Log In

Q

Licensing:Main

Licensing

Discussion

History

View source

View

Author: Tom 'spot' Callaway (based on many other documents, correspondence with the FSF)

Revision: 2.52

Initial Draft: Wednesday May 30, 2007 **Last Revised:** Friday January 10, 2020

Contents [hide]

- 1 Overview
- 2 Package Licensing Guidelines
- 3 Discussion of Licensing
- 4 License Changes
- 5 License of Fedora SPEC Files
- 6 Software Types
 - 6.1 Shareware
 - 6.2 Patented Software
 - 6.3 Emulators
 - 6.4 QEMU ROMs
 - 6.5 Binary Firmware
- 7 Frequently Asked Questions
- 8 Software License List
 - 8.1 Good Licenses
 - 8.2 Bad Licenses
 - 8.3 Unknown Licenses
 - 8.4 GPL Compatibility Matrix
- 9 Documentation Licenses
 - 9.1 Good Licenses
 - 9.2 Bad Licenses
- 10 Content Licenses
 - 10.1 Good Licenses
 - 10.2 Bad Licenses
- 11 Font Licenses
 - 11.1 Good Licenses





Overview

The goal of the Fedora Project is to work with the Linux community to create a complete, general purpose operating system exclusively from Free and Open Source software.

All software in Fedora must be under licenses in the Fedora licensing list. This list is based on the licenses approved by the Free Software Foundation 4, OSI 4 and consultation with Red Hat Legal.

In addition, all acceptable licenses for Fedora (including copyright, trademark, and patent licenses) must be applicable not only to Red Hat or Fedora, but also to all recipients downstream. This means that any "Fedora-only" licenses, or licenses with specific terms that Red Hat or Fedora meets but that other recipients would not are not acceptable (and almost certainly non-free, as a result).

If code is multiple licensed, and at least one of the licenses is approved for Fedora, that code can be included in Fedora under the approved license(s) (but only under the terms of the approved license(s)).



Package Licensing Guidelines

Fedora has a separate set of Licensing Guidelines ™ which describe, in detail, how to note the license of a package in the RPM Spec file.



Discussion of Licensing

Discussion of Licensing in Fedora (along with any other Fedora legal items which are not considered confidential) takes place on the legal@lists.fedoraproject.org mailing list. The list is open to any interested subscribers, but is moderated such that only subscribers may directly post.

You can subscribe to the mailing list and view the archives here: https://admin.fedoraproject.org/mailman/listinfo/legal ☑

Keep in mind that the legal@ mailing list is not the place to send any correspondence of a confidential nature, nor is it a source for legal advice. You should not assume that any member of the legal@ mailing list is a lawyer.



License Changes

A license change in a package is a very serious event - it has as many, if not more, implications for related packages as ABI changes do.

Therefore, if your package changes license, even if it just changes the license version, it is required that you announce it on fedora-devel-list.

Note that any license change to a more restrictive license or license version may affect the legality of portions of Fedora as a whole; ergo, FESCo reserves the right to block upgrades of packages to versions with new licenses to ensure the legal distribution of Fedora.

Please contact FESCo if you have any questions.



All original Fedora contributions are governed by the Fedora Project Contributor Agreement (FPCA). This means that unless a spec file contains an explicit license attribution within it, it is available under the terms of the MIT license.

Since every Fedora SPEC file is contributed by FPCA signers, every Fedora SPEC is available under these license terms (unless otherwise explicitly licensed).

Software Types

There are some specific software types worth mentioning from a licensing/legal perspective.

Shareware

Shareware applications are not Open Source code, and are not acceptable for Fedora.

However, it is worth noting that some non-executable content exists that is required to make Open Source applications functional. An example of this would be open sourced game engines, such as Doom, Heretic, and Descent. These game engines come with freely distributable shareware gamedata files.

In this case, the gamedata files can be packaged and included in Fedora, as long as the files meet the requirements for binary firmware .

Patented Software

If a package contains code covered by known patents, then you should seek a written patent grant (and include that grant inside the package) before submitting it for Fedora. This is especially important for GPL/LGPL licensed packages, because of the following clause (from GPLv2):

For example, if a patent license would not permit royalty-free redistribution of the Program by all those who receive copies directly or indirectly through you, then the only way you could satisfy both it and this License would be to refrain entirely from distribution of the Program.

It isn't safe to assume that the patent holder permits royalty-free redistribution, you need to get it in writing.

Emulators

Some emulators (applications which emulate another platform) are not permitted for inclusion in Fedora. These rules will help you determine if an emulator is acceptable for Fedora.

• Emulators which depend on firmware or ROM files to function may not be included in Fedora, unless the copyright holder(s) for the firmware/ROM files give clear permission for the firmware/ROM files to be distributed (either under a Fedora permissible license or under the Fedora firmware exception criteria). Note: This only covers the situation where an emulator will not run at all without firmware/ROM files. For example, emulators that compile and run, but ship with no game ROMs are not covered by this rule.

- Emulators must not ship with any ROM files (e.g. games) unless those ROM files are available under a Fedora permissible license and have been built from source code in the Fedora buildsystem.
- Emulators must not point to any third-party sites which provide firmware or ROM files that are distributed without the clear and explicit permission of their copyright holders.
- All other Fedora licensing and packaging rules apply to emulators.

P QEMU ROMs

Whenever possible, ROMS implementing BIOS or Firmware for QEMU system targets must be built from source on the intended architecture. However, in many situations, this is not practical or possible. As a special exception for those situations where it is not practical or possible, prebuilt binary ROMS implementing BIOS or Firmware for QEMU system targets may be included in Fedora Packages, as long as the corresponding source code is also included in the Source RPM package.

Binary Firmware

Some applications, drivers, and hardware require binary-only firmware to boot Fedora or function properly. Fedora permits inclusion of these files as long as they meet the following requirements:

Requirements:

- The files are non-executable within the Fedora OS context (note: this means that the files cannot run on their own, not that they are just chmod -x)
- The files are not libraries, within the Fedora OS context.
- The files are standalone, not embedded in executable or library code (within the Fedora OS context).
- The files must be necessary for the functionality of open source code being included in Fedora **OR** to enable Fedora to boot on a specific device, where no other reliable and supported mechanisms exist.
- The files are available under an acceptable firmware license, which is included with the files in the packaging.

The Fedora Project considers a firmware license acceptable if:

- it allows some form of royalty-free use, subject to restrictions that the Fedora Project has determined are acceptable for firmware licenses (see below), and
- it does not restrict redistribution in ways that would make a software license unacceptable under Fedora licensing guidelines, except by:
 - 1. requiring that the firmware be redistributed only as incorporated in the redistributor's product (or as a maintenance update for existing end users of the redistributor's product), possibly limited further to those products of the redistributor that support or contain the hardware associated with the licensed firmware; and
 - 2. requiring the redistributor to pass on or impose conditions on users that are no more restrictive than those authorized by this Fedora firmware licensing policy.

A non-exhaustive list of restrictions on use that Fedora considers acceptable for firmware licenses are:

- 1. any restrictions that are found in software licenses that are acceptable for Fedora;
- 2. prohibitions on modification;

- 3. prohibitions on reverse engineering, disassembly or decompilation;
- 4. restricting use to use in conjunction with the hardware associated with the firmware license.

If you are unsure whether or not your files meet these requirements, ask on fedora-devel-list, and we will examine them for you.

The License tag for any firmware that disallows modification must be set to: "Redistributable, no modification permitted"

Firmware packages must be named <foo>-firmware, where <foo> is the driver or other hardware component that the firmware is for. In cases of firmware used to boot Fedora on a device, <foo> must be the type of device(s) that the firmware is intended for (e.g. raspberrypi).



Frequently Asked Questions

Fedora has a Licensing FAQ → page, with frequently asked questions related to licensing (and answers!).



