survey hw 3

nxr8dq Sankalpa Banjade: Collaborators: Grady Hollar vhe5ak

September 2023

1 HW Due on 9/20

1.1 Exercises List: 6.4.2, 6.4.3, 8.1.5, 8.3.2, 8.3.3, 8.3.4, 8.3.5, 8.3.6(2).

Fix that $a,b,c\in Z$ with a and b being coprime and satisfying $a\mid c$ and $b\mid c$ Prove that $ab\mid c$

- (1) **6.4.2** Firstly we already have that a|c and b|c. So we have c=ak and that c=bl for some $k,l\in\mathbb{Z}$. Also from the given information we have gcd(a,b)=1 since a and b are coprime. We fix $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$ to satisfy the equation a*x+b*y=1. From there we multiply each side of the equation by c which results in c*a*x+b*y*c=c. Now we substitute c=ak and c=bl which results in bl*a*x+ak*y*b=c. Then we utilise the distributive property of integers which results in ab(lx+yk)=c. Since we have fixed that $l,x,y,k\in\mathbb{Z}$ that means that (lx+yk) is equal to some integer w. Utilising the definition of a divisor we have completed the proof since abw=c with w being an integer means that ab|c.
- (2) **6.4.3** Let a = 298 and b = 38. We use the Euclidean algorithm to compute gcd(a, b) and to find $u, v \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that gcd(a, b) = au + bv

$$gcd(298, 38)$$

$$298 = 38 \cdot (7) + 24$$

$$38 = 24 \cdot (1) + 14$$

$$24 = 14 \cdot 1 + 10$$

$$14 = 10 \cdot 1 + 4$$

$$10 = 4 \cdot 2 + 2$$

$$4 = 2 \cdot 2$$

$$gcd(298, 38) = 2$$

$$2 = 298 \cdot u + 38 * v$$

We must iterate backwards through the euclidean algorithm

$$2 = 32 - 6 \cdot 5$$

$$2 = 32 - (38 - 32 \cdot 1) \cdot 5$$

$$2 = (32 - (38 - (298 - 38 \cdot 7) \cdot 1) \cdot 5)$$

$$2 = (298 - 38 \cdot 7) - (38 - (298 - 38 \cdot 7) \cdot 1) \cdot 5)$$

$$2 = 298 \cdot 6 + 38 \cdot (-47)$$

We have found that u = 6 and v = -47.

8.1.5

Proof. Prove that $x^2 \equiv 0$ or 1 (mod 3) for all $x \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Let $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ be an integer. By the division algorithm we have that x = 3q + r for some $q.r \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $0 \le r < 3$. Since we have that $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have three choices of r, r = 0, r = 1 and r = 2.

Case when r = 0

Plug r into the equation : x = 3q + 0Additive property of zero: x = 3qSquare both sides $x^2 = (3q)^2$

Which is also: $x^2 = 3(3q^2)$ By the definition of divisor we have $3|x^2$, or equivalently $3|x^2 - 0$. By the definition of congruence, this gives us $x^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

Case when r=1

Plug r into the equation : x = 3q + 1Square both sides $x^2 = 9q^2 + 6q + 1$ Which is also: $x^2 - 1 = 3(3q^2 + 2q)$

By the definition of divisor we have $3|x^2-1$, By the definition of congruence, this gives us $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

Case when r=2

Plug r into the equation : x = 3q + 2Square both sides $x^2 = 9q^2 + 12q + 4$

When we subtract one from both sides and pull out the factor of 3 on the RHS we get $x^2 - 1 = 3(3q^2 + 4q + 1)$

By the definition of divisor we have $3|x^2-1$, By the definition of congruence, this gives us $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

So in all cases we have that $x^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ or $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

(2) Use (1) to prove that $a^2 - 3b^2 = 2$ has no integer solutions. We proceed by contradiction,

Let $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ be integers and suppose that $a^2 - 3b^2 = 2$ has integer solutions. By (1) we have that

$$a^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad \text{or} \quad a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \quad \text{and} \ b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad \text{or} \quad b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

By theorem 8.1.3, we can multiply both sides of the congruence by 3 giving

$$a^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$
 or $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $3b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ or $3b^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{3}$

