

PhD Prelim Exam THEORY

**Summer 2004
(Given on 7/29/04)**

1. a) Specify a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and a random variable $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that X has the Poisson distribution with mean 1.

b) Let

$$Y = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } X \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Find $\sigma(Y)$, the σ -algebra generated by Y . Then show that if $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

$\sigma(Y)$ -measurable, then $f(\omega_1) = f(\omega_2)$ whenever $X(\omega_1)$ and $X(\omega_2)$ are both odd.

2. Let $F_\lambda(\cdot)$ and $F_{\gamma, \sigma}(\cdot)$ denote respectively the Poisson(λ) and Normal(γ, σ) cumulative distribution functions (the normal mean is γ and the standard deviation is σ). Let

$$F(\cdot) = \alpha F_\lambda(\cdot) + (1 - \alpha) F_{\gamma, \sigma}(\cdot)$$

for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let μ_F, μ_{F_λ} , and $\mu_{F_{\gamma, \sigma}}$ be the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures induced by F, F_λ , and $F_{\gamma, \sigma}$ respectively.

- a) Evaluate $\mu_F(A_1), \mu_F(A_2)$, and $\mu_F(A_3)$ for

$$A_1 = \{1, 2, 3\},$$

$$A_2 = Q = \{\text{rational numbers}\},$$

and

$$A_3 = Q^c \cap [-1, 1]$$

- b) Prove or disprove each of the following. " \ll " means "is dominated by." For those cases where domination holds, give the corresponding Radon-Nikodym derivative.

i) $\mu_{F_\lambda} \ll \mu_F$

ii) $\mu_F \ll \mu_{F_\lambda}$

iii) $\mu_{F_{\gamma, \sigma}} \ll \mu_F$

iv) $\mu_F \ll \mu_{F_{\gamma, \sigma}}$

- c) Let $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be iid with cumulative distribution function F . Let

$$\delta_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_i \text{ is integer} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Show that the following limits exist with probability 1 and identify those limits. (This will imply that all four parameters α, λ, γ , and σ can be estimated consistently.) You may use without proof any result proved in class.

i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i$

$$\text{ii) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$\text{iii) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \delta_i$$

$$\text{iv) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - \bar{Z}_n)^2, \text{ where } Z_i = X_i(1 - \delta_i) \text{ and } \bar{Z}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$$

$$\text{v) } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(x), \text{ where } F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{(-\infty, x]}(X_i) \text{ and } I_A(\cdot) \text{ denotes the indicator function of a set } A$$

For the last case, v), can the convergence be strengthened from “point-wise in x ” to “uniformly in x ”? Explain.

i) a) $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $P = \mu_F$, the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure induced by F

$$X(\omega) = \omega$$

b) Let $A_j = \{\omega: X(\omega) = 2j\}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Let $\mathcal{A} \equiv \{A_j: j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{Y}) = \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \equiv \left\{ \bigcup_{j \in I} A_j, I \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \right\}$$

Let $A^* = \left(\bigcup_{j \geq 0} A_j \right)^c$. Then $A^* \in \sigma(\mathcal{Y})$

Any f that is $\sigma(\mathcal{Y})$ measurable is constant on each A_j & also on A^* . This \Rightarrow (b).

Since $A^* = \{\omega: X(\omega) \text{ is odd}\}$

ii) a)

$$\mu_F(A_1) = \alpha \quad \mu_{F_N}(A_1) = \alpha \quad \mu_{F_N}(\{1, 2, 3\}) = \alpha \quad e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1!} + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^3}{3!} \right)$$

$$\mu_F(A_2) = \alpha \quad \mu_{F_N}(Q) = \alpha$$

$$\mu_F(A_3) = (1-\alpha) \quad \mu_{F_N}(-1, +1) = (1-\alpha) \quad \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\frac{1-k}{\sigma} \right) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\frac{-1-k}{\sigma} \right)$$

b) i) $\mu_{F_N}(A) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \mu_F(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$

So $\mu_{F_N} \ll \mu_F$ $\frac{d\mu_{F_N}}{d\mu_F} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbb{I}_{\text{image of } F}$

where $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

ii) $\mu_{F_N}(Q^c) = 0$ but $\mu_F(Q^c) = 1-\alpha$

So $\mu_F \not\ll \mu_{F_N}$

iii) $\mu_{F_N} \ll \mu_F$ as in (i) $\frac{d\mu_{F_N}}{d\mu_F} = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)} \mathbb{I}_{N^c}$

iv) $\mu_{F_N}(Q) = 0$ but $\mu_F(Q) = 1$ So $\mu_F \not\ll \mu_{F_N}$

Major Theory I

Page 2 of 2

c) By SLLN, w.p. 1

$$i) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i = E\delta_i = \alpha$$

$$ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = EX_i = \alpha\lambda + (1-\alpha)\mu$$

$$iii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \delta_i = EX_i \delta_i = \alpha\lambda$$

$$iv) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i - \bar{Z}_n)^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2 - \bar{Z}_n^2 \right)$$

$$= EZ_i^2 - (EZ_i)^2$$

$$= (1-\alpha)(\mu^2 + \sigma^2) - ((1-\alpha)\mu)^2$$

$$v) \forall F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x) = P(X_i \leq x)$$

By the Glivenko-Cantelli this convergence is uniform w.p. 1.