Software License List

These lists are not intended to be all inclusive, there are surely other licenses out there which are not categorized here. However, if a license of relevance to you is not listed here, please email the details to legal@lists.fedoraproject.org (note that this list is moderated, only members may directly post).



Here is a list of Software Licenses that are OK for Fedora. If your license is not in this list, and you'd like to know if it is appropriate for Fedora, please email the details to legal@lists.fedoraproject.org (note that this list is moderated, only members may directly post).

Full Name	Short Name		GPLv2	GPLv3	Upstream URL
		Free?	Compat?	Compat?	
3dfx Glide License	Glide	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.users.on.net/~triforce/glidexp/COPYING.txt ©
4Suite Copyright	ASL 1.1	Yes	NO	NO	http://4suite.org/COPYRIGHT 년
License	ASL 1.1	163	NO	NO	Inter.//4suite.org/corriding
Abstyles License	Abstyles	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Abstyles 점
Academic Free	AFL	Voc	NO	NO	http://oponcourse.org/liconcos/ofl 2.0 php 15
License	AFL	Yes	NO	NO	http://opensource.org/licenses/afl-3.0.php 댐
Academy of					
Motion Picture	AMPAS BSD	Voc	NO	NO	https://fod.orangoi.oct.org/wiki/Liconcing/PSD#AMDASPSDE
Arts and Sciences	AIVIPAS DSD	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/BSD#AMPASBSDII
BSD					
Adobe Systems	Adobe	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/AdobeLicense댐
Incorporated					
Source Code					

License			1		
Agreement					
Adoha Postscrint	APAFML	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/AdobePostscriptAFM 더
Adobe Glyph List License	MIT	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MIT#AdobeGlyph룝
Affero General Public License 1.0	AGPLv1	Yes	NO		http://www.affero.org/oagpl.html 嵒
Affero General Public License 3.0	AGPLv3	Yes	NO	Sortof₫	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/agpl-3.0.html 룝
Affero General Public License 3.0 or later	AGPLv3+	Yes	NO	Sortof⊡	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/agpl-3.0.html 댐
with Zarafa	AGPLv3 with exceptions	Yes	NO	Sortofଔ	http://www.zarafa.com/content/affero-gplv3명
Afmparse License	Afmparse	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Afmparseট
Services License	ADSL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/AmazonDigitalServicesLicense团
AMD's plpa_map.c License	AMDPLPA	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/AMD_plpa_map_License团
ANTLR Software Rights Notice	ANTLR-PD	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/ANTLR-PDII
Apache Software License 1.0	ASL 1.0	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-1.0년
Apache Software License 1.1	ASL 1.1	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-1.1년
Apache Software License 2.0	ASL 2.0	Yes	NO	Yes	http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0룝
App::s2p License	App-s2p	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/App-s2p ថ
Apple MIT License	AML	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Apple_MIT_License룝
Apple Public Source License 2.0	APSL 2.0	Yes	NO		http://www.opensource.apple.com/apsl/2.0.txt 년
Array Input Method Public License	Array	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/ArrayI
•					

Artistic (clarified)	Artistic clarified	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/ArtisticClarified 团
Artistic 2.0	Artistic 2.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.perlfoundation.org/artistic_license_2_0년
Aspell-ru License	ARL	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/AspellRu ☑
Attribution					
Assurance	AAL	Yes	NO	NO	http://opensource.org/licenses/attribution.php 룝
License					
Bahyph License	Bahyph	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Bahyph 🗗
Barr License	Barr	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Barr룝
Beerware License	Beerware	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Beerware룝
BeOpen Open					
Source License	BeOpen	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/BeOpen면
Agreement	Беореп	163	IVO	NO	Interpretation approject. or g/wiki/Electrishing/beopen in
Version 1					
		Yes			
Bibtex License	Bibtex	(but	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Bibtex룝
DIDICK LICENSE	Bibeex	only			inteps.//redoi/api/oject.org/wiki/Erechsing/bibtex=
		for tex)		
BitTorrent License	BitTorrent	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/BitTorrent_Open_Source_Licensed
Boost Software	Boost	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.boost.org/LICENSE_1_0.txt♂
License	50030	103		103	Theep.//www.boost.org/ EreENSE_1_o.cxca
Borceux license	Borceux	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Borceux댐
BSD + Patent	BSD-2-Clause-	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/BSD-2-Clause-Patent 년
	Patent	103	103	103	The parties of a project of grant a letter of the parties of the p
BSD License	BSD with	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/BSD#BSDwithAdvertising룝
(original)	advertising	103			The parties of aprojection grants recensing a source as the control of the contro
BSD with	BSD with	Yes			 https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/BSD_with_Attribution 데
attribution	attribution	103			The particular of certain grant and the certain grant gran
BSD License (no	BSD	Yes	Yes	Yes	 https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/BSD#3ClauseBSDIII
advertising)	555	103	163	103	The parties of a project of grant a project of grant and a project of grant a project of
BSD License (two	BSD	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/BSD#2ClauseBSD룝
clause)	555	103	163	103	The particular of ect. of granting is a particular and a
BSD Protection	BSD Protection	Yes	NO	NO	 https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/BSD_Protection_License
License	B3B 110tection	103	140	110	The particular of certain g, with Electroning, Bab_in otection_Electroca
Computer					
Associates					
Trusted Open	CATOSL	Yes	NO	NO	http://opensource.org/licenses/ca-tosl1.1.php 룝
Source License					
1.1					
CeCILL License	CeCILL	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.cecill.info/licences/Licence_CeCILL_V1.1-US.html

v1.1]
CeCILL License v2	CeCILL	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.cecill.info/licences.en.html댐
CeCILL-B License	CeCILL-B	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.cecill.info/licences.en.html댐
CeCILL-C License	CeCILL-C	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.cecill.info/licences.en.html댐
Celtx Public License (CePL)	Netscape	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.celtx.com/CePL/团
CMU License (BSD like)	MIT	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MIT 대
CNRI License (Old Python)	CNRI	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.handle.net/python_licenses/python1.6_9-5-00.html 년
Common Development Distribution License 1.0	CDDL-1.0	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/CDDL#CDDL_1.0 团
Common Development Distribution License 1.1	CDDL-1.1	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/CDDL#CDDL_1.1 团
Common Public License	CPL	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.eclipse.org/legal/cpl-v10.html댐
Condor Public License	Condor	Yes	NO		http://www.cs.wisc.edu/condor/condor-public-license.html 데
Copyright Attribution Only	Copyright only	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/CopyrightOnly뎐
CPAL License 1.0	CPAL	Yes	NO	NO	https://www.socialtext.net/open/index.cgi?cpal_license_in_wikitext룝
CP/M License	СРМ	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/CPM 댐
CRC32 License	CRC32	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/CRC32团
Creative Commons BSD	BSD	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BSD/댐
Creative Commons GNU GPL	GPLv2+	Yes	N/A	See Matrix	http://creativecommons.org/choose/cc-gpl댐
Creative Commons GNU LGPL	LGPLv2+	Yes	See Matrix	See Matrix	http://creativecommons.org/choose/cc-lgpl룝
Creative Commons Zero 1.0 Universal	CC0	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/legalcode 년
Crossword	Crossword	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Crossword 년

