

# Multivariate transformations

Transformations via MGF

Idea: If the mgf of a transformed random variable  $\underline{Y} = u(\underline{X}_1, \dots, \underline{X}_n)$  can be identified, then we know the distribution of  $Y$ .

Recall if  $X$  and  $Y$  have mgfs where  $M_X(t) = M_Y(t)$  with  $t \in (-h, h)$ , for some  $h > 0$ , then  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same distribution.

General Case:

- Suppose  $\underline{Y} = u(\underline{X}_1, \dots, \underline{X}_n)$  where  $(\underline{X}_1, \dots, \underline{X}_n) \sim f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

- Then mgf of random variable  $Y$  is  $M_Y(t) = Ee^{tY} = Ee^{tu(X_1, \dots, X_n)}$ , where

$$M_Y(t) = \begin{cases} Ee^{tu(X_1, \dots, X_n)} = \int \dots \int e^{tu(x_1, \dots, x_n)} f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1, \dots, dx_n & (\text{continuous case}) \\ Ee^{tu(X_1, \dots, X_n)} = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)} e^{tu(x_1, \dots, x_n)} f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) & (\text{discrete case}) \end{cases}$$

- May recognize the mgf and hence the distribution of  $Y$  (if the mgf can be tractably computed)

Most Important Case: sums of independent r.v.s

- Suppose  $\underline{Y} = \underline{X}_1 + \underline{X}_2$  with  $\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2$  independent

- Recall that the mgf of random variable  $Y$  is then

$$\rightarrow M_Y(t) = Ee^{tY} = Ee^{t(X_1 + X_2)} = Ee^{tX_1}e^{tX_2} = Ee^{tX_1}Ee^{tX_2} = M_{X_1}(t)M_{X_2}(t),$$

assuming the mgfs exist. Note the mgf's of  $\underline{X}_1$  and  $\underline{X}_2$  are evaluated at the same  $t$  because there is but a single random variable  $\underline{Y}$

- May again recognize the mgf and hence the distribution of  $Y$ . This happens quite often with independent sums.

## Multivariate transformations

Transformations via MGF: examples

Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent. Let  $S = X + Y$  and find the mgf of  $S$  when

- $X \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $Y \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$  (both normally distributed)

$$M_S(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E[e^{tS}] = E[e^{t(X+Y)}] = E[e^{tX}] E[e^{tY}]$$

Question: What If  $S^* = X - Y$ ?  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent

$$\Rightarrow S^* \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

$$= e^{\mu_1 t + \frac{\sigma_1^2 t^2}{2} + \mu_2 t + \frac{\sigma_2^2 t^2}{2}}$$

$$= e^{(\mu_1 + \mu_2)t + [\frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{2}]t^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow S \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

- $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_1)$  and  $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_2)$

$\Rightarrow$  Find the dist. of  $S = X + Y$ .

$$M_S(t) = E[e^{t(X+Y)}] = E[e^{tX}] E[e^{tY}]$$

$X$  and  $Y$  are independent

$$= e^{\lambda_1(e^t - 1)} e^{\lambda_2(e^t - 1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow S \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(e^t - 1)}$$

- $X, Y$  are both Exponential( $\beta$ ) distributed

$\Rightarrow S = X + Y$ . Find the dist. of  $S = ?$

$$M_S(t) = E[e^{tX}] E[e^{tY}] = \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta - t} \right) \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta - t} \right)$$

$X$  and  $Y$  are independent

$$= \frac{\beta^2 / \beta^2}{(\beta - t)^2 / \beta^2}$$

$$\frac{(\beta - t)^2}{\beta^2} = \left( \frac{\beta - t}{\beta} \right)^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{t}{\beta})^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow S \sim \text{Gamma}(2, \frac{1}{\beta})$$

$$X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$$

$$M_X(t) = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$$

$$M_X(t) = E[e^{tX}]$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} [e^{tx} (\underbrace{e^{-\lambda}}_{\lambda} \underbrace{x!}_{\lambda^x})]$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda e^t]^x}{x!}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \underbrace{\lambda e^t}_{\lambda^x}$$

$$= e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$$

Recall: If  $W \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$

$$M_W(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - \beta t)^\alpha}$$

$$X \sim \text{Exp}(\beta) \Rightarrow f_X(x) = \beta e^{-\beta x} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1)} x^{1-1} e^{-x/\beta} = f_Y(y)$$

