

**Stat 542-3 (1) - Ph.D. Prelim Exam - Spring 1998**

For a discrete random variable  $X$  taking values on the nonnegative integers the generating function is defined as  $h_X(s) = E(s^X) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Pr(X = j)s^j$  for those values  $s$  for which the sum converges.

- (a) Prove that  $h_X(s)$  converges for  $-1 \leq s \leq 1$ .
- (b) Show that  $h'_X(1) = \lim_{s \uparrow 1} h'_X(s)$  is equal to the mean of the random variable  $X$ .
- (c) Obtain an expression for the variance of  $X$  (assuming the variance is finite) in terms of  $h_X(s)$  or its derivatives.
- (d) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent random variables with generating functions  $h_X(s)$  and  $h_Y(s)$  then find the generating function of  $X + Y$ .
- (e) Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables having generating function  $f(s)$ . Let  $S_N = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N$  where  $N$  is a nonnegative integer-valued random variable independent of the  $X_i$ 's. We take  $S_N = 0$  if  $N = 0$ . Let the generating function of  $N$  be  $g(s)$ .
  - i. Find the generating function of  $S_N$  conditional on  $N = n$ .
  - ii. Show that the generating function of  $S_N$  is  $g(f(s))$ .
- (f) Show that the generating function for a Poisson random variable with mean  $\lambda$  is  $e^{\lambda(s-1)}$ .
- (g) In the setup of part (e), suppose that the  $X_i$ 's are i.i.d. Bernoulli trials with probability of success  $p$  and  $N$  is a Poisson random variable with mean  $\lambda$ .
  - i. Find the distributions of  $S_N$  and  $N - S_N$ , and their means and variances.
  - ii. Show that  $S_N$  and  $N - S_N$  are independent by computing  $\Pr(S_N = u, N - S_N = v)$ .
- (h) In the setup of part (e), suppose that the  $X_i$ 's are i.i.d. Poisson random variables with mean  $\mu$  and  $N$  is a Poisson random variable with mean  $\lambda$ . Find the mean and variance of the distribution of  $S_N$ .

Stat 542-3 (1) Solution - Ph.D. Final Exam - Spring 1998

①  $h_X(1) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Pr(X=j) = 1$  so  $h_X(s)$  is convergent at  $s=1$ .

For  $-1 \leq s < 1$  we have  $|\Pr(X=j) s^j| \leq \Pr(X=j)$  so  $h_X(s)$  converges for  $s$  in this interval.

②  $h'_X(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \Pr(X=j) s^{j-1}$ . If  $EX < \infty$  then  $\lim_{s \uparrow 1} h'_X(s) = h'_X(1) = \sum_j j \Pr(X=j) = EX$

③  $h''_X(1) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j(j-1) \Pr(X=j) = E[X(X-1)]$

Then  $Var X = EX^2 - (EX)^2 = h''_X(1) + h'_X(1) - h'_X(1)^2$

④  $h_{X+Y}(s) = E(s^{X+Y}) = E(s^X) E(s^Y) = h_X(s) h_Y(s)$

⑤ i.  $E[S^{X_1+\dots+X_N} | N=n] = [f(s)]^n$  (apply result from ④)

ii.  $h_{S_N}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E[S^{X_1+\dots+X_N} | N=n] \Pr(N=n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Pr(N=n) [f(s)]^n = g(f(s))$

⑥  $X \sim Po(\lambda)$   $h_X(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j e^{-\lambda}}{j!} s^j = e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda s} = e^{\lambda(s-1)}$

⑦ i.  $X \sim Bern(p)$   $h_X(s) = (1-p) + ps$

From ② + ⑦ we find  $h_{S_N}(s) = e^{\lambda(h_X(s)-1)} = e^{\lambda p(s-1)}$

$\Rightarrow S_N \sim Po(\lambda p)$   $ES_N = Var S_N = \lambda p$

⑧ If we apply the same argument to  $Y = 1-X$  with  $N-S_N = Y_1+\dots+Y_N$  we find  $N-S_N \sim Po(\lambda(1-p))$  with  $E(N-S_N) = Var(N-S_N) = \lambda(1-p)$

ii.  $P(S_N=v, N-S_N=v) = P(S_N=v, N=v+v)$

$= P(N=v+v) P(S_N=v | N=v+v)$

$= \frac{\lambda^{v+v}}{(v+v)!} \frac{(v+v)!}{v! v!} p^v (1-p)^v = \frac{e^{-\lambda p} (\lambda p)^v}{v!} \frac{e^{-\lambda(1-p)} (\lambda(1-p))^v}{v!}$

