

You may use the following facts on this Theory I question set.

- If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. random variables with marginal pdf f and cdf F , then the joint pdf of order statistics $(X_{(i)}, X_{(j)})$ (for given $1 \leq i < j \leq n$) is

$$f_{X_{(i)}, X_{(j)}}(x, y) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(j-i-1)!(n-j)!} f(x)f(y)[F(x)]^{i-1}[F(y)-F(x)]^{j-i-1}[1-F(y)]^{n-j}, \quad x < y,$$

with $f_{X_{(i)}, X_{(j)}}(x, y) = 0$ for any other $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Based on the random sample above, the marginal pdf of the order statistic $X_{(i)}$ (for some $1 \leq i \leq n$) is

$$f_{X_{(i)}}(x) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(n-i)!} f(x)[F(x)]^{i-1}[1-F(x)]^{n-i}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- A Gamma(α, β) random variable X has pdf $f(x) = x^{\alpha-1}e^{-x/\beta}/[\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)]$, $x > 0$ and moment generating function $M(t) = [1 - \beta t]^{-\alpha}$ for $t < 1/\beta$, where $\alpha, \beta > 0$.
- A Gamma(α, β) random variable X has mean $E(X) = \alpha\beta$ and variance $\text{Var}(X) = \alpha\beta^2$.
- A chi-square random variable with ν degrees of freedom is Gamma($\nu/2, 2$)-distributed.

Part I

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n ($n > 2$) be i.i.d. random variables with marginal pdf f , marginal cdf F , and support $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > 0\}$.

Let $Y_i = X_{(i)}$ denote the i th order statistic, $i = 1, \dots, n$, whereby $Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_n$.

1. Show that the conditional pdf of Y_n given $Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1} \in \mathcal{X}$ is given by

$$f_{Y_n|Y_{n-1}}(y) = \frac{f(y)}{1 - F(y_{n-1})}, \quad y > y_{n-1}$$

with $f_{Y_n|Y_{n-1}}(y) = 0$ for $y \leq y_{n-1}$.

2. For fixed $y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_{n-1} \in \mathcal{X}$ and any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, show that

$$P(Y_n \leq y | Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1}) = P(Y_n \leq y | Y_1 = y_1, Y_2 = y_2, \dots, Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1})$$

(i.e., the conditional cdf of Y_n given Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1} matches the conditional cdf of Y_n given Y_{n-1}).

3. If $X_1 \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$, find $E[E(Y_n Y_{n-1} | Y_{n-1})]$.

4. Let $F_i(y; y_{i-1})$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, denote the conditional cdf of Y_i given $Y_{i-1} = y_{i-1} \in \mathcal{X}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$, and let $F_1(y)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, denote the cdf of Y_1 . Define random variables $R_1 = F_1(Y_1)$ and $R_i = F_i(Y_i; Y_{i-1})$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Determine $P(R_1 \leq r_1, R_2 \leq r_2, \dots, R_n \leq r_n)$ as a function of $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Part II

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. standard exponential random variables with pdf $f(x) = e^{-x}$, $x > 0$. The joint pdf of the order statistics $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$ is

$$f_{X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = n! \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right), \quad 0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n.$$

Define the spacing random variables as $D_1 = X_{(1)}$ and $D_i = X_{(i)} - X_{(i-1)}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$.

5. Show that D_1, \dots, D_n are *independent* random variables, where D_i is Exponential with pdf $f_{D_i}(d_i) = (n - i + 1)e^{-(n-i+1)d_i}$, $d_i > 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Justify your answer.

Note: $X_{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^i D_k$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

6. If $M(t)$ denotes the moment generating function of $\sum_{i=1}^n D_i$, determine the second derivative $[d^2 \log M(t)/dt^2]|_{t=0}$ as a function of $\sum_{i=1}^n i^{-2}$.

7. Show that $D_1 + D_n \xrightarrow{d} W$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for some random variable W . Identify the distribution of W .

8. For a fixed $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let

$$T_r \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r X_{(i)} + (n - r)X_{(r)} = \sum_{i=1}^r (n - i + 1)D_i.$$

Find the moment generating function of $2T_r$.

9. Show that the distribution of $2T_r$ is the same as that of $\sum_{i=1}^{2r} Z_i^2$, where Z_1, \dots, Z_{2r} are i.i.d. standard normal random variables. State any standard results that you use.
10. Show that $(\sum_{i=1}^n X_{(i)}/n)^2 \xrightarrow{d} 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Justify your answer.
11. Determine the distribution of $\sqrt{r}(\log T_r - \log r)$ when $r \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Hint: Consider $\log(T_r/r) = \log T_r - \log r$ for the quantity $2T_r/(2r)$ along with the implication of Question 9.
12. For $Z_i = X_{(i)} - X_{(1)}$, $i > 1$, show that (Z_2, \dots, Z_n) has the same joint distribution as the order statistics defined by a size $n - 1$ random sample of standard exponential random variables.
13. Using Question 12 and letting $\bar{X}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i/n$, show that the distribution of $2(\bar{X}_n - X_{(1)})$ is χ_{2n-2}^2 . State any standard results that you use.

Part I

1. From the facts given, the joint pdf of $(Y_{n-1}, Y_n) = (X_{(n-1)}, X_{(n)})$ is given by

$$f_{Y_{n-1}, Y_n}(x, y) = n(n-1)f(x)f(y)[F(x)]^{n-2}, x < y$$

and the marginal pdf of Y_{n-1} is

$$f_{Y_{n-1}}(x) = n(n-1)f(x)[F(x)]^{n-2}[1 - F(x)].$$

Given $Y_{n-1} = x$ (where $f(x) > 0$), the conditional pdf of Y_n is then

$$f_{Y_n|x}(y) = \frac{f_{Y_n, Y_{n-1}}(x, y)}{f_{Y_{n-1}}(x)} = \frac{f(y)}{1 - F(x)}, \quad y > x.$$

Set $x = y_{n-1}$ above for conditioning on $Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$.