License					
Cryptix General License	BSD	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.cryptix.org/LICENSE.TXT II
Crystal Stacker License	Crystal Stacker	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/CrystalStacker 년
CUA Office Public License Version 1.0	MPLv1.1	Yes	Compatible if dual licensed with GPL, otherwise Incompatible		http://opensource.org/licenses/cuaoffice.php 룝
Cube License	Cube	Yes	No	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Cube룝
diffmark license	diffmark	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/diffmark룝
DO WHATEVER PUBLIC LICENSE	DWPL	Yes	No	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/DWPL团
Do What The F*ck You Want To Public License	WTFPL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/WTFPL咶
DOC License	DOC	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.cs.wustl.edu/~schmidt/ACE-copying.html 妤
Docbook MIT License	DMIT	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/DMIT룝
Dotseqn License	Dotseqn	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Dotseqn 룝
DSDP License	DSDP	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/DSDP댐
dvipdfm License	dvipdfm	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/dvipdfm룝
Eclipse Distribution License 1.0	BSD	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.eclipse.org/org/documents/edl-v10.php댐
Eclipse Public License 1.0	EPL-1.0	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.eclipse.org/legal/epl-v10.html团
Eclipse Public License 2.0	EPL-2.0	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.eclipse.org/legal/epl-v20.html团
eCos License v2.0	eCos	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/ecos-license.html ☑
Educational Community License 1.0	ECL 1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://opensource.org/licenses/ecl1.php 댐
License 2.0	ECL 2.0	Yes	NO	Yes	http://www.osedu.org/licenses/ECL-2.0/룝
eGenix.com Public License 1.1.0	eGenix	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/eGenix.com_Public_License_1.1.0 년

Eiffel Forum License 2.0	EFL 2.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/eiffel-forum-license-2.html 데
enna License	MIT	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MIT#enna룝
Enlightenment License (e16)	MIT with advertising	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MIT_With_Advertising 룝
Entessa Public License	Entessa	Yes	NO	NO	http://opensource.org/licenses/entessa.php 댐
EPICS Open License	EPICS	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.aps.anl.gov/epics/license/open.php 댐
Erlang Public License 1.1	ERPL	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/ErlangPublicLicense团
EU Datagrid Software License	EU Datagrid	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/eudatagrid.php 룝
European Union Public License 1.1	EUPL 1.1	Yes	Yes	NO	http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/7774.html ট
Eurosym License	Eurosym	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Eurosym댐
Fedora Directory Server License	GPLv2 with exceptions	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://directory.fedoraproject.org/wiki/GPL_Exception_License_Text면
Fair License	Fair	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://opensource.org/licenses/fair.php 댐
feh License	MIT	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MIT#feh 댐
FLTK License	LGPLv2 with exceptions	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.fltk.org/COPYING.php 룝
Fraunhofer FDK AAC License	FDK-AAC	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/FDK-AACI
Freelmage Public License	MPLv1.0	Yes	NO	NO	http://freeimage.sourceforge.net/freeimage-license.txt团
Freetype License	FTL	Yes	NO	Yes	http://freetype.fis.uniroma2.it/FTL.TXT 룝
FSF All Permissive license	FSFAP	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/FSFAP룝
FSF Unlimited License	FSFUL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/FSF_Unlimited_License团
FSF Unlimited License (with License Retention)	FSFULLR)	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/FSF_Unlimited_License#License_Retention_Variant 룝
Giftware License	Giftware	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.talula.demon.co.uk/allegro/license.html 룝
GL2PS License	GL2PS	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.geuz.org/gl2ps/COPYING.GL2PS댐
Glulxe License	Glulxe	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Glulxe妤
GNU General	GPL+	Yes	Yes	Yes	A GPL or LGPL licensed package that lacks any statement of what version that it's licensed
I	I	I	1	I	

Public License (no version)					under in the source code/program output/accompanying docs is technically licensed und *any* version of the GPL or LGPL, not just the version in whatever COPYING file they include.
GNU General Public License (no version), with Classpath exception	GPL+ with exceptions	Yes	Yes	Yes	A GPL or LGPL licensed package that lacks any statement of what version that it's licensed under in the source code/program output/accompanying docs is technically licensed und *any* version of the GPL or LGPL, not just the version in whatever COPYING file they include. And if they manage to grant the Classpath exception without specifying a version, you get this strange beast.
GNU General Public License (no version), with font embedding exception	GPL+ with exceptions	Yes	Yes	Yes	A GPL or LGPL licensed package that lacks any statement of what version that it's licensed under in the source code/program output/accompanying docs is technically licensed und *any* version of the GPL or LGPL, not just the version in whatever COPYING file they include. And if they manage to grant the font embedding exception without specifying a version, you get this strange beast.
GNU General Public License v1.0 only	GPLv1	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-1.0.txt团
GNU General Public License v1.0 or later	GPL+	Yes	Yes	Yes	Note that this is not GPLv1+, because 1+ is the same as any version.
GNU General Public License v2.0 only	GPLv2	Yes	See Matrix	See Matrix	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0.html 댐
GNU General Public License v2.0 only, with Classpath exception	GPLv2 with exceptions	Yes	Yes, if exception is used.	Yes, if exception is used.	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/GPL_Classpath_Exception댐
GNU General Public License v2.0 only, with font embedding exception	GPLv2 with exceptions	Yes	See Matrix	See Matrix	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-faq.html#FontException 룝
GNU General Public License v2.0 or later	GPLv2+	Yes	N/A	See Matrix	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/gpl-2.0.html덉
GNU General Public License v2.0 or later, with	GPLv2+ with exceptions	Yes	Yes, if exception is used.	Yes, if exception is used.	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/GPL_Classpath_Exception댐

Classpath exception					
GNU General Public License v2.0 or later, with font embedding exception	GPLv2+ with exceptions	Yes	N/A	See Matrix	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-faq.html#FontException团
GNU General Public License v3.0 only	GPLv3	Yes	See Matrix	N/A	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/gpl.html 단
GNU General Public License v3.0 only, with Classpath exception	GPLv3 with exceptions	Yes	Yes, if exception is used.	N/A	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/GPL_Classpath_Exception면
GNU General Public License v3.0 only, with font embedding exception	GPLv3 with exceptions	Yes	See Matrix	N/A	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-faq.html#FontException면
GNU General Public License v3.0 or later	GPLv3+	Yes	See Matrix	N/A	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/gpl.html탭
GNU General Public License v3.0 or later, with Classpath exception	GPLv3+ with exceptions	Yes	Yes, if exception is used.	N/A	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/GPL_Classpath_Exception 데
GNU General Public License v3.0 or later, with font embedding exception	GPLv3+ with exceptions	Yes	See Matrix	N/A	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-faq.html#FontException III
GNU Lesser General Public License (no version)	LGPLv2+	Yes	Yes	Yes	A GPL or LGPL licensed package that lacks any statement of what version that it's licensed under in the source code/program output/accompanying docs is technically licensed under *any* version of the GPL or LGPL, not just the version in whatever COPYING file they include. Note that this is LGPLv2+, not LGPL+, because version 2 was the first version of LGPL. Note that this license was originally referred to as the GNU Library General Public

					License v2, but all current versions (v2.1 or newer) of the license are correctly known as the GNU Lesser General Public License.
GNU Lesser General Public License v2 (or 2.1) only	LGPLv2	Yes	See Matrix	See Matrix	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/lgpl-2.1.html Note that this license was originally referred to as the GNU Library General Public License v2, but all current versions (v2.1 or newer) of the license are correctly known as the GNU Lesser General Public License
GNU Lesser General Public License v2 (or 2.1), with exceptions	LGPLv2 with exceptions	Yes	See Matrix	See Matrix	Please be sure that any exceptions are approved by emailing them to legal@lists.fedoraproject.org first.
GNU Lesser General Public License v2 (or 2.1) or later	LGPLv2+	Yes	See Matrix	See Matrix	http://www.gnu.org/licenses/old-licenses/lgpl-2.1.html댐
GNU Lesser General Public License v2 (or 2.1) or later, with exceptions	LGPLv2+ with exceptions	Yes	See Matrix	See Matrix	Please be sure that any exceptions are approved by emailing them to legal@lists.fedoraproject.org first.
GNU Lesser General Public License v3.0 only	LGPLv3	Yes	See Matrix	See Matrix	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/lgpl.html 대
GNU Lesser General Public License v3.0 only, with exceptions	LGPLv3 with exceptions	Yes	See Matrix	See Matrix	Please be sure that any exceptions are approved by emailing them to legal@lists.fedoraproject.org first.
GNU Lesser General Public License v3.0 or later	LGPLv3+	Yes	See Matrix	See Matrix	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/lgpl.html 룝
GNU Lesser General Public License v3.0 or later, with exceptions	LGPLv3+ with exceptions	Yes	See Matrix	See Matrix	Please be sure that any exceptions are approved by emailing them to legal@lists.fedoraproject.org first.
gnuplot License	gnuplot	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Gnuplot룝
Haskell Language Report License	HaskellReport	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Haskell_Language_Report_License댐
Henry Spencer	HSRL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Henry_Spencer_Reg-Ex_Library_License룝

