

Stat 542-3 (1) - Ph.D. Prelim Exam - Spring 1998

For a discrete random variable X taking values on the nonnegative integers the generating function is defined as $h_X(s) = E(s^X) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Pr(X = j)s^j$ for those values s for which the sum converges.

- (a) Prove that $h_X(s)$ converges for $-1 \leq s \leq 1$.
- (b) Show that $h'_X(1) = \lim_{s \uparrow 1} h'_X(s)$ is equal to the mean of the random variable X .
- (c) Obtain an expression for the variance of X (assuming the variance is finite) in terms of $h_X(s)$ or its derivatives.
- (d) If X and Y are independent random variables with generating functions $h_X(s)$ and $h_Y(s)$ then find the generating function of $X + Y$.
- (e) Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables having generating function $f(s)$. Let $S_N = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$ where N is a nonnegative integer-valued random variable independent of the X_i 's. We take $S_N = 0$ if $N = 0$. Let the generating function of N be $g(s)$.
 - i. Find the generating function of S_N conditional on $N = n$.
 - ii. Show that the generating function of S_N is $g(f(s))$.
- (f) Show that the generating function for a Poisson random variable with mean λ is $e^{\lambda(s-1)}$.
- (g) In the setup of part (e), suppose that the X_i 's are i.i.d. Bernoulli trials with probability of success p and N is a Poisson random variable with mean λ .
 - i. Find the distributions of S_N and $N - S_N$, and their means and variances.
 - ii. Show that S_N and $N - S_N$ are independent by computing $\Pr(S_N = u, N - S_N = v)$.
- (h) In the setup of part (e), suppose that the X_i 's are i.i.d. Poisson random variables with mean μ and N is a Poisson random variable with mean λ . Find the mean and variance of the distribution of S_N .

Stat 542-3 (1) Solution - Ph.D. Exam Exam - Spring 1998

① $h_X(1) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(X=j) = 1$ so $h_X(s)$ is convergent at $s=1$.

For $-1 \leq s < 1$ we have $|P(X=j) s^j| \leq P(X=j)$ so $h_X(s)$ converges for s in this interval.

② $h'_X(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j P(X=j) s^{j-1}$. If $EX < \infty$ then $\lim_{s \uparrow 1} h'_X(s) = h'_X(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j P(X=j) = EX$

③ $h''_X(1) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j(j-1) P(X=j) = E[X(X-1)]$

Then $Var X = EX^2 - (EX)^2 = h''_X(1) + h'_X(1)^2 - h'_X(1)^2$

④ $h_{X+Y}(s) = E(s^{X+Y}) = E(s^X) E(s^Y) = h_X(s) h_Y(s)$

⑤ i. $E[s^{X_1 + \dots + X_N} | N=n] = [f(s)]^n$ (apply result from ④)

ii. $h_{S_N}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E[s^{X_1 + \dots + X_N} | N=n] P(N=n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N=n) [f(s)]^n = g(f(s))$

⑥ $X \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda)$ $h_X(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j e^{-\lambda}}{j!} s^j = e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda s} = e^{\lambda(s-1)}$

⑦ i. $X \sim \text{Bern}(p)$ $h_X(s) = (1-p) + ps$

From ② + ⑥ we find $h_{S_N}(s) = e^{\lambda(h_X(s)-1)} = e^{\lambda p(s-1)}$

$\Rightarrow S_N \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda p)$ $ES_N = Var S_N = \lambda p$

⑧ If we apply the same argument to $Y=1-X$ with $N-S_N = Y_1 + \dots + Y_N$ we find $N-S_N \sim \text{Poi}(\lambda(1-p))$ with $E(N-S_N) = Var(N-S_N) = \lambda(1-p)$

ii. $P(S_N=u, N-S_N=v) = P(S_N=u, N=u+v)$

$= P(N=u+v) P(S_N=u | N=u+v)$

$= \frac{\lambda^{u+v} e^{-\lambda}}{(u+v)!} \frac{(u+v)!}{u! v!} p^u (1-p)^v = \frac{e^{-\lambda p} (\lambda p)^u}{u!} \frac{e^{-\lambda(1-p)} (\lambda(1-p))^v}{v!}$

$= P(S_N=u) P(N-S_N=v)$ so S_N and $N-S_N$ are independent.