$= P(S_N=v) P(N-S_N=v)$  so  $S_N$  and  $N-S_N$  are independent.

⑨ From ② + ⑦  $h_{S_N}(s) = e^{\lambda(h_X(s)-1)} = e^{\lambda(e^{\lambda(s-1)}-1)}$

The mean and variance of  $S_N$  can be found from ⑥ + ⑦ OR

$$E(S_N) = E(E(S_N|N)) = E[N\mu] = \lambda\mu$$

$$Var(S_N) = E(Var(S_N|N)) + Var(E(S_N|N)) = E[N\mu^2] + Var[N\mu] = \lambda\mu + \lambda\mu^2$$

**Stat 542-3 (2) - Ph.D. Prelim Exam - Spring 1998**

Assume that  $X$  has the exponential distribution, i.e., its pdf is  $f_X(x) = \theta e^{-\theta x}$  for  $0 < x < \infty$  and 0 otherwise, where  $\theta > 0$ .

- (a) Derive the mean and variance of  $X$ .
- (b) Suppose that we have an i.i.d. sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  of observations ( $n \geq 2$ ) from the exponential distribution described at the top of the page.
  - i. Find the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  for  $\theta$ .
  - ii. Show that the distribution of  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a gamma distribution. (Recall if  $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  then its p.d.f. is  $f_Y(y) = \beta^\alpha y^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta y} / \Gamma(\alpha)$  for  $0 < y < \infty$  and 0 otherwise).
  - iii. Find the expected value of the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\theta$ . Is the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\theta$  unbiased?
  - iv. Find an exact 95% confidence interval for  $\theta$  based on  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .
  - v. Identify the limiting distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$ .
- (c) Let  $[x]$  denote the largest integer less than or equal to  $x$ . Define  $Y = [X]$  where  $X$  has the exponential distribution described at the top of the page. Show that  $Y$  has a geometric distribution and identify the mean and variance of  $Y$ .
- (d) Suppose that in part (b) we are not able to observe the actual values  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , but instead observe the values  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  with  $Y_i = [X_i]$ .
  - i. Find the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\theta$ .
  - ii. Find the distribution of  $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ .
- (e) With the setup as in (d), intuition should suggest that we get more information about  $\theta$  when we observe the  $X_i$ 's than when we observe the  $Y_i$ 's. Check this intuition by considering the Fisher information in each case.

Stat 542-3 (2) Solution - Ph.D. Prelim Exam - Spring 1998

$$\textcircled{a} \quad EX = \int_0^\infty x e^{-\theta x} dx = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$EX^2 = \int_0^\infty x^2 e^{-\theta x} dx = \frac{2}{\theta^2} \Rightarrow \text{Var}X = \frac{2}{\theta^2}$$

$$\textcircled{b} \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) = \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum x_i} = L(\theta)$$

$$\text{i. } \frac{d \ln L}{d\theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum x_i = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \frac{n}{\sum x_i} = \bar{x}$$

\text{ii. Using MGFs}  $M_{X_i}(t) = E(e^{tx}) = \theta(\theta+t)$   
 $M_{\sum X_i}(t) = (\theta(\theta+t))^n$  which is the MGF for a Gamma( $n, \theta$ ) dist.

$$\text{iii. } E(\hat{\theta}) = E\left(\frac{\sum x_i}{n}\right) = \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{\gamma} \frac{\theta^n y^{n-1} e^{-\theta y}}{\Gamma(n)} dy = \frac{n\theta}{n-1}, \quad \hat{\theta} \text{ is not unbiased}$$

\text{iv. If } \sum x\_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \theta) \text{ then } \theta \sum x\_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, 1)

Let  $r_{\alpha, \beta, p}$  =  $p^{\text{th}}$  percentile of the  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  distribution.