Reg-Ex Library License					
License Historical					
Permission Notice	MIT	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://opensource.org/licenses/historical.php 댐
and Disclaimer		. 33			
IBM Public License	IBM	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/ibmpl.php 룝
iMatix Standard Function Library Agreement	iMatix	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://legacy.imatix.com/html/sfl/sfl4.htm#license团
lmageMagick License	ImageMagick	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.imagemagick.org/script/license.php 룝
Imlib2 License	lmlib2	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Imlib2댐
Independent JPEG Group License	IJG	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/IJG룝
Inner Net License	Inner-Net	Yes	See notes	See notes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Inner_Net_License团
Intel ACPI					
Software License Agreement	Intel ACPI	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Intel_ACPI_Software_License_Agreement댐
Interbase Public License	Interbase	Yes	NO		http://www.borland.com/devsupport/interbase/opensource/IPL.html III
ISC License (Bind, DHCP Server)	ISC	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.isc.org/downloads/software-support-policy/isc-license/댐
labber Open Source License	Jabber	Yes	NO		http://opensource.org/licenses/jabberpl.php댐
JasPer License	JasPer	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.ece.uvic.ca/~mdadams/jasper/LICENSE团
Python License (old)	JPython	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.jython.org/license.html 대
ulius License	Julius	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Julius댐
Knuth License	Knuth	Yes	NO	NO	http://tug.org/TUGboat/Articles/tb11-4/tb30knut.pdf 🗅
LaTeX Project Public License	LPPL	Yes	NO		http://www.latex-project.org/lppl/lppl-1-3a.txt년
Latex2e License	Latex2e	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Latex2er
Lawrence Berkeley National Labs BSD variant license	LBNL BSD	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/LBNLBSDII
LEGO Open Source License	LOSLA	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/LOSLA 团

Agreement					
Leptonica License	Leptonica	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Leptonica ☑
Lhcyr License	Lhcyr	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Lhcyrrថ
libtiff License	libtiff	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/libtiff룝
Lisp Library General Public License	LLGPL	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://opensource.franz.com/preamble.html III
Logica Open Source License	Logica	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://opensmpp.logica.com/CommonPart/Download/Download.htm댐
Lucent Public License (Plan9)	LPL	Yes	NO	NO	https://opensource.org/licenses/LPL-1.02년
MakeIndex License	MakeIndex	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MakeIndex룝
Matrix Template Library License	MTLL	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Matrix_Template_Library_License룝
mecab-ipadic license	mecab-ipadic	Yes	?		http://www.icot.or.jp/ARCHIVE/terms-and-conditions-for-IFS-J.html 데
Metasploit Framework License (post 2006)	BSD	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Metasploit_Framework_License면
Microsoft Public License	MS-PL	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.microsoft.com/opensource/licenses.mspx#Ms-PL团
Microsoft Reciprocal License	MS-RL	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.microsoft.com/opensource/licenses.mspx#Ms-RL团
midnight License	midnight	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/midnight면
MirOS License	MirOS	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://mirbsd.de/MirOS-Licence댐
MIT license (also X11)	MIT	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MIT댐
MIT +no-false- attribs license	MITNFA	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MITNFA룝
mod_macro License	mod_macro	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.cri.ensmp.fr/~coelho/mod_macro/mod_macro/LICENSE团
Motosoto License	Motosoto	Yes	NO	NO	http://opensource.org/licenses/motosoto.php 댐
Mozilla Public License v1.0	MPLv1.0	Yes	NO	NO	http://opensource.org/licenses/mozilla1.0.php 댐
Mozilla Public	MPLv1.1	Yes	Compatible if	Compatible if	http://www.mozilla.org/MPL/MPL-1.1.html 년
I	I	I	I	I	

License v1.1			dual licensed with GPL, otherwise Incompatible	dual licensed with GPL, otherwise Incompatible	
Mozilla Public License v2.0	MPLv2.0	Yes	Sometimes	Sometimes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MPLv2.0댐
mpich2 License	MIT	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MIT댐
Mup License	Mup	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Mupr룝
MX4J License	ASL 1.1	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MX4J 댐
MySQL License	GPLv2 with exceptions	Yes	?		http://www.mysql.com/company/legal/licensing/foss-exception.html 데
Naumen Public License	Naumen	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://opensource.org/licenses/naumen.php 댐
NCSA/University of Illinois Open Source License	NCSA	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://otm.illinois.edu/disclose-protect/illinois-open-source-license댐
Neotonic Clearsilver License	ASL 1.1	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.clearsilver.net/license.hdf댐
NetCDF license	NetCDF	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/software/netcdf/copyright.html 대표
Nethack General Public License	NGPL	Yes	NO		http://opensource.org/licenses/nethack.php 댐
Netizen Open Source License	NOSL	Yes	NO		http://bits.netizen.com.au/licenses/NOSL/nosl.txt 년
Netscape Public License	Netscape	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.mozilla.org/NPL/NPL-1.0.html 妤
Newmat License	Newmat	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Newmat_License 년
Newsletr License	Newsletr	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Newsletr댐
NIST Software License	NISTSL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/NISTSL댐
Nmap License	Nmap	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Nmap 呸
Nokia Open Source License	Nokia	Yes	NO		http://opensource.org/licenses/nokia.html 명
No Limit Public License	NLPL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/NLPL댐
Noweb License	Noweb	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Noweb 댐
NRL License	BSD with advertising	Yes	NO		http://web.mit.edu/network/isakmp/nrllicense.html 년

Nunit License	MIT with advertising	Yes	NO		https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Nunit댐	
Open Government License	OGL	Yes		http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/		
OpenLDAP License	OpenLDAP	Yes	Yes	Yes http://www.openIdap.org/software/release/license.html 년		
Open Market License	OML	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Open_Market_Licenseট	
OpenPBS License	OpenPBS	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/OpenPBS 년	
Open Software License 1.0	OSL 1.0	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/osl-1.0.txtট	
Open Software License 1.1	OSL 1.1	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/OSL1.1 년	
Open Software License 2.0	OSL 2.0	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.nexb.com/license/LICENSE-OSL-2.0.html 면	
Open Software License 2.1	OSL 2.1	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/OSL2.1댐	
Open Software License 3.0	OSL 3.0	Yes	NO	NO	http://opensource.org/licenses/osl-3.0.php 전	
OpenSSL License	OpenSSL	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.sdisw.com/openssl.htm댐	
OReilly License	OReilly	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/OReilly™	
Par License	Par	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Par 呸	
Perl License	GPL+ or Artistic	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://dev.perl.org/licenses/댐	
Perl License (variant)	GPLv2 or Artistic	Yes	Yes	NO	Some perl packages explicitly state GPLv2, only use this license for those cases	
Perl License (variant)	GPLv2+ or Artistic	Yes	Yes	Yes	Some perl packages explicitly state GPLv2+, only use this license for those cases	
Perl License (variant)	LGPLv2+ or Artistic	Yes	Yes	Yes	Some perl packages explicitly state LGPLv2+ (extremely uncommon), only use this license for those cases	
Phorum License	Phorum	Yes	NO		http://phorum.org/license.txt댐	
PHP License v3.0	PHP	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.php.net/license/3_01.txt妤	
PlainTeX License	PlainTeX	Yes (only on TeX, see license page)	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/PlainTeXII	