2. To show that the conditional distributions are the same given $Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$, it suffices to show the conditional pdfs match. We already have the conditional pdf of Y_n given $Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$. To find the conditional pdf of Y_n given $Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$ (where each $f(y_i) > 0$), note that the joint pdf of Y_1, \dots, Y_n is

$$g(y_1, \dots, y_n) = n!f(y_1) \cdots f(y_n), \quad y_1 < \dots < y_n$$

and the joint pdf of Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1} is (by integrating Y_n out)

$$h(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = n!f(y_1) \cdots f(y_{n-1}) \int_{y_{n-1}}^{\infty} f(y_n) dy_n = n!f(y_1) \cdots f(y_{n-1})[1 - F(y_{n-1})]$$

for $y_1 < \dots < y_{n-1}$. Hence, the conditional pdf of Y_n given $Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1}$ is

$$f_{Y_n|y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}}(y_n) = \frac{g(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{h(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})} = \frac{f(y_n)}{1 - F(y_{n-1})}, \quad y_n > y_{n-1}$$

which is the same conditional pdf $f_{Y_n|Y_{n-1}}(y_n)$.

3. $E[E(Y_n Y_{n-1} | Y_{n-1})] = E[Y_n Y_{n-1}] = E[X_{(n)} X_{(n-1)}]$, where, if $f(x) = 1, 0 < x < 1$,

$$E[X_{(n)} X_{(n-1)}] = \int_0^1 \int_0^y n(n-1)xy[x]^{n-2} dx dy = \int_0^1 (n-1)y^{n+1} dy = \frac{n-1}{n+2}.$$

Or, $E[E(Y_n Y_{n-1} | Y_{n-1})] = E[Y_{n-1} E(Y_n | Y_{n-1})]$ where $Y_n | Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1} \sim \text{Uniform}(y_{n-1}, 1)$ by $f_{Y_n|Y_{n-1}=y_{n-1}}(y_n) = 1/(1 - y_{n-1}), y_{n-1} < y_n < 1$ so that

$$E(Y_n | Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1}) = \int_{y_{n-1}}^1 y_n \frac{1}{1 - y_{n-1}} dy_n = \frac{1}{2}(1 + y_{n-1})$$

and, by $f_{Y_{n-1}}(y) = n(n-1)y^{n-2}(1-y), 0 < y < 1$,

$$\frac{1}{2}E[Y_{n-1}(1+Y_{n-1})] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 n(n-1)y(1+y)y^{n-2}(1-y) dy = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+2} \right) = \frac{n-1}{n+2}.$$

4. In analogy to question 2, the conditional cdf of Y_i given Y_{i-1} is the same as the conditional cdf of Y_i given Y_{i-1}, \dots, Y_1 for each $i = 2, \dots, n$. By the probability integral transform, $F_i(Y_i; y_{i-1})$ is uniform(0, 1) distributed for each $i = 2, \dots, n$ (regardless of the value of y_{i-1}) and so is $F_1(Y_1)$; that is,

$$P(F_i(Y_i; y_{i-1}) \leq r_i | Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1} = y_{i-1}) = r_i \mathbb{I}(r_i \in [0, 1])$$

for each $i = 2, \dots, n$ and $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{I}(\cdot)$ denotes the indicator function. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & P(R_1 \leq r_1, \dots, R_n \leq r_n) \\ &= E[\mathbb{I}(R_1 \leq r_1, \dots, R_{n-1} \leq r_{n-1}) P(F_{n-1}(Y_n; y_{i-1}) \leq r_n | Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1})] \\ &= E[\mathbb{I}(R_1 \leq r_1, \dots, R_{n-1} \leq r_{n-1}) r_n \mathbb{I}(r_n \in [0, 1])] \\ &= r_n \mathbb{I}(r_n \in [0, 1]) P(R_1 \leq r_1, \dots, R_{n-1} \leq r_{n-1}), \\ & P(R_1 \leq r_1, \dots, R_{n-1} \leq r_{n-1}) \\ &= E[\mathbb{I}(R_1 \leq r_1, \dots, R_{n-2} \leq r_{n-2}) P(F_{n-1}(Y_{n-1}; y_{i-2}) \leq r_{n-1} | Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_{n-2} = y_{n-2})] \\ &= E[\mathbb{I}(R_1 \leq r_1, \dots, R_{n-2} \leq r_{n-2}) r_{n-1} \mathbb{I}(r_{n-1} \in [0, 1])] \\ &= r_{n-1} \mathbb{I}(r_{n-1} \in [0, 1]) P(R_1 \leq r_1, \dots, R_{n-2} \leq r_{n-2}), \\ & \vdots \\ & P(R_1 \leq r_1) \\ &= P(F_1(Y_1) \leq r_1) = r_1 \mathbb{I}(r_1 \in [0, 1]) \end{aligned}$$

That is, R_1, \dots, R_n are iid uniform(0, 1) variables and

$$P(R_1 \leq r_1, \dots, R_n \leq r_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n r_i \mathbb{I}(r_i \in [0, 1]), \quad r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Part II

5. As $X_{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^i D_k$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, the transformation is one-to-one and the Jacobian is given by

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(i.e., 1's on the diagonal and lower-diagonal with 0's elsewhere); note $\det(J) = 1$. The range of each D_i is $(0, \infty)$ and so the joint pdf of (D_1, \dots, D_n) is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{D_1, \dots, D_n}(d_1, \dots, d_n) &= n! \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i d_k \right) |\det(J)|, \quad d_1, \dots, d_n > 0 \\ &= n! \exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=k}^n d_k \right), \quad d_1, \dots, d_n > 0 \\ &= n! \exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n (n - k + 1) d_k \right), \quad d_1, \dots, d_n > 0 \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n (n - k + 1) \exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n (n - k + 1) d_k \right), \quad d_1, \dots, d_n > 0 \end{aligned}$$

using $\sum_{i=k}^n 1 = n - k + 1$ for each $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $n! = n(n-1) \cdots 1 = \prod_{k=1}^n (n - k + 1)$. The joint pdf factors into a product of marginal pdfs $f_{D_i}(d_i) = (n - i + 1) \exp(-(n - i + 1)d_i)$, $d_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ so that D_1, \dots, D_n are independent and D_i is exponential with mean $(n - i + 1)^{-1}$.

6. Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i$ so that $M_D(t) = M(t)$ by definition. Using $M_D(0) = 1$, $[dM_D(t)/dt]|_{t=0} = E(D)$, $[d^2 M_D(t)/dt^2]|_{t=0} = E(D^2)$ by definition of the moment generating function,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \log M_D(t)}{dt^2} \Big|_{t=0} &= \frac{d\{d[M_D(t)]/dt \cdot [M_D(t)]^{-1}\}}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= d^2[M_D(t)]/dt^2 \cdot [M_D(t)]^{-1} \Big|_{t=0} - \{d[M_D(t)]/dt\}^2 \cdot [M_D(t)]^{-2} \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= E(D^2) - [E(D)]^2 = \text{Var}(D) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(D_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(n - i + 1)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^2} \end{aligned}$$

7. Note for any n , $D_n \sim \text{Exponential}(1)$ so that $D_n \xrightarrow{d} W$ trivially as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $W \sim \text{Exponential}(1)$. Also, D_1 is Exponential with mean $1/n$ so that $D_1 \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;

i.e., pick $\epsilon > 0$, then

$$P(|D_1| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{E|D_1|}{\epsilon} = \frac{E(D_1)}{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{n\epsilon} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, by Slutsky's theorem $D_1 + D_n \xrightarrow{d} 0 + W = W$.

8. As $T_r = \sum_{i=1}^r (n-i+1)D_i$ with D_1, \dots, D_r as independent and the moment generating function of Exponential D_i is $M_{D_i}(t) = Ee^{tD_i} = [1 - (n-i+1)^{-1}t]^{-1}$, $t < n-i+1$, then

$$M_{2T_r}(t) = Ee^{t2T_r} = Ee^{2t\sum_{i=1}^r (n-i+1)D_i} = E \prod_{i=1}^r e^{t2(n-i+1)D_i} = \prod_{i=1}^r M_{D_i}[2t(n-i+1)] = [1-2t]^{-r}$$

which is valid for $2(n-i+1)t < (n-i+1)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ or $t < 1/2$.

9. By its moment generating function, the distribution of T_r is Gamma($r, 2$) or chi-square with $2r$ degrees of freedom. If Z_1, \dots, Z_{2r} are iid standard normal, then Z_1^2, \dots, Z_{2r}^2 are iid chi-square with 1 degree of freedom, and $\sum_{i=1}^{2r} Z_i^2$ is also chi-square with $2r$ degrees of freedom.
10. Note $\sum_{i=1}^n X_{(i)}/n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i/n = \bar{X}_n$. By the WLLN, $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} EX_1 = 1$. By the continuous mapping theorem (i.e., $g(x) = x^2$ is continuous), $(\bar{X}_n)^2 \xrightarrow{p} 1^2 = 1$. Convergence in distribution and in probability are equivalent when the limit is a constant, so $(\bar{X}_n)^2 \xrightarrow{d} 1$.
11. We have $T_r/r = 2T_r/(2r) \stackrel{d}{=} \bar{Y}_{2r} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{2r} Y_i/(2r)$, where Y_1, \dots, Y_r are iid chi-square random variables (1 degree of freedom). By the CLT,

$$\sqrt{2r}(\bar{Y}_{2r} - EY_1) = \sqrt{2r}(\bar{Y}_{2r} - 1) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \text{Var}(Y_1) = 2)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the Delta method and $g(x) = \log x$ (note $g'(x) = 1/x$)

$$\sqrt{2r}(g(\bar{Y}_{2r}) - g(1)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, [g'(1)]^2 2 = 2).$$

Finally, $g(\bar{Y}_{2r}) - g(1) = \log(T_r/r) - \log 1 = \log T_r - \log r$, so that

$$\sqrt{2r}(\log T_r - \log r) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 2)$$

and

$$\sqrt{r}(\log T_r - \log r) \xrightarrow{d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot N(0, 2) \stackrel{d}{=} N(0, 1).$$

12. The joint pdf of (Z_1, \dots, Z_n) with $Z_1 = X_{(1)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Z_1, \dots, Z_n}(z_1, \dots, z_n) &= n! \exp\left(-z_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n (z_i + z_1)\right), \quad z_1 > 0, z_n > \dots > z_2 > 0 \\ &= (n-1)! \exp\left(-\sum_{i=2}^n z_i\right) \cdot n \exp(-nz_1), \quad z_1 > 0, z_n > \dots > z_2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating out $Z_1 = X_{(1)}$ (having an Exponential distribution with mean n^{-1}) gives the joint pdf of (Z_2, \dots, Z_n) as

$$f_{Z_2, \dots, Z_n}(z_2, \dots, z_n) = (n-1)! \exp\left(-\sum_{i=2}^n z_i\right), \quad z_n > \dots > z_2 > 0.$$

13. We have $\bar{X}_n - X_{(1)} = \sum_{i=2}^n Z_i \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=2}^n Z_i^*$ where Z_2^*, \dots, Z_n^* are iid standard exponential. Hence, $2 \sum_{i=2}^n Z_i^*$ is Gamma($n-1, 2$) distributed or $\chi^2_{2(n-1)}$ distributed.

Part I

Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid with common pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} \frac{1}{x} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\theta} (\log x - \theta)^2 \right\} & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\theta > 0$.

1. Find a one-dimensional sufficient statistic for θ based on X_1, \dots, X_n .
2. Show that the two-dimensional statistic $(\sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n (\log X_i)^2)$ is *not* complete.
3. Argue that there is a unique maximizer of the likelihood function, call it $\hat{\theta}_n$. Find $\hat{\theta}_n$.
4. Find the asymptotic normal distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$.
5. Show that from the result of Question 4, it follows that $\hat{\theta}_n$ is a consistent estimator of θ .

Part II

Assume that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid with common pdf

$$f(x|\mu, \sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma} \right) \right] & \text{if } x \geq \mu, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$. Assume that both μ and σ are unknown.

6. Find the MLE of (μ, σ) .
7. Let $\sigma_0 > 0$ be a fixed number. Show that the likelihood ratio test (LRT) statistic for testing $H_0 : \sigma = \sigma_0$ against $H_1 : \sigma \neq \sigma_0$ can be expressed in terms of $Y \equiv n(\bar{X} - X_{(1)})/\sigma_0$.
8. For a given $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and fixed $\sigma_0 > 0$, find the size α LRT for testing $H_0 : \sigma = \sigma_0$ against $H_1 : \sigma \neq \sigma_0$.
Hint: You can use the following result without proof to determine the cutoff points. Let $Z_{(1)} < Z_{(2)} < \dots < Z_{(n)}$ be the order statistics based on a random sample Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n from Exponential (1). Then $2n(\bar{Z} - Z_{(1)}) \sim \chi_{2n-2}^2$, where $\bar{Z} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i/n$.
9. Show that the LRT statistic for testing $H_0 : \mu = \sigma$ against $H_1 : \mu \neq \sigma$ can be expressed in terms of $W \equiv (\bar{X} - X_{(1)})/X_{(1)}$.
10. Show that under $H_0 : \mu = \sigma$, the distribution of the LRT statistic in Question 9 does not depend on the parameters.