Then  $\left( \frac{r_{n, 1, .025}}{\sum x_i}, \frac{r_{n, 1, .975}}{\sum x_i} \right)$  is a 95% CI for  $\theta$

$$\text{v. MLE } \hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, I^{-1}) \text{ where } I = E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2}\right] = n/\theta^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \theta^2)$$

$$\textcircled{c} \quad P(Y=y) = P(y \leq X \leq y+1) = \int_y^{y+1} \theta e^{-\theta x} dx = e^{-\theta y}(1-e^{-\theta})$$

If  $X \sim \text{Geom}(p)$   $f_X(x) = p^x p^{1-x}$  then  $EX = 1/p$   $\text{Var}X = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$

Therefore  $Y \sim \text{Geom}(1-e^{-\theta})$  with  $EY = \frac{1}{(e^\theta - 1)}$   $\text{Var}Y = \frac{e^\theta}{(e^\theta - 1)^2}$

$$\textcircled{d} \quad \text{i. } f(y_1, \dots, y_n | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\theta y_i} (1-e^{-\theta}) = e^{-\theta \sum y_i} (1-e^{-\theta})^n = L(\theta)$$

$$\frac{d \log L}{d\theta} = -\sum y_i + n e^{-\theta} / (1-e^{-\theta}) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \begin{cases} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{y}) & \text{if } \sum y_i > 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } \sum y_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

\text{ii. If } Y\_i \sim \text{Geom}(p) \text{ then } Z = \sum Y\_i \sim \text{NegBin}(n, p), P(Z=z) = \binom{z+n-1}{z} e^{-\theta z} (1-e^{-\theta})^z

\textcircled{e} Note that more information (in the Fisher sense) implies a lower asymptotic variance.

$$X: \quad I_X = E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right] = n/\theta^2$$

$$Y: \quad I_Y = E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 \log f(y_1, \dots, y_n | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right] = \frac{n e^\theta}{(e^\theta - 1)^2} = \frac{n}{(e^{\theta/2} - e^{-\theta/2})^2}$$

$$= \frac{n}{(\theta + \frac{2}{3!}(\frac{\theta}{2})^3 + \frac{2}{5!}(\frac{\theta}{2})^5 + \dots)} < \frac{1}{\theta^2} = I_X$$

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with common probability density function (pdf)  $f_\theta(x)$ ,  $\theta > 0$ , where

$$f_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3x^2}{\theta^3} & \text{if } 0 < x < \theta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also, suppose that the parameter  $\theta$  is random with prior pdf

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} e^{-\theta}\theta^{3n}/\{(3n)!\} & \text{if } \theta > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- i. Write down the joint pdf of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $\theta$ .
- ii. Find the marginal distribution of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .
- iii. Show that the posterior density function (i.e., the conditional pdf) of  $\theta$  given  $X_i = x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , is as follows:

$$g_{\theta|x}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \exp(-(\theta - x_{(n)})) & \text{if } \theta > x_{(n)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $x_{(n)} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$ .

- iv. Find the Bayes' estimator of  $\theta$  under the squared error loss function.
- v. Find a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  highest posterior density (HPD) credible set  $\Lambda_\alpha$  for  $\theta$ , where  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .  
*(Recall that  $\Lambda_\alpha$  is a  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  HPD credible set for  $\theta$  if  $\Lambda_\alpha = \{\theta : g_{\theta|x}(\theta) > c\}$  for some real number  $c$  and  $P(\theta \in \Lambda_\alpha | X_i = x_i, i = 1, \dots, n) = 1 - \alpha$ .)*
- vi. Find  $P_\theta(\theta \in \Lambda_\alpha)$ .
- vii. Is it true that the HPD credible set  $\Lambda_\alpha$  can be regarded as a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence region for  $\theta$ ? Briefly justify your answer.

