

STAT 5430

Lecture 06, M, Feb 3

practice → Homework 1 is assigned in Canvas
(submit/due by Monday, Feb 3, by midnight)

on point estimation → Homework 2 is assigned in Canvas
(due by next Monday, Feb 10, by midnight)

Office hours Mine: FM, 12-1 PM + by appointment
TA (Min-Yi): WR 11-12 in Snedecor 2404

Criteria for Evaluating Point Estimators

Bias, cont'd

2. It is NOT always possible to find an U.E. of $\gamma(\theta)$

Example: Let X be Binomial(n, p), $0 < p < 1$. Show there is no U.E. of $\gamma(p) = 1/p$.

Solution: If possible, suppose $h(X)$ is U.E. of $1/p$

$$\Rightarrow E_p h(X) = \sum_{x=0}^n h(x) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} = 1/p, \forall 0 < p < 1$$

Then multiply both sides by p & let $p \downarrow 0$

$$\sum_{x=0}^n h(x) \binom{n}{x} p^{x+1} (1-p)^{n-x} = 1, \forall 0 < p < 1$$

$\underbrace{\downarrow 0 \text{ as } p \downarrow 0}$ a contradiction!

Note: $\frac{X}{n}$ is estimator of $P \Rightarrow \frac{n}{X}$ is estimator of $1/p$
(U.E. of p) but not U.E.

3. Unbiasedness, while good, is not everything!

↳ X_i is $\begin{cases} 1 & \text{w.p. } P \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } (1-p) \end{cases}$

Example: Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid Bernoulli(p), $0 < p < 1$, and consider two estimators of p given by

$$T_1 = X_1, \quad T_2 = \bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

Note: $E_p(T_1) = E_p(X_1) = p, E_p(T_2) = E_p(\bar{X}_n) = E_p(X_1) = p,$
Both T_1 & T_2 are U.E. of p .

Note:

$$E_p(T_1 - p)^2 = E_p(X_1 - E_p(X_1))^2 = \text{Var}_p(X_1) = p(1-p)$$

$$E_p(T_2 - p)^2 = E_p(\bar{X}_n - E_p(\bar{X}_n))^2 = \text{Var}_p(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\text{Var}_p(X_1)}{n} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$

\bar{X}_n is better (smaller variance) "smaller expected squared distance from center p "

Criteria for Evaluating Point Estimators

Uniform Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator (UMVUE)

↑ "best U.E"

Definition: Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)$ be the joint pdf/pmf of X_1, \dots, X_n . Then, an estimator T of a real-valued parametric function $\gamma(\theta)$ is called the **Uniform Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator (UMVUE)** of $\gamma(\theta)$ if

1. T is an U.E. of $\gamma(\theta)$, that is, $E_{\Theta}(T) = \gamma(\theta), \forall \theta \in \Theta$

2. $\text{Var}_{\Theta}(T) < \infty, \forall \theta \in \Theta$

3. Given any other U.E. of $\gamma(\theta)$, say T_1 , it holds that

$$\text{Var}_{\Theta}(T) \leq \text{Var}_{\Theta}(T_1), \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta.$$

↑ T has the smallest (best)
variance compared to any
other U.E. T_1 of $\gamma(\theta)$

Finding a UMVUE

There are two general strategies for finding a UMVUE:

- Use CRLB (this doesn't always work)

Next

- Use "sufficiency" + "completeness" (later)

Criteria for Evaluating Point Estimators

Cramèr-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB)

Motivation for the CRLB:

$\leftarrow \text{given } T \text{ is U.E of } \gamma(\theta)$

- Suppose T is an *unbiased* estimator of a *real-valued* parametric function $\gamma(\theta)$ (i.e., $E(T) = \gamma(\theta)$, any $\theta \in \Theta$) & wish to know if T is the UMVUE for $\gamma(\theta)$. \leftarrow Want to check if T is UMVUE
 - Suppose further that we know of a function of θ , say $c(\theta)$, where it holds that
- $\text{Var}(T_1) \geq c(\theta)$ for any unbiased estimator T_1 of $\gamma(\theta)$ and for any $\theta \in \Theta$.
- \leftarrow suppose some lower bound (LB) on variance can be found
- If you find $\text{Var}(T) = c(\theta)$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$, then you'd know T is the UMVUE.
 - Sometimes you can explicitly find such a lower bound $c(\theta)$ by the **Cramèr-Rao Inequality** or **Cramèr-Rao Lower Bound**

Theorem (Cramèr-Rao Inequality): Let $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$, be the joint pdf/pmf of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . Assume that

1. Θ is an open subset of \mathbb{R}

e.g. rules out $\text{UNIF}(0, \theta)$

2. $A \equiv \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) > 0\}$ does not depend on θ

3. $\frac{d f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{d\theta}$ exists on Θ , for all $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in A$

smoothness conditions on pdf/Pmf of data

4. For any estimator $T^* = T^*(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ with $E(T^*)^2 < \infty$ for all θ , it holds that

$$\frac{d E(T^*)}{d\theta} = \begin{cases} \int_A T^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \frac{d f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{d\theta} dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n & \text{if } X_i \text{'s continuous} \\ \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in A} T^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \frac{d f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{d\theta} & \text{if } X_i \text{'s discrete} \end{cases}$$

"information number"

$$5. \text{ For all } \theta \in \Theta, \quad 0 < I_n(\theta) \equiv E \left[\left(\frac{d \log f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | \theta)}{d\theta} \right)^2 \right] < \infty$$

Sample size n

Then, for any unbiased estimator T of $\gamma(\theta)$, it holds that

$$\text{Var}(T) \geq \frac{(\gamma'(\theta))^2}{I_n(\theta)} \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Theta \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma'(\theta) \equiv d\gamma(\theta)/d\theta$ is assumed to exist on Θ .

