1. Edit your linear equation solver from the previous exercise to solve the quadratic equation:

$$A[2]x^2 + A[1]x + A[0] = 0.$$

The program should consist of:

- (a) A main function which:
 - i. Reads in three coefficients of the quadratic.
 - ii. Calls a function quad_roots which solves the quadratic.
 - iii. Notifies the user which class the roots fall in and displays them in the appropriate form.
- (b) A function quad_roots with the following declaration:

The function should return the following values

- -3 when A[2] = A[1] = 0, $A[0] \neq 0$, not an equation.
- -2 when A[0] = A[1] = A[2] = 0, no meaningful solution.
- -1 when A[2] = 0, $A[1] \neq 0$, a linear equation with one solution roots [0].
- 0 for two different real roots (A[1] 2 > 4A[2]A[0]), roots[0] and roots[1].
- 1 for complex conjugate roots $(A[1]^2 < 4A[2]A[0])$, roots[0] should contain the real part and roots[1] the imaginary part.
- 2 for the case with two equal real roots $A[1]^2 = 4A[0]A[2]$, roots[0] = roots[1].

Separate your program into two files, one containing main and the other containing quad_roots. The program should be tested on the data set:

```
i. A[2] = 1, A[1] = 3, A[0] = 2, iii. A[2] = 4, A[1] = -4, A[0] = 1, iii. A[2] = 1, A[1] = 2, A[0] = 3, iv. A[2] = 0, A[1] = 2, A[0] = 1.
```

- 2. The C Standard header file $\langle float.h \rangle$ contains information about the various floating point numbers used in the C system such as largest and smallest numbers (in magnitude). One useful quantity is the smallest number that can be added to 1.0 and cause a difference to the value (this is known as ϵ).
 - (a) Locate and open the <float.h> used by your compiler and extract the following constants FLT_MAX, FLT_MIN and FLT_EPSILON.
 - (b) What are the corresponding values for double types?
 - (c) Given the following doubles:

```
double a = 1.0 + DBL_EPSILON;
double b = (1.0 + DBL_EPSILON / 2.0) + DBL_EPSILON / 2.0;
double c = 1.0 + (DBL_EPSILON / 2.0 + DBL_EPSILON / 2.0);
```

Print out 1.0-a, 1.0-b and 1.0-c, using the %g format specifier, is this what you expect? We see even the process of summing up numbers can produce problems. One famous algorithm to sum up numbers accurately is *Kahan Summation* (look this up!).

3. Combatting rounding errors: Returning to our quadratic solver, if $A[1]^2 \gg 4A[0]A[2] > 0$, then the calculation of one of the roots $(-A[1] \pm \sqrt{.})/2A[2]$ involves a subtraction of nearly equal quantities, and thus a loss of accuracy. ('Adding' two numbers of similar magnitude and different sign also results in an accuracy loss!). When possible, an alternative formulation should be sought which avoids this subtraction.

One useful property of quadratics is that the roots satisfy the following expression:

$$root[0]*root[1] = A[0]/A[2]$$

Thus a more accurate way to calculate both roots of the quadratic is to choose the sign \pm such that $(-A[1] \pm \sqrt{.})/2A[2]$ is not a subtraction, and then compute the other root from the equation above.

For an example we consider how high a mobile telephone tower must be in order to transmit to a certain horizon. The relationship between the height of the tower h, the distance to the horizon d and the radius of the Earth R = 6350 km is:

$$h^2 + 2hR = d^2$$

- (a) Solve the above equation for values of d of 1 cm, 1 meter, 100 meters, 10 km and 1000km; using quad_sol.
- (b) Modify quad_sol to avoid subtraction when computing roots.
- (c) Compare the modified quad_sol to the original, when do differences occur?