So $\alpha, \lambda, \mu, \sigma^2$ can be estimated consistently from the data $\{X_i, Y_i\}$.

This problem consists of 3 unrelated parts, labeled A, B, and C.

A. Let X be a random variable with $EX = 0$, $EX^2 = 1$, and with characteristic function, ϕ , such that $\int |\phi(t)|^p dt < \infty$ for some real number $p \in (1, \infty)$.

1. For each integer $r \geq 2$, find a random variable Z_r such that Z_r has characteristic function ϕ^r , i.e., $E \exp(itZ_r) = \phi(t)^r$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. Show that for $r \geq p$, the distribution of Z_r has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} .
3. It can be shown that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int \left| [\phi(t/\sqrt{r})]^r - \exp(-t^2/2) \right| dt = 0. \quad (1)$$

Assuming (1), show that

$$\sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} |P(Z_r \in B) - P(Z \in B)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is the Borel σ -field on \mathbb{R} and where $Z \sim N(0,1)$.

B. Let X be a random variable having the Geometric distribution with parameter $\theta \in (0,1)$, i.e.,

$$P_\theta(X \in A) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} [(1-\theta)\theta^x] \mathbb{1}_A(x), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $\mathbb{1}_A$ denotes the indicator function of A . Let $\mathbf{A} = [0,1]$ be the action space and $L(\theta, a) = (\theta - a)^2/(1 - \theta)$ denote the loss function for a decision problem based on X .

1. Show that any nonrandomized decision rule, d , has risk function given by

$$R(\theta, d) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c(k)\theta^k, \quad \theta \in (0,1),$$

where $c(0) = d(0)$, $c(1) = d(1)^2 - 2d(0)$, and $c(k) = 1 - 2d(k-1) + d(k)^2$ for $k \geq 2$.

2. Let d_0 be the decision rule given by $d_0(0) = 1/2$ and $d_0(i) = 1$ for all $i \geq 1$. Show that d_0 is an equalizer rule, i.e., $R(\theta, d_0)$ is constant in θ .
3. Let d be a nonrandomized equalizer rule.
 - (a) Show that if $d(0) < 1/2$, then $d(i+1) < d(i) < 1$ for all $i \geq 1$.
 - (b) Using (a) or otherwise, show that ' $d(0) < 1/2$ ' is impossible and that $d(0)$ must be equal to $1/2$. Hence, conclude that $d = d_0$.

This shows that d_0 is the only nonrandomized equalizer rule for this problem.

C. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a collection of independent and identically distributed (iid) random variables with common distribution EXPONENTIAL (θ), $\theta \in (0, \infty)$, i.e.,

$$P_\theta(X_1 \in A) = \int_{A \cap (0, \infty)} [\theta^{-1} \exp(-x/\theta)] dx, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Find a uniformly most powerful unbiased (UMPU) size α test ($\alpha \in (0, 1)$) for testing

$$H_0 : \theta = 1 \quad \text{against} \quad H_1 : \theta \neq 1.$$

(NOTE: You need to write down equations for the constants that define the UMPU test, but do NOT need to solve them.)

A.1

Let X_1, \dots, X_r be iid random variables with the same distribution as X . Take $Z_r = X_1 + \dots + X_r$, $r \geq 2$. Then, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_r(t) &\equiv E \exp(itZ_r) = E \exp(it[X_1 + \dots + X_r]) \\ &= E \prod_{j=1}^r \{ \exp(itX_j) \} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^r \underbrace{E \exp(itX_j)}_{=\phi(t)}, \text{ by independence} \\ &= [\phi(t)]^r\end{aligned}$$

A.2.

Note that $|\phi(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, for $r \geq p$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E \exp(itZ_r)| dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(t)|^r dt \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(t)|^p dt < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Since the characteristic function of Z_r is integrable, by the inversion formula, Z_r has a density, given by

$$f_r(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_r(t) \exp(-itx) dt,$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}$.

A.3. Since Z_r has a density, so does Z_r/\sqrt{r} .
Let g_r denote the density of Z_r/\sqrt{r} .