For Questions 11-12, assume that $\mu = \sigma = \theta$, where θ is unknown.

11. Show that $X_{(1)}/\theta$ is a pivotal quantity.
12. For a given $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, use the pivotal quantity in Question 11 to construct a $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence interval for θ .

For questions in **Part III**, you may assume the following without proof.
The beta function is defined as

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}dx,$$

for $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$. Also, $B(\alpha, \beta) = \Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)/\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)$.

Part III

Suppose that X has the pmf

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} (1-\theta)^{x-1}\theta & \text{if } x = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Consider the estimation of θ with the loss function $L(\theta, a) = (\theta - a)^2/\theta$.

13. Let $\pi(\theta)$ be the prior density of θ on $(0, 1)$. Show that the Bayes estimator of θ based on X is given by

$$\delta(x) = 1 - \frac{\int_0^1 (1-\theta)^x \pi(\theta) d\theta}{\int_0^1 (1-\theta)^{x-1} \pi(\theta) d\theta}.$$

For Questions 14-15, assume that

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha)}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^2} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\alpha-1}, \quad \theta \in (0, 1),$$

is the prior density of θ where $\alpha > 0$.

14. Show that the Bayes estimator of θ based on X is

$$\delta(x) = \frac{\alpha}{x + 2\alpha - 1}, \quad x = 1, 2, \dots$$

15. Let δ_0 be an estimator, where

$$\delta_0(1) = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ and } \delta_0(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x > 1.$$

Show that δ_0 is a limit of the Bayes estimators defined in Question 14.

Part IV

Let X be an unbiased estimator of θ , and let T be a sufficient statistic for θ . Define $\phi(T) \equiv E(X|T)$.

16. Prove that $\phi(T)$ is an unbiased estimator of θ .
17. Prove that $\text{Var}_\theta(\phi(T)) \leq \text{Var}_\theta(X)$ for all θ .
18. Let $L(\theta, d)$ be a convex function of d for each θ . Show that $E_\theta(L(\theta, \phi(T))) \leq E_\theta(L(\theta, X))$ for all θ .
19. Show that if W is a UMVUE of θ under squared error loss, then W is unique.

1. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} [\prod_{i=1}^n x_i]} \frac{1}{\theta^{n/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\theta} [\sum_{i=1}^n (\log x_i)^2 + n\theta^2 - 2\theta \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{\theta^{n/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\theta} [\sum_{i=1}^n (\log x_i)^2] \right\} \exp(-n\theta/2),\end{aligned}$$

from the factorization theorem, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^n (\log X_i)^2$ is sufficient for θ .

2. Let $Y = \log X$. Note that $Y \sim N(\theta, \theta)$, and $(\sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n (\log X_i)^2) \equiv (\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2)$. Let $\bar{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i/n$. Since $E(g(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2)) = 0$, where

$$g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2\right) = \bar{Y} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{n-1},$$

it implies that $(\sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n (\log X_i)^2)$ is not complete.

3. The log likelihood function is given by

$$l(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\theta) - \frac{1}{2\theta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\log X_i)^2 \right) - \frac{n\theta}{2}.$$

Equating $l'(\theta) = 0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\log X_i)^2 - n\theta^2 - n\theta = 0.$$

Since $\theta > 0$, the unique MLE of θ is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{\sqrt{1 + 4 \sum_{i=1}^n (\log X_i)^2/n} - 1}{2}.$$

4. Since

$$-E(l''(\theta)) = -E\left(\frac{n}{2\theta^2} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\log X_i)^2}{\theta^3}\right) = \frac{n(2\theta + 1)}{2\theta^2},$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 2\theta^2/(2\theta + 1)).$$

5. Since

$$(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta),$$

and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow 0$, by Slutsky's theorem $(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} 0$, which is equivalent to $(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{P} 0$.

6. The likelihood function is

$$\ell(\mu, \sigma|x) = \sigma^{-n} \exp \left[-\frac{n\bar{x}}{\sigma} + \frac{n\mu}{\sigma} \right] I_{(-\infty, x_{(1)}]}(\mu),$$

where \bar{x} is the sample mean, $x_{(1)}$ is the smallest order statistic, and $I_A(\cdot)$ is the indicator function of A . For any fixed σ , the likelihood is an increasing function of μ and hence $\hat{\mu} = X_{(1)}$ is the MLE of μ .

Solving the following equation

$$\frac{d}{d\sigma} \log \ell(x_{(1)}, \sigma|x) = 0,$$

we get $\sigma = \bar{x} - x_{(1)}$. The estimator $\hat{\sigma} = \bar{X} - X_{(1)}$ is the MLE of σ since

$$\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2} \log \ell(x_{(1)}, \sigma|x) \Big|_{\sigma=\hat{\sigma}} < 0.$$

7. Under H_0 , $\hat{\mu}_0 = X_{(1)}$. The likelihood ratio is

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma_0^n} \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{(1)})/\sigma_0)}{\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^n} \exp(-n)} = e^n \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sigma_0} \right)^n e^{-n \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sigma_0}} = e^n n^{-n} y^n e^{-y}.$$

8. Then $\lambda(x) < c$ is equivalent to $y < c_1$ or $y > c_2$, where $c_1 < n < c_2$ with $\lambda(c_1) = \lambda(c_2)$ or $c_1^n e^{-n} = c_2^n e^{-n}$. Under H_0 ,

$$Y = \frac{n(\bar{X} - X_{(1)})}{\sigma_0} = n \left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma_0} - \frac{X_{(1)} - \mu}{\sigma_0} \right) \stackrel{d}{=} n(\bar{Z} - Z_{(1)}),$$

where Z_i 's are iid $\text{Exp}(1)$. From the hint, it follows that $2Y \sim \chi_{2n-2}^2$. Let $\chi_{r,\alpha}^2$ be the α th quantile of χ^2 distribution with r degrees of freedom. Hence, an LRT of size α rejects H_0 when $2Y < \chi_{2n-2,\alpha_1}^2$ or $2Y > \chi_{2n-2,1-\alpha_2}^2$ where α_1, α_2 such that $1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = 1 - \alpha$ and $a_1^n e^{-a_1} = a_2^n e^{-a_2}$ for $a_1 = \chi_{2n-2,\alpha_1}^2/2$, $a_2 = \chi_{2n-2,1-\alpha_2}^2/2$.

