

# **Ph.D. PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION**

**March 18, 2003**

**PART I: Theory  
(Co-majors)**

1. Suppose  $X$  is a continuous random variable.
- Show that  $P(X^2 \leq x) = P(X^2 \leq x | X > 0)$  if  $X$  has the same distribution as  $-X$ .
  - Show that  $X_n^2$  converges in distribution to  $X^2$  whenever  $\{X_n\}$  is a sequence of continuous random variables that converges in distribution to  $X$ .
2. Suppose  $X_{ij}$  has a normal distribution with mean  $\mu_i$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Furthermore suppose all  $2n$  random variables are independent and that  $\sigma^2$  is known. Consider the statistic

$$W = n \sum_{i=1}^2 (\bar{X}_i - \tilde{X}_i)^2 / \sigma^2 \text{ where, for } i = 1, 2; \bar{X}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij}/n \text{ and } \tilde{X}_i = \begin{cases} \bar{X}_i & \text{if } \bar{X}_1 \leq \bar{X}_2 \\ (\bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2)/2 & \text{if } \bar{X}_1 > \bar{X}_2 \end{cases}$$

This statistic can be used to test  $H_0 : \mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  against  $H_A : \mu_1 > \mu_2$ .

- (a) Using 1(a) or otherwise, show that the distribution of  $W$ , when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , is

$$P(W \leq w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}P(\chi^2(1) \leq w) & \text{if } w \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\chi^2(1)$  denotes a chi-squared random variable with 1 degree of freedom.

- Find the probability that  $W > 3.84$  when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ . [Note: 3.84 is approximately the 0.95 quantile of a chi-squared distribution with 1 degree of freedom.]
- Find the mean of  $W$  when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .
- Find the variance of  $W$  when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

3. Suppose the conditions of part 2 hold except that  $\sigma^2$  is unknown. For the case  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , find the distribution of

$$V = \frac{\sigma^2}{S^2} W \text{ where } S^2 = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2$$

and  $W$  is as defined in part 2.

4. Now suppose  $X_{ij}$  has density  $\frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x-\mu_i}{\sigma}\right)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $f(x)$  denotes the probability density function of an unspecified continuous distribution with mean 0 and variance 1. Furthermore suppose  $V$  and  $S$  are as defined in part 3, all  $2n$  random variables are independent, and  $\sigma^2/S^2$  converges in probability to 1 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Derive the limiting distribution of  $V$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for the case  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

1. Suppose  $X$  is a continuous random variable.

(a) Show that  $P(X^2 \leq x) = P(X^2 \leq x|X > 0)$  if  $X$  has the same distribution as  $-X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(X^2 \leq x) &= P(X^2 \leq x|X < 0) \cdot P(X < 0) + P(X^2 \leq x|X > 0) \cdot P(X > 0) \\ &= P[(-X)^2 \leq x|(-X) < 0] \cdot P(X < 0) + P(X^2 \leq x|X > 0) \cdot P(X > 0) \\ &= P(X^2 \leq x|X > 0) \cdot P(X < 0) + P(X^2 \leq x|X > 0) \cdot P(X > 0) \\ &= P(X^2 \leq x|X > 0) \cdot [P(X < 0) + P(X > 0)] \\ &= P(X^2 \leq x|X > 0) \end{aligned}$$

(b) Show that  $X_n^2$  converges in distribution to  $X^2$  whenever  $\{X_n\}$  is a sequence of continuous random variables that converges in distribution to  $X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n^2 \leq t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(-\sqrt{t} \leq X_n \leq \sqrt{t}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \leq \sqrt{t}) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \leq -\sqrt{t}) \\ &= P(X \leq \sqrt{t}) - P(X \leq -\sqrt{t}) = P(-\sqrt{t} \leq X \leq \sqrt{t}) = P(X^2 \leq t) \end{aligned}$$

2. Suppose  $X_{ij}$  has a normal distribution with mean  $\mu_i$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Furthermore suppose all  $2n$  random variables are independent and that  $\sigma^2$  is known. Consider the statistic

$$W = n \sum_{i=1}^2 (\bar{X}_i - \tilde{X}_i)^2 / \sigma^2 \text{ where, for } i = 1, 2; \bar{X}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij}/n \text{ and } \tilde{X}_i = \begin{cases} \bar{X}_i & \text{if } \bar{X}_1 \leq \bar{X}_2 \\ (\bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2)/2 & \text{if } \bar{X}_1 > \bar{X}_2 \end{cases}.$$

This statistic can be used to test  $H_0 : \mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  against  $H_A : \mu_1 > \mu_2$ .