Note that the characteristic function of $\frac{Z_r}{\sqrt{r}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp(it \frac{Z_r}{\sqrt{r}}) &= E \exp(i \cdot [t/\sqrt{r}] Z_r) \\ &= \Psi_r(t/\sqrt{r}) = [\Phi(t/\sqrt{r})]^r, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

The inversion formula,

$$g_r(x) = \int \Psi_r(t/\sqrt{r}) e^{-itx} dt / 2\pi,$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}$,

and

$$f_Z(x) = \text{density of } Z \sim N(0,1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-x^2/2) = \int e^{t/2} \cdot e^{-itx} \frac{dt}{2\pi}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By (1), for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|g_r(x) - f_Z(x)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int (\Psi_r(t/\sqrt{r}) - e^{t/2}) e^{-itx} dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left| \psi_r(t/\sqrt{r}) - e^{-t^2/2} \right| dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, by Scheffe's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \left| P\left(\frac{Z_r}{\sqrt{r}} \in B\right) - P(Z \in B) \right| \\ &= \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \left| \int_B g_r(x) dx - \int_B f_Z(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int |g_r(x) - f_Z(x)| dx \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$B.1) \quad R(\theta, d) = E_{\theta} L(\theta, d(x)) = (1-\theta)^{-1} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (\theta - d(x))^2 [(1-\theta) \theta^x]$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (\theta - d(x))^2 \theta^x$$

$$= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \theta^{2+x} - 2 \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \theta^{x+1} d(x) + \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (d(x))^2 \theta^x$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta^k d(k-1) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (d(k))^2 \theta^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c(k) \theta^k, \quad 0 < \theta < 1$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{where } c(0) = d(0)^2, \quad c(1) = d(1)^2 - 2d(0) \\ \text{and } c(k) = 1 - 2d(k-1) + d(k)^2. \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow (*)$$

B.2.
~~B.2.1)~~

Easy. verify that ~~the~~ d satisfies the

$$\text{equations } (*), \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} c(0) = 0, \quad c(1) = 0 \\ c(k) = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 2 \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow (*)$$

B.3
~~B.3.1)~~

If possible, suppose that there exists a $d(x)$ satisfying (*) such that ~~$d(x) \neq d(x)$ for some x .~~

$d(0) \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $d(x) \in [0, 1] \quad \forall x \in X = \{0, 1, \dots\}$.

Hence, $c(1) = 0 \Leftrightarrow d(1)^2 - 2d(0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$

$$\boxed{d(1)^2 = 2d(0)} \Rightarrow 1 \geq d(1)^2 \geq 2(d(0)) \Leftrightarrow d(0) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, $d(0) \neq \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow d(0) < \frac{1}{2}$.

$$\Rightarrow d(1)^2 = 2d(0) < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow d(1) < 1 \rightarrow \textcircled{1}.$$

Now, for $k \geq 2$, $c(k) = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d(k)^2 - 2d(k-1) + 1 \\ &= d(k)^2 - d(k-1)^2 + (d(k-1) - 1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $d(k-1) < 1 \xRightarrow{\text{for some } k \geq 2} d(k)^2 - d(k-1)^2 = -(d(k-1) - 1)^2 < 0$
 $\Rightarrow d(k) < d(k-1) \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$

By induction and $\textcircled{1}$ and $\textcircled{2}$, ~~that~~

$1 > d(1) > d(2) > \dots$; i.e. $\{d(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence in $[0, 1]$. ~~Now let~~

Let ~~the~~ $a = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(k) \in [0, 1]$. But letting

$k \rightarrow \infty$ in ' $c(k) = 0, k \geq 2$ ', we have

$$0 = 1 - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2d(k-1) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(k)^2 = 1 - 2a + a^2$$

~~$\Rightarrow a = 1$, which is a~~

$\Rightarrow a = 1$. This contradicts the fact that $a < 1$. Hence, $d(0) = 1/2$, and by (*), $d(1) = 1/2 = d(2) = \dots$

C. The joint distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n is

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta} \exp(-x_j/\theta) \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x_j) \right\}$$

$$= \theta^{-n} \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n x_j/\theta\right) \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x_0),$$

$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $x_0 = \min\{x_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$.

$\Rightarrow \{p_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$ is an $\begin{matrix} \text{1-parameter} \\ \text{exponential family} \end{matrix}$ joint distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n

with $Q(\theta) = -\frac{1}{\theta}$ increasing in $\theta \in (0, \infty) \equiv \Theta$.

Hence, by a Theorem from the class notes, a size α UMP test for

$$H_0: \theta = 1 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1: \theta \neq 1$$

Major theory II
is given by

Solution / 643 / Page 7 of 7

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{j=1}^n x_j < c_1 \text{ or } \sum_{j=1}^n x_j > c_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants satisfying

~~$P_{\theta=1}(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j)$~~

$$E_{\theta=1} \phi(x) = \alpha$$

and

$$E_{\theta=1} \phi(x) (\sum_{j=1}^n x_j) = \alpha E_{\theta=1} (\sum_{j=1}^n x_j)$$

(I)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &P_{\theta=1} (c_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \leq c_2) = 1 - \alpha \\ &E_{\theta=1} (\sum_{j=1}^n x_j) \mathbb{1}_{[c_1, c_2]} (\sum_{j=1}^n x_j) = (1 - \alpha) E_{\theta=1} (\sum_{j=1}^n x_j) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

(II)

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\int_{c_1}^{c_2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} y^{n-1} e^{-y} dy = 1 - \alpha \\ &\int_{c_1}^{c_2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} y^n e^{-y} dy = (1 - \alpha) \cdot n \end{aligned} \right.$$

1. Two independent random samples X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n and Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m are respectively IID $\text{Poisson}(\theta\phi)$ and $\text{Poisson}(\theta/\phi)$ for θ and ϕ both positive parameters.

