

Multivariate transformations

Transformations via MGF

Idea: If the mgf of a transformed random variable $\underbrace{Y = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)}$ can be identified, then we know the distribution of Y .

Recall if X and Y have mgfs where $M_X(t) = M_Y(t)$ with $t \in (-h, h)$, for some $h > 0$, then X and Y have the same distribution.

General Case:

- Suppose $\underbrace{Y = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)}$ where $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

- Then mgf of random variable Y is $M_Y(t) = Ee^{tY} = Ee^{tu(X_1, \dots, X_n)}$, where

$$M_Y(t) = \begin{cases} Ee^{tu(X_1, \dots, X_n)} = \int \dots \int e^{tu(x_1, \dots, x_n)} f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1, \dots, dx_n & \text{(continuous case)} \\ Ee^{tu(X_1, \dots, X_n)} = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)} e^{tu(x_1, \dots, x_n)} f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{(discrete case)} \end{cases}$$

- May recognize the mgf and hence the distribution of Y (if the mgf can be tractably computed)

Most Important Case: sums of independent r.v.s

- Suppose $\underbrace{Y = X_1 + X_2}$ with independent $\underbrace{X_1, X_2}$

- Recall that the mgf of random variable Y is then

$$\longrightarrow M_Y(t) = Ee^{tY} = Ee^{t(X_1 + X_2)} = Ee^{tX_1}e^{tX_2} = Ee^{tX_1}Ee^{tX_2} = M_{X_1}(t)M_{X_2}(t),$$

assuming the mgfs exist. Note the mgf's of X_1 and X_2 are evaluated at the same t because there is but a single random variable Y

- May again recognize the mgf and hence the distribution of Y . This happens quite often with independent sums.

Multivariate transformations

Transformations via MGF: examples

Suppose X and Y are independent. Let $S = X + Y$ and find the mgf of S when

- $X \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $Y \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ (both normally distributed)

$$M_S(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}[e^{tS}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{t(X+Y)}] \stackrel{\text{independent}}{=} \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] \mathbb{E}[e^{tY}]$$

Question: What if $S^* = X - Y$? X and Y are independent

$$\Rightarrow S^* \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

$$= e^{\mu_1 t + \frac{\sigma_1^2 t^2}{2}} e^{\mu_2 t + \frac{\sigma_2^2 t^2}{2}}$$

$$= e^{(\mu_1 + \mu_2)t + [\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2] \frac{t^2}{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow S \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$$

- $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_1)$ and $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_2)$

\Rightarrow Find the dist. of $S = X + Y$.

$$M_S(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{t(X+Y)}] \stackrel{\text{independent}}{=} \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] \mathbb{E}[e^{tY}]$$

$$= e^{\lambda_1(e^t - 1)} e^{\lambda_2(e^t - 1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow S \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(e^t - 1)}$$

- X, Y are both Exponential(β) distributed

$\Rightarrow S = X + Y$. Find the dist. of $S = ?$

$$M_S(t) \stackrel{\text{independent}}{=} \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] \mathbb{E}[e^{tY}] = \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta - t} \right) \left(\frac{\beta}{\beta - t} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\beta^2 / \beta^2}{(\beta - t)^2 / \beta^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{1}{\beta} t)^2}$$

$$\frac{(\beta - t)^2}{\beta^2} = \left(\frac{\beta - t}{\beta} \right)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow S \sim \text{Gamma}(2, \frac{1}{\beta})$$

$$X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$$

$$M_X(t) = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$$

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{tx} e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}$$

$$= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda e^t]^x}{x!} = e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda e^t} = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}$$

Recall: If $W \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$

$$M_W(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - \beta t)^\alpha}$$

$$X \sim \text{Exp}(\beta) \Rightarrow f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-x/\beta} = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1)} x^{1-1} e^{-x/\beta} = f_Y(y)$$

$$Y \sim \text{Gamma}(1, \frac{1}{\beta})$$

Multivariate transformations

Transformations via MGF: examples

The same technique shows that: for *independent* X_1, \dots, X_n ,

1. if each $X_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$, then

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim N\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2\right)$$

2. if each $X_i \sim \text{Binomial}(n_i, p)$ (common p), then

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Binomial}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n n_i, p\right)$$

3. if each $X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_i, \beta)$ (common β), then

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \beta\right)$$

$X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\beta) \Rightarrow f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-x/\beta}$

$X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\beta) \sim \text{Gamma}(1, \frac{1}{\beta})$

(a) if each $X_i \sim \text{Exponential}(\beta) \sim \text{Gamma}(1, \frac{1}{\beta})$, then $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \frac{1}{\beta})$

(b) if each $X_i \sim \chi_{\nu_i}^2 \sim \text{Gamma}(\nu_i/2, 2)$, then $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \chi_{\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i}^2$

$X \sim \chi_{2\nu}^2 \Rightarrow M_X(t) = (1-2t)^{-\nu/2}$, $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\nu/2, 2) \Rightarrow M_Y(t) = (1-2t)^{-\nu/2}$

4. if each $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$, then

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right)$$

5. if each $X_i \sim \text{Neg-Binomial}(r_i, p)$ (common p), then

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Neg-Binomial}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i, p\right)$$

(a) if each $X_i \sim \text{Geometric}(p) \sim \text{Neg-Binomial}(1, p)$, then $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Neg-Binomial}(n, p)$

Multivariate transformations

Multivariate continuous case

The final technique for determining distributions of transformed random variables:
if we transform continuous random variables, using a one-to-one continuously differentiable transformation, we can *directly* find the pdf of the new random variables

Set-up

- Suppose continuous (X_1, \dots, X_n) has joint pdf $f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with support $\mathcal{A} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0\}$

- Transformation:

$$\underline{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{X}) \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} Y_1 = u_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ Y_2 = u_2(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ Y_n = u_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases} \quad \text{new. r.v.}$$

with $\mathcal{B} = \text{support of } f_{Y_1, \dots, Y_n}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$

$$\{(y_1, \dots, y_n) : f_{Y_1, \dots, Y_n}(y_1, \dots, y_n) > 0\}$$

- Assume transformation is one-to-one with inverse functions

$$\rightarrow x_i = u_i^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\begin{array}{l} n=2 \\ f_{U,V} = f_{X,Y}(h(u,v), h^*(u,v)) \\ \quad \times \left(\text{term} \right) \end{array} \quad \bigg| \quad f_{Y_1, \dots, Y_n} = f_{X_1, \dots, X_n} (u_1^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, u_n^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n))$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= U_1^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n) \\ &\vdots \\ x_n &= U_n^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n) \end{aligned}$$

- Define the Jacobian

$$J = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_n} \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial y_n} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u_1^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial u_1^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial u_1^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_n} \\ \frac{\partial u_2^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial u_2^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial u_2^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial u_n^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial u_n^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial u_n^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial y_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

- If J is continuous and $J \neq 0$ over \mathcal{B} (except possibly on a set with probability zero),

$$f_{Y_1, \dots, Y_n}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(\mathbf{u}^{-1}(y_1, \dots, y_n)) |J|, \quad (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{B}$$

- Often only interested in one transformation $Y_1 = u_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)$

Then choose convenient definitions to fill out the transformation

e.g. $Y_2 = X_2, \dots, Y_n = X_n$

- If transformation is not one-to-one, then we partition \mathcal{A} (the support of (X_1, \dots, X_n)) into sets \mathcal{A}_i where a transformation $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{u}_j(\mathbf{X})$ is one-to-one and then add pieces

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}_i^{-1}(\mathbf{y})) |J_i|$$