

# The $F$ -Test for Comparing Reduced vs. Full Models

# Model and Hypotheses

Assume the Gauss-Markov Model with normal errors:

*smaller model less complex*

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}).$$

Suppose  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$  and we wish to test

*larger model accommodating more parameters / complexity*

$$H_0 : \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \quad vs. \quad H_A : \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \setminus \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0).$$

- The “reduced” model corresponds to the null hypothesis and says that  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)$ , a specified subspace of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$ .
- The “full” model says that  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{y})$  can be anywhere in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$ .

## Model Matrix under each Hypothesis

For example, suppose

model matrix for an "intercept only" model

$$X_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

regardless of  
regressors,  
averaging  
all  $y$ -values is  $E(y)$

- The reduced model says

we assume same mean  $E(y) = \mu$  for  
all observations

- The full model says

there are 3 distinct means: each group (of size 2)  
has its own mean

For this example, let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$ , and  $\mu_3$  be the elements of  $\beta$  in the full model, i.e.,  $\beta = [\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3]^\top$ . Then, for the full model,

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \underline{\mathbf{X}\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_2 \\ \mu_3 \\ \mu_3 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and} \begin{array}{l} \text{mean of } y_1 \text{ \& } y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_5 \text{ \& } y_6 \\ \text{have mean } \mu_3 \end{array}$$

$$\underline{H_0 : E(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)} \quad vs. \quad H_A : E(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \setminus \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0). \quad \mu_3$$

is equivalent to

$$H_0 : \theta = 0 \quad \text{NCP} \quad vs. \quad H_A : \theta \neq 0$$

$$\underline{H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3} \quad vs. \quad H_A : \mu_i \neq \mu_j, \text{ for some } i \neq j.$$

## Test Statistic

For the general case, consider the test statistic

$$F = \frac{\frac{\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0}) \mathbf{y}}{[\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)]}}{\frac{\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}) \mathbf{y}}{[n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})]}}$$

non-central  $\chi^2_{df = m}$

Central  $\chi^2_{df = n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})}$

- When the reduced model is correct, the numerator and denominator of the F-statistic are both unbiased estimators of  $\sigma^2$ , so  $F$  should be close to 1.
- When the reduced model is not correct, the numerator of the F-statistic is estimating something larger than  $\sigma^2$ , so  $F$  should be larger than 1. Thus, values of  $F$  much larger than 1 are not consistent with the reduced model being correct.

## Deriving the Distribution of $F$

To show that this statistic has an  $F$  distribution, we will use the following fact:

$$P_{X_0} P_X = P_X P_{X_0} = P_{X_0}.$$


There are many ways to see that this fact is true. First,

①

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \implies \text{Each column of } \mathbf{X}_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$$
$$\implies \boxed{\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{X}_0} = \mathbf{X}_0.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0} = \boxed{\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{X}_0} \underbrace{(\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_0^\top}_{\mathbf{X}_0} = \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_0^\top$$
$$= \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0}.$$

projecting  $\mathbf{X}_0$  onto  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$

This implies that

due symmetry property

$$(\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0})^\top = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0}^\top \implies \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0}^\top \mathbf{P}_X^\top = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0}^\top$$
$$\implies \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0} \mathbf{P}_X = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0}. \quad \square$$



Alternatively,

$$\forall \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0} \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}).$$

Thus,  $\forall \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0} \mathbf{a}.$

This implies  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0}.$

Transposing both sides of this equality and using symmetry of projection matrices yields

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0}. \quad \square$$

Alternatively,  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \implies \mathbf{X}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{X}_0$  for some  $\mathbf{B}$  because every column of  $\mathbf{X}_0$  must be in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$ .

Thus, 3

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{B})^\top \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}}$$

$$= \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top$$

$$= \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{B})^\top = \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_0^\top = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0}.$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_0^\top = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_0^\top$$

$$= \mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_0^\top = \mathbf{X}_0 (\mathbf{X}_0^\top \mathbf{X}_0)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_0^\top = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X}_0}.$$

□

Note that  $P_X - P_{X_0}$  is a symmetric and idempotent matrix:

Symmetry:

$$\boxed{(P_X - P_{X_0})^\top} = P_X^\top - P_{X_0}^\top = P_X - P_{X_0}.$$

idempotent:

$$(P_X - P_{X_0})(P_X - P_{X_0}) = P_X P_X - \underline{P_X P_{X_0}} - \underline{P_{X_0} P_X}$$

result from

slide 6 :

$$= P_X - P_{X_0} - P_{X_0} + P_{X_0}$$

$$= \boxed{P_X - P_{X_0}}.$$

## Deriving the Distribution of $F$

Now back to determining the distribution of

$$F = \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}) \mathbf{y} / [\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)]}{\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X) \mathbf{y} / [n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})]}.$$

An important first step is to note that

*the  $\sigma^2$   
technically  
cancel out*

$$F = \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top \left( \frac{\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}}{\sigma^2} \right) \mathbf{y} / [\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)]}{\mathbf{y}^\top \left( \frac{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X}{\sigma^2} \right) \mathbf{y} / [n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})]}.$$

Now we can show that the numerator is a chi-squared random variable divided by its degrees of freedom, independent of the denominator, which is a central chi-squared random variable divided by its degrees of freedom. Once we show all these things, we will have established that the statistic  $F$  has an  $F$  distribution (see prerequisite knowledge material from day 1).