- (a) Derive $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\phi}$, the joint maximum likelihood estimators (MLE) of θ and ϕ .

The Fisher information quantity defined for a univariate likelihood can be generalized to a multivariate likelihood like the one encountered in (a). Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)'$ be a k -variate parameter taking value in $\Theta \subset R^k$ and $\ell(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ be the log-likelihood function of α based on n random observations. For $i = 1, \dots, k$, let $U_i(\alpha) = \frac{\partial \ell(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha_i}$ and $U(\alpha) = (U_1(\alpha), U_2(\alpha), \dots, U_k(\alpha))'$.

The Fisher information matrix is defined as

$$I(\alpha) = \text{Cov}(U) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Var}(U_1(\alpha)), \dots, \text{Cov}(U_1(\alpha), U_k(\alpha)) \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ \text{Cov}(U_k(\alpha), U_1(\alpha)), \dots, \text{Var}(U_k(\alpha)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be shown that under certain regularity conditions the MLE of α is asymptotically normally distributed mean α and covariance $I^{-1}(\alpha)$.

- (b) Derive the Fisher information matrix for (θ, ϕ) .
- (c) Identify the asymptotic distribution of $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (d) Show that the asymptotic correlation of $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ is positive if and only if $\phi < 1$.

2. An independent and identically distributed random sample X_1, \dots, X_n is taken from a distribution with probability density function

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \theta x^{\theta-1} & \text{if } 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\theta > 0$. The maximum likelihood estimator of θ is

$$\hat{\theta}_{ML} = -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)}.$$

(You may take this as given).

- (a) Find a pivotal quantity based on the entire random sample.
- (b) Use the pivotal quantity obtained in (a) to construct an exact 90% confidence interval for θ .

3. One hundred independent and identically distributed exponential random variables are observed. Suppose the mean of the exponential distributions is $1/\alpha$. It is desired to test $\mathcal{H}_0 : \alpha = 2$ against various alternatives.

(The following information maybe useful: The 2.5%, 5%, 95%, 97.5% quantiles of the Gamma distribution with the shape parameter 100 and the scale parameter 1/2 are respectively 40.68, 42.07, 58.50, 60.27.)

- (a) Derive the likelihood ratio test for $\mathcal{H}_0 : \alpha = 2$ against $\mathcal{H}_1 : \alpha = 3$ at significance level 5%. Express the test in terms of the maximum likelihood estimator of α .
- (b) Derive the uniformly most powerful test of $\mathcal{H}_0 : \alpha = 2$ against $\mathcal{H}_1 : \alpha > 2$ at significance level 5%. Express the test in terms of the maximum likelihood estimator of α .

(a) Define $\mu_x = \theta\phi$ and $\mu_y = \theta/\phi$

$$L(\mu_x, \mu_y) = C e^{-n\mu_x} \mu_x^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-\mu_y} \mu_y^{\sum_{j=1}^m y_j}$$

The MLE's of (μ_x, μ_y) are (\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_m) .

$$\begin{cases} \theta\phi = \bar{x}_n \\ \theta/\phi = \bar{y}_m \end{cases} \Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \bar{y}_m \sqrt{\bar{x}_n / \bar{y}_m} \quad \hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\bar{x}_n / \bar{y}_m}$$

(b) $(\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_m)^T$ is ~~asymptotically normally distributed~~ $N_2\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_x \\ \mu_y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu_x}{n} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\mu_y}{m} \end{pmatrix}\right)$ distributed

$$(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi})^T = g(\bar{x}_n, \bar{y}_m) \quad \text{where} \quad g(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} u\sqrt{v} \\ \sqrt{u/v} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a smooth function over \mathbb{R}_+^2 .

The asymptotic normality of $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi})$ is preserved under the smoothed transformation.

The delta method can be used to derive the ^{asy.} mean and asymptotic covariance of $(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi})$.

Here we use the approach of Fisher-Information.

$$l(\theta, \phi) = C - n\theta\phi - m\theta/\phi + (\sum x_i + \sum y_j) \log \theta + (\sum x_i - \sum y_j) \log \phi$$

$$\begin{cases} U_1 = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} = -n\phi - m/\phi + \frac{\sum x_i + \sum y_j}{\theta} \\ U_2 = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \phi} = -n\theta + \frac{m\theta}{\phi^2} + \frac{\sum x_i - \sum y_j}{\phi} \end{cases}$$

$$Var(U_1) = \frac{n\theta\phi + m\theta/\phi}{\theta^2}, \quad Var(U_2) = \frac{n\theta\phi + m\theta/\phi}{\phi^2}$$