(i)

$$f(x, \theta) = 3^n \theta^{-3n} \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ x_i^2 I(0 < x_i < \theta) \right\} \frac{e^{-\theta}}{(3n)!} \cdot I(\theta)$$

$$= \frac{3^n}{(3n)!} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) e^{-\theta} \cdot I(0 < x_{(1)} < x_{(n)} < \theta)$$

where  $x_{(1)} = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$  and  $x_{(n)} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$ .

(ii)

The marginal pdf of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is given by

$$f_{\underline{x}}(\underline{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, \theta) d\theta$$

$$= \left\{ \int_{x_{(n)}}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{(3n)!} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) e^{-\theta} d\theta \right\} I(0 < x_{(1)} < \dots < x_{(n)})$$

$$= \frac{3^n}{(3n)!} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) \cdot e^{-x_{(n)}} \cdot I(x_{(1)} > 0)$$

(iii) For  $x_{(n)} > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{\theta|x}(\theta) &= \frac{f(x, \theta)}{f_x(x)} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{3^n}{3n!} (\prod x_i^n) \cdot e^{-\theta}}{\frac{3^n}{3n!} (\prod x_i^n) e^{-x_{(n)}}} I(x_{(n)} < \theta) \\
 &= e^{-(\theta - x_{(n)})} I(\theta > x_{(n)})
 \end{aligned}$$

(iv) The Bayes' estimator of  $\theta$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{\theta|x}(\theta) &= \int \theta g_{\theta|x}(\theta) d\theta \\
 &= \int_{x_{(n)}}^{\infty} \theta e^{-(\theta - x_{(n)})} d\theta \\
 &= x_{(n)} + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

(V)

Note that a  $(1-\alpha)$  HPD region is given by

$$\Lambda_\alpha \equiv \{ \theta \in (\circ, \infty) : g_{\theta|x}(\theta) > c \} \text{ where the}$$

constant  $c > 0$  is such that

$$P_{\theta|x}(\theta \in \Lambda_\alpha) = 1-\alpha$$

Since  $g_{\theta|x}(\theta)$  is a decreasing function

over  $(x_n, \infty)$ ,  $g_{\theta|x}(\theta) > c \iff x_n < \theta < K$

(where  $K = K(c)$  is defined by the equation

$$e^{-(K-x_n)} = c). \text{ Hence, a } (1-\alpha) \text{ HPD}$$

region for  $\theta$  is given by  $\Lambda_\alpha = [x_n, K]$  where

$$P_{\theta|x}(\theta < K) = 1-\alpha \iff \int_{x_n}^K e^{-(\theta-x_n)} d\theta = 1-\alpha$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 - e^{-(K-x_n)} = 1-\alpha \iff K = x_n - \log \alpha.$$

(vi)

$$P_{\theta}(\theta \in \Delta_{\alpha})$$

$$= P_{\theta}(X_{(n)} \leq \theta \leq X_{(n)} - \log \alpha)$$

$$= P_{\theta}(-\theta + \log \alpha \leq X_{(n)} \leq \theta)$$

$$= 1 - P_{\theta}(X_{(n)} < \theta + \log \alpha)$$

$$= 1 - [P_{\theta}(X_1 < \theta + \log \alpha)]^n$$

$$= 1 - \left[ \frac{3}{\theta^3} \cdot \int_0^{(\theta + \log \alpha) \vee 0} x^2 dx \right]^n$$

$$= 1 - \left[ \frac{3}{\theta^3} \cdot ((\theta + \log \alpha) \vee 0)^3 / 3 \right]^n$$

$$= 1 - [0 \vee (1 + \theta^{-1} \log \alpha)]^{3n}$$

NOTE: for  $0 < \theta \leq -\log \alpha$ ,  $P_{\theta}(\theta \in \Delta_{\alpha}) = 1$ , and for  $\theta > -\log \alpha$ ,  
 $1 + \theta^{-1} \log \alpha \in (0, 1) \Rightarrow P_{\theta}(\theta \in \Delta_{\alpha}) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,

(vii) a  $(1-\alpha)$  HPD region can not be interpreted as  
a  $(1-\alpha)$  confidence region for  $\theta$ .