

# Main Effects in the R Additive Model Formulation

No Diet main effect  $\iff \alpha_2 = 0$

this differs  
from the

set-up using  
the less-than-

end lecture  
10

2-12-25

No Drug main effects  $\iff \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$

full rank  
model matrix

	Drug 1	Drug 2	Drug 3	
Diet 1	$\mu$	$\mu + \beta_2$	$\mu + \beta_3$	$\mu + \frac{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{3}$
Diet 2	$\mu + \alpha_2$	$\mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_2$	$\mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_3$	$\mu + \alpha_2 + \frac{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{3}$
	$\mu + \frac{\alpha_2}{2}$	$\mu + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \beta_2$	$\mu + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \beta_3$	$\mu + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \frac{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{3}$

all the same when  $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$

$H_0$ : No Diet Main Effect ( $\alpha_2 = 0$  in R)

$$C^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \underline{\alpha_2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix} = [0]$$

## $H_0$ : No Drug Main Effects ( $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ in R)

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_2 \\ \underline{\beta_2} \\ \underline{\beta_3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# LSMEANS for the R Formulation of the Additive Model

LSMEANS are still the OLS estimators of the quantities in the margins below.

		Drug 1	Drug 2	Drug 3	
		$\mu$	$\mu + \beta_2$	$\mu + \beta_3$	OLS
		$\mu + \alpha_2$	$\mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_2$	$\mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_3$	OLS
Diet 1					
Diet 2					

OLS annotations:

- Circle around  $\mu$ ,  $\mu + \beta_2$ ,  $\mu + \beta_3$  with arrow pointing to "OLS".
- Circle around  $\mu + \alpha_2$ ,  $\mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_2$ ,  $\mu + \alpha_2 + \beta_3$  with arrow pointing to "OLS".
- Circle around  $\mu + \frac{\alpha_2}{2}$ ,  $\mu + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \beta_2$ ,  $\mu + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \beta_3$  with arrow pointing to "OLS".
- Circle around  $\mu + \frac{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{3}$  with arrow pointing to "OLS".
- Circle around  $\mu + \alpha_2 + \frac{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{3}$  with arrow pointing to "OLS".
- Circle around  $\mu + \frac{\alpha_2}{2} + \frac{\beta_2 + \beta_3}{3}$  with arrow pointing to "OLS".

## LSMEANS for the Additive Model (continued)

For example, the LSMEAN for Diet 1 is

$$\mathbf{c}^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mu} \\ \hat{\alpha}_2 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \\ \hat{\beta}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \hat{\mu} + \frac{\hat{\beta}_2 + \hat{\beta}_3}{3},$$

after plugging in data

where  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$ .

$\uparrow$   
 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$       estimated  
                  diet 1 marginal  
                  mean

# Fitting the Additive Model in R

```
> o=lm(weightgain~diet+drug, data=d)  
> model.matrix(o)
```

	(Intercept)	diet2	drug2	drug3	
1	1	$\alpha_2$	0	$\beta_2$	0
2	1	0	0	$\beta_3$	0
3	1	0	1	0	
4	1	0	1	0	
5	1	0	0	1	
6	1	0	0	1	
7	1	1	0	0	
8	1	1	0	0	
9	1	1	1	0	
10	1	1	1	0	
11	1	1	0	1	
12	1	1	0	1	$\eta_{23} = \eta + \alpha_2 + \beta_3$



## R: The $\hat{\beta}$ Vector

```
> #betahat vector:
```

```
>
```

```
> coef(o)
```

(Intercept)	diet2	drug2	drug3
41.616667	-5.033333	-2.100000	-2.550000

$\hat{\beta}_0$

$\hat{\beta}_1$

$\hat{\beta}_2$

$\hat{\beta}_3$

## R: $\widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{\beta})$ and Error Degrees of Freedom

> #Estimated variance of betahat:

>

> vcov(o)

	(Intercept)	diet2	drug2	drug3
(Intercept)	0.8186111	-4.093056e-01	-6.139583e-01	-6.139583e-01
diet2	-0.4093056	8.186111e-01	-6.759159e-17	-6.759159e-17
drug2	-0.6139583	-6.759159e-17	1.227917e+00	6.139583e-01
drug3	-0.6139583	-6.759159e-17	6.139583e-01	1.227917e+00