Plexus Classworlds License	Plexus	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Plexus_Classworlds_License룝	
PostgreSQL License	PostgreSQL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/PostgreSQL_License룝	
psfrag License	psfrag	Yes (but only for tex)	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/psfrag면	
psutils License	psutils	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/psutils댐	
Public Domain	Public Domain	Yes	Yes	Yes	Being in the public domain is not a license; rather, it means the material is not copyrighted and no license is needed.	
Python License	Python	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://docs.python.org/2/license.html 룝	
Qhull License	Qhull	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Qhull댐	
Q Public License	QPL	Yes	NO	NO	http://doc.qt.digia.com/3.0/license.html 妤	
Qwt License 1.0	LGPLv2+ with exceptions	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://qwt.sourceforge.net/qwtlicense.html 년	
QuickFix License	ASL 1.1	Yes	NO	NO	http://www.quickfixengine.org/quickfix/doc/html/license.html란	
radvd License	radvd	Yes	See Notes	See Notes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/radvd_License 룝	
Rdisc License	Rdisc	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Rdisc_License 댐	
RealNetworks Public Source License V1.0	RPSL	Yes	NO		http://www.opensource.org/licenses/real.php 댐	
REX License	REX	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/REX团	
Rice BSD	RiceBSD	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Rice_BSD_License 법	
Romio License	Romio	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Romio_License댐	
RSA License	RSA	Yes	?	?	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/RSA면	
Rsfs License	Rsfs	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Rsfs댐	
Ruby License	Ruby	Yes	Compatible if dual licensed with GPL, otherwise Incompatible		http://www.ruby-lang.org/en/LICENSE.txt团	
Saxpath License	Saxpath		NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Saxpath_License룝	
Sequence Library License	Sequence	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/SequenceLibraryLicense면	
SCEA Shared Source License	SCEA	Yes	NO	NO	http://research.scea.com/scea_shared_source_license.html 댐	

Scheme Widget Library (SWL) Software License Agreement	SWL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/SWL团	
SciTech MGL Public License	STMPL	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/STMPL咶	
SCRIP License	SCRIP	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/SCRIP团	
Sendmail License	Sendmail	Yes	University of California is	Eric Allman, Sendmail Inc.	if c. http://www.sendmail.com/pdfs/open_source/sendmail_license.pdf f	
SGI Free Software License B 2.0	MIT	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://oss.sgi.com/projects/FreeB/SGIFreeSWLicB.2.0.pdf 🗅	
Sleepycat Software Product License	Sleepycat	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Sleepycat룝	
SLIB License	SLIB	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www-swiss.ai.mit.edu/~jaffer/SLIB_COPYING.txt년	
softSurfer License	softSurfer	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/softSurfer댐	
SNIA Public License 1.1	SNIA	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/SNIA_Public_License 년	
Standard ML of New Jersey License	MIT	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MIT团	
Sun Industry Standards Source License	SISSL	Yes	NO		http://www.openoffice.org/licenses/sissl_license.html 댐	
Sun Public License	SPL	Yes	NO		https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Sun_Public_License면	
TCL/TK License	TCL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/TCL댐	
Teeworlds License	Teeworlds	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Teeworlds댐	
Text-Tabs+Wrap License	TTWL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/TTWLI	
Thor Public License	TPL	Yes	Compatible if dual licensed with GPL,		https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/ThorPublicLicense댐	

			otherwise Incompatible		
Threeparttable License	Threeparttable	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Threeparttable 룝
Time::ParseDate License	TPDL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/TPDL댐
TMate Open Source License	TMate	Yes	NO	NO	http://svnkit.com/license.html 룝
Tolua License	Tolua	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Tolua 댐
TORQUE v2.5+ Software License v1.1	TORQUEv1.1	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/TORQUEv1.1 전
Transitive Grace Period Public Licence	TGPPL	Sortof	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/TGPPL咶
Trusted Computing Group License	TCGL	Sortof	Sortof	Sortof	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/TCGL댐
Trusster Open Source License	TOSL	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/TOSL团
Tumbolia Public License	Tumbolia	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Tumbolia면
UCAR License	UCAR	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/UCAR团
Unicode Character Database Terms Of Use	UCD	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/UCD团
Unicode License	Unicode	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Unicode 妤
Unlicense	Unlicense	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Unlicense댐
Universal Permissive License	UPL	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/UPL咶
Vim License	Vim	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://vimdoc.sourceforge.net/htmldoc/uganda.html댐
Vita Nuova Liberal Source License	VNLSL	Yes	NO		https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Vita_Nuova_Liberal_Source_License댐
VOSTROM Public License for Open Source	VOSTROM	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/VOSTROM 룝
Vovida Software	VSL	Yes	NO		http://opensource.org/licenses/vovidapl.php 团

License v. 1.0						
W3C Software						
Notice and	W3C	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.w3.org/Consortium/Legal/2002/copyright-software-20021231룝	
License						
Webmin License	Webmin	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Webmin 룝	
Wsuipa License	Wsuipa	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Wsuipa댐	
wxWidgets Library	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Voc	Vac	Vas	http://www.wowidgete.org/monuple/stable/www.wdisence.html	
License	wxwiagets	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://www.wxwidgets.org/manuals/stable/wx_wxlicense.html댐	
wxWindows						
Library License v	wxWindows	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/wxWindows.html ថ	
3.1						
xinetd License	xinetd	Yes	NO		https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Xinetd_License ☑	
Xerox License	Xerox	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Xerox妤	
XPP License	хрр	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/xpp 댐	
XSkat License	XSkat	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/XSkat_License룝	
Yahoo Public	YPLv1.1	Voc	NO	NO	http://www.zimbra.com/license/yahoo_public_license_1.1.html 년	
License v 1.1	YPLVI.I	Yes	NO	NO	nttp://www.zimbra.com/ncense/yanoo_public_ncense_1.1.ntml 🗠	
Zed License	Zed	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Zed 妤	
Zend License v2.0	Zend	Yes	NO		http://www.zend.com/license/2_00.txt년	
Zero-Clause BSD	0BSD	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/ZeroClauseBSD룝	
Zope Public	ZPLv1.0	Yes	NO	NO	http://old.zope.org/Resources/License/ZPL-1.1 년	
License v 1.0	ZPLVI.U	res	NO	NO	Inttp://oid.zope.org/Resources/License/ZPL-1.1 🖻	
Zope Public	ZPLv2.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	http://old.zope.org/Resources/License/ZPL-2.0 년	
License v 2.0	ZPLVZ.U	165	165	res	Inttp://oid.zope.org/kesources/License/ZFL-2.013	
Zope Public	ZPLv2.1	Yes	Yes	Vos	http://old.zope.org/Resources/License/ZPL-2.1 년	
License v 2.1	ZFLVZ, I	162	162	Yes	Inttp.//oid.zope.org/Resources/License/ZPL-2.1 🖻	
zlib/libpng	zlib	Yes	Yes	Yes	https://zlib.net/zlib_license.html 卧	
License	ZIIU	162	162	1 63	Interpresentation in the second in the secon	
zlib/libpng	zlib with					
License with	acknowledgement	Yes	NO	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/ZlibWithAcknowledgement년	
Acknowledgement						

P Bad Licenses

These are software licenses which are **NOT OKAY** for Fedora. Nothing in Fedora is permitted to use these licenses. They're either non-free or deprecated.

