

# STAT 543 ☺

Lec 17, M, Mar 3

- Homework 4 posted, due M, Mar 10
- Exam 1 solutions, grading key, summary posted

## Sufficiency and Point Estimation

## Remarks on Completeness

1. If  $T$  is complete, then  $T$  is boundedly complete; the converse is false.

← Connection between sufficiency & completeness

2. If  $T$  is sufficient and boundedly complete, then  $T$  is minimal sufficient.

So by Remark 1 above, if  $T$  is sufficient and complete, then  $T$  is minimal sufficient.

3. Suppose  $T$  is complete and  $h_1(T), h_2(T)$  are two estimators of  $\gamma(\theta)$

if  $E_\theta h_1(T) = \gamma(\theta) = E_\theta h_2(T)$ , for all  $\theta \in \Theta$

$\Rightarrow E_{\theta} u(T) = 0$ , for all  $\tilde{\theta} \in \Theta$ , where  $u(T) = h_1(T) - h_2(T)$

$$\Rightarrow P_\theta(u(T) = 0) = 1, \text{ for all } \tilde{\theta} \in \Theta$$

$$\Rightarrow P_\theta(h_1(T) = h_2(T)) = 1, \text{ for all } \tilde{\theta} \in \Theta$$

Hence, there can be at most one (i.e., unique) UE of a parametric function  $\gamma(\theta)$  that is a function of a complete statistic.

4. Let  $T \equiv h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be an UE of  $\gamma(\theta)$  & suppose  $\tilde{S}$  is sufficient.

Recall:

$$\text{call: } T \xrightarrow[\text{Rao-Blackwellize}]{\text{sufficient } \Sigma} T^* = E(T(\xi)) \text{ is U.E of } r(\phi) \\ \text{and } \text{Var}_\phi(T^*) \leq \text{Var}_\phi(T)$$

A diagram illustrating the relationship between T, S, and the L-S Theorem. On the left, there is a vertical line labeled 'T' at the top and 'S' at the bottom. To the right of this line is a horizontal arrow pointing to the right. Above the arrow, the word 'sufficient & complete' is written in cursive. Below the arrow, the text 'L-S Theorem' is written in blue, with '(next)' written below it in parentheses.

$T^* = E(T(S))$  is UMVUE  
of  $r(\theta)$ !

## Sufficiency and Point Estimation

Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem: Completeness + Sufficiency + UE = UMVUE

**Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem.** Let  $f(x|\theta) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n|\theta)$  be the joint pdf/pmf of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ . Let  $\underline{S} = (S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k)$  be a complete and sufficient statistic. If  $T^* \equiv T(\underline{S})$  is an UE of  $\gamma(\theta)$  and is a function of  $\underline{S}$ , then  $\underline{T^*}$  is the UMVUE of  $\gamma(\theta)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be any UE of  $\gamma(\theta)$ . We must show  $\text{Var}_{\theta}(T^*) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}(T)$

Define  $T_1 = E(T|\underline{S})$ . Since  $\underline{S}$  is sufficient, by the Rao-Blackwell theorem, we know

$T_1$  is a function of  $\underline{S}$  & U.E. of  $\gamma(\theta)$  &

$$\text{Var}_{\theta}(T_1) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}(T), \forall \theta$$

Now  $T_1$  &  $T^*$  are functions of  $\underline{S}$  (complete) &  
both are U.E of  $\gamma(\theta)$

Since  $\underline{S}$  is complete, we know

$$P_{\theta}(T_1 = T^*) = 1, \forall \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}_{\theta}(T^*) = \text{Var}_{\theta}(T_1) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}(T), \forall \theta$$

$\Rightarrow T^* = h(\underline{S})$  is UMVUE of  $\gamma(\theta)$  [ $\&$  so is  $T_1$ ]

**Remark.** The R-B theorem & L-S theorem together suggest two methods for finding the UMVUE:

Method I: Given a parametric function  $\gamma(\theta)$ , find an UE of  $\gamma(\theta)$

$\underline{S}$  sufficient & complete

$$T^* = h(\underline{S})$$

that is a function of a complete and sufficient statistic.

$$ET^* = \gamma(\theta), \forall \theta$$

then  $T^*$  is UMVUE

Method II: Start with any UE  $\underline{T}$  of  $\gamma(\theta)$ . Then  $\underline{T^*} = E(T|\underline{S})$

is the UMVUE of  $\gamma(\theta)$ , if  $\underline{S}$  is complete and sufficient.

a little harder find  $T^* = E(T|\underline{S}) = h(\underline{S})$

## Sufficiency and Point Estimation

Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem: Illustrations

*Example.* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$ ,  $\theta > 0$ . Find the UMVUE of  $\theta$ .

(could here use CRLB to find UMVUE)

Solution: Check  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient (check by Factorization theorem) & is also complete (later)

Use  $\bar{X}_n = \frac{S}{n} \Rightarrow$  check  $E_\theta(\bar{X}_n) = E_\theta(X_i) = \theta$ ,

$\tilde{S}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [X_i^2 - (\bar{X}_n)^2]$  So  $\bar{X}_n$  is UE of  $\theta$  & a function of complete/sufficient  $S \Rightarrow \bar{X}_n$  is UMVUE of  $\theta$ .  
 Note:  $\tilde{S}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$  has  $E_\theta \tilde{S}^2 = \text{Var}_\theta(X_i) = \theta$ ,  $\forall \theta > 0$ ,  
 So  $\tilde{S}^2$  is UE of  $\theta$ . So,  $E(\tilde{S}^2 | S) = \bar{X}_n$  by L-S Theorem

*Example.* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $\text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Find the UMVUE of  $\gamma(\theta) = \theta^r(1-\theta)^{n-r}$ , for a fixed (known) integer  $1 \leq r \leq n$ .

Solution: Check  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient & also complete (later)

Note  $S \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$ ,  $P_\theta(S=s) = \binom{n}{s} \theta^s (1-\theta)^{n-s}$

Define  $T^* = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} & \text{if } S=r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \frac{I[S=r]}{\binom{n}{r}}$

which is a function of  $S^2$

$$E_\theta(T^*) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} E_\theta(I[S=r]) = \frac{P_\theta(S=r)}{\binom{n}{r}} = \theta^r (1-\theta)^{n-r}, \forall \theta$$

So,  $T^*$  is UMVUE of  $r(\theta)$  by L-S theorem.

## Sufficiency and Point Estimation

Exponential Families (for Checking Sufficiency/Completeness)

*Definition:* A family of pdf/pmf  $\{f(x|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ ,  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ , is called an **exponential family** if it can be written in the form

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} c(\theta)h(x) \exp \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k q_i(\theta)t_i(x) \right] & x \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where

$A \equiv \{x : f(x|\theta) > 0\}$  does NOT depend on  $\theta$ ,

$c(\theta) > 0$  and  $h(x) > 0$  are positive-valued functions,

and  $q_i(\theta)$ ,  $t_i(x)$  are real-valued functions for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

**Theorem:** Let  $\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_n$  be a (possibly vector-valued) random sample from  $f(x|\theta)$ , where  $\{f(x|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$  is an exponential family admitting a representation as above. If

$$\left\{ [q_1(\theta), \dots, q_k(\theta)] : \theta \in \Theta \right\} \supset (a_1, b_1) \times \dots \times (a_k, b_k)$$

for some  $a_i < b_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , then

$$\tilde{S} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n t_1(\tilde{X}_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n t_k(\tilde{X}_j) \right)$$

is complete and sufficient.