> #The degrees of freedom for error:

>

> o\$df

[1] 8

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{\beta}_1) = \widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{\alpha}_2)$$

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{\beta}_2) = \widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{\beta}_3)$$

# R: A Function for Point and Interval Estimation

output associated with  
lm function

```
> estimate=function(lmout,C,a=0.05)
+ {
+   b=coef(lmout)  $\hat{\beta}$ 
+   V=vcov(lmout)  $\hat{Var}(\hat{\beta})$ 
+   df=lmout$df
+   Cb=C%*%b  $C\hat{\beta}$ 
+   se=sqrt(diag(C%*%V%*%t(C)))  $SE(C\hat{\beta})$ 
+   tval=qt(1-a/2,df)
+   low=Cb-tval*se
+   up=Cb+tval*se
+   m=cbind(C,Cb,se,low,up)
+   dimnames(m)[[2]]=c(paste("C",1:ncol(C),sep=""),
+                      "estimate", "se",
+                      paste(100*(1-a), "% Conf.", sep=""),
+                      "limits")
+   m
+ }
```

$C\hat{\beta} \pm \text{critical value} * SE(C\hat{\beta})$

## R: Entering a C Matrix

```
> C=matrix(c(  
+ 1, 0, 1/3, 1/3, — LS mean for diet 1 (OLS)  
+ 1, 1, 1/3, 1/3, — LS mean for diet 2  
+ 1, 1/2, 0, 0, — LS mean for drug 1  
+ 1, 1/2, 1, 0, — drug 2  
+ 1, 1/2, 0, 1, — drug 3  
+ 0, -1, 0, 0, — diet 1 vs. diet 2 } *  
+ 0, 0, -1, 0, — drug 1 vs. drug 2  
+ 0, 0, 0, -1, — drug 1 vs. drug 3  
+ 0, 0, 1, -1 — drug 2 vs. drug 3  
+ ), ncol=4, byrow=T)
```

\* these effects are the same regardless of what level the other factor is bc we have no interactions

## R: The *C* Matrix

```
> C
```

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]	[,4]
[1, ]	1	0.0	0.3333333	0.3333333
[2, ]	1	1.0	0.3333333	0.3333333
[3, ]	1	0.5	0.0000000	0.0000000
[4, ]	1	0.5	1.0000000	0.0000000
[5, ]	1	0.5	0.0000000	1.0000000
[6, ]	0	-1.0	0.0000000	0.0000000
[7, ]	0	0.0	-1.0000000	0.0000000
[8, ]	0	0.0	0.0000000	-1.0000000
[9, ]	0	0.0	1.0000000	-1.0000000

## R: Interpreting the *C* Matrix

```
>  
> #With this choice of C, you get estimates and  
> #confidence intervals for the following:  
>  
> #Row 1: lsmean for diet 1  
> #Row 2: lsmean for diet 2  
> #Row 3: lsmean for drug 1  
> #Row 4: lsmean for drug 2  
> #Row 5: lsmean for drug 3  
> #Row 6: diet 1 - diet 2 effect  
> #Row 7: drug 1 - drug 2 effect  
> #Row 8: drug 1 - drug 3 effect  
> #Row 9: drug 2 - drug 3 effect
```

Simple effects

# R: Results

```
> estimate(o, C)
```

	c1	c2	c3	c4	estimate	se
[1,]	1	0.0	0.3333333	0.3333333	40.066667	0.6397699
[2,]	1	1.0	0.3333333	0.3333333	35.033333	0.6397699
[3,]	1	0.5	0.0000000	0.0000000	39.100000	0.7835549
[4,]	1	0.5	1.0000000	0.0000000	37.000000	0.7835549
[5,]	1	0.5	0.0000000	1.0000000	36.550000	0.7835549
[6,]	0	-1.0	0.0000000	0.0000000	5.033333	0.9047713
[7,]	0	0.0	-1.0000000	0.0000000	2.100000	1.1081140
[8,]	0	0.0	0.0000000	-1.0000000	2.550000	1.1081140
[9,]	0	0.0	1.0000000	-1.0000000	0.450000	1.1081140