⑨ From ② + ⑥ $h_{S_N}(s) = e^{\lambda(h_X(s)-1)} = e^{\lambda(e^{\lambda(s-1)} - 1)}$

The mean and variance of S_N can be found from ② + ③ OR

$E(S_N) = E(E(S_N|N)) = E[N\mu] = \lambda\mu$

$Var(S_N) = E(Var(S_N|N)) + Var(E(S_N|N)) = E[N\mu^2] + Var[N\mu] = \lambda\mu^2 + \lambda\mu^2$

Stat 542-3 (2) - Ph.D. Prelim Exam - Spring 1998

Assume that X has the exponential distribution, i.e., its pdf is $f_X(x) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$ for $0 < x < \infty$ and 0 otherwise, where $\theta > 0$.

- (a) Derive the mean and variance of X .
- (b) Suppose that we have an i.i.d. sample X_1, \dots, X_n of observations ($n \geq 2$) from the exponential distribution described at the top of the page.
 - i. Find the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}$ for θ .
 - ii. Show that the distribution of $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a gamma distribution. (Recall if $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ then its p.d.f. is $f_Y(y) = \beta^\alpha y^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta y} / \Gamma(\alpha)$ for $0 < y < \infty$ and 0 otherwise).
 - iii. Find the expected value of the maximum likelihood estimator for θ . Is the maximum likelihood estimator for θ unbiased?
 - iv. Find an exact 95% confidence interval for θ based on X_1, \dots, X_n .
 - v. Identify the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$.
- (c) Let $[x]$ denote the largest integer less than or equal to x . Define $Y = [X]$ where X has the exponential distribution described at the top of the page. Show that Y has a geometric distribution and identify the mean and variance of Y .
- (d) Suppose that in part (b) we are not able to observe the actual values X_1, \dots, X_n , but instead observe the values Y_1, \dots, Y_n with $Y_i = [X_i]$.
 - i. Find the maximum likelihood estimator for θ .
 - ii. Find the distribution of $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$.
- (e) With the setup as in (d), intuition should suggest that we get more information about θ when we observe the X_i 's than when we observe the Y_i 's. Check this intuition by considering the Fisher information in each case.

Stat 542-3 (2) Solution - Ph.D. Prelim Exam - Spring 1998

(a) $EX = \int_0^{\infty} \theta x e^{-\theta x} dx = 1/\theta$
 $EX^2 = \int_0^{\infty} \theta x^2 e^{-\theta x} dx = 2/\theta^2 \Rightarrow \text{Var}X = 1/\theta^2$

(b) $f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) = \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum x_i} = L(\theta)$

i. $\frac{d \log L}{d\theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum x_i = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = 1/\bar{x}$

ii. Using MGFs $M_{X_i}(t) = E(e^{tx}) = \theta/(\theta - t)$
 $M_{\sum X_i}(t) = (\theta/(\theta - t))^n$ which is the MGF for a Gamma(n, θ) dist.

iii. $E(\hat{\theta}) = E(n/\sum X_i) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{n}{y} \frac{\theta^n y^{n-1} e^{-\theta y}}{\Gamma(n)} dy = \frac{n\theta}{n-1}$, $\hat{\theta}$ is not unbiased

iv. If $\sum X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \theta)$ then $\theta \sum X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, 1)$
 Let $\Gamma_{\alpha, \beta, p} = p^{\text{th}}$ percentile of the Gamma(α, β) distribution.