(a) Using 1(a) or otherwise, show that the distribution of  $W$ , when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , is

$$P(W \leq w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}P(\chi^2(1) \leq w) & \text{if } w \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\chi^2(1)$  denotes a chi-squared random variable with 1 degree of freedom.

First note that  $W = 0$  when  $\bar{X}_1 \leq \bar{X}_2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} W &= n[(\bar{X}_1 - (\bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2)/2)^2 + (\bar{X}_2 - (\bar{X}_1 + \bar{X}_2)/2)^2]/\sigma^2 \\ &= \frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

when  $\bar{X}_1 > \bar{X}_2$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(W \leq w) &= P(W \leq w|\bar{X}_1 \leq \bar{X}_2) \cdot P(\bar{X}_1 \leq \bar{X}_2) + P(W \leq w|\bar{X}_1 > \bar{X}_2) \cdot P(\bar{X}_1 > \bar{X}_2) \\ &= P(W \leq w|\bar{X}_1 \leq \bar{X}_2) \cdot 0.5 + P(W \leq w|\bar{X}_1 > \bar{X}_2) \cdot 0.5 \\ &= P(0 \leq w|\bar{X}_1 \leq \bar{X}_2) \cdot 0.5 + P(\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2)^2 \leq w|\bar{X}_1 > \bar{X}_2) \cdot 0.5 \\ &= 1(w \geq 0) \cdot 0.5 + P(\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2)^2 \leq w|\sqrt{\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}}(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2) > 0) \cdot 0.5 \\ &= 1(w \geq 0) \cdot 0.5 + P(\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2)^2 \leq w) \cdot 0.5 \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from part 1(a).

Now note that  $\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2)^2$  has a chi-squared distribution with 1 d.f. because  $\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 \sim N(0, 2\sigma^2/n)$ . Thus  $W$  is an equal mixture of a distribution degenerate at 0 and a chi-squared distribution with 1 d.f. when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

- (b) Find the probability that  $W > 3.84$  when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ . [Note: 3.84 is approximately the 0.95 quantile of a chi-squared distribution with 1 degree of freedom.]

Let  $U$  denote a chi-squared random variable with 1 d.f.

$$P(W > 3.84) = 1 - P(W \leq 3.84) = 1 - [0.5 + 0.5 \cdot P(U \leq 3.84)] \approx 1 - [0.5 + 0.5 \cdot (0.95)] = 0.025$$

- (c) Find the mean of  $W$  when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

Note that  $W$  has the same distribution as  $B \cdot U$  where  $B$  is a Bernoulli random variable with success probability 0.5 independent of  $U$ , a chi-squared random variable with 1 d.f. Thus

$$E(W) = E(BU) = E(B)E(U) = (0.5) \cdot (1) = 0.5.$$

- (d) Find the variance of  $W$  when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

$$\text{Var}(W) = E(W^2) - E(W)^2 = E(B^2)E(U^2) - 0.25 = (0.5) \cdot (2+1) - 0.25 = 1.25.$$

3. Suppose the conditions of part 2 hold except that  $\sigma^2$  is unknown. For the case  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , find the distribution of

$$V = \frac{\sigma^2}{S^2} W \text{ where } S^2 = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2$$

and  $W$  is as defined in part 2.

The same argument used in part 2(a) implies that the distribution of  $V$  is an equal mixture of a distribution degenerate at 0 and the distribution of

$$\frac{n}{2S^2}(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2)^2 = \frac{\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2)^2}{S^2/\sigma^2}.$$

As argued previously, the numerator is a chi-squared random variable with 1 d.f. The denominator is a chi-squared random variable divided by its degrees of freedom ( $2n - 2$ ). Furthermore, by the independence of the sample mean and sample variance for normal samples, the denominator is independent of the numerator. Thus the distribution of  $V$  is an equal mixture of a distribution degenerate at 0 and an  $F$ -distribution with 1 and  $2n - 2$  d.f. when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

4. Now suppose  $X_{ij}$  has density  $\frac{1}{\sigma} f\left(\frac{x-\mu_i}{\sigma}\right)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $f(x)$  denotes the probability density function of an unspecified continuous distribution with mean 0 and variance 1. Furthermore suppose  $V$  and  $S$  are as defined in part 3, all  $2n$  random variables are independent, and  $\sigma^2/S^2$  converges in probability to 1 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Derive the limiting distribution of  $V$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for the case  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

(The following is not the most direct proof, but it is one proof that uses only facts contained in the first 5 chapters of Casella and Berger.)

