

Some Key Linear Models Results

Ulrike Genschel

January 23, 2025

A General Linear Model (GLM)

Suppose

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \text{where} \quad (1)$$

- $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the response vector,
- \mathbf{X} is an $n \times p$ matrix of known/fixed constants, } known
- $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is an unknown parameter vector, and
- $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is a vector of unobserved random “errors” satisfying $E(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. } unknown

The model is called a linear model because the mean of the response vector ~~\mathbf{y}~~ y is linear in the unknown parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. ($E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$)

A General Linear Model

- This GLM says simply that \mathbf{y} is a random vector with expectation $E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ for some $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$.
- The distribution of \mathbf{y} is left unspecified but generally depends on the distribution of ϵ .
- Goal: estimate $E(\mathbf{y})$
- Available: observed values of \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{X} ,
- Estimate $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$, which by definition corresponds to the mean of \mathbf{y} , i.e., $E(\mathbf{y})$.

Examples

There are many special cases of (1) depending on the distribution of ϵ , the structure of the Σ , and the rank and the structure of X .

We will start out by considering the following two cases generally known as the Gauss-Markov Model:

GM

GMNE

- 1 the distribution of ϵ is Normal with $E(\epsilon) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \Sigma_\epsilon = \sigma^2 I$, where $\sigma^2 > 0$ is unknown; $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 I)$
- 2 the distribution of ϵ is unknown with $E(\epsilon) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \Sigma_\epsilon = \sigma^2 I$, where $\sigma^2 > 0$ is unknown

We will later relax the form of $\text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \Sigma_\epsilon$ to allow for more flexibility, e.g., $\text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \Sigma_\epsilon = \sigma^2 V$, where V is known and $\sigma^2 > 0$ is unknown. This model is known as the Aitken model.

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Estimation

Suppose $y = X\beta + \epsilon$, $E(\epsilon) = 0$, $\text{Cov}(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 I$

- $E(y) = X\beta \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ with β unknown, X is full-rank
column space of X
- To estimate $E(y)$, consider $X\hat{\beta}$.
estimate: \hat{y}
- To estimate $E(y)$, find the vector in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ that is closest to y .
- Let $\mathcal{N}(X^\top)$ denote the null space of X^\top and note that $\mathcal{N}(X^\top)$ and $\mathcal{C}(X)$ are orthogonal to each other, i.e., $\mathcal{N}(X^\top) \perp \mathcal{C}(X)$

residuals \hat{e} are in the null space of X^\top

The null space of a matrix A , denoted by $\mathcal{N}(A)$, is given as

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \{x : xA = 0\}$$

allowing for orthogonal decomposition of y into $\hat{y} + \hat{e}$

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Estimation

An estimate $\hat{\beta}$ is a ^{ordinary} **least squares estimate** (LSE) of β if $X\hat{\beta}$ is the vector in $\mathcal{C}(X)$ that is closest to y

$$\hat{\beta} = \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} (y - X\beta)^\top (y - X\beta).$$

Method of least squares identifies the value of β for which the squared Euclidean norm of the residual vector, i.e., **error sum of squares**

$$Q(\beta) = \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = (y - X\beta)^\top (y - X\beta)$$

is minimized.

Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Estimation

There exist two distinct ways to identify the LSE:

- algebraically: normal equations
- geometrically: orthogonal projection of \mathbf{y} onto $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$

OLS Estimation: Normal Equations

Recall that the method of least squares seeks the β that minimizes the Euclidean norm of the residual vector

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\beta) &= \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = \underbrace{(y - X\beta)^\top (y - X\beta)} \\ &= \underbrace{y^\top y - 2\beta^\top X^\top y + \beta^\top X^\top X\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

To find the minimum, we take the derivative and set the gradient equal to the null vector

$$\nabla Q(\beta) = -2X^\top y + 2X^\top X\beta = 0$$

leading to the **normal equations**

$$X^\top X\beta = X^\top y.$$

(2)

OLS Estimation: Solutions to the Normal Equations

The normal equations

$$\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$$

have $(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$ as the **unique** solution for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ if $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = p$.

The normal equations have **infinitely many solutions** for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ if $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) < p$.

While $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$ may not always be a unique solution,

$\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ will be unique.

$(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ unique inverse when

generalized inverse

full rank

OLS Estimation: Geometric Approach

Let P_X denote the orthogonal projection matrix onto $\mathcal{C}(X)$

$$P_X = X(X^\top X)^-X^\top.$$

Properties:

- P_X is idempotent, (i.e., $P_X P_X = P_X$)
- P_X projects onto $\mathcal{C}(X)$
- P_X is invariant to the choice of $(X^\top X)^-$, i.e., it is the same matrix for all generalized inverses $(X^\top X)^-$ of $X^\top X$
- P_X is symmetric (i.e., $P_X = P_X^\top$) and unique
- $P_X X = X$ and $X^\top P_X = X^\top$.
- $\text{rank}(X) = \text{rank}(P_X) = \text{tr}(P_X)$.

trace

OLS Estimation: Geometric Approach

An estimate $\hat{\beta}$ is a least squares estimate if and only if

$$X\hat{\beta} = P_X y.$$

projecting y onto
column space of X

The OLS Estimator of $E(y)$ is thus given by

$$P_X y = X\hat{\beta} \equiv \underline{\hat{y}} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}(y) \quad (3)$$

because $P_X y \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ and

$$\|y - P_X y\|^2 < \|y - z\|^2 \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{C}(X) \setminus \{P_X y\}.$$

Even when $\hat{\beta}$ is not unique, $P_X y = X\hat{\beta} \equiv \hat{y}$ always will.

