# Portfolio 3

## Sam Bowyer

## Vectorisation

R is an interpreted language, so loops (particularly nested loops) can be very slow. Instead, it is often useful to use built-in vector operations, which tend to be written in C/C++ and hence are compiled and run much faster.

As example consider the two functions below that both compute the minimum value that the sine function takes from elements in a vector:

```
minsin1 <- function(x){
    m = Inf
    for (i in 1:length(x)){
        if (sin(x[i]) < m){}
            m = sin(x[i])
    }
    return (m)
}
minsin2 <-function(x) min(sin(x))</pre>
```

Then running these two functions on the same input, we find that the second, vectorised version runs much faster:

```
x = 1:1e7
system.time(minsin1(x))
##
      user
            system elapsed
##
     0.671
             0.000
                     0.671
system.time(minsin2(x))
##
      user
            system elapsed
##
     0.110
             0.042
                     0.153
```

Vectorisation is then particularly useful when we're working with matrices, or even higher dimensional arrays—more dimensions typically mean more nested loops in unvectorised code, greatly slowing things down. Consider the two functions below which sum the rows of a matrix before applying the previous minsin functions to the resulting vector.

```
minsin_matrix1 <- function(X){
    m = Inf
    for (i in 1:nrow(X)){
       total = 0
       for (j in 1:ncol(X)){
         total = total + X[i,j]
       }
    if (sin(total) < m){
       m = sin(total)</pre>
```

```
}
}
return (m)
}
minsin_matrix2 <- function(X) min(sin(rowSums(X)))</pre>
```

Again, by running these functions on the same data we find that vectorisation has drastically sped up execution.

```
X = matrix(1:1e8, 1e3, 1e5)
system.time(minsin_matrix1(X))
##
      user system elapsed
##
     2.425
             0.000
                     2.425
system.time(minsin_matrix2(X))
##
      user
           system elapsed
             0.000
##
     0.211
                     0.211
```

### **Useful Functions**

R includes a variety of functions which help us perform operations on vectors.

#### apply

apply(X, MARGIN, FUN, ...): applies the function FUN to an array of dimension 2 or more using the dimensions given in the list MARGIN (in which 1 represents rows, 2 represents columns, c(1,2) represents both, etc.).

```
x <- matrix(1:12, 3, 4)
print(x)
        [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
## [1,]
                 4
                          10
           1
## [2,]
           2
                 5
                      8
                          11
## [3,]
                 6
           3
                      9
apply(x, c(1,2), minsin2)
              [,1]
                                    [,3]
##
                         [,2]
                                                [,4]
## [1,] 0.8414710 -0.7568025 0.6569866 -0.5440211
## [2,] 0.9092974 -0.9589243 0.9893582 -0.9999902
## [3,] 0.1411200 -0.2794155 0.4121185 -0.5365729
apply(x, 1, minsin2)
## [1] -0.7568025 -0.9999902 -0.5365729
apply(x, 2, minsin2)
## [1] 0.1411200 -0.9589243 0.4121185 -0.9999902
For an example with a 3-dimensional array:
x \leftarrow array(1:12, c(2, 3, 2))
print(x)
```

```
## , , 1
##
     [,1] [,2] [,3]
##
## [1,] 1 3 5
## [2,] 2 4
##
## , , 2
##
##
   [,1] [,2] [,3]
## [1,] 7 9 11
## [2,]
       8 10
                  12
apply(x, 3, sum)
## [1] 21 57
apply(x, c(1,2), sum)
##
     [,1] [,2] [,3]
## [1,]
       8 12 16
## [2,]
       10 14
                  18
apply(x, c(2,3), sum)
##
     [,1] [,2]
## [1,]
         3 15
         7
## [2,]
             19
## [3,]
       11
             23
apply(x, c(1,3), sum)
     [,1] [,2]
## [1,]
       9 27
## [2,]
       12
lapply
lapply (X, FUN, ...): works like apply but can be used on vectors and lists, and also returns a list.
lapply(1:4, sqrt)
## [[1]]
## [1] 1
##
## [[2]]
## [1] 1.414214
## [[3]]
## [1] 1.732051
##
## [[4]]
## [1] 2
x <- list(a=1:3, b=c(TRUE, TRUE, FALSE), c=2:-1)
lapply(x, minsin2)
## $a
## [1] 0.14112
##
```

```
## $b
## [1] 0
##
## $c
## [1] -0.841471
Note that in the following code execution we find that lapply is slower than both vectorised code and a for
loop.
func <- function(x) sqrt(x^2)</pre>
func_lapply <- function(x) lapply(x, func)</pre>
func_loop <- function(x){</pre>
  out = rep(NA, length(x))
  for (i in seq_len(length(x))){
    out[i] = func(x[i])
  }
  return(out)
}
x = 1:1e7
system.time(func(x))
##
      user system elapsed
##
     0.031
             0.019
                      0.050
system.time(func_lapply(x))
##
      user system elapsed
##
     5.669
             0.156
                      5.824
system.time(func_loop(x))
##
      user system elapsed
            0.000
##
     2.604
                      2.605
sapply
sapply(X, FUN, ...): works like lapply but simplifies the output before returning.
sapply(1:4, sqrt)
## [1] 1.000000 1.414214 1.732051 2.000000
x <- list(a=1:3, b=c(TRUE, TRUE, FALSE), c=2:-1)
sapply(x, minsin2)
## 0.141120 0.000000 -0.841471
mapply
mapply (FUN, ...): the (potentially multiple) arguments given as ... are used to run the function FUN.
mapply(sqrt, 1:4)
## [1] 1.000000 1.414214 1.732051 2.000000
mapply(function(x,y,z) x * y + z, c(1, 10, 100), c(2,3,4), c(0, 1, 2))
## [1]
         2 31 402
```