Full Name	FSF Free?	Upstream URL	Notes
9wm License (Original)	No	http://unauthorised.org/dhog/9wm/README团	The argument that there's a strongly-

			implied right to modify is unlikely to fly in many countries outside the US. NOTE: 9wm has since been relicensed to MIT.
Adaptive Public License	No	http://opensource.org/licenses/apl1.0.php 점	
Agere LT Modem Driver License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Agere_LT_Modem_Driver_License룝	
Aladdin Free Public License	No	http://www.artifex.com/downloads/doc/Public.htmß	
AMAP License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/AMAP_License团	Additional restrictions are non-free and GP incompatible
Amazon Software License	No	http://aws.amazon.com/asl/댐	Section 3.3 is a clear field-of-use restriction
Apple iTunes License	No	http://images.apple.com/legal/sla/docs/itunes.pdf 🗅	No source related permissions
Apple Public Source License 1.0	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Apple_Public_Source_License_1.0 년	
Apple Public Source License 1.1	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Apple_Public_Source_License_1.1 년	
Apple Public Source License 1.2	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Apple_Public_Source_License_1.2년	
Apple Quicktime License	No	http://store.apple.com/Catalog/US/Images/quicktime.html 댐	No source related permissions
Aptana Public License	No	http://aptana.com/legal/apl/룝	No permission to redistribute
Artistic 1.0 (original)	No	http://www.perl.com/pub/a/language/misc/Artistic.html 년	See: http://www.gnu.org/licenses/license- list.html#ArtisticLicense룝
AT&T Public License	No		Deprecated license
C/Migemo License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/CMigemo룝	
CACert Root Distribution License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/CACert_Root_Distribution_License댐	Liability disclaimer is actually use restriction
CodeProject Open License (CPOL)	No	http://www.codeproject.com/info/cpol10.aspx룝	Unacceptable use restrictions
Commons Clause	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/CommonsClause ☑	Commercial use restrictions
eCos Public License, v1.1	No		
Eiffel Forum License 1.0	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Eiffel_Forum_License_V1년	
EMC2 License	No	http://pauillac.inria.fr/cdrom_a_graver/www/emc2/copyright.htm룝	Commercial use restrictions
European Union Public License v1.0	No	http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/eupl룝	Non-free due to Article 13.
Frameworx License	No	http://opensource.org/licenses/frameworx.php 댐	Non-free due to the limitation on charging for "Value-Added Services"
Frontier Artistic License	No	http://www.spinwardstars.com/frontier/fal.html &	Non-free for the same reasons as regular Artistic 1.0 License

GPL for Computer Programs of the Public Administration	No	http://www.celepar.pr.gov.br/modules/conteudo/conteudo.php?conteudo=69댐	
gSOAP Public License	No	http://www.cs.fsu.edu/~engelen/license.html댐	Please note that while the "gSOAP Public License" is non-free, the "gSOAP Toolkit" is dual licensed as GPLv2 or gSOAP Public License.
Hacktivismo Enhanced- Source Software License Agreement	No	http://www.hacktivismo.com/about/hessla.php 댐	
Helix DNA Technology Binary Research Use License	No	https://helixcommunity.org/beula/妤	No source permissions, binary EULA
HP Software License Terms	No	http://h30097.www3.hp.com/hp_sw_license.html 团	No source permissions, binary EULA
IBM Sample Code License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/IBM_Sample_Code_License룝	Use restrictions
Intel IPW3945 Daemon License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Intel_IPW3945_Daemon_License룝	No source permissions, binary EULA
Intel Open Source License	Yes	http://opensource.org/licenses/intel-open-source-license.php 년	Deprecated license
Jahia Community Source License	No	http://www.jahia.org/jahia/Jahia/pid/145댐	
JSON License	No	http://www.json.org/license.html &	The clause "The Software shall be used for Good, not Evil." is impossible to parse or comply with.
lha license	No	http://packages.debian.org/changelogs/pool/non-free/l/lha/current/copyright댐	
License Agreement for Application Response Measurement (ARM) SDK	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/ApplicationResponseMeasurementSDKLicense룝	See Notes on license page
Maia Mailguard License	No	http://www.maiamailguard.org/license.php 룝	
MAME License	No	http://mamedev.org/legal.html 嵒	Commercial use restrictions
MeepZor Consulting Public Licence	No	http://meepzor.com/packages/autoresponder/LICENCE.txt团	Deprecated, upstream uses ASL 2.0 now
Metasploit Framework License (pre 2006)	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Metasploit_Framework_License댐	
Microsoft's Shared Source CLI/C#/Jscript License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Microsoft_Shared_Source_License룝	
MITRE Collaborative Virtual Workspace License (CVW)	N/A	http://opensource.org/licenses/mitrepl.php 댐	Deprecated license
	+	+	

MSNTP License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MSNTP团	Commercial use restrictions
mrouted license (old)	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/mrouted ☑	
NASA CDF License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/NasaCDF룝	Commercial use restrictions, poorly worded
NASA Open Source Agreement v1.3	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/NASA_Open_Source_Agreement 룝	
OCLC Public Research License 2.0	No	http://opensource.org/licenses/oclc2.php 전	Appears to limit the price at which a copy can be charged, not just simply prohibiting imposition of royalties.
Open CASCADE Technology Public License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Open_CASCADE_Technology_Public_License룝	
Open Group Test Suite License	No	http://opensource.org/licenses/opengroup.php 댐	Same flaws as Artistic 1.0
Open Map License	No	http://openmap.bbn.com/license.html 년	Derived from Artistic 1.0, has same flaws
Open Motif Public End User License	No	http://www.opengroup.org/openmotif/license/댐	Commercial use restrictions
Open Public License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Open_Public_License룝	
OSGi Specification License	No	http://www.osgi.org/Main/OSGiSpecificationLicense룝	Forbids modification
Paul Hsieh Derivative License	No	http://www.azillionmonkeys.com/qed/license-derivative.html 년	
Paul Hsieh Exposition License	No	http://www.azillionmonkeys.com/qed/license-exposition.html댐	
Pine License	No	http://www.washington.edu/pine/overview/legal.html 댐	
qmail License	No	http://cr.yp.to/qmail/dist.html 룝	deprecated, qmail is now public domain
Reciprocal Public License	No	http://www.opensource.org/licenses/rpl.php ☑	
Ricoh Source Code Public License	No	http://opensource.org/licenses/ricohpl.php 댐	
Scilab License (OLD)	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Scilab_License_Old II	Current versions of Scilab use the CeCILL license
Server Side Public License v1 (SSPL)	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/SSPL면	
SGI Free Software License B 1.1 or older	No	http://oss.sgi.com/projects/FreeB/댐	Version 2.0 is MIT, free and GPL compat.
SGI GLX Public License 1.0	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/GLX_Public_License룝	All GLX code now uses Free B 2.0
Siren14 License Agreement	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Siren14_Licensing_Agreement댐	
Spin Commercial License	No	http://www.spinroot.com/spin/spin_license.html 년	
Squeak License	No	http://squeak.org/SqueakLicense/룝	
SystemC Open Source	No	http://www.systemc.org/about/org_docs/license/년	

License			
Sun Binary Code License Agreement	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Sun_Binary_Code_License_Agreement면	Non-free, unless resolved with Supplemental License Terms
Sun Community Source License	No	http://www.sun.com/software/communitysource/뎐	
Sun RPC License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/SunRPC ©	Restrictions on modification, distribution
Sun Solaris Source Code (Foundation Release) License	No	http://www.mibsoftware.com/librock/librock/license/ssscfr.txt &	
Sybase Open Watcom Public License 1.0	No	http://opensource.org/licenses/sybase.php 댐	
Terracotta Public License 1.0	No	http://svn.terracotta.org/svn/ehcache/tags/ehcache- 2.9.0/distribution/src/main/assembly/root/licenses/EHCACHE-TERRACOTTA-LICENSE.txt 년	Attribution requirements are burdensome, unclear, and potentially impossible to comply with (Section 14)
TrueCrypt License	No	http://www.truecrypt.org/legal/license댐	
TORQUE v2.5+ Software License v1.0		No	Commercial use restriction
University of Utah Public License	No	http://www.cs.utah.edu/~gk/teem/txt/LICENSE.txt团	
University of Washington Free Fork License	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/UofWFreeFork団	No longer used
unrar license	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Unrar댐	Use restrictions, forced removal clause, see license page for details
X.Net License	N/A	http://opensource.org/licenses/xnet.php 댐	Deprecated license
Yahoo Public License 1.0	No	http://www.zimbra.com/license/yahoo_public_license_1.0.html d	
Zimbra Public License 1.3	No	http://www.zimbra.com/license/zimbra-public-license-1-3.html댐	Badgeware clause does not make allowances for modifications in which it is not technically possible to preserve a 'logo', we must assume this provision constitutes an undue burden on the right of modification.