9. Under H_0 , $\hat{\mu}_0 = X_{(1)} = \hat{\sigma}_0$. The likelihood ratio is

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{x_{(1)}^n} \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{(1)})/x_{(1)})}{\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^n} \exp(-n)} = e^n \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}}{x_{(1)}} \right)^n e^{-n \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{x_{(1)}}} = e^n w^n e^{-nw}.$$

10. Under $H_0 : \mu = \sigma$,

$$W = \frac{\bar{X} - X_{(1)}}{X_{(1)}} = \frac{\frac{\bar{X} - \sigma}{\sigma} - \frac{X_{(1)} - \sigma}{\sigma}}{\frac{X_{(1)} - \sigma}{\sigma} + 1} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{\bar{Z} - Z_{(1)}}{Z_{(1)} + 1},$$

where Z_i 's are iid $\text{Exp}(1)$.

11. Let $Z_i = X_i/\theta - 1$. Since Z_i 's are iid $\text{Exp}(1)$, $Z_{(1)} \equiv X_{(1)}/\theta - 1 \sim \text{Exp}(1/n)$.

12. Since $P(X_{(1)}/\theta - 1 \leq -1/n \log(1 - \alpha)) = \alpha$,

$$\left[\frac{X_{(1)}}{1 - n^{-1} \log(\alpha/2)}, \frac{X_{(1)}}{1 - n^{-1} \log(1 - \alpha/2)} \right]$$

is a $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence interval for θ .

13. The probability mass function of X is $(1 - \theta)^{x-1} \theta$ for $x = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence, for given $X = x$, the Bayes estimator of θ with respect to the loss function $(\theta - a)^2/\theta$ is

$$\delta(x) = \frac{\int_0^1 \theta^{-1} \theta (1 - \theta)^{x-1} \theta \pi(\theta) d\theta}{\int_0^1 \theta^{-1} (1 - \theta)^{x-1} \theta \pi(\theta) d\theta} = 1 - \frac{\int_0^1 (1 - \theta)^x \pi(\theta) d\theta}{\int_0^1 (1 - \theta)^{x-1} \pi(\theta) d\theta}.$$

14. The Bayes estimator is

$$\delta(x) = 1 - \frac{\int_0^1 (1 - \theta)^{x+\alpha-1} \theta^{\alpha-1} d\theta}{\int_0^1 (1 - \theta)^{x+\alpha-2} \theta^{\alpha-1} d\theta} = 1 - \frac{x + \alpha - 1}{x + 2\alpha - 1} = \frac{\alpha}{x + 2\alpha - 1}.$$

15. As $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, $\delta(x) \rightarrow 1/2$ if $x = 1$ and $\delta(x) \rightarrow 0$ if $x > 1$.

16. Since T is sufficient, $\phi(T)$ is a function of the sample only. Then $E_\theta(\phi(T)) = E_\theta(E(X|T)) = E_\theta(X) = \theta$.

17. We have $\text{Var}_\theta(X) = \text{Var}_\theta(E(X|T)) + E(\text{Var}(X|T)) = \text{Var}_\theta(\phi(T)) + E(\text{Var}(X|T)) \geq \text{Var}_\theta(\phi(T))$.

18. Using Jensen's inequality, we have $E_\theta(L(\theta, X)) = E_\theta(E(L(\theta, X)|T)) \geq E_\theta(L(\theta, E(X|T))) = E_\theta(L(\theta, \phi(T)))$.

19. If possible, let W' be another UMVUE of θ . Let $\tilde{W} = (W + W')/2$. Note that $E_\theta(\tilde{W}) = \theta$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_\theta(\tilde{W}) &= \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}_\theta(W) + \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}_\theta(W') + \frac{1}{2} \text{Cov}_\theta(W, W') \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}_\theta(W) + \frac{1}{4} \text{Var}_\theta(W') + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\text{Var}_\theta(W) \text{Var}_\theta(W')} \\ &= \text{Var}_\theta(W), \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. The above inequality cannot be strict as W is UMVUE. Hence $W' = a(\theta)W + b(\theta)$ implying $\text{Cov}_\theta(W, W') = a(\theta) \text{Var}_\theta(W)$. So $a(\theta) = 1$, but then it follows that $\beta(\theta) = 0$ as W' is an unbiased estimator of θ .

1. Let $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ be a collection of subsets of Ω . Then, define the following:
 - (a) Semi-algebra \mathcal{F} ,
 - (b) algebra \mathcal{F} ,
 - (c) σ -algebra \mathcal{F} ,
 - (d) measurable space,
 - (e) measure μ ,
 - (f) finite measure μ and probability measure μ ,
 - (g) σ -finite measure μ ,
 - (h) measure space and probability space,
 - (i) measurable transformation T between two measurable spaces,
 - (j) random variable.
2. Let Ω be an uncountable set and let \mathcal{F} be the countable-cocountable collection, i.e. $\mathcal{F} \equiv \{A \subset \Omega : A \text{ is countable or } A^c \text{ is countable}\}$. Define a real-valued function μ on \mathcal{F} as: $\mu(A) = 1$ if A is uncountable and $\mu(A) = 0$ if A countable, $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

- (a) Show \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω .
- (b) Show μ is a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) .

Let X be a random variable on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$.