The same argument used in part 2(a) implies that the distribution of  $V$  is an equal mixture of a distribution degenerate at 0 and the distribution of

$$\frac{n}{2S^2}(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2)^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{S^2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{X_{1j} - X_{2j}}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{S^2} (\sqrt{n}\bar{Y}/\sigma)^2$$

where  $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j$  and  $Y_j = \frac{X_{1j} - X_{2j}}{\sqrt{2}}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

By the Central Limit Theorem,  $\sqrt{n}\bar{Y}/\sigma$  converges in distribution to  $N(0, 1)$ . Thus  $(\sqrt{n}\bar{Y}/\sigma)^2$  converges in distribution to a chi-squared distribution with 1 d.f. by part 1(b). Because  $\sigma^2/S^2$  converges in probability to 1, Slutsky's Theorem implies that

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{S^2} (\sqrt{n}\bar{Y}/\sigma)^2 = \frac{n}{2S^2}(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2)^2$$

converges in distribution to a chi-squared distribution with 1 d.f. Thus the asymptotic distribution of  $V$  is an equal mixture of a distribution degenerate at 0 and a chi-squared distribution with 1 d.f. when  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

Let  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) be iid observations from a bivariate normal distribution with unknown parameters  $\mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma_X^2 > 0, \sigma_Y^2 > 0$  and  $-1 < \rho < 1$ , with probability density function

$$f(x, y; \mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2, \rho)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_X\sigma_Y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\left(\left(\frac{x-\mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right)^2 - 2\rho\left(\frac{x-\mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right)\left(\frac{y-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right) + \left(\frac{y-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)^2\right)\right)$$

for  $-\infty < x < \infty$  and  $-\infty < y < \infty$ .

1. Find the conditional distribution of  $Y_i$  given  $X_i = x$ .
2. Suppose that  $Y$  is the response variable and  $X$  is the explanatory variable. Show that  $Y_i$  and  $X_i$  have the relationship

$$Y_i = \beta + \gamma X_i + \varepsilon_i,$$

where  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are constants,  $\varepsilon_i$  is normally distributed, and  $X_i$  and  $\varepsilon_i$  are independent. Identify  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  in terms of the original parameters.

3. Let  $\delta^2 = \sigma_Y^2(1 - \rho^2)$ . Using Parts 1 and 2, write down the likelihood function of  $(\beta, \gamma, \mu_X, \sigma_X^2, \delta^2)$  at the observed data.
4. Find the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\gamma$  (as defined in Part 2).
5. Give a test of size  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) for  $H_0 : \gamma \leq 0$  vs  $H_1 : \gamma > 0$ . (No detail in derivation is required here.)
6. Explain why your test in Part 5 indeed has size  $\alpha$ . (Again, no detail in derivation is required.)

Suppose that from now on we are interested in interval estimation for  $\mu_W = \mu_X - \mu_Y$ . Let  $W_i = X_i - Y_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

1. Find a pivotal quantity in terms of  $\mu_W$  and the first two sample moments of  $W_1, \dots, W_n$ .
2. Construct a  $1 - \alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) two-sided confidence interval for  $\mu_W$  (with the shortest length) using the pivotal quantity.
3. Suppose that it is known  $\rho = 0$  and  $\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$ . Give an alternative  $1 - \alpha$  two-sided confidence interval for  $\mu_W$ .
4. Compare the two confidence intervals when  $\rho = 0$  and  $\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$ .

## 542-543 (II) Solution

1. Following a standard calculation, we have

$$Y_i \mid X_i = x \sim N\left(\mu_y + \rho \frac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_x} (x - \mu_x), (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_y^2\right).$$

2. Let  $\beta = \mu_y - \rho \frac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_x} \mu_x$ ,  $\sigma^2 = \sigma_y^2$ . Then

$$Y_i - (\beta - \sigma X_i) \mid X_i = x \sim N(0, (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_y^2).$$

Since the conditional distribution does not depend on  $x$ , we know  $\xi_i \triangleq Y_i - (\beta - \sigma X_i)$  is independent of  $X_i$ .