CRLB \ll

Criteria for Evaluating Point Estimators

Cramèr-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB)

Remarks:

- The right-hand side of (1) on page 23 is called the **Cramèr-Rao Lower Bound.**
- Conditions 1 - 5 in the Theorem are called the “Cramèr-Rao Regularity Conditions.” These are satisfied if X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are a random sample from the 1-parameter exponential family. Eg., Binomial(n, θ), Poisson(θ), Geometric(θ), $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$, $N(\mu, \theta)$, gamma(α, θ), gamma(θ, β)
- $I_n(\theta)$ is called the **Fisher Information number** (for size n sample)
- If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid with common pdf/pmf $f(x|\theta)$, then

to compute
info numbers →

$$I_n(\theta) = n I_1(\theta), \quad \text{where } I_1(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{d \log f(X_1|\theta)}{d\theta} \right)^2 \right] \quad (2)$$

and $I_1(\theta)$ represents the Fisher information for one observation.

- If $\frac{d^2 f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n|\theta)}{d\theta^2}$ exists on Θ , for all $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in A$, then

$$I_n(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{d \log f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n|\theta)}{d\theta} \right)^2 \right] = -E_{\theta} \left(\frac{d^2 \log f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n|\theta)}{d\theta^2} \right).$$

If, in addition, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid with common pdf/pmf $f(x|\theta)$, then we have

↓ two ways to compute $I_1(\theta)$ ↓

$$I_n(\theta) = n I_1(\theta) \text{ where } I_1(\theta) = E_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{d \log f(X_1|\theta)}{d\theta} \right)^2 \right] = -E_{\theta} \left(\frac{d^2 \log f(X_1|\theta)}{d\theta^2} \right)$$

Criteria for Evaluating Point Estimators

ASIDE

Cramèr-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB)

Proof of (2), page 24/continuous case. For any sample size n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left(\frac{d \log f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | \theta)}{d\theta} \right) \\
 &= \int_A \frac{d \log f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{d\theta} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) dx_1, \dots, dx_n \\
 &= \int_A \frac{d f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{d\theta} \frac{f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta)} dx_1, \dots, dx_n \quad \text{derivative of log} \\
 &= \int_A 1 \cdot \frac{d f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{d\theta} dx_1, \dots, dx_n \\
 &= \frac{d \mathbb{E}(1)}{d\theta} \quad \text{by condition 4. of Theorem with } T^* = 1 \\
 &= \frac{d}{d\theta} 1 \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_n(\theta) &= \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{d \log f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | \theta)}{d\theta} \right)^2 \right] \quad \leftarrow I_n(\theta) \text{ is a type of Variance} \\
 &= \text{Var}_{\theta} \left(\frac{d \log f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | \theta)}{d\theta} \right) \\
 &= \text{Var}_{\theta} \left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i | \theta) \right) \quad \text{since } f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) \\
 &= \text{Var}_{\theta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d \log f(X_i | \theta)}{d\theta} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}_{\theta} \left(\frac{d \log f(X_i | \theta)}{d\theta} \right) \quad \text{sum of independent variables} \\
 &= n \text{Var}_{\theta} \left(\frac{d \log f(X_1 | \theta)}{d\theta} \right) \quad \text{iid variables} \\
 &= n \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[\left(\frac{d \log f(X_1 | \theta)}{d\theta} \right)^2 \right] \quad (\text{optional}) \\
 &= n I_1(\theta)
 \end{aligned}$$

Criteria for Evaluating Point Estimators

Cramèr-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB)

Example: Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are iid $\text{Exponential}(\theta)$, $\theta > 0$ with density

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}, & x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \Rightarrow E_\theta(X_1) = \theta \\ \text{Var}_\theta(X_1) = \theta^2$$

Find the CRLB for estimating $\gamma(\theta) = \theta$ and the UMVUE of θ .

Solution: $I_n(\theta) = n I_1(\theta)$

$$\frac{d \log f(X_1|\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{d[-\log \theta - X_1/\theta]}{d\theta} = -\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{X_1}{\theta^2}$$

$$I_1(\theta) = E_\theta \left[\left(-\frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{X_1}{\theta^2} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{\theta^4} \underbrace{E_\theta[(X_1 - \theta)^2]}_{\text{Var}_\theta(X_1) = \theta^2} = \frac{\theta^2}{\theta^4} = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$

$$I_1(\theta) = -E_\theta \left[\frac{d^2 \log f(X_1|\theta)}{d\theta^2} \right] = -E_\theta \left[\frac{2}{\theta^2} - \frac{2X_1}{\theta^3} \right] \\ = -\left(\frac{2}{\theta^2} - 2 \frac{E_\theta X_1}{\theta^3} \right) \\ = -\left(\frac{2}{\theta^2} - \frac{2\theta}{\theta^3} \right) = \frac{2}{\theta^2}$$

$$\gamma(\theta) = \theta \\ \gamma'(\theta) = 1 \Rightarrow \text{CRLB is } \frac{[\gamma'(\theta)]^2}{I_n(\theta)} = \frac{[1]^2}{n \cdot \frac{2}{\theta^2}} = \frac{\theta^2}{n}$$

check: $\bar{X}_n \Rightarrow E_\theta(\bar{X}_n) = E_\theta(X_1) = \theta, \forall \theta > 0$
 $(\bar{X}_n \text{ is UE of } \theta)$

Hence \bar{X}_n is UMVUE of θ !
 $\text{Var}_\theta(\bar{X}_n) = \text{Var}_\theta(X_1)/n = \theta^2/n = \text{CRLB}$