Then $\left(\frac{\Gamma_{n, 1, .025}}{\sum X_i}, \frac{\Gamma_{n, 1, .975}}{\sum X_i} \right)$ is a 95% CI for θ

v. MLE $\hat{\theta} \approx N(\theta, I^{-1})$ where $I = E\left[-\frac{d^2 \log L}{d\theta^2}\right] = n/\theta^2$
 $\Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \theta^2)$

(c) $P(Y=y) = P(y \leq X \leq y+1) = \int_y^{y+1} \theta e^{-\theta x} dx = e^{-\theta y}(1 - e^{-\theta})$
 If $X \sim \text{Geom}(p)$ $f_X(x) = p^x (1-p)$ $x=0, 1, \dots$ then $EX = 1/p$ $\text{Var}X = 1/p^2$

Therefore $Y \sim \text{Geom}(1 - e^{-\theta})$ with $EY = 1/(e^{-\theta} - 1)$ $\text{Var}Y = e^{-\theta}/(e^{-\theta} - 1)^2$

(d) i. $f(y_1, \dots, y_n | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\theta y_i} (1 - e^{-\theta}) = e^{-\theta \sum y_i} (1 - e^{-\theta})^n = L(\theta)$
 $\frac{d \log L}{d\theta} = -\sum y_i + n e^{-\theta} / (1 - e^{-\theta}) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \begin{cases} \ln(1 + 1/\bar{y}) & \text{if } \sum y_i > 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } \sum y_i = 0 \end{cases}$

ii. If $Y_i \sim \text{Geom}(p)$ then $Z = \sum Y_i \sim \text{NegBin}(n, p)$, $P(Z=z) = \binom{z+n-1}{z} e^{-\theta z} (1 - e^{-\theta})^n$

(e) Note that more information (in the Fisher sense) implies a lower asymptotic variance.

X: $I_X = E\left[-\frac{d^2 \log f(X_1, \dots, X_n | \theta)}{d\theta^2}\right] = n/\theta^2$

Y: $I_Y = E\left[-\frac{d^2 \log f(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \theta)}{d\theta^2}\right] = n e^{-\theta} / (e^{-\theta} - 1)^2 = n / (e^{\theta/2} - e^{-\theta/2})^2$
 $= n / (\theta + \frac{\theta^3}{24} + \frac{\theta^5}{240} + \dots) < n/\theta^2 = I_X$

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent and identically distributed random variables with common probability density function (pdf) $f_\theta(x)$, $\theta > 0$, where

$$f_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3x^2}{\theta^3} & \text{if } 0 < x < \theta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also, suppose that the parameter θ is random with prior pdf

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} e^{-\theta} \theta^{3n} / \{(3n)!\} & \text{if } \theta > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- i. Write down the joint pdf of X_1, \dots, X_n and θ .
- ii. Find the marginal distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n .
- iii. Show that the posterior density function (i.e., the conditional pdf) of θ given $X_i = x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, is as follows:

$$g_{\theta|\mathbf{x}}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \exp(-(\theta - x_{(n)})) & \text{if } \theta > x_{(n)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $x_{(n)} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$.

- iv. Find the Bayes' estimator of θ under the squared error loss function.
- v. Find a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ highest posterior density (HPD) credible set Λ_α for θ , where $0 < \alpha < 1$.
(Recall that Λ_α is a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ HPD credible set for θ if $\Lambda_\alpha = \{\theta : g_{\theta|\mathbf{x}}(\theta) > c\}$ for some real number c and $P(\theta \in \Lambda_\alpha | X_i = x_i, i = 1, \dots, n) = 1 - \alpha$.)
- vi. Find $P_\theta(\theta \in \Lambda_\alpha)$.
- vii. Is it true that the HPD credible set Λ_α can be regarded as a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence region for θ ? Briefly justify your answer.

Spring '98.