## Deriving the Distribution of $F$

Our main assumption about the model is

$$\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) \implies \mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$$

Recall from the prerequisite knowledge material from day 1:

- Suppose  $\Sigma$  is an  $n \times n$  positive definite matrix.
- Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix of rank  $m$  such that  $\mathbf{A}\Sigma$  is idempotent (i.e.,  $\mathbf{A}\Sigma\mathbf{A}\Sigma = \mathbf{A}\Sigma$ ).
- Then  $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma) \implies \boxed{\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} \sim \chi_m^2(\boldsymbol{\mu}^\top \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mu} / 2)}$

## Distribution of the Numerator

For the numerator of our  $F$  statistic, we have

$$\underline{\mu = \mathbf{X}\beta}, \quad \underline{\Sigma = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \boxed{\left( \frac{\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}}{\sigma^2} \right)}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{rank} \left( \frac{\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}}{\sigma^2} \right) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}) \\ &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}_X) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}_{X_0}) \\ &= \text{rank}(\mathbf{P}_X) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{P}_{X_0}) = \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0). \end{aligned}$$

(Multiplying by a nonzero constant does not affect the rank of a matrix. Rank is the same as trace for idempotent matrices. Trace of a difference is the same as the difference of traces. The rank of a projection matrix is equal to the rank of the matrix whose column space is projected onto.)

## Distribution of the Numerator

To verify that  $\Sigma$  is positive definite, note that for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$a^\top \Sigma a = a^\top (\sigma^2 I) a = \sigma^2 a^\top a = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 > 0.$$

To verify that  $A\Sigma$  is idempotent, we have

$$A\Sigma = \left( \frac{P_X - P_{X_0}}{\sigma^2} \right) (\sigma^2 I) = P_X - P_{X_0}.$$

$$A\Sigma A\Sigma = A\Sigma$$

# Distribution of the Numerator

Therefore,

## Distribution of the Numerator

$$\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}) \mathbf{y} / \sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{(\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0))}(\theta),$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2} \beta^\top \mathbf{X}^\top \left( \frac{\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}}{\sigma^2} \right) \mathbf{X} \beta.$$

$(\mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{h})/2$

# Distribution of the Denominator

Denominator:

$$\text{MSE} = \mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X) \mathbf{y} / [n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})]$$

*SSE* / *df*

## Distribution of the Denominator

$$\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X) \mathbf{y} / \sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{(n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}))}$$

- This distributional result holds regardless of whether or not the reduced model is correct.
- This distributional result follows from the same type of argument used to show the distribution of the numerator.

## Independence of Numerator and Denominator

By the independence result at the end of the preliminary notes, we can show that  $\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}) \mathbf{y} / \sigma^2$  is independent of  $\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X) \mathbf{y} / \sigma^2$  because it holds that

$$\left( \frac{\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}}{\sigma^2} \right) (\sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) \left( \frac{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X}{\sigma^2} \right) = 0. \quad (**)$$

(\*\*\*\*\*)

Why?

$$\begin{aligned} (**) &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0} + \underline{\mathbf{P}_{X_0} \mathbf{P}_X}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (\underline{\mathbf{P}_X} - \underline{\mathbf{P}_X} - \underline{\mathbf{P}_{X_0}} + \underline{\mathbf{P}_{X_0}}) = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

independence ✓

## Distribution of $F$

Thus, it follows that

### Distribution of $F$

$$F = \frac{\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}) \mathbf{y} / [\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)]}{\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X) \mathbf{y} / [n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})]}$$
$$\sim F_{\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0), n - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X})}(\theta),$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \mathbf{X}^\top (\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}) \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}}{2\sigma^2}.$$



# Noncentrality Parameter

- If  $H_0$  is true, i.e., if  $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)$ , then the noncentrality parameter  $\theta$  is 0 because

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} &= \mathbf{P}_X\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{P}_{X_0}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

end  
lecture 4

01-30-25

Hence,

$$\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X) \mathbf{y} / \sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)},$$

a central  $\chi^2$  distr.

- If  $H_0$  is false and  $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \notin \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}_0)$ , then  $(\mathbf{P}_X - \mathbf{P}_{X_0})\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $\theta > 0$ . Hence,

$$\mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X) \mathbf{y} / \sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) - \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}_0)}(\theta),$$