## Multivariate transformations

Transformations via MGF: examples

The same technique shows that: for *independent*  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ,

- if each  $X_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$ , then

$$\checkmark S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim N\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2\right)$$

- if each  $X_i \sim \underline{\text{Binomial}}(n_i, p)$  (common  $p$ ), then

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Binomial}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n n_i, p\right)$$

- if each  $X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_i, \beta)$  (common  $\beta$ ), then

$$\text{Gamma } (\alpha, \beta) \\ f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta}$$

$$X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\beta) \quad f_X(x) = \beta e^{-\beta x} \quad S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \beta\right)$$

(a) if each  $X_i \sim \text{Exponential}(\beta) \sim \text{Gamma}(1, \frac{1}{\beta})$ , then  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \frac{1}{\beta})$

(b) if each  $X_i \sim \chi_{\nu_i}^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(\nu_i/2, 2)$ , then  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \chi_{\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i}^2$

$$X \sim \chi_{2n}^2 \Rightarrow M_X(t) = (1-2t)^{-\nu/2}, \quad Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\nu/2, 2) \Rightarrow M_Y(t) = (1-2t)^{-\nu/2}$$

- if each  $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$ , then

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right)$$

- if each  $X_i \sim \text{Neg-Binomial}(r_i, p)$  (common  $p$ ), then

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Neg-Binomial}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i, p\right)$$

- if each  $X_i \sim \text{Geometric}(p) \sim \text{Neg-Binomial}(1, p)$ , then  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Neg-Binomial}(n, p)$

# Multivariate transformations

Multivariate continuous case

The final technique for determining distributions of transformed random variables:

if we transform continuous random variables, using a one-to-one continuously differentiable transformation, we can *directly* find the pdf of the new random variables

## Set-up

- Suppose continuous  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  has joint pdf  $f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with support  $\mathcal{A} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0\}$

- Transformation:

$$\underline{\underline{Y}} = \underline{\underline{u}}(\underline{\underline{X}}) \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{aligned} Y_1 &= u_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ Y_2 &= u_2(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ &\vdots && \vdots \\ Y_n &= u_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{aligned} \quad \text{new. r.v.}$$

with  $\mathcal{B}$  = support of  $f_{Y_1, \dots, Y_n}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$

$$\left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_n) : f_{Y_1, \dots, Y_n}(y_1, \dots, y_n) > 0 \right\}$$

- Assume transformation is one-to-one with inverse functions

$$\rightarrow \underline{\underline{x}} = \underline{\underline{u}}^{-1}(\underline{\underline{y}}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$f_{U,V} = f_{X,Y}(h(u,v), h(v)) \quad \left| \quad \begin{aligned} f_{Y_1, \dots, Y_n} &= f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(u_1^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, u_n^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \\ &= f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned} \right.$$

$x$  term

- Define the Jacobian

$$J = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_n} \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial y_n} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial u_1^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial u_1^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_n} \\ \frac{\partial u_2^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial u_2^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial u_2^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u_n^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial u_n^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial u_n^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

- If  $J$  is continuous and  $J \neq 0$  over  $\mathcal{B}$  (except possibly on a set with probability zero),

$$f_{Y_1, \dots, Y_n}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(\mathbf{u}^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)) |J|, \quad (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{B}$$

- Often only interested in one transformation  $Y_1 = u_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

Then choose convenient definitions to fill out the transformation

e.g.  $Y_2 = X_2, \dots, Y_n = X_n$

- If transformation is not one-to-one, then we partition  $\mathcal{A}$  (the support of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ) into sets  $\mathcal{A}_i$  where a transformation  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{u}_j(\mathbf{X})$  is one-to-one and then add pieces

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}_i^{-1}(\mathbf{y})) |J_i|$$