$$Cov(U_1, U_2) = \frac{n\theta\phi - m\theta/\phi}{\theta\phi}$$

Hence the Fisher Inf. matrix is

$$I(\theta, \phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n\phi + m/\phi}{\theta} & \frac{n\phi - m/\phi}{\phi} \\ \frac{n\phi - m/\phi}{\phi} & \frac{\theta(n\phi + m/\phi)}{\phi^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I^{-1}(\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{4mn} \begin{pmatrix} \theta(n\phi + m/\phi) & -n\phi^3 + m\phi \\ -n\phi^3 + m\phi & n\phi^3 + m\phi \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi}) \text{ is A.W. } \left(\begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \phi \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4mn} \begin{pmatrix} \theta(n\phi + m/\phi) & -n\phi^3 + m\phi \\ " & n\phi^3 + m\phi \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

$$(c) \text{Cov}(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi}) \approx \frac{-n\phi^3 + m\phi}{4mn} > 0$$

$$\text{iff } -n\phi^3 + m\phi > 0 \quad \stackrel{n=m}{\Rightarrow} \text{iff } -\phi^3 + \phi > 0$$

$$\text{As } \phi > 0 \Rightarrow \text{iff } \phi < 1.$$

2

(a) Let $Y_i = -\alpha \ln X_i \sim \text{Exp}(1) = \text{Gamma}(1, 1)$

Hence $\sum Y_i = -\alpha \sum \ln X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, 1)$

is a pivotal quantity

(b) Let $\gamma_{n,0.05}$ & $\gamma_{n,0.95}$ be the 0.05 and 0.95 quantiles of $\text{Gamma}(n, 1)$

Then

$$\Pr(\gamma_{n,0.05} < -\alpha \sum \ln X_i < \gamma_{n,0.95}) = 0.90$$

Thus a 90% CI for α is

~~$$\left(\frac{\gamma_{n,0.05}}{-\sum \ln X_i}, \frac{\gamma_{n,0.95}}{-\sum \ln X_i} \right)$$~~

$$\left(\frac{\gamma_{n,0.05}}{-\sum \ln X_i}, \frac{\gamma_{n,0.95}}{-\sum \ln X_i} \right)$$

3. (a) The LR is of the form

$$LR = \frac{L(\alpha_1)}{L(\alpha_0)} = \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_0} \right)^{100} e^{(\alpha_0 - \alpha_1) \sum_{i=1}^{100} T_i}$$

and for $\alpha_0 = 2, \alpha_1 = 3$ this is a monotonic decreasing function of $\sum T_i$. Hence the LR test is of the form "Reject \mathcal{H}_0 if $\sum T_i \leq c$ ". If we choose $c = 42.07$ then the size of the test is

$$\alpha = P\left(\sum T_i < 42.07\right) = P(\Gamma(100, 2) < 42.07) = 0.05.$$

and so the test has size 5%. The ML estimator of α is $100/\sum T_i$. Hence we reject \mathcal{H}_0 if and only if

$$\sum T_i < 42.07 \Leftrightarrow \frac{100}{\sum T_i} > \frac{100}{42.07} \Leftrightarrow \hat{\alpha}_{ML} > 2.377.$$

(b) The likelihood is

$$L(\alpha) = \alpha^n e^{-\alpha \sum T_i}$$

which is of the form $f(\alpha) \exp\{g(\alpha)S(T)\}$ where $S = \sum T_i$. The most powerful unbiased test therefore rejects \mathcal{H}_0 when $\sum T_i$ is either too small or too large. The distribution of T is $\Gamma(100, 2)$ which is close to symmetric (because it is very close to normal!) and so the required test is Reject \mathcal{H}_0 if $\sum T_i < 40.28$ or $\sum T_i > 60.27$. In terms of the the ML estimator the test is

Reject \mathcal{H}_0 if $\hat{\alpha}_{ML} < 1.659$ or $\hat{\alpha}_{ML} > 2.458$.

The test in (b) is the MP test.

1. Let Y have a Gamma(α, β) distribution with density given by

$$f_Y(y|\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} y^{\alpha-1} e^{-y/\beta} & y > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where α and β are positive. Let μ ($\mu > 0$) be the mean of Y and σ^2 be the variance of Y .

- (a) Derive the moment generating function (MGF) of Y .
 - (b) Derive the mean of Y , $E(Y)$.
 - (c) Derive the variance of Y , $\text{var}(Y)$.
2. Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be independent and identically distributed as in part (1). Let $\bar{Y}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$.
- (a) Argue (i.e., provide a short proof/demonstration) that \bar{Y}_n is a consistent estimator of μ .
 - (b) Does $E(\log \bar{Y}_n) = \log E(\bar{Y}_n)$? Why or why not?
 - (c) Is $\log \bar{Y}_n$ a consistent estimator of $\log \mu$? Why or why not?
 - (d) What is the large sample distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - \mu)$?
 - (e) What is the large sample distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\log \bar{Y} - \log \mu)$?
3. Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be as in part (2), with $\alpha = 2$.
- (a) Find the joint probability density of Y_1 and Y_2 .
 - (b) Find the joint probability density of $|Y_1 - Y_2|$ and Y_1 .
Note that $|Y_1 - Y_2| = Y_1 - Y_2$ when $Y_1 \geq Y_2$ and $|Y_1 - Y_2| = Y_2 - Y_1$ when $Y_1 < Y_2$.
 - (c) Find the probability density of $|Y_1 - Y_2|$.
 - (d) Find the maximum likelihood estimator of β when you have observed $Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n$.
 - (e) Find the large sample distribution of the maximum likelihood estimator of β .

4. Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be distributed as in part (3), (i.e., iid $\text{Gamma}(2, \beta)$). Suppose further that *a priori* β has an Inverse-Gamma(α_0, β_0) distribution with probability density given by

$$f_{\beta}(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha_0) \beta_0^{\alpha_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha_0+1} e^{-1/(\beta\beta_0)} & \beta > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

When $\alpha_0 > 2$, the prior mean and variance of β are respectively $(\beta_0 (\alpha_0 - 1))^{-1}$ and $(\beta_0^2 (\alpha_0 - 1)^2 (\alpha_0 - 2))^{-1}$.

- (a) What are the (marginal) mean and variance of Y_1 ?
- (b) What is the posterior distribution of β given $Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n$, the conditional distribution of β given $Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n$?

$$\begin{aligned}
 1. a) M_Y(t) &= \int_0^\infty e^{ty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} y^{\alpha-1} e^{-y/\beta} dy \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \int_0^\infty y^{\alpha-1} e^{-y(\frac{1}{\beta}-t)} dy \quad \frac{1}{\beta}-t = \frac{1-\beta t}{\beta} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta t}\right)^\alpha = \left(\frac{1}{1-\beta t}\right)^\alpha = (1-\beta t)^{-\alpha}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$b) \frac{dM_Y(t)}{dt} = -\alpha(1-\beta t)^{-\alpha-1}(-\beta) \quad \frac{dM_Y(0)}{dt} = \alpha\beta = EY$$

$$c) \frac{d^2 M_Y(t)}{dt^2} = -(\alpha+1)\alpha(1-\beta t)^{-\alpha-2}(\beta^2) \quad \frac{d^2 M_Y(0)}{dt^2} = (\alpha+1)\alpha\beta^2 = EY^2$$

$$\text{Var } Y = EY^2 - (EY)^2 = (\alpha+1)\alpha\beta^2 - \alpha^2\beta^2 = \alpha\beta^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 2. a) P(|\bar{Y} - \alpha\beta| < \epsilon) &= P((\bar{Y} - \alpha\beta)^2 < \epsilon^2) = 1 - \frac{E(\bar{Y} - \alpha\beta)^2}{\epsilon^2} \\
 &= 1 - \frac{\text{var } \bar{Y}}{\epsilon^2} = 1 - \frac{\alpha\beta^2}{n\epsilon^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

So \bar{Y} is consistent for $EY = \alpha\beta$.

b) No \log is concave. By Jensen's Inequality, $E\log \bar{Y} < \log E\bar{Y}$.

c) Yes \log is a continuous function and \bar{Y} is consistent for μ .

2d) CLT: $\bar{Y} \sim N(\alpha\beta, \frac{\alpha\beta^2}{n})$. Moment generating function MBF exists near zero

e) Delta method & CLT

$g(\bar{Y}) = \log \bar{Y}$ $g'(\bar{Y}) = \frac{1}{\bar{Y}}$ $E\bar{Y} = \alpha\beta$

$\log \bar{Y} \sim N(\log(\alpha\beta), \frac{\alpha\beta^2}{n} (\frac{1}{\alpha\beta})^2)$.

3. a) $f(y_1, y_2) = \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(w)\beta^\alpha} \right]^2 (y_1, y_2)^{\alpha-1} e^{-(y_1+y_2)/\beta}$ $\alpha=2$

b) $V = |Y_1 - Y_2|$ $W = Y_1$ $Y_1 \geq Y_2$ $V = Y_1 - Y_2$ $Y_2 = W - V$
 $Y_1 = W$ $Y_1 < Y_2$ $V = Y_2 - Y_1$ $Y_2 = W + V$
 For either transformation $|J| = 1$.

$f_{V,W}(v, w) = f(w, w-v) + f(w, w+v)$

c) Let $\alpha=2$
 $f_{V,W}(v, w) = \frac{1}{\beta^4} w(w-v) e^{-\frac{w-v}{\beta}} + \frac{1}{\beta^4} w(w+v) e^{-\frac{w+v}{\beta}}$

$f_V(v) = \int_0^\infty f_{V,W}(v, w) dw$ which is not too bad.