Thus we have 4 separate cases for this problem

(1) When $a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $3b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ By theorem 8.1.4 we have

$$a^{2} - 3b^{2} \equiv 0 - 0 \pmod{3}$$

$$a^{2} - 3b^{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

$$2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

$$3 \mid 2 - 0$$

$$3 \mid 2$$

However since 3 does not divide 2 this case is false giving us a contradiction (2) When $a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $3b^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{3}$ By theorem 8.1.4 we have

$$a^2 - 3b^2 \equiv 0 - 3 \pmod{3}$$
$$a^2 - 3b^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{3}$$
$$2 \equiv -3 \pmod{3}$$
$$3 \mid 2 - (-3)$$
$$3 \mid 5$$

However since 3 does not divide 5 this case is false giving us a contradiction (3) When $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $3b^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. By theorem 8.1.4

$$a^{2} - 3b^{2} \equiv 1 - 0 \pmod{3}$$
$$2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$
$$3 \mid 2 - 1$$
$$3 \mid 1$$

However since 3 does not divide 1 this case is false giving us a contradiction (4) When $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $3b^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{3}$. By theorem 8.1.4

$$a^2 - 3b^2 \equiv 1 - 3 \pmod{3}$$
$$2 \equiv -2 \pmod{3}$$
$$3 \mid 2 - (-2)$$
$$3 \mid 4$$

However since 3 does not divide 4 this case is false giving us a contradiction Since we have shown that all four cases we considered lead to contradictions, we must conclude that there are no integer solutions to the equation $a^2 - 3b^2 = 2$ when a and b are integers. Therefore, the original statement has been proven to be true.

8.3.2 Let p be a prime and 0 < k < p. Prove that $p \mid \binom{p}{k}$

Proof. Let p be a prime and 0 < k < p. Prove that $p \mid \binom{p}{k}$ So using factorials we have

$$\binom{p}{k} = \frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!} \tag{1}$$

(2)

Which can also be represented as

$$p! = k!(p-k)! \binom{p}{k} \tag{3}$$

(4)

It is is evident that $p \mid p!$ since $p! = p \cdot (p-1) \cdot (p-2) \cdot (p-3) \dots \cdot 1$. From this we can conclude that $p \mid k!(p-k)!\binom{p}{k}$. From the general version of Euclid's lemma it must be true that either $p \mid k!, p \mid (p-k)!$ or $p \mid \binom{p}{k}$. If we show that the first two cases are impossible it must be the case that $p \mid \binom{p}{k}$.

Case 1: Assume that $p \mid k!$. Then $p \mid k \cdot (k-1) \cdot (k-2) \cdot (k-3) \dots \cdot 1$ Since p is a prime number, we know that the factors of p are only 1 and itself. We can assume from the general version of Euclid's lemma that p must divide one of the terms of k!. However since k p \mid k! must be a contradiction as p is bigger than k so there is no factor of k! that would make $p \mid k!$ true.

Case 2: Suppose $p \mid (p-k)!$ we know that any factor of (p-k)! must be less than p, Which gives us the same logic from case 1, since all the factors of (p-k)! is less than p and p is a prime number with factors only being 1 and itself then $p \mid (p-k)!$ is false.

Since both cases reach a contradiction we must assume that $p \mid \binom{p}{k}$ is true.

8.3.3 Fix a prime p Prove the following.

(1) (Freshman's dream) Given integers, a,b $\in \mathbb{Z}$ we $(a+b)^p \equiv a^p + b^p \pmod{p}$

Proof. Let $a,b \in \mathbf{Z}$ and p be a prime from the binomial theorem we have that $(a+b)^p = \binom{p}{0}a^p + \binom{p}{1}a^{p-1}b^1 + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1}a^1b^{p-1} + \binom{p}{p}b^p$ We then subtract the quantity (a^p+b^p) from both sides leaving us with $(a+b)^p - (a^p+b^p) = \binom{p}{0}a^p + \binom{p}{1}a^{p-1}b^1 + \dots + \binom{p}{p-1}a^1b^{p-1} + \binom{p}{p}b^p - (a^p+b^p)$. Due to the additive inverse property this equation becomes $(a+b)^p - (a^p+b^p) = \binom{p}{1}a^{p-1}b + \binom{p}{2}a^{p-2}b^2 + \dots + \binom{p}{p-2}a^2b^{p-1} + \binom{p}{p-1}a^1b^p$ From excercise 1 we have that $p \mid \binom{p}{k}$ for as long as 0 < k < p so by the definition of a divisor we have.

$$p \mid \binom{p}{k} a^{p-k} b^k$$

$$p \mid \binom{p}{1} a^{p-1} b + \binom{p}{2} a^{p-2} b^2 + \dots + \binom{p}{p-2} a^2 b^{p-1} + \binom{p}{p-1} a^1 b^{p-1}$$

$$p \mid (a+b)^p - (a^p + b^p) \text{ (Substitution)}$$
So by the definition of congruence we have $(a+b)^p \equiv a^p + b^p \pmod{p}$

