Choose an open source license

An open source license protects contributors and users. Businesses and savvy developers won't touch a project without this protection.

Which of the following best describes your situation?

I need to work in a community.

Use the **license preferred by the community** you're contributing to or depending on. Your project will fit right in.

If you have a dependency that doesn't have a license, ask its maintainers to **add a license**.

I want it simple and permissive.

The **MIT License** is short and to the point. It lets people do almost anything they want with your project, like making and distributing closed source versions.

Babel, **.NET Core**, and **Rails** use the MIT License.

I care about sharing improvements.

The **GNU GPLv3** also lets people do almost anything they want with your project, *except* distributing closed source versions.

Ansible, **Bash**, and **GIMP** use the GNU GPLv3.

What if none of these work for me?

My project isn't software.

There are licenses for that.

I want more choices.

More licenses are available.

I don't want to choose a license.

Here's what happens if you don't.

PDFmyURL

The content of this site is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License.

PDFmyURL.com - convert URLs, web pages or even full websites to PDF online. Easy API for developers!