### Map

This works very similarly to mapply.

```
Map(rep, 1:3, 4:6)
## [[1]]
## [1] 1 1 1 1
##
## [[2]]
## [1] 2 2 2 2 2
##
## [[3]]
## [1] 3 3 3 3 3 3
Though it is ever so slightly faster.
system.time(Map(func, 1:1e7))
##
      user system elapsed
##
     5.494
             0.000
                      5.494
system.time(mapply(func, 1:1e7))
##
      user system elapsed
##
      5.99
               0.10
                       6.09
```

#### Reduce

Reduce(FUN, X) applies FUN to consecutive pairs of elements in a vector iteratively until a single element is left.

```
Reduce(rep, 1:3)
```

```
## [1] 1 1 1 1 1 1
```

Above, Reduce has first executed rep(1,2) to obtain the vector c(1,1) and has then executed rep(c(1,1), 3) to obtain the output.

Below, Reduce is used to write the elements of a list as the digits in a number.

```
Reduce(function(a,b) 10*a + b, 1:6)
## [1] 123456
```

#### Filter

Filter(FUN, X) removes any elements from the vector X who do not evaluate to TRUE under the function FUN.

```
Filter(function(x) sqrt(x) %% 1 == 0, 1:30)

## [1] 1 4 9 16 25

Filter(function(x) sqrt(x^2) == x, -10:10)

## [1] 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
```

# Parallel Programming

For large tasks we can distribute computation across multiple CPU cores in the hopes of a speed increase (though this won't help if the task is slow for a non-CPU-related bottleneck, such as within the task's use of

memory, networking or I/O).

We can check how many cores our CPU has by using the parallel package:

```
library(parallel)
num_cores <- detectCores()
num_cores</pre>
```

```
## [1] 16
```

Consider the function below which squares the square-root of its input:

```
id <- function(X) sqrt(X)**2
X = 1:1e7
system.time(lapply(X, id))</pre>
```

```
## user system elapsed
## 5.082 0.052 5.133
```

We can use the mclapply() function to run this function on multiple cores and see the difference in execution time varying the number of cores.

```
# 2 Cores
system.time(mclapply(X, id, mc.cores=2))
##
            system elapsed
##
     4.523
             0.855
                     3.035
# 4 Cores
system.time(mclapply(X, id, mc.cores=4))
##
            system elapsed
      user
##
     5.038
             1.180
                     2.370
# 8 Cores
system.time(mclapply(X, id, mc.cores=8))
##
      user system elapsed
##
     6.181
             2.207
                     2.072
# 16 Cores
system.time(mclapply(X, id, mc.cores=16))
##
           system elapsed
      user
##
     7.350
             4.460
                     1.811
```

Note that it is not necessarily true that using n cores will result in an n-factor speed-up, in fact in general the gains tend to diminish as n grows larger (for some tasks using too many cores may actually slow you down due to the time required for the core-allocation of computations to take place).

#### forEach and doParallel

Another way we can use parallelisation is with the doParallel package, which can be used with the (non-parallelised) package foreach which allows us to write loops of the following form:

```
library(foreach)
foreach(i=1:3) %do% {
  print(i)
}
```

```
## [1] 1
## [1] 2
```

```
## [1] 3
## [[1]]
## [1] 1
##
## [[2]]
## [1] 2
##
## [[3]]
## [1] 3
The %do% expression executes each loop sequentially but the doParallel package's %dopar% executes the
loops in parallel. We must first load this package and register the desired number of cores (we'll use 2):
library(doParallel)
## Loading required package: iterators
registerDoParallel(2)
X = 1:1e6
And then we can compare the performance of %do% and %dopar% as follows:
system.time(foreach (i=1:10) %do% {lapply(X, id)})
##
      user
            system elapsed
     4.655
              0.044
                      4.698
system.time(foreach (i=1:10) %dopar% {lapply(X, id)})
             system elapsed
##
      user
     0.441
              0.112
                       2.840
(And finally we clean up the cluster.)
stopImplicitCluster()
```