OLS Estimation: Fitted Values

$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{y}$ is the vector of fitted values. Recall that geometrically, $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is the point in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$ that is closest to \mathbf{y} . Now, note that $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X$ is the perpendicular projection matrix onto $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}^\top)$ and

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_X)\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{y}} \equiv \underline{\underline{\hat{\mathbf{e}}}}.$$

$\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ is the vector of **residuals** and $\hat{\mathbf{e}} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}^\top)$. Because $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{X}^\top)$ are orthogonal complements, we can uniquely decompose \mathbf{y} as

$$\mathbf{y} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}.$$

OLS Estimation: Orthogonal Decomposition of $y^\top y$

We know that \hat{y} and \hat{e} are orthogonal vectors. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}y^\top y &= y^\top \underline{I} y &&= y^\top (\underbrace{P_X}_{\text{projects onto } C(X)} + \underbrace{I - P_X}_{\text{projects onto } N(X^\top)}}) y \\&= \underline{y^\top P_X y} + y^\top (I - P_X) y \\&= y^\top \underbrace{P_X P_X}_{\text{adding zero}} y + y^\top (I - P_X)(I - P_X) y \\&= \hat{y}^\top \hat{y} + \hat{e}^\top \hat{e}, && \text{ } P_X\end{aligned}$$

since P_X and $(I - P_X)$ are both symmetric and idempotent.

Orthogonal Decomposition of $\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}$ & ANOVA Table

This orthogonal decomposition of $\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y}$ is often given in a tabular display called an analysis of variance (ANOVA) table.

Suppose \mathbf{y} is $n \times 1$, \mathbf{X} is $n \times p$ with rank $r \leq p$, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is $p \times 1$, and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is $n \times 1$. We assume the the model given in (1): $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. Then, the ANOVA table looks as follows

Source	df	Sum of Squares
Model	r	$\hat{\mathbf{y}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X} \mathbf{y}$
Residual	$n - r$	$\hat{\mathbf{e}}^\top \hat{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{y}^\top (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{X}) \mathbf{y}$
Total	$n - 1$	$\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{I} \mathbf{y}$

Table: ANOVA Table

The OLS Estimator of a Linear Function of $E(\mathbf{y})$

For any $q \times n$ matrix A , $A E(\mathbf{y})$ is a linear function of $E(\mathbf{y})$.

For any $q \times n$ matrix A , the OLS Estimator of $A E(\mathbf{y}) = A X \beta$ is

$$\begin{aligned} A [\text{OLS Estimator of } E(\mathbf{y})] &= A \hat{\mathbf{y}} = A P_X \mathbf{y} \\ &= A X (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbf{y}. \end{aligned}$$

- $A E(\mathbf{y}) = A X \beta$ is automatically a linear function of β of the form $C \beta$, where $C = A X$.
- If C is any $q \times p$ matrix, we say that the linear function of β given by $C \beta$ is estimable if and only if $C = A X$ for some matrix $q \times n$ matrix A .
- The OLS Estimator of an estimable linear function $C \beta$ is $C (X^\top X)^{-1} X^\top \mathbf{y}$.

Uniqueness of the OLS Estimator of an Estimable $C\beta$

If $C\beta$ is estimable, then $C\hat{\beta}$ is the same for all solutions $\hat{\beta}$ to the Normal Equations.

In particular, the unique OLS Estimator of $C\beta$ is

$$C\hat{\beta} = C(X^T X)^{-1} X^T y = A X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y = A P_X y,$$

where $C = A X$.

The OLS Estimator is a Linear Unbiased Estimator

If $C\beta$ is estimable, then $C\hat{\beta}$ is a linear unbiased estimator of $C\beta$.

The OLS Estimator is a linear estimator because it is a linear function of y :

$$C\hat{\beta} = \underline{C(X^T X)^{-1} X^T} y = My, \text{ where } M = \boxed{C(X^T X)^{-1} X^T}.$$

The OLS Estimator is unbiased because, for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(C\hat{\beta}) &= E(\overset{My}{C(X^T X)^{-1} X^T} y) = \boxed{C(X^T X)^{-1} X^T} E(y) \quad \leftarrow \text{Constant} \\ C = AX &= AX(X^T X)^{-1} X^T E(y) = AP_X \boxed{E(y)} = X\beta \\ &= \underline{AP_X X} \beta = AX\beta = C\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Slide 10

The Gauss-Markov Model (GMM)

Suppose $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, where

- $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the response vector,
- \mathbf{X} is an $n \times p$ matrix of known constants,
- $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is an unknown parameter vector, and
- $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is a vector of random “errors” satisfying $E(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\text{Var}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ for some unknown variance parameter $\sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

The GMM is a Special Case of the GLM

The GMM is a special case of the GLM presented previously.

We have added the assumption $\text{Var}(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$; i.e., we assume the errors are uncorrelated and have constant variance.

All the results presented for the GLM hold for the GMM.

The Gauss-Markov Theorem

For the GMM, we have an additional result provided by the *Gauss-Markov Theorem*:

The Gauss-Markov Theorem

The OLS Estimator of an estimable function $C\beta$ is the

Best Linear Unbiased Estimator **(BLUE)** of $C\beta$

in the sense that the OLS Estimator $C\hat{\beta}$ has the smallest variance among all linear unbiased estimators of $C\beta$.

end lecture
2