CPSC 213 – Assignment 5

Memory Management and Structs

Due: Friday, October 13th, 2017 at 11:59pm

After an 12-hour grace period, no late assignments accepted.

Goal

In this week's assignment you will do two things. First, you get additional practice reading assembly language, figuring out what C program it came from, and counting memory references — skills that will be useful on the midterm and beyond.

Second, will examine dynamic allocation and de-allocation in C. The goal here is to help you clarify your understanding of this topic, particularly dangling pointers, memory leaks, and how to avoid them. You will do this by examining two programs that contain dangling pointer bugs to identify the bugs and fix them. Also useful on the midterm, of course.

Download the code file

Download the file www.ugrad.cs.ubc.ca/~cs213/cur/assignments/a5/code.zip. It contains the following files:

1. q1.[cs] — used for Question 1

2. stack.c – used for Question 2

3. list.[ch], tree.[ch], and q3.c — used for Question 3

4. refcount.[ch] — that you can use for Question 3 if you like

5. Makefile — allows you to type make to build both programs

Question 1 — Reading Assembly Code [10%]

Read the included q1.c and q1.s files. You will see that q1.c declares two structs, allocates some objects from those structs and initializes them. It has a procedure named q1() that is blank. The code listed in q1.s implements the code in this procedure (without the procedure call itself). Read q1.s carefully and run it through the simulator to figure out how it manipulates these structs. Then do the following.

1. [2%] Comment every code line of q1.s with a high-level comment (i.e, a C-like comment).

- 2. [6%] Modify the procedure q1() in q1.c to perform the same computation as q1.s. Do not modify the other parts of this C file; they are used to test and mark your code. Note that you can optionally provide different values for the structs on the command line. The C code you write must work for arbitrary values.
- 3. [2%] Examining the code you write for q1() in q1. Count the *minimum* number of memory reads and writes that are required to execute these five lines. Note these numbers may be different from q1.s, which takes each line individually (e.g., reading the value of i each time, which is not really necessary). Ignore register allocation for this questions; i.e., you can assume that you have an infinite number of registers available.

Place these two numbers in the file q1.txt; on two separate lines with the number of reads listed first (just these numbers and nothing else). Then place any explanation you'd like to be considered should you need partial credit in the file q1-desc.txt.

Question 2 – Dynamic Allocation (Part 1) [30%]

The file stack.c contains a program that implements a stack data structure and tests it. A stack has two operations: push and pop. Push adds strings to the stack and pop removes them, in last-in-first-out order. So, if you push "one", "two", and then "three", in that order, three pops will give you "three", "two", "one", in that order.

You can use the included Makefile to build the program by typing

make stack

This will produce an executable file named stack that you can run by typing:

./stack

This program tests the stack by pushing two elements, popping one, pushing two more, popping all three, and then printing the four popped values in the order they were popped. The values pushed are "A", "B", "C", and "D", in this order and so the output should be:

B D C A

But, as you will see the program does not produce this output. It has a bug.

Find the bug and write a careful description of it in the file q2.txt. The bug is a dangling pointer somewhere. If you can't find it, you might try commenting out calls to free(). This should fix the dangling pointer bug by replacing it with a memory leak.

Once you have found and described the bug, you need to fix it. Update he file stack.c so that it has neither dangling pointers nor memory leaks. Your fix should change the existing program as minimally as possible. In particularly, the program must still call malloc where it does and use this dynamically allocated memory as it does.

Test your program by running it to verify that you get the correct output. If you do, this is a good indication that you have fixed the dangling pointer but. But, you are not done. You must also ensure that your program does not have a memory leak. To do so, you must run the program through

valgrind, which is available on the undergrad servers but likely not on other platforms you might use for other parts of the assignment. The test you need to run is the following:

```
valgrind --tool=memcheck --leak-check=yes ./stack
```

If you have a memory leak you will see an error that looks something like this:

```
==31419== LEAK SUMMARY:

==31419== definitely lost: 240 bytes in 2 blocks

==31419== indirectly lost: 240 bytes in 2 blocks
```

If you have a dangling pointer you may see an error that looks something like this:

```
==31993== Invalid read of size 1
==31993== at 0x4E7D000: vfprintf (vfprintf.c:1629)
```