O Unknown Licenses

These are licenses for which the Fedora acceptability is unknown/undecided. If one of your packages uses one of these licenses, please let us know by emailing legal@lists.fedoraproject.org (note that this list is moderated, only members may directly post).

Note: Some of these licenses may be pending review from the FSF.

Full NameFSF Free?GPLv2 Compatible?Upstream URL

OK. Hold on tight. Think of this as a horrible legal version of "Does it Blend?".

(contents copied from http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/gpl-faq.html#v2v3Compatibility ☑)

										l want to release a project under:
		GPLv2 only	(GPLv2 or later	GPLv3	or later	LGPLv2.1 o	nly	LGPLv2.1 or later	LGPLv3 or later
	GPLv2 only	ок		OK 2	NO		OK if you o to GPLv2 7	onvert	OK if you conver GPLv2 7 2	t to NO
	GPLv2 or later	OK 1		ок	ОК		OK if you o	onvert	OK if you conver GPL <mark>7</mark>	t to OK if you convert to GPLv3 8
want to copy code under:	GPLv3	NO		OK if you upgrade to GPL	v3 3 OK		OK if you o	onvert	OK if you conver GPLv3 7 3	t to OK if you convert to GPLv3 8
	LGPLv2.1 only	OK if you conv GPLv2 <mark>7</mark>	vert to	OK if you convert to GPL 7	OK if y	ou convert v3 <mark>7</mark>	ОК		OK 6	OK if you convert to GPLv3 7 8
l.	LGPLv2.1 or later	or OK if you convert to GPLv2 7 1		OK if you convert to GPL 7	, I	to GPLv3 7		OK 5 OK		ОК
	LGPLv3			OK if you upgrade and convert to GPLv3 8 3				onvert	OK if you upgrad LGPLv3 4	de to OK
										I want to release a proje under:
			GPLv2 only	GPLv2 or later	GPLv3 or later	LGPLv2.1 or	nly	LGPLv2.	1 or later	LGPLv3 or later
		GPLv2 only	ОК	OK 2	NO	OK if you co	onvert to	OK if yo	u convert to	NO
		GPLv2 or later	OK 1	ОК	ОК	OK if you co	onvert to	OK if yo	u convert to GPL	OK if you convert to GPL
l want to use (lin under:	ık to) a library	GPLv3	NO	OK if you upgrade to GPLv3 3	ОК	OK if you co	onvert to	OK if yo	ou convert to	OK if you convert to GPL
		LGPLv2.1 only	OK	ОК	ОК	ОК		ОК		OK
		LGPLv2.1 or later	ОК	ОК	ОК	ОК		ОК		ок
		LGPLv3	NO	OK	OK	ОК		ОК		OK

Footnotes:

- 1: You must follow the terms of GPLv2 when incorporating the code in this case. You cannot take advantage of terms in later versions of the GPL.
- 2: If you do this, as long as the project contains the code released under GPLv2 only, you will not be able to upgrade the project's license to GPLv3 or later.

- 3: If you have the ability to release the project under GPLv2 or any later version, you can choose to release it under GPLv3 or any later version—and once you do that, you'll be able to incorporate the code released under GPLv3.
- 4: If you have the ability to release the project under LGPLv2.1 or any later version, you can choose to release it under LGPLv3 or any later version—and once you do that, you'll be able to incorporate the code released under LGPLv3.
- 5: You must follow the terms of LGPLv2.1 when incorporating the code in this case. You cannot take advantage of terms in later versions of the LGPL.
- 6: If you do this, as long as the project contains the code released under LGPLv2.1 only, you will not be able to upgrade the project's license to LGPLv3 or later.
- 7: LGPLv2.1 gives you permission to relicense the code under any version of the GPL since GPLv2. If you can switch the LGPLed code in this case to using an appropriate version of the GPL instead (as noted in the table), you can make this combination.
- 8: LGPLv3 gives you permission to relicense the code under GPLv3. In these cases, you can combine the code if you convert the LGPLed code to GPLv3.

Documentation Licenses

These are the Documentation Licenses that we're aware of. There are almost certainly other Documentation Licenses in existence, if your Fedora package uses a license not listed here, please email tcallawa@redhat.com with the details (and full license text).

Here is a list of Documentation Licenses that are OK for Fedora. If your license is not in this list, and you'd like to know if it is appropriate for Fedora, please email the details to legal@lists.fedoraproject.org (note that this list is moderated, only members may directly post).

Full Name	Short Name	FSF Free?	Upstream URL		
Apple's Common					
Documentation License,	CDL	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Common_Documentation_License 댐		
Version 1.0					
Creative Commons	CC-BY	Yes	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/뎐		
Attribution license	CC-D1		intep.//creativeconfinions.org/ficerises/by/4.0/ @		
Creative Commons	CC-BY-SA	Yes	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/댐		
Attribution-ShareAlike	CC-BT-3A	ites	Intep.//creativecommons.org/incenses/by-sa/4.o/ @		
FreeBSD Documentation	FBSDDL	Yes	http://www.freebsd.org/copyright/freebsd-doc-license.html댐		
License	LD3DDL		inttp://www.nreebsd.org/copyright/nreebsd-doc-ncense.ntmi		
GNU Free Documentation	GFDL	Yes	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/fdl.html &		
License	GFDL	100	Intrb"\\mmm\" 121" of \$\line{\text{licetize}} \text{licetize} \text{linit} li		
GNU General Public License	(See Note A)	Yes	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/gpl.html 댐		

IEEE and Open Group	IEEE	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/IEEEDocLicense룝		
Documentation License					
Linux Documentation	LDPL	Voc	http://tldp.org/CODVDICUT.html		
Project License	LDPL	Yes	http://tldp.org/COPYRIGHT.html 댐		
Old FSF Documentation	OFSFDL	Yes	https://fadaraproject.org/wiki/Licancing/OldESEDocLicance		
License	OFSFDL	ites	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/OldFSFDocLicense 룝		
Open Publication License, Open v1.0 Publication		Yes, provided the copyright holder does not			
		exercise any of the "LICENSE OPTIONS" listed in	http://opencontent.org/openpub/룝		
V1.0	Fublication	Section VI			
Public Use License, v1.0	Public Use	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/PublicUseLicense 댐		
Verbatim License	Verbatim	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/VerbatimLicense룝		

Note A: The GNU General Public License can be used for general data which is not software, as long as one can determine what the definition of "source code" refers to in the particular case. In English, it means that you can use the GPL for documentation, but it is not necessarily a good choice, unless you are able to define what "source code" means for your documentation. Also, if you use this license, use the appropriate versioning short names as described in the Software License section.

Bad Licenses

These are documentation licenses which are **NOT OKAY** for Fedora. Nothing in Fedora should be using these licenses. They're either non-free or deprecated.

