










**分** / Design Patterns / Creational Patterns

# Singleton

# Intent

**Singleton** is a creational design pattern that lets you ensure that a class has only one instance, while providing a global access point to this instance.



### **Problem**


The Singleton pattern solves two problems at the same time, violating the *Single Responsibility Principle*:

resource—for example, a database or a file.

Here's how it works: imagine that you created an object, but after a while decided to create a new one. Instead of receiving a fresh object, you'll get the one you already created.

Note that this behavior is impossible to implement with a regular constructor since a constructor call **must** always return a new object by design.





Clients may not even realize that they're working with the same object all the time.

2. **Provide a global access point to that instance**. Remember those global variables that you (all right, me) used to store some essential objects? While they're very handy, they're also very unsafe since any code can potentially overwrite the contents of those variables and crash the app.

Just like a global variable, the Singleton pattern lets you access some object from anywhere in the program. However, it also protects that instance from being overwritten by other code.

There's another side to this problem: you don't want the code that solves problem #1 to be scattered all over your program. It's much better to have it within one class, especially if the rest of your code already depends on it.

Nowadays, the Singleton pattern has become so popular that people may call something a singleton even if it solves just one of the listed problems.



All implementations of the Singleton have these two steps in common:


- Make the default constructor private, to prevent other objects from using the new operator with the Singleton class.
- Create a static creation method that acts as a constructor. Under the hood, this method calls the
  private constructor to create an object and saves it in a static field. All following calls to this
  method return the cached object.

If your code has access to the Singleton class, then it's able to call the Singleton's static method. So whenever that method is called, the same object is always returned.

## Real-World Analogy

The government is an excellent example of the Singleton pattern. A country can have only one official government. Regardless of the personal identities of the individuals who form governments, the title, "The Government of X", is a global point of access that identifies the group of people in charge.

## 品 Structure



ונא טעעוו ענמאא.



The Singleton's constructor should be hidden from the client code. Calling the getInstance method should be the only way of getting the Singleton object.

#### # Pseudocode

In this example, the database connection class acts as a **Singleton**. This class doesn't have a public constructor, so the only way to get its object is to call the getInstance method. This method caches the first created object and returns it in all subsequent calls.

```
// The Database class defines the `getInstance` method that lets
// clients access the same instance of a database connection
// throughout the program.
class Database is
   // The field for storing the singleton instance should be
    // declared static.
    private static field instance: Database
   // The singleton's constructor should always be private to
    // prevent direct construction calls with the `new`
    // operator.
    private constructor Database() is
        // Some initialization code, such as the actual
        // connection to a database server.
        // ...
    // The static method that controls access to the singleton
    // instance.
    public static method getInstance() is
        if (Database.instance == null) then
            acquireThreadLock() and then
                // Ensure that the instance hasn't yet been
                // initialized by another thread while this one
                // has been waiting for the lock's release.
                if (Database.instance == null) then
                    Database.instance = new Database()
        return Database.instance
    // Finally, any singleton should define some business logic
    // which can be executed on its instance.
    public method query(sql) is
        // For instance, all database queries of an app go
```



class Application is
 method main() is
 Database foo = Database.getInstance()
 foo.query("SELECT ...")
 // ...
 Database bar = Database.getInstance()
 bar.query("SELECT ...")
 // The variable `bar` will contain the same object as

# **Applicability**

// the variable `foo`.

// ...

- Use the Singleton pattern when a class in your program should have just a single instance available to all clients; for example, a single database object shared by different parts of the program.
- The Singleton pattern disables all other means of creating objects of a class except for the special creation method. This method either creates a new object or returns an existing one if it has already been created.
- Use the Singleton pattern when you need stricter control over global variables.
- Unlike global variables, the Singleton pattern guarantees that there's just one instance of a class. Nothing, except for the Singleton class itself, can replace the cached instance.

Note that you can always adjust this limitation and allow creating any number of Singleton instances. The only piece of code that needs changing is the body of the getInstance method.

# How to Implement

- 1. Add a private static field to the class for storing the singleton instance.
- 2. Declare a public static creation method for getting the singleton instance.

catt and put it into the static netu. The method shoutd atways return that instance on att subsequent calls.

- 4. Make the constructor of the class private. The static method of the class will still be able to call the constructor, but not the other objects.
- 5. Go over the client code and replace all direct calls to the singleton's constructor with calls to its static creation method.

#### ক্রতি Pros and Cons

- You can be sure that a class has only a single instance.
- You gain a global access point to that instance.
- ✓ The singleton object is initialized only when it's requested for the first time.
- ➤ Violates the Single Responsibility Principle. The pattern solves two problems at the time.
- The Singleton pattern can mask bad design, for instance, when the components of the program know too much about each other.
- The pattern requires special treatment in a multithreaded environment so that multiple threads won't create a singleton object several times.
- It may be difficult to unit test the client code of the Singleton because many test frameworks rely on inheritance when producing mock objects. Since the constructor of the singleton class is private and overriding static methods is impossible in most languages, you will need to think of a creative way to mock the singleton. Or just don't write the tests. Or don't use the Singleton pattern.

#### **₹** Relations with Other Patterns



- **Flyweight** would resemble **Singleton** if you somehow managed to reduce all shared states of the objects to just one flyweight object. But there are two fundamental differences between these patterns:
  - 1. There should be only one Singleton instance, whereas a *Flyweight* class can have multiple instances with different intrinsic states.
  - 2. The *Singleton* object can be mutable. Flyweight objects are immutable.
- Abstract Factories, Builders and Prototypes can all be implemented as Singletons.

## </> Code Examples























#### Why do you need to know about Design Patterns?

- Ace interviews and reviews. Patterns always come up.
- Get that raise and promotion you so richly deserve!
- Extend your toolkit with proven, problem-free code samples.
- Customize ready-to-go solutions. Don't reinvent the wheel!
- Communicate better with colleagues without long explanations.



☐ Learn more...

RETURN

← Prototype

Structural Patterns →

Home Refactoring Design Patterns Premium Content Forum Contact us

Terms & Conditions Privacy Policy

Content Usage Policy About us

- © 2014-2025 Refactoring.Guru. All rights reserved.
- Illustrations by Dmitry Zhart

#### **Ukrainian office:**

- III FOP Olga Skobeleva
- Abolmasova 7 Kyiv, Ukraine, 02002
- ☑ Email:

support@refactoring.guru

#### Spanish office:

- Oleksandr Shvets
- Avda Pamplona 64Pamplona, Spain, 31009
- ☑ Email:

support@refactoring.guru