If you eliminate both bugs (your goal) then you should see output something like this:

```
==1272== HEAP SUMMARY:
==1272== in use at exit: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==1272== total heap usage: 4 allocs, 4 frees, 480 bytes allocated
==1272==
==1272== All heap blocks were freed -- no leaks are possible
==1272==
==1272== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v
==1272== ERROR SUMMARY: 0 errors from 0 contexts (suppressed: 2 from 2)
```

Or you might see (depending on which version of valgrind you use):

```
==9402== LEAK SUMMARY:
==9402== definitely lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==9402== indirectly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==9402== possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==9402== still reachable: 4,096 bytes in 1 blocks
==9402== suppressed: 25,084 bytes in 373 blocks
```

There are a few different ways to fix the bug. Any of them are fine as long as you still allocate stack elements dynamically using malloc.

Question 3 – Dynamic Allocation (Part 2) [60%]

Now we turn a similar bug in a more challenging example. This example is a program that consists of three files: q3.c, list.c, and tree.c, built by typing make q3. The first file contains main, the second implements a doubly-linked list, and the third implements a binary search tree. The implementation is free of all bugs expect for a single dangling pointer bug. Again, your goal is to find the bug, describe it, and fix it.

The program reads a list of strings from the command line, adds them to the linked list in input order, and adds some of them (chosen randomly) to the binary search tree that organizes them in alphabetical order. This tree is an index on the linked list and so tree nodes store pointers to linked-list elements.

The point of this setup is to create a complex memory-management problem in which some list elements have two references (the prev and next pointers of the linked list) and some have three (the two

linked list pointers and a third pointer from the tree). The problem is to correctly reclaim memory allocated to a list element (i.e., by calling free) when neither the list nor the tree references it. Doing so is not trivial, because the linked list implementation does not know which of its elements are referenced by the tree.

After creating the list and tree, the test program traverses the tree, arriving at the elements it indexes in alphabetical order, and then using the linked list to print the input list starting with this element.

For example if you ran the program with the input "one two three four five", like this:

```
./q3 one two three four five
```

And the tree indexed nodes "one", "three" and "five", it would output:

```
five one two three four five three four five
```

The program then deletes the linked list and every element that is not referenced by the tree and performs the same traversal again. In this step it should produce the output:

```
five
one
three
```

But, you will see that it doesn't, because deleting the list introduces a dangling pointer bug.

Find the bug. As a starting point, you might want to once again comment out all calls to free thus removing the dangling pointer bug and allowing the program to run correctly. Of course, doing this introduces a memory leak.

Once you've identified the line(s) of code that cause the bug, carefully describe the bug by placing your description in the file q3.txt.

Now, fix the bug using reference counting. You can use the provided refcount.[ch] files if you like or you can roll your own implementation of reference counting; its up to you. Once again you can not remove any calls to malloc and both list elements and tree nodes must still be allocated dynamically. In addition you must maintain the modularity of the program in which the list has no coupling to the tree (and vice versa). Your fix should change the program as minimally as possible.

Modify whichever of the three files you need to and test your program to ensure that it produces the correct output and that valgrind reports no memory leaks, just as you did for Step 1.

Note that valgrind is limited to checking a single execution of the program, the one you specify on the command line. It is often the case that memory-leak bugs only cause memory leaks for certain inputs. So, be sure to run valgrind for a variety of inputs, as we will when we test your program. If any execution reports a memory leak, then you have a bug.

What to Hand In

Use the handin program.

The assignment directory is ~/cs213/a5, it should contain the following *plain-text* files.

- 1. README.txt that contains the name and student number of you and your partner
- 2. PARTNER.txt containing your partner's CS login id and nothing else (i.e., the 4- or 5- digit id in the form a0z1). Your partner should not submit anything.
- 3. The files q1.s, q1.c, q1.txt, and q1-desc.txt for Question 1.
- 4. q2.txt that contains your description of the bug in Question 2.
- 5. q3.txt that contains your description of the bug in Question 3.
- 6. stack.c, q3.c, list.h, list.c, tree.h, tree.c, refcount.h, refcount.c updated as needed to answer Questions 2 and 3.