Co-Major Theory II

3c) continued

$$f_v(v) = \int_0^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\beta^4} w(w-v) e^{-\frac{2w-v}{\beta}} + \frac{1}{\beta^4} w(w+v) e^{-\frac{2w+v}{\beta}} \right] dw$$

$$= \frac{e^{\frac{v}{\beta}}}{\beta^4} \int_0^{\infty} w^2 e^{-\frac{w}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right)} dw - \frac{v}{\beta^4} e^{\frac{v}{\beta}} \int_0^{\infty} w e^{-\frac{w}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right)} dw$$

$$+ \frac{e^{-\frac{v}{\beta}}}{\beta^4} \int_0^{\infty} w^2 e^{-\frac{w}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right)} dw + \frac{v}{\beta^4} e^{-\frac{v}{\beta}} \int_0^{\infty} w e^{-\frac{w}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right)} dw$$

Kernels: $\text{Gamma}\left(3, \frac{\beta}{2}\right)$

$\text{Gamma}\left(2, \frac{\beta}{2}\right)$

$$= \frac{1}{\beta^4} \left(\Gamma(3) \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right)^3 \left[e^{\frac{v}{\beta}} + e^{-\frac{v}{\beta}} \right] - \Gamma(2) \left(\frac{\beta}{2} \right)^2 v \left[e^{\frac{v}{\beta}} - e^{-\frac{v}{\beta}} \right] \right)$$

$$d) f(y|\beta) = \left(\frac{1}{\beta^2} \right)^n (\prod y_i) e^{-\sum y_i / \beta}$$

$$\ell(\beta|y) = -2n \log \beta + \sum \log y_i - \sum y_i / \beta$$

$$\ell'(\beta|y) = -\frac{2n}{\beta} + \frac{\sum y_i}{\beta^2} = 0 \quad \sum y_i = 2n\beta$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{y}$$

$$3, c) e^{ll}(\beta | \underline{z}) = \frac{2n}{\beta^2} - \frac{2 \sum y_i}{\beta^3} = \frac{2(n\beta - \sum y_i)}{\beta^3}$$

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N\left(\beta, \frac{\beta^3}{2(n\beta - \sum y_i)}\right)$$

Variance approximated by $\frac{\frac{1}{8} \bar{y}^3}{2(n\bar{y} - \frac{n\bar{y}}{2})} = \frac{\bar{y}^2}{8n}$

4.

$$a) EY = E[E(Y|\beta)] = E\alpha\beta = \alpha / (\beta_0(\alpha_0 - 1))$$

$$\text{var } Y = E \text{var } Y|\beta + \text{var } EY|\beta$$

$$= E\alpha\beta^2 + \text{var } \alpha\beta$$

$$= \alpha [\text{var } \beta + (E\beta)^2] + \alpha^2 \text{var } \beta$$

$$= \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{\beta_0^2(\alpha_0-1)^2(\alpha_0-2)} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta_0^2(\alpha_0-1)^2}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1 + \alpha_0 - 2)}{\beta_0^2(\alpha_0-1)^2(\alpha_0-2)}$$

$$\underline{\underline{\alpha = 2}}$$

$$b) f(\beta | \underline{y}) \propto \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha_0+1} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta\beta_0}} \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{2n} e^{-\sum y_i/\beta}$$

$$\beta | \underline{y} \sim \text{IG}\left(\alpha_0 + 2n, \left(\frac{1 + \beta_0 \sum y_i}{\beta_0}\right)^{-1}\right)$$

Suppose that $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ are independent and have the same distribution as (X, Y) , where the probability density function of Y is

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} \theta e^{-\theta y} & \text{if } y > 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and for $y > 0$, the conditional probability density function of X given $Y = y$ is

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \begin{cases} \theta y e^{-\theta y x} & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\theta > 0$ is an unknown parameter.

- (a) Let $Z = XY + Y$. Show that Z and X are independent.
 (b) Show that

$$E(Y|X) = \frac{2}{\theta(1+X)}$$

and

$$\text{Var}(Y|X) = \frac{2}{\theta^2(1+X)^2}.$$

- (c) Suppose we observe Y_1, \dots, Y_n only. Let $\hat{\theta}$ be the maximum likelihood estimator of θ . Show that

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}.$$

- (d) Show that the $\hat{\theta}$ in part (c) is consistent for θ .
 (e) Suppose we observe $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$. Find the maximum likelihood estimator of θ and denote it by $\tilde{\theta}$. Show that $\tilde{\theta}$ has a smaller asymptotic variance than the $\hat{\theta}$ in part (c).
 (f) Suppose we observe Y_1^*, \dots, Y_n^* , where

$$Y_i^* = \begin{cases} 0.5 & \text{if } 0 < Y_i \leq 1, \\ 1.5 & \text{if } 1 < Y_i \leq 2, \\ 2 & \text{if } Y_i > 2; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Find a consistent estimator for θ based on Y_1^*, \dots, Y_n^* .

- (g) Construct an approximate $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence interval for $\log \theta$ based on the $\tilde{\theta}$ in part (e). Express your answer using n , α and Φ^{-1} , where Φ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

- (a) We will first find the joint PDF of (X, Z) from the joint PDF of (X, Y) . Since $Y = Z/(1 + X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X,Z}(x, z) &= f_{X,Y}\left(x, \frac{z}{1+x}\right) \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} x \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{z}{1+x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{z}{1+x} \end{pmatrix} \right| \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\theta^2 z e^{-\theta z}}{(1+x)^2} & \text{if } x > 0, z > 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The marginal probability density function of X is then

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= \int f_{X,Z}(x, z) dz \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^2 z e^{-\theta z}}{(1+x)^2} dz & \text{if } x > 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1+x)^2} & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the marginal PDF of Z is

$$\begin{aligned} f_Z(z) &= \int f_{X,Z}(x, z) dx \\ &= \begin{cases} \int_0^\infty \frac{\theta^2 z e^{-\theta z}}{(1+x)^2} dx & \text{if } z > 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \theta^2 z e^{-\theta z} & \text{if } z > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

From the above calculation, we have $f_{X,Z}(x, z) = f_X(x)f_Z(z)$, so X and Z are independent.

