%,  $p$  values ustekinumab vs placebo  $< 0.01$  and  $< 0.05$ , respectively).

*Apremilast.* In the apremilast trial<sup>18</sup>, mean enthesitis change score on the MASES index at 24 weeks was statistically significantly in favor of apremilast 30 mg (twice daily) versus placebo [effect size  $-0.3$  (95% CI  $-0.5, -0.1$ )]. Mean change score was not significant versus placebo in the apremilast 20 mg arm.

*Glucocorticoid injections.* A recent systematic review and metaanalysis of controlled studies of local glucocorticoid injections in tendinopathy (not limited to enthesitis) found impaired tendon healing (necrosis, collagen fiber disorganization) and decreased mechanical properties<sup>22</sup>. Limitations of the metaanalysis included heterogeneity of glucocorticoid substances used across studies (dexamethasone, triamcinolone, methylprednisolone, hydrocortisone, and various combinations of these); heterogeneity in sites injected across studies (Achilles/shoulder/forearm/peroneal/patellar tendons); and no information was collected on the exact injection techniques.

### Effectiveness of Various Agents for Enthesitis in PsA (level of evidence).

- Effective (1b): Infliximab; golimumab; certolizumab; ustekinumab; apremilast (30 mg twice daily).
- Not effective (1b): Sulfasalazine (2 g daily).
- Not adequately studied: Adalimumab; other disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (including methotrexate); nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs; physiotherapy.

- Not studied in PsA enthesitis: Local glucocorticoid injections.
- Associated with worse outcomes: Glucocorticoid injections in tendinopathy (2a).

## DISCUSSION

Although the LEI, the PsA-modified MASES, and the MASES showed responsiveness to change in clinical trials, establishing a minimal clinically important difference and selecting a single enthesitis instrument are the next critical steps required to consistently measure enthesitis outcomes. Additionally, understanding efficacy of various agents is challenging in the absence of head-to-head randomized clinical trials.

Individual anti-TNF agents have shown effectiveness for enthesitis, with moderate treatment effect size for golimumab and certolizumab<sup>23</sup> and significant percentage improvement for infliximab; the exceptions are etanercept and adalimumab, for which evidence is inconclusive due to limitations of study design: no placebo arm and inadequate sample size (exploratory endpoint), respectively; and severe limitations of the scoring measure used (poor responsiveness and inter-rater reliability of the IMPACT Index)<sup>5,24</sup>. We can conclude based on high quality clinical trial data available for infliximab, golimumab, and certolizumab that anti-TNF agents are effective for enthesitis as a class, which is expected based on the pathophysiology of enthesial inflammation where TNF plays a central role<sup>2</sup>.

In addition to anti-TNF agents, ustekinumab and apremilast are also effective for enthesitis in PsA, based on limited high quality clinical trial data. These findings underscore a potential role for interleukin 12 (IL-12), IL-23, and IL-17, as well as for other upstream key molecules such as anti-phosphodiesterase 4, suggesting these pathways may be involved in the pathogenesis of enthesitis.

In conclusion, high quality data from clinical trials are now available to support efficacy of anti-TNF agents, ustekinumab, and apremilast for enthesitis in PsA.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Janssen Pharmaceuticals for making data available for effect size calculations for ustekinumab and apremilast. We thank Linda Melvin, BA, for editorial assistance.

## REFERENCES

1. Sakkas LI, Alexiou I, Simopoulou T, Vlychou M. Enthesitis in psoriatic arthritis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2013;43:325-34.
2. Ritchlin CT. Pathogenesis of psoriatic arthritis. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2005;17:406-12.
3. Aydin SZ, Ash ZR, Tinazzi I, Castillo-Gallego C, Kwok C, Wilson C, et al. The link between enthesitis and arthritis in psoriatic arthritis: A switch to a vascular phenotype at insertions may play a role in arthritis development. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2013;72:992-5.
4. Smolen JS, Braun J, Dougados M, Emery P, Fitzgerald O, Helliwell P, et al. Treating spondyloarthritis, including ankylosing spondylitis and psoriatic arthritis, to target: Recommendations of an international task force. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:6-16.