(2) (Fermat's Little Theorem). For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $n^p \equiv n \pmod{p}$

Proof. We assume p to be prime and we proceed by induction n. Our base case when n=0, it is obvious that,

$$0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \tag{5}$$

$$0^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \tag{6}$$

Now for some $k \in \mathbf{N}k^p \equiv k \pmod{p}$ We have the following

From our inductive hypothesis we have that $k^p \equiv k \pmod{p}$

By theorem 8.1.3 we add 1 to both sides to get $k^p + 1 \equiv k + 1$. Since 1 to any power is 1 we can raise it to the power p and the relation still holds true. $k^p + 1^p \equiv k + 1 \pmod{p}$ we then have from part 1 that $(k+1)^p \equiv k + 1 \pmod{p}$ so by induction we have that $n^p \equiv n \pmod{p}$ For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

(3) (Fermat's little theorem). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $n^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Proof. Given that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and p is a prime Since p is also $\in \mathbb{N}$ we have two cases which are when n = p and when $n \neq p$.

Case 1: since n = p it is trivially true that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$

Case 2: since $n \neq p$ that n and p are coprime.

Utilise Question 2, For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $n^p \equiv n \pmod{p}$

We can rewrite the LHS as $n \cdot n^{p-1}$ and the RHS as $n \cdot 1 \pmod{p}$

We then utilise the cancellation law on a = n to get $n^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

Thus For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $n^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Hint You will use the previous exercises and the binomial theorem. For (2) you'll want to induct on n.

8.3.4

Find all solutions to each of the following congruence's

 $(1) 5x \equiv 2 \pmod{107}$

 $5x \equiv 2 + 428 \pmod{107}$

 $5x \equiv 430 \pmod{107}$

Applying cancellation law when a = 5 we have

 $ax = a * 86 \pmod{107}$

Then cancel a from both sides $x \equiv 86 \pmod{107}$ so x = 107k + 86

(2) $3x \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$

We can rewrite this as $3x - 6 \equiv 12k$

We then add 6 to both sides to get $3x \equiv 12k + 6$

Apply cancellation law when a = 3 to get $x \equiv 4k + 2$

 $(3) \ 3x \equiv 1 \ (\text{mod } 12)$

This relation has no solutions, to prove this we proceed by contradiction so lets assume that $3x \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ has an integer solution x. By the definition

of congruence this equation turns into $3x-1\equiv 12k$ For some $k\in \mathbb{Z}$ Then when we divide both sides by 3 we get $x-\frac{1}{3}=4k$ which makes our contradiction false as $x-\frac{1}{3}$ is not an integer and the term 4k can only be an integer.

8.3.5 Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Prove that $am \equiv bm \pmod{nm}$ if and only if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$. **Proof** Suppose $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ By definition then for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the equation $a \equiv b + nk$ is satisfied **Part 1** We multiply both sides by m which results in $am \equiv bm + nkm$. By definition of congruence we have $am \equiv bm \pmod{nm}$. Which must mean that am - bm = knm. Pulling out the m we have $m(a - b) \equiv knm$. Utilising the cancellation law we can eliminate the m to get $(a - b) \equiv kn$. Which by definition of congruence is $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ **Part 2** Now to prove the other side of the if and only if statement, $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ if and only if $am \equiv bm \pmod{nm}$. Assuming that $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ we can rewrite this using Definition 8.1.1, that a - b = nk for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We now multiply and distribute m on both sides to get the equation ma - mb = mnk. By definition this means that nm divides ma - mb. Which by definition 8.1.1 $am \equiv bm \pmod{nm}$

8.3.6(2) Fix $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$. Suppose that a, b are nonnegative. Is $k^a \equiv k^b \pmod{n}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$? Prove or disprove. We will disprove this with a counterexample, let n = 3, a = 5, and b = 2, This satisfies the condition that $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ as $5 = 2 + 3 \cdot 1$ We will consider k = 2 for our k, since we only have to show for one k that k^a does not divide $k^b \pmod{n}$ or by definition 8.1.3 that $k^a \pmod{k}$ for the $k^a \pmod{k}$ for the $k^a \pmod{k}$ definition 8.1.3 that $k^a \pmod{k}$ for the $k^a \pmod{k}$ for $k^b \pmod{$

However since 3 does not divide 28 we have that it is not the case that $k^a \equiv k^b \pmod{n}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$