- (c) Show that there exists a real constant a and a countable $S \subset \Omega$ such that $X(\omega) = a$ if $\omega \notin S$.
 - (d) Compute $E(X)$.
 - (e) Let Y be another random variable defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$. Argue that X and Y are independent.
3. Let $\{B_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be mutually independent Bernoulli random variables with $P(B_i = 1) = \frac{1}{i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and define $R_n = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i$, for all $n \geq 1$.
 - (a) Show $\gamma_n \equiv E(R_n) - \log n \in [0, 1]$ for all $n \geq 1$.
 - (b) Prove $Var(R_n)/\log n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
 - (c) Show that

$$\frac{R_n}{\log n} \xrightarrow{p} 1, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- (d) Show that the Lindeberg CLT applies for the triangular array

$$X_{n,i} \equiv \frac{(B_i - \frac{1}{i})}{\sqrt{\log n}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1.$$

(e) Argue that

$$\frac{(R_n - \log n)}{\sqrt{\log n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

(using the results in any of the parts (a)-(d) above, if you think they are relevant).

1. Answer:

- (a) $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is semi-algebra if
- (i) $A, B \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{C}$ (π -system);
 - (ii) $\forall A \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow A^C = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$, $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^k \subset \mathcal{C}$ are disjoint;
- (b) $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is an algebra if
- (i) $A, B \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$;
 - (ii) $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^C \in \mathcal{F}$;
 - (iii) $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (c) $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is an σ -algebra if
- (i) $\{A_i\} \subset \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{F}$;
 - (ii) $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^C \in \mathcal{F}$;
 - (iii) $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (d) (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is called a measurable space if $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ and \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra.
- (e) A set function μ defined on an algebra/semi-algebra/ σ -algebra \mathcal{F} ($\Omega \neq \emptyset$) is called a measure if
- (i) $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$;
 - (ii) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$;
 - (iii) \forall disjoint collection of sets $\{A_i\}_i \subset \mathcal{F}$, $\mu(\bigcup_i A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$.
- (f) A measure μ is called finite if $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$. A finite measure μ with $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ is called a probability measure.
- (g) A measure μ is σ -finite if $\exists \{A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, s.t $\bigcup_i A_i = \Omega$ and $\mu(A_i) < \infty, \forall i$.
- (h) If μ is a measure on a measurable space (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , then $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is called a measure space. In addition, if μ is a probability measure, then $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a probability space.
- (i) If $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ are two measurable spaces and if $X : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ satisfies $X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}_1, \forall B \in \mathcal{F}_2$, then X is called a measurable transformation.
- (j) If $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ has a probability measure defined on it, and if $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$, then the measurable transformation X is called a random variable.

2. Answer:

- (a) First of all, \mathcal{F} satisfies the first two conditions of being a σ -algebra. Secondly, for any $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{F}$, if $\cup_{n \geq 1} A_n$ is countable then $\cup_{n \geq 1} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$. If $\cup_{n \geq 1} A_n$ is not countable, then \exists uncountable set $A_{n_0} \in \{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$. Because $A_{n_0} \in \mathcal{F}$, so $A_{n_0}^c$ has to be countable, and consequently $A_{n_0}^c \supset \cap_{n \geq 1} A_n^c = (\cup_{n \geq 1} A_n)^c$ is countable, i.e. $\cup_{n \geq 1} A_n \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (b) As we can see, we only need to verify the *countable additivity* property for μ on \mathcal{F} . For any disjoint collection $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{F}$, by the argument in (a), we see that there can be at most one uncountable set in $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, because they are disjoint (therefore, $\cup_{n \neq j} A_n \subset A_j^c, \forall j$). Thus $\mu(\cup_{n \geq 1} A_n) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(A_n)$, and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a probability space.
- (c) Since X is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable, $\{X < c\} \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) < c\} \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$. That is for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{X < c\}$ is either countable or cocountable. Take $a = \sup\{c \in \mathbb{R} : \{X < c\} \text{ is countable}\}$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{X < a + \frac{1}{n}\}$ is co-countable and $\{X < a - \frac{1}{n}\}$ is countable. Hence, being a countable union of countable sets, S is countable where

$$S \doteq \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \neq a\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left[\left\{ X < a - \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cup \left\{ X \geq a + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right]$$

- (d) Note that $X = a$ almost surely (μ), since $\mu(X \neq a) = \mu(S) = 0$ because S is countable. So, $E(X) = E(a) = a$.
- (e) Since X is a constant random variable, it is independent of any other random variable.

3. Answer:

- (a) Note $E(R_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$ and observe that

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{k} \leq \log n \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k} = E(R_n) \quad (1)$$

So,

$$0 \leq \gamma_n \equiv E(R_n) - \log n \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{k} = 1$$

- (b) Note that $\text{Var}(R_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2}$. Hence, from (1) and the facts that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty$ and that $\log n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get the result.
- (c) For all $\epsilon > 0$, we get from Markov's Inequality,

$$P\left(\left|\frac{R_n}{\log n} - 1\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{2(\text{Var}(R_n) + \gamma_n^2)}{(\log n)^2 \epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (from part (a) and (b)).

(d) Note that

$$|X_{n,i}| \leq (\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2)$$

for all i, n (and for all $\omega \in \Omega$). Also, $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^N X_{n,i} = \frac{R_n - E(R_n)}{\sqrt{\log n}}$ and $s_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N E(X_{n,i})^2 = \frac{\text{Var}(R_n)}{\log n} \rightarrow 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (Note that $E(X_{n,i}) = 0$). So, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{X_{n,i}}{s_n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{s_n \sqrt{\log n}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot 2 = \epsilon,$$

for all $n \geq \max(n_1, n_2)$, where $n_1 = \exp(4\epsilon^{-2})$ and $n_2 \geq 1$ is such that for all $n \geq n_2$, we have $s_n > 1/2$ (note: $s_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as shown above).

(e) Using part (e), we have from Lindeberg's CLT,

$$\frac{R_n - E(R_n)}{\sqrt{\log n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since from part (a) we have

$$\frac{E(R_n) - \log n}{\sqrt{\log n}} = \frac{\gamma_n}{\sqrt{\log n}} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the result follows from the last two displays above.

Part I

Let (X, Y) be bivariate normally distributed as $N_2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ for $|\rho| < 1$. Consider the transformed random variables $U = \Phi \left(\frac{X - \rho Y}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \right)$ and $V = \Phi \left(\frac{Y - \rho X}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \right)$, where Φ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal random variable.

1. Show that the conditional distribution of U given $Y = y$ is $\text{uniform}(0, 1)$.
2. Show that the marginal distribution of U is $\text{uniform}(0, 1)$.
3. Show that U and Y are independent.
4. Find the joint distribution of U and V . Are U and V independent?