SOLUTION / Ph.D Prelim

STAT 542-3 (3)

(i)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x, \theta) &= 3^n \theta^{-3n} \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ x_i^2 I(0 < x_i < \theta) \right\} \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^{3n}}{(3n)!} \cdot I(\theta) \\
 &= \frac{3^n}{3n!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) e^{-\theta} \cdot I(0 < x_{(n)} < \theta)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{(1)} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$ and $x_{(n)} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$.

(ii)

The marginal pdf of x_1, \dots, x_n is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_x(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, \theta) d\theta \\
 &= \left\{ \int_{x_{(n)}}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{3n!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) e^{-\theta} d\theta \right\} \cdot I(0 < x) \\
 &= \frac{3^n}{3n!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) \cdot e^{-x_{(n)}} \cdot I(x_{(n)} > 0)
 \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For $x_{(n)} > 0$,

$$g_{\theta|x}(\theta) = f(x, \theta) / f_x(x)$$

$$= \frac{\frac{3^n}{3n!} (\prod x_i^2) \cdot e^{-\theta} I(x_{(n)} < \theta)}{\frac{3^n}{3n!} (\prod x_i^2) e^{-x_{(n)}}}$$

$$= e^{-(\theta - x_{(n)})} I(\theta > x_{(n)})$$

(iv) The Bayes' estimator of θ is given by

$$E_{\theta|x}(\theta) = \int \theta g_{\theta|x}(\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \int_{x_{(n)}}^{\infty} \theta e^{-(\theta - x_{(n)})} d\theta$$

$$= x_{(n)} + 1$$

(v) Note that a $(1-\alpha)$ HPD region is given

by $\Lambda_\alpha \equiv \{ \theta \in (0, \infty) : g_{\theta|X}(\theta) > c \}$ where the

constant $c > 0$ is such that

$$P_{\theta|X}(\theta \in \Lambda_\alpha) = 1 - \alpha$$

Since $g_{\theta|X}(\theta)$ is a decreasing function

over $(X_{(n)}, \infty)$, $g_{\theta|X}(\theta) > c \iff X_{(n)} < \theta < K$

(where $K = K(c)$ is defined by the equation

$$e^{-(K - X_{(n)})} = c).$$

Hence, a $(1-\alpha)$ HPD

region for θ is given by $\Lambda_\alpha = [X_{(n)}, K]$ where

$$P_{\theta|X}(\theta < K) = 1 - \alpha \iff \int_{X_{(n)}}^K e^{-(\theta - X_{(n)})} d\theta = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\iff 1 - e^{-(K - X_{(n)})} = 1 - \alpha \iff K = X_{(n)} - \log \alpha.$$

(vi)

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{\theta}(\theta \in \Omega_{\alpha}) \\ &= P_{\theta}(X_{(n)} \leq \theta \leq X_{(n)} - \log \alpha) \\ &= P_{\theta}(\theta + \log \alpha \leq X_{(n)} \leq \theta) \\ &= 1 - P_{\theta}(X_{(n)} < \theta + \log \alpha) \\ &= 1 - [P_{\theta}(X_1 < \theta + \log \alpha)]^n \\ &= 1 - \left[\frac{3}{\theta^3} \cdot \int_0^{(\theta + \log \alpha) \vee 0} x^2 dx \right]^n \\ &= 1 - \left[\frac{3}{\theta^3} \cdot ((\theta + \log \alpha) \vee 0)^3 / 3 \right]^n \\ &= 1 - [0 \vee (1 + \theta^{-1} \log \alpha)]^{3n} \end{aligned}$$

NOTE: For $0 < \theta \leq -\log \alpha$, $P_{\theta}(\theta \in \Omega_{\alpha}) = 1$, and for $\theta > -\log \alpha$, $1 + \theta^{-1} \log \alpha \in (0, 1) \Rightarrow P_{\theta}(\theta \in \Omega_{\alpha}) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

(vii) a $(1-\alpha)$ HPD region can not be interpreted as a $(1-\alpha)$ confidence region for θ .