Full Name	FSF	Linetroom LIPI	Notes		
ruii Naiile	Name Upstream URL Free?		INOCES		
Open Content License	No	http://opencontent.org/opl.shtml 댐			
Open Directory License	No	http://dmoz.org/license.html 댐			
W3C Documentation	2	http://www.w3.org/Consortium/Legal/copyright-	Does not permit modification, different from W3C Software		
License	ļ.	documents ₫	License		

Content Licenses

These are the Content Licenses that we're aware of. If your license is not in this list, and you'd like to know if it is appropriate for Fedora, please email the details to legal@lists.fedoraproject.org (note that this list is moderated, only members may directly post). You will also want to review the Code Vs Content section of the Packaging Guidelines: https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Packaging/Guidelines#CodeVsContent

₿ Good Licenses

Here is a list of Content Licenses that are OK for Fedora. If your license is not in this list, and you'd like to know if it is appropriate for Fedora, please email the details to legal@lists.fedoraproject.org (note that this list is moderated, only members may directly post). Note that content must be freely distributable without restriction for inclusion in Fedora, and that a written statement from the content owner granting this is considered an approved license for Fedora. The one exception is that we permit content (but only content) which restricts modification as long as that is the only restriction.

Full Name	Short Name	FSF Free?	Upstream URL

Creative Commons Attribution license	CC-BY	Yes	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/댐	
Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike	CC-BY-SA	Yes http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/댐		
Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs	CC-BY-ND	No	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/룝	
Creative Commons Zero 1.0 Universal	CC0	Yes	http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/legalcodeß	
Data license Germany - attribution 2.0	DL-DE-BY	Yes	https://www.govdata.de/dl-de/by-2-0 년	
Design Science License	DSL	Yes	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/dsl.html 댐	
Distributed Management Task Force License	DMTF	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/DMTF댐	
EFF Open Audio License v1	OAL	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/OpenAudioLicense咶	
Ethymonics Free Music License	EFML	No	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Ethymonics_Free_Music_License댐	
Free Art License	Free Art	Yes	http://artlibre.org/licence/lal/en/妤	
GeoGratis Licence Agreement	GeoGratis	Yes	http://geogratis.cgdi.gc.ca/geogratis/en/licence.jsp ☑	
GNU General Public License	(See Note A)	Yes	http://www.fsf.org/licensing/licenses/gpl.html 댐	
License Ouverte	Ouverte	Yes	https://www.etalab.gouv.fr/licence-ouverte-open-licence룝	
LinuxTag Green OpenMusic License	Green	Yes (only if clause VI is	http://openmusic.linuxtag.org/green.html 룝	
Linux rag di een Openiviusic License	OpenMusic	NOT invoked)	inttp://openinusic.infuxtag.org/green.ntmi	
Open Data Commons Public Domain Dedication and Licence PDDL-1.0 Yes		Voc	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/PDDL룝	
		163		
Open Data License (GeoLite Country and	BSD with	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/BSD#OpenData_Variant룝	
GeoLite City databases)	advertising	103	Treps.//redoi.dpi.oject.oi.g/wiki/Electisiiig/b5b#Openbata_valiatitu	

Note A: The GNU General Public License can be used for general data which is not software, as long as one can determine what the definition of "source code" refers to in the particular case. In English, it means that you can use the GPL for content, but it is not necessarily a good choice, unless you are able to define what "source code" means for your content. Also, if you use this license, use the appropriate versioning short names as described in the Software License section.

Bad Licenses

These are content licenses which are **NOT OKAY** for Fedora. Nothing in Fedora should be using these licenses. They're either non-free, deprecated, or have usage/distribution restrictions.

Full Name	FSF Free?	Upstream URL	Notes
CAcert Non-Related Persons Disclaimer and License	No	http://www.cacert.org/policy/NRPDisclaimerAndLicence.php ß	No permission to redistribute
Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs	No	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/댐	
Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial	No	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/妤	
Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike	No	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/댐	
Creative Commons Sampling Plus 1.0	No	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/sampling+/1.0/legalcode룝	
LinuxTag Yellow OpenMusic License	No	http://openmusic.linuxtag.org/yellow.html 댐	Commercial use restrictions



These are the Font Licenses that we're aware of. If your license is not in this list, and you'd like to know if it is appropriate for Fedora, please email the details to fedora-legal-list@redhat.com (note that this list is moderated, only members may directly post).

The GPL is also a valid license for fonts. Refer to the Software Licensing table for the correct short name identifiers.



Fedora recommends that font authors and foundries use the SIL Open Font License:

Full Name	Short Name	FSF Free?	Upstream URL
SIL Open Font License 1.1 http://scripts.sil.org/cms/sites/nrsi/media/OFL_logo_rect_color.png	OFL	Yes	http://scripts.sil.org/OFL_web 🗗

Here is a list of additional Font Licenses that are also OK for Fedora. If your license is not in this list, and you'd like to know if it is appropriate for Fedora, please email the details to fedora-legal-list@redhat.com (note that this list is moderated, only members may directly post).

Full Name	Short Name	FSF Free?	Upstream URL
Adobe/TUG Utopia license agreement	Utopia	?	http://tug.org/fonts/utopia/LICENSE-utopia.txt뎐
AMS Bluesky Font License	AMS	?	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/AMS团
Arphic Public License	Arphic	Yes	http://ftp.gnu.org/gnu/non-gnu/chinese-fonts-truetype/LICENSE룝
Baekmuk License	Baekmuk	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Baekmuk妤
Bitstream Vera Font License	Bitstream Vera	?	http://www.gnome.org/fonts/#Final_Bitstream_Vera_Fonts 더
Charter License	Charter	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Charter 妤
Creative Commons Attribution license	CC-BY	Yes	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/ 룝
DoubleStroke Font License	DoubleStroke	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Fonts/DoubleStroke团
ec Font License	ec	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Fonts/ec♂
Elvish Font License	Elvish	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Elvish 룝
GUST Font License	LPPL	Yes	http://tug.org/fonts/licenses/GUST-FONT-LICENSE.txt 년
Hack Open Font License	HOFL	?	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/HackOpenFontLicense룝
Hershey Font License	Hershey	?	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/HersheyFontLicense룝
IPA Font License	IPA	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/IPAFontLicense룝
Liberation Font License	Liberation	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/LiberationFontLicense답
LaTeX Project Public License	LPPL	Yes	http://www.latex-project.org/lppl/lppl-1-3a.txt년
Lucida Legal Notice	Lucida	?	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Lucida년
MgOpen Font License	MgOpen	?	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Fonts/MgOpen咶
mplus Font License	mplus	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/mplus 呸
ParaType Font License	PTFL	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Fonts/ParaType_Font_License룝
Punknova Font License	Punknova	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Fonts/Punknova咶
STIX Fonts User License	STIX	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/STIXFontsUserLicense뎐
Wadalab Font License	Wadalab	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/Wadalab 년
XANO Mincho Font License	XANO	Yes	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/MinchoFontLicense면



This is a list of Font licenses which are NOT OKAY for Fedora. Nothing in Fedora should be using these licenses. They're either non-free, deprecated, or have usage/distribution restrictions.

Full Name	FSF Free?	Upstream URL	Notes
DIP SIPA Font License	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/DIP_SIPA_Font_License ថ	Restrictions on modification
Larabie Fonts License	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/LarabieFontsLicense룝	Cannot modify
Literat Font License	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/LiteratFontLicense댐	Cannot modify
Ubuntu Font License	NO	https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing/UbuntuFontLicense댐	Poorly written, cannot actually use fonts



P Disclaimer

No usage of trademarks, either in this page or in any license "short identifiers" is intended as advertising. Any trademarks used are the property of their owners.

Copyright © 2020 Red Hat, Inc. and others. All Rights Reserved. For comments or queries, please contact us.

This page was last edited on 15 January 2020, at 20:35. Content is available under Attribution-Share Alike 3.0 Unported unless otherwise noted.

Privacy policy About Fedora Project Wiki Disclaimers Code of Conduct Sponsors Legal Trademark Guidelines