Hint: You may find it helpful to consider using the intermediate transformation $Z \equiv \left(\frac{X - \rho Y}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \right)$ and $W \equiv \left(\frac{Y - \rho X}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \right)$.

Part II

Suppose that $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ for $n > 3$ is a random sample drawn from the Pareto distribution with probability density function

$$f(x; \theta, \nu) = \frac{\theta \nu^\theta}{x^{\theta+1}} I_{[\nu, \infty)}(x), \quad \theta > 0, \nu > 0, \quad (1)$$

where $I_{[\nu, \infty)}(x)$ is the indicator function for the interval $[\nu, \infty)$. Write the order statistics from \mathbf{X} as $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$. You may use without proof the fact that variables $[\log X_{(i+1)} - \log X_{(1)}]/\theta, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, are distributed as the order statistics of $n-1$ independent exponential(1) random variables.

5. Show that, for a fixed $\nu = \nu_0 > 0$, the Pareto density $f(x; \theta, \nu_0)$ is part of the regular exponential family. What about the form of the family in equation (1) for unknown $\nu > 0$ prevents it from being a 2-parameter exponential family?
6. Provide a two-dimensional sufficient statistic for (θ, ν) .
7. Find the maximum likelihood estimator of (θ, ν) . Call it $(\hat{\theta}_n, \hat{\nu}_n)$.
8.
 - a) Show that $\hat{\theta}_n$ in problem (7) is biased for θ , but is asymptotically unbiased.
 - b) Show that $\hat{\theta}_n$ in problem (7) is consistent for θ .
9.
 - a) Provide the sampling distribution of $X_{(1)}$.
 - b) Show that $\hat{\nu}_n$ in problem (7) is consistent for ν .

10. For a given $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, show that the size- α likelihood ratio test of

$$H_0 : \theta = 1 \quad vs. \quad H_a : \theta \neq 1$$

for unspecified ν has critical region of the form $\{\mathbf{x} | S(\mathbf{x}) < c_1 \text{ or } S(\mathbf{x}) > c_2\}$, for appropriate $0 < c_1 < c_2$, depending on α and

$$S(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=2}^n (\log X_{(i)} - \log X_{(1)}) .$$

11. Find the distribution under H_0 of $2S(\mathbf{X})$ (for $S(\mathbf{X})$ and H_0 as in problem (10)).

Solutions

Part I.

$$1. \quad U = \Phi\left(\frac{X - \rho Y}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right) \quad . \quad P\left[U \leq u \mid Y = y\right] = P\left[\Phi\left(\frac{X - \rho Y}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right) \leq u \mid Y = y\right]$$

Note that $\mathcal{L}(X \mid Y = y) \equiv \mathcal{N}(\rho Y, 1 - \rho^2)$ so that

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{X - \rho Y}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \mid Y = y\right) \equiv \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

From the standard result: If $X \sim F(x)$, $F(X) \sim U(0, 1)$.

So, the above holds.

$$2. \quad \text{We have } U = \Phi\left(\frac{X - \rho Y}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right), \quad V = \Phi\left(\frac{Y - \rho X}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}\right).$$

Want the joint distⁿ of (U, V) .

$$\text{Let } Z = \frac{X - \rho Y}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}, \quad W = \frac{Y - \rho X}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}.$$

Then $\begin{pmatrix} Z \\ W \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{BV}(0, 0, 1, 1, -\rho)$. So, $U = \Phi(Z), V = \Phi(W)$
 [This follows from $\begin{pmatrix} Z \\ W \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\rho \\ -\rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} Z \\ W \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\rho \\ -\rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\rho \end{pmatrix}\right)$

Then the inverse transformation is given by $Z = \Phi^{-1}(U), W = \Phi^{-1}(V)$.

$$\text{The Jacobian is given by } |J| = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{\phi(\Phi^{-1}(u))} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\phi(\Phi^{-1}(v))} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\phi(\Phi^{-1}(u)) \phi(\Phi^{-1}(v))}.$$

$$\text{The joint distⁿ of } (U, V) \text{ is given by } f_{U,V}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1 - \rho^2)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \left[(\Phi^{-1}(u))^2 + 2\rho\Phi^{-1}(u)\Phi^{-1}(v) + (\Phi^{-1}(v))^2\right]\right\} \frac{1}{\phi(\Phi^{-1}(u))\phi(\Phi^{-1}(v))}$$

The product term in the exponent means that we have that unless $\rho = 0$, $f_{U,V}(u, v) \neq f_U(u)f_V(v)$ so that U and V are not independent.

$$3. \quad U = \Phi(Z), \quad Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1). \quad \text{So, again, it is clear that } U \sim U(0, 1)$$

$$4. \quad \text{From (1) and (3) since } \mathcal{L}(U) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}(U \mid Y) \text{ are both } U(0, 1), \quad U \perp\!\!\!\perp Y.$$

Part II

5. x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n i.i.d $f(x; \theta, \nu) = \frac{\theta \nu^\theta}{x^{\theta+1}} I_{(\nu, \infty)}(x)$, $\theta > 0, \nu > 0$.

For given ν , we have $f(x; \theta, \nu) = \exp\{(\theta+1)\ln \nu + \ln \theta - (\theta+1)\ln x\} \cdot I_{(\nu, \infty)}(x)$.

The above is a REF.

However, for unknown ν , the range of the density depends on the parameter (ν). So, this cannot be a REF density.

6. The joint density of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is given by

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n; \theta, \nu) = \frac{\theta^n \nu^{n\theta}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\theta+1}} I_{(\nu, \infty)}(x_{(1)}).$$

So the 2-D sufficient statistic for (θ, ν) is given by $(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i, x_{(1)})$, or equivalently $(\sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i, x_{(1)})$.

7. Consider the loglikelihood function of the parameters given the observations

$$l(\theta, \nu; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = n \ln \theta + n\theta \ln \nu - (\theta+1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i + \ln I_{(\nu, \infty)}(x_{(1)}).$$

To find the MLE for (θ, ν) , first consider

$l(\theta, \nu; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ as a function of ν for each θ .

