

# Some Key Linear Models Results

Ulrike Genschel

January 23, 2025

# A General Linear Model (GLM)

Suppose

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \text{where} \quad (1)$$

- $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the response vector,
  - $\mathbf{X}$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix of known/fixed constants,
  - $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is an unknown parameter vector, and
  - $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  is a vector of unobserved random “errors” satisfying  $E(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ .
- known*

The model is called a linear model because the mean of the response vector  $\mathbf{y}$  is linear in the unknown parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ . ( $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ )

# A General Linear Model

- This GLM says simply that  $y$  is a random vector with expectation  $E(y) = X\beta$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .
- The distribution of  $y$  is left unspecified but generally depends on the distribution of  $\epsilon$ .
- Goal: estimate  $E(y)$
- Available: observed values of  $y$  and  $X$ ,
- Estimate  $X\beta$ , which by definition corresponds to the mean of  $y$ , i.e.,  $E(y)$ .

## Examples

There are many special cases of (1) depending on the distribution of  $\epsilon$ , the structure of the  $\Sigma$ , and the rank and the structure of  $\mathbf{X}$ .

We will start out by considering the following two cases generally known as the **Gauss-Markov Model**:

GM

GM NE

- ① the distribution of  $\epsilon$  is **Normal** with  $E(\epsilon) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \Sigma_\epsilon = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ , where  $\sigma^2 > 0$  is unknown;  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$
- ② the distribution of  $\epsilon$  is **unknown** with  $E(\epsilon) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \Sigma_\epsilon = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ , where  $\sigma^2 > 0$  is unknown

We will later relax the form of  $\text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \Sigma_\epsilon$  to allow for more flexibility, e.g.,  $\text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \Sigma_\epsilon = \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}$ , where  $\mathbf{V}$  is known and  $\sigma^2 > 0$  is unknown. This model is known as the **Aitken model**.

# Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Estimation

Suppose  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon$ ,  $E(\epsilon) = 0$ ,  $\text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$

*Column space of  $\mathbf{X}$*

- $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\beta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  with  $\beta$  unknown,  $\mathbf{X}$  is full-rank
- To estimate  $E(\mathbf{y})$ , consider  $\mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}$ .
- To estimate  $E(\mathbf{y})$ , find the vector in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  that is closest to  $\mathbf{y}$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}^\top)$  denote the null space of  $\mathbf{X}^\top$  and note that  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}^\top)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  are orthogonal to each other, i.e.,  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}^\top) \perp \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$

*Residuals  $\hat{\epsilon}$  are in the null space*

The null space of a matrix  $A$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{N}(A)$ , is given as  $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{x : xA = 0\}$  of  $\mathbf{X}^\top$

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \{x : xA = 0\}$$

*allowing for orthogonal decomposition of  $\mathbf{y}$  into  $\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\epsilon}$*

## Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Estimation

*ordinary*

An estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  is a **least squares estimate** (LSE) of  $\beta$  if  $X\hat{\beta}$  is the vector in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  that is closest to  $y$

$$\hat{\beta} = \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} (y - X\beta)^\top (y - X\beta).$$

Method of least squares identifies the value of  $\beta$  for which the squared Euclidean norm of the residual vector, i.e., **error sum of squares**

$$\mathcal{Q}(\beta) = \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = (y - X\beta)^\top (y - X\beta)$$

is minimized.

# Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Estimation

There exist two distinct ways to identify the LSE:

- algebraically: normal equations
- geometrically: orthogonal projection of  $y$  onto  $\mathcal{C}(X)$

## OLS Estimation: Normal Equations

Recall that the method of least squares seeks the  $\beta$  that minimizes the Euclidean norm of the residual vector

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}(\beta) &= \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|_2^2 = \underline{(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^\top(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)} \\ &= \underline{\mathbf{y}^\top\mathbf{y} - 2\beta^\top\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{y} + \beta^\top\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{X}\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

To find the minimum, we take the derivative and set the gradient equal to the null vector

$$\nabla \mathcal{Q}(\beta) = -2\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{y} + 2\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{X}\beta = \mathbf{0}$$

leading to the **normal equations**

$$\boxed{\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{X}\beta = \mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{y}.}$$

(2)

# OLS Estimation: Solutions to the Normal Equations

The normal equations

$$\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$$

have  $(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$  as the **unique** solution for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  if  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = p$ .

$(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}$  unique inverse when

The normal equations have **infinitely many** solutions for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  if  $\mathbf{X}$  is  
 $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) < p$ .

generalized inverse full rank

While  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$  may not always be a unique solution,

$\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \hat{\mathbf{y}}$  will be unique.

## OLS Estimation: Geometric Approach

Let  $P_X$  denote the orthogonal projection matrix onto  $\mathcal{C}(X)$

$$P_X = X(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top.$$

Properties:

- $P_X$  is idempotent, (i.e.,  $P_X P_X = P_X$ )
- $P_X$  projects onto  $\mathcal{C}(X)$
- $P_X$  is invariant to the choice of  $(X^\top X)^{-1}$ , i.e., it is the same matrix for all generalized inverses  $(X^\top X)^{-1}$  of  $X^\top X$
- $P_X$  is symmetric (i.e.,  $P_X = P_X^\top$ ) and unique
- $P_X X = X$  and  $X^\top P_X = X^\top$ . trace
- $\text{rank}(X) = \text{rank}(P_X) = \text{tr}(P_X)$ .