- (b) $E(Y|X)$ and $\text{Var}(Y|X)$ can be obtained from the conditional PDF of Y given X or from the following calculation. Let $Z = XY + Y$ as in part (a). Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y|X) &= E\left(\frac{Z}{1+X} | X\right) \\ &= \frac{E(Z)}{1+X} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y|X) &= E(Y^2|X) - (E(Y|X))^2 \\ &= E\left(\frac{Z^2}{(1+X)^2} | X\right) - \frac{(E(Z))^2}{(1+X)^2} \\ &= \frac{E(Z^2) - (E(Z))^2}{(1+X)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E(Z)$ and $E(Z^2)$ can be obtained from

$$E(Z^k) = \int z^k f_Z(z) dz,$$

or from the following:

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z) &= E(E(XY|Y)) + E(Y) \\ &= E(YE(X|Y)) + \frac{1}{\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta} + E\left(\frac{Y}{\theta Y}\right) = \frac{2}{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z^2) &= E(E(Y^2(X+1)^2|Y)) \\ &= E(Y^2(E(X^2|Y) + 2E(X|Y) + 1)) \\ &= E\left(Y^2\left(\frac{2}{\theta^2 Y^2} + \frac{2}{\theta Y} + 1\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{6}{\theta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$E(Y|X) = \frac{2}{\theta(1+X)}$$

and

$$\text{Var}(Y|X) = \frac{6/\theta^2 - 4/\theta^2}{(1+X)^2} = \frac{2}{\theta^2(1+X)^2}.$$

- (c) Since Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent, the joint PDF of (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) is the product of the marginal PDFs. The log likelihood function based on Y_1, \dots, Y_n is then

$$\begin{aligned} \log L(\theta) &= \log \prod_{i=1}^n f_Y(Y_i) \\ &= n \log \theta - \theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first derivative of $\log L(\theta)$ with respect to θ is

$$\frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i,$$

which is positive if $\theta \in (0, n/\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i)$, negative if $\theta \in (n/\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \infty)$, and zero if $\theta = n/\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$. So the log likelihood function is maximized at $\theta = n/\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$, which is the MLE $\hat{\theta}$.

(d) Note that

$$1/\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{n}$$

which converges to $E(Y) = 1/\theta$ by the strong law of large numbers, so $1/\hat{\theta}$ is consistent for $1/\theta$. By the continuous mapping theorem, $\hat{\theta}$ is consistent for θ .

(e) Let $\tilde{\theta}$ be the MLE of θ based on $X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_n, Y_n$. Then it can be shown that

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\theta}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i(X_i + 1)}{2n}.$$

Let $Z = Y(X + 1)$, then from previous calculation,

$$E(Y(X + 1)/2) = E(Z)/2 = 1/\theta$$

and

$$\text{Var}(Y(X + 1))/4 = (E(Z^2) - (E(Z))^2)/4 = 1/(2\theta^2).$$

By central limit theorem, $\sqrt{n}(1/\tilde{\theta} - 1/\theta)$ is asymptotically normal with mean zero and variance $1/(2\theta^2)$. By delta method, $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta} - \theta)$ is asymptotically normal with mean zero and variance $\theta^4/(2\theta^2) = \theta^2/2$, which implies that $\tilde{\theta}$ is consistent for θ .

Similarly, $\sqrt{n}(1/\hat{\theta} - 1/\theta)$ is asymptotically normal with mean zero and variance $\text{Var}(Y) = 1/\theta^2$, which implies that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$ is asymptotically normal with mean zero and variance $\theta^4/\theta^2 = \theta^2 < \theta^2/2$. Therefore, $\hat{\theta}$ has a smaller asymptotic variance than $\tilde{\theta}$.

(f) Note that $P(Y_i^* = 2) = P(Y_i > 2) = e^{-2\theta}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_i^* = 2)/n$, then T_n is consistent for $e^{-2\theta}$ by the law of large numbers and $(\log T_n)/(-2)$ is consistent for θ by the continuous mapping theorem.

(g) By delta method, $\sqrt{n}(\log \tilde{\theta} - \log \theta)$ is asymptotically normal with mean zero and variance $(\theta^4 \text{Var}(Y(X + 1))/4)(1/\theta)^2 = 1/2$. An approximate $(1 - \alpha)$ confidence interval for θ^2 is $(\log \tilde{\theta} - c, \log \tilde{\theta} + c)$, where

$$c = \sqrt{1/2} \Phi^{-1}(\alpha/2)/\sqrt{n}.$$