Note that for each θ , $l(\theta, \nu; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

is an increasing function in ν as long as ν

is not above $x_{(1)}$. Above $x_{(1)}$, the loglikelihood

function tends to $-\infty$. So the MLE of ν is $\hat{\nu} = x_{(1)}$.

Next, find the profile loglikelihood of θ for $\hat{\nu} = x_{(1)}$.

$$\frac{\partial l(\theta, \hat{\nu}; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} + n \ln x_{(1)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - \ln x_{(1)}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^n [\ln x_{(i)} - \ln x_{(1)}] \right]^{-1} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=2}^n (\ln x_{(i)} - \ln x_{(1)})}.$$

8 (a) We have for $i=2, 3, \dots, n$, $\ln X_{(i)} - \ln X_{(i-1)}$ is the order stat. of size $(n-1)$ from $\exp(\theta)$.

Therefore $T = \sum_{i=2}^n (\ln X_{(i)} - \ln X_{(i-1)}) \sim \sqrt{(n-1)\theta}$, since this is the sum of $(n-1)$ indep. $\exp(1)$ r.v.s.

$$\text{Then } f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n-1)\theta}} t^{n-2} e^{-t/\theta}$$

$$\text{So } E[T^{-1}] = \frac{\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t} f(t) dt}{\int_0^\infty f(t) dt} = \frac{\theta}{n-2}$$

$$\text{Thus } E(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{n}{n-2} \theta$$

So that $\hat{\theta}$ is biased for θ , but asymptotically not so.
 (b) Also, $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) = E(\hat{\theta}^2) - [E(\hat{\theta})]^2$
 $= n^2 E[T^{-2}] - \left[\frac{\theta}{(n-2)}\right]^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } E[T^{-2}] &= \frac{\theta^2}{(n-2)(n-3)} \quad \text{so that } \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) = n^2 \theta^2 \left[\frac{1}{(n-2)(n-3)} - \frac{1}{(n-2)^2} \right] \\ &= n^2 \theta^2 \left[\frac{(n-2) - (n-3)}{(n-2)^2(n-3)} \right] \\ &= \frac{n^2 \theta^2}{(n-2)^2(n-3)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

So $\hat{\theta}$ is consistent for θ .

9 (a) Sampling distribution of $\wedge \sim \equiv X_{(1)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= P(X_{(1)} \leq x) = 1 - P[X_{(1)} > x] \\ &= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \int_v^x \frac{\theta v^\theta}{y^{\theta+1}} dy = \theta v^\theta \int_v^x \frac{dy}{y^{\theta+1}} = \left[1 - \left(\frac{v}{x}\right)^\theta \right] I_{(v, \infty)}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } G(x) = 1 - \left(\frac{v}{x}\right)^{n\theta} I_{(v, \infty)}(x)$$

Thus $X_{(1)} \sim \text{Pareto}$ with parameters v and $n\theta$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b) \quad E(X_{(1)}) &= \int_0^\infty x \cdot \frac{(n\theta) v^{n\theta}}{x^{n\theta+1}} dx = v \int_0^\infty \frac{(n\theta) v^{n\theta-1}}{x^{n\theta}} dx = v \frac{n\theta}{(n\theta-1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{(n\theta-1) v^{n\theta-1}}{x^{n\theta}} dx \\
 E(X_{(1)}^2) &= \int_0^\infty x^2 \frac{(n\theta) v^{n\theta}}{x^{n\theta+1}} dx = v^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{n\theta v^{n\theta-2}}{x^{n\theta-1}} dx = \frac{n v^2 \theta}{n\theta-2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Thus } \text{Var}(\hat{v}_n) &= \text{Var}(X_{(1)}) = E(X_{(1)}^2) - [E(X_{(1)})]^2 \\
 &= n\theta v^2 \left[\frac{1}{n\theta-2} - \frac{n\theta}{(n\theta-1)^2} \right] = \frac{n\theta v^2}{(n\theta-1)^2(n\theta-2)} \rightarrow 0 \\
 E(\hat{v}_n) &\text{ is asymptotically unbiased and } \text{Var}(\hat{v}_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \\
 \text{So } \hat{v}_n &\text{ is consistent for } v.
 \end{aligned}$$

10. The LRT for testing $H_0: \theta = 1$ vs. $H_a: \theta \neq 1$.

For $\theta = 1$, $L(v; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ has maximized value $\frac{X_{(1)}^n}{\prod_{i=1}^n X_i^2} \mathbb{I}(0 < v < X_{(1)})$

For θ , $L(\theta, v; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ has maximized value: $\frac{\hat{\theta}^n X_{(1)}^{n\hat{\theta}}}{\prod_{i=1}^n X_i^{\hat{\theta}+1}} \mathbb{I}(0 < v < X_{(1)})$

The likelihood ratio test is given by

$$\Lambda = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta, v; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_a} L(\theta, v; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)} = \frac{X_{(1)}^n \prod_{i=1}^n X_i^{\hat{\theta}}}{\hat{\theta}^n X_{(1)}^{n\hat{\theta}} \prod_{i=1}^n X_i}$$

Reject H_0 in favor of H_a at level α if $\Lambda < \Lambda_\alpha$.

$$\text{i.e., } n \ln X_{(1)} + \hat{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n (\ln X_{(i)} - \ln X_{(1)}) - n \ln \hat{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i < \text{const.}$$

$$\text{i.e., } -n \ln \hat{\theta} - \sum_{i=2}^n [\ln X_{(i)} - \ln X_{(1)}] < \text{const.}$$

$$\text{i.e., } -n \ln \hat{\theta} - \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} < \text{const.}$$

Consider the function $h(r) = \ln r + \frac{1}{r}$.

$$h'(r) = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \stackrel{<0}{>0} \Leftrightarrow r \stackrel{<}{>} 1$$

Note that $-h(r)$ is \uparrow for $r < 0$ and \downarrow for $r > 0$.

$-h(r)$ is small for r small and for r large.
 Thus the critical region in terms of S is of the form

$$S < c_1 \text{ or } S > c_2.$$

$$\text{where } S = \ln \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i}{\frac{n}{2}} \right) / x_{(1)}^{n-1} \equiv \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}}$$

11. From the above, we have that the distⁿ of

$$2S = 2 \sum_{i=2}^n \exp(\theta) \text{ i.i.d.}$$

$$\text{so that } 2S \sim \chi^2_{(n-1)}.$$