## OLS Estimation: Geometric Approach

An estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  is a least squares estimate if and only if

$$X\hat{\beta} = P_X y.$$

projecting  $y$  onto  
column space of  $X$

The OLS Estimator of  $E(y)$  is thus given by

$$P_X y = X\hat{\beta} \equiv \underline{\underline{\hat{y}}} = \mathcal{E}(\hat{y}) \quad (3)$$

because  $P_X y \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  and

$$\|y - P_X y\|^2 < \|y - z\|^2 \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{C}(X) \setminus \{P_X y\}.$$

Even when  $\hat{\beta}$  is not unique,  $P_X y = X\hat{\beta} \equiv \hat{y}$  always will.

## OLS Estimation: Fitted Values

$\hat{y} = P_X y$  is the vector of fitted values. Recall that geometrically,  $\hat{y}$  is the point in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  that is closest to  $y$ . Now, note that  $I - P_X$  is the perpendicular projection matrix onto  $\mathcal{N}(X^\top)$  and

$$(I - P_X)y = y - P_X y = y - \hat{y} \equiv \hat{e}.$$

$\hat{e}$  is the vector of **residuals** and  $\hat{e} \in \mathcal{N}(X^\top)$ . Because  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(X^\top)$  are orthogonal complements, we can uniquely decompose  $y$  as

$$y = \hat{y} + \hat{e}.$$

## OLS Estimation: Orthogonal Decomposition of $y^T y$

We know that  $\hat{y}$  and  $\hat{e}$  are orthogonal vectors. Thus,

Projects onto  $C(x)$

Projects onto  $N(x^T)$

adding zero

$$\begin{aligned} y^T y &= y^T \underline{I} y = y^T (\underline{P_X} + \underline{I - P_X}) y \\ &= \underline{y^T P_X y} + \underline{y^T (I - P_X) y} \\ &= \underline{y^T P_X P_X y} + \underline{y^T (I - P_X)(I - P_X) y} \\ &= \hat{y}^T \hat{y} + \hat{e}^T \hat{e}, \quad \underline{P_X} \end{aligned}$$

since  $\underline{P_X}$  and  $\underline{(I - P_X)}$  are both symmetric and idempotent.

## Orthogonal Decomposition of $\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}$ & ANOVA Table

This orthogonal decomposition of  $\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}$  is often given in a tabular display called an analysis of variance (ANOVA) table.

Suppose  $\mathbf{y}$  is  $n \times 1$ ,  $\mathbf{X}$  is  $n \times p$  with rank  $r \leq p$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is  $p \times 1$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  is  $n \times 1$ . We assume the the model given in (1):  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ . Then, the ANOVA table looks as follows

Source	df	Sum of Squares
Model	$r$	$\hat{\mathbf{y}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{y}$
Residual	$n - r$	$\hat{\mathbf{e}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X) \mathbf{y}$
Total	$n - 1$	$\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{I} \mathbf{y}$

Table: ANOVA Table

# The OLS Estimator of a Linear Function of $E(\mathbf{y})$

For any  $q \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,  $AE(\mathbf{y})$  is a linear function of  $E(\mathbf{y})$ .

For any  $q \times n$  matrix  $A$ , the OLS Estimator of  $AE(\mathbf{y}) = \underline{AX\beta}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} A \text{ [OLS Estimator of } E(\mathbf{y})] &= A\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \underline{AP_{Xy}} \\ &= \underline{AX}(\underline{X^\top X})^{-1}\underline{X^\top y}. \end{aligned}$$

- $AE(\mathbf{y}) = AX\beta$  is automatically a linear function of  $\beta$  of the form  $C\beta$ , where  $C = AX$ .
- If  $C$  is any  $q \times p$  matrix, we say that the linear function of  $\beta$  given by  $C\beta$  is estimable if and only if  $C = AX$  for some matrix  $q \times n$  matrix  $A$ .
- The OLS Estimator of an estimable linear function  $C\beta$  is  $C(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top y$ .

## Uniqueness of the OLS Estimator of an $C\beta$

If  $C\beta$  is estimable, then  $C\hat{\beta}$  is the same for all solutions  $\hat{\beta}$  to the Normal Equations.

In particular, the unique OLS Estimator of  $C\beta$  is

$$C\hat{\beta} = C(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top y = A\cancel{X}(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top y = \cancel{AP_X}y,$$

where  $C = AX$ .  $\hat{\beta}$

# The OLS Estimator is a Linear Unbiased Estimator

If  $C\beta$  is estimable, then  $C\hat{\beta}$  is a linear unbiased estimator of  $C\beta$ .

The OLS Estimator is a linear estimator because it is a linear function of  $y$ :

$$C\hat{\beta} = \underline{C(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top y} = My, \text{ where } M = \underline{C(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top}.$$

The OLS Estimator is unbiased because, for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(C\hat{\beta}) &= E(C(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top y) = \boxed{C(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top} E(y) \quad \text{constant} \\ C &= AX \quad = \quad AX(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top E(y) = AP_X \boxed{E(y)} = X\beta \\ &= \underline{AP_X X\beta} = AX\beta = C\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Slide 10

## The Gauss-Markov Model (GMM)

Suppose  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ , where

- $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the response vector,
- $\mathbf{X}$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix of known constants,
- $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is an unknown parameter vector, and
- $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  is a vector of random “errors” satisfying  $E(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$  for some unknown variance parameter  $\sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

## The GMM is a Special Case of the GLM

The GMM is a special case of the GLM presented previously.

We have added the assumption  $\text{Var}(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ ; i.e., we assume the errors are uncorrelated and have constant variance.

All the results presented for the GLM hold for the GMM.

# The Gauss-Markov Theorem

For the GMM, we have an additional result provided by the *Gauss-Markov Theorem*:

## The Gauss-Markov Theorem

The OLS Estimator of an estimable function  $C\beta$  is the

*Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE)* of  $C\beta$

in the sense that the OLS Estimator  $C\hat{\beta}$  has the smallest variance among all linear unbiased estimators of  $C\beta$ .

*end lecture*

2