	95% Conf. limits	
[1,]	38.591354577	41.541979
[2,]	33.558021243	36.508645
[3,]	37.293119084	40.906881
[4,]	35.193119084	38.806881
[5,]	34.743119084	38.356881
[6,]	2.946926967	7.119740
[7,]	-0.455315497	4.655315
[8,]	-0.005315497	5.105315
[9,]	-2.105315497	3.005315

estimates  
↓

estimated  
Standard  
Error

CIs don't contain zero

CIs contain zero  
⇒

## R: Results

```
> estimate(o,C[, -(1:4)]  
    estimate      se   95% Conf.    limits  
[1,] 40.066667 0.6397699 38.591354577 41.541979  
[2,] 35.033333 0.6397699 33.558021243 36.508645  
[3,] 39.100000 0.7835549 37.293119084 40.906881  
[4,] 37.000000 0.7835549 35.193119084 38.806881  
[5,] 36.550000 0.7835549 34.743119084 38.356881  
[6,] 5.033333 0.9047713 2.946926967 7.119740  
[7,] 2.100000 1.1081140 -0.455315497 4.655315  
[8,] 2.550000 1.1081140 -0.005315497 5.105315  
[9,] 0.450000 1.1081140 -2.105315497 3.005315
```

## R: Function for Testing $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$

```
> test=function(lmout,C,d=0) {  
+   b=coef(lmout)  
+   V=vcov(lmout)  
+   dfn=nrow(C)  
+   dfd=lmout$df  
+   Cb.d=C%*%b-d  
+   Fstat=drop(  
+     t(Cb.d)%*%solve(C%*%V%*%t(C))%*%Cb.d/dfn)  
+   pvalue=1-pf(Fstat,dfn,dfd)  
+   list(Fstat=Fstat,pvalue=pvalue)  
+ }
```

$$F = \frac{(C\hat{\beta}_R - d)'(C(x_R^T x_R)^{-1} C^T)^{-1}(C\hat{\beta}_R - d)/q}{\hat{\sigma}^2}$$

## Fitting the Additive Model in R

from earlier:  $H_0: \alpha_2 = 0$

```
> #Test for diet main effect:
```

```
> C=matrix(c(
```

```
+ 0, 1, 0, 0
```

```
+ ), nrow=1, byrow=T)
```

```
> C
```

```
      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]  
[1,]     0     1     0     0
```

```
> test(o,C)
```

```
$Fstat
```

```
[1] 30.94808
```

```
$pvalue
```

```
[1] 0.0005327312
```

$$C^T = (0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0)$$

fairly strong evidence  
in favor of a main diet  
effect  $\Rightarrow$  marginal means  
for diet 1 and 2 differ significantly

# Fitting the Additive Model in R

```
> #Test for drug main effects:  
> C=matrix(c(  
+ 0, 0, -1, 0,  
+ 0, 0, 0, -1  
+ ), nrow=2, byrow=T)  
> C
```

	[,1]	[,2]	[,3]	[,4]
[1,]	0	0	-1	0
[2,]	0	0	0	-1

```
> test(o,C)
```

\$Fstat

[1] 3.017306

\$pvalue

[1] 0.1055743

\* however, CIs

involving drug 1 have  
a lower bound close  
to zero ...

weak evidence in favor of

a main drug effect - which

questions the conclusion based on  
CIs on slide 34 \*

## Another Example Use of the Additive Model

Can we estimate average movie ratings and

movie      individual customer  
              ratings? Yes under

	1	2	3
customer	1   4	1	?
	2   ?	3	5
	3   ?	?	3
	4   3	1	?

Can we guess ratings an for customer/movie combinations not additive in the dataset? model

but no under a  
cell-means model

$y_{ij}$  = customer  $i$ 's rating of movie  $j$

Which movie is best?

$$y_{ij} = \mu + c_i + m_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

1 through 5

end lecture || 2-14-25