3. From Parts 1 and 2, the joint pdf of  $(X_i, Y_i)$  can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_x^2}(x-\mu_x)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1-\rho^2)\sigma_y^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)\sigma_y^2}(y-\beta-\sigma x)^2}.$$

Thus

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2, \mu_x, \sigma_x^2, \delta^2) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(1-\rho^2)\sigma_y^2}}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_x^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_x)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta - \sigma x_i)^2\right\}.$$

4. To maximize the likelihood, as far as  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  are concerned, we need to minimize  $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta - \sigma x_i)^2$ , which gives the familiar LSE:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x}_n)(y_i - \bar{y}_n)}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2}, \quad \hat{\beta} = \bar{y}_n - \hat{\sigma}^2 \bar{x}_n.$$

5. Let  $T = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2}}}$ , where  $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta} - \hat{\sigma}^2 x_i)^2$ .

A familiar test  $\Rightarrow \phi(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } T > t_{n-2, \alpha} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

6. Conditional on  $X$ , we know  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  has a normal distribution,  $S^2$  basically has a  $\chi^2$  distribution, and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  and  $S^2$  are independent, and then under  $H_0$ ,

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2}{\sqrt{\frac{S^2}{\sum(X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2}}} \sim t_{n-2}.$$

Since the conditional distribution does not depend on  $X$ , the unconditional distribution is also  $t_{n-2}$ . Let  $\theta = (\beta, \sigma^2, \delta_X^2, \mu_X, \delta^2)$ .

For  $\sigma^2 \leq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\theta(T \geq t_{n-2, \alpha}) &= P_\theta\left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2}{\sqrt{\frac{S^2}{\sum(X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2}}} \geq \frac{-\sigma^2}{\sqrt{\frac{S^2}{\sum(X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2}}} + t_{n-2, \alpha}\right) \\ &\leq P_\theta\left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2}{\sqrt{\frac{S^2}{\sum(X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2}}} \geq t_{n-2, \alpha}\right) = \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

with equality when  $\sigma^2 = 0$ .

7. Let  $U = \frac{\bar{W} - \mu_W}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} S_W^2}}$ , where  $\bar{W}$  and  $S_W^2$  are the sample mean and sample variances of  $W_1, \dots, W_n$ , respectively. Note that  $U \sim t_{n-1}$ . So  $U$  is a pivotal quantity.

8. Based on the fact that  $t$  distribution is unimodal and symmetric about 0, a  $U$ -based  $1-\alpha$  two-sided CI for  $\mu_W$  with the shortest length is

$$\bar{W} - t_{n-1, \alpha/2} \cdot \frac{S_W}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu_W \leq \bar{W} + t_{n-1, \alpha/2} \cdot \frac{S_W}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

9.  $V = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_n - \mu_w}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{n} S_p^2}} \sim t_{2n-2}$ , where

$$S_p^2 = \frac{S_x^2 + S_y^2}{2n-2}. \quad \text{So } V \text{ is a pivotal quantity.}$$

Based on  $V$ , we have an alternative CI for  $\mu_w$ :

$$\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_n - t_{2n-2, \alpha/2} \cdot \frac{S_p}{\sqrt{n/2}} \leq \mu_w \leq \bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_n + t_{2n-2, \alpha/2} \cdot \frac{S_p}{\sqrt{n/2}}.$$

10. Both confidence intervals have the same center.

Note  $E S_p^2 = \sigma^2$  (the common variance)

$$E S_w^2 = \text{Var}(\bar{X}_i - \bar{Y}_i) = 2\sigma^2.$$

So we expect  $\frac{S_p}{\sqrt{n/2}}$  to be close to  $\frac{S_w}{\sqrt{n}}$ .

Then we would prefer the CI in Part 9 because of the larger degrees of freedom in the t distribution (which yields a shorter length of the CI).

Suppose that  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a random sample from the distribution with probability density function  $f_\theta$ , where  $\theta > 0$  and

$$f_\theta(x) = \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\theta|x|}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

For this question, you may use the following facts without proving them.

- $E(|X_1|) = 1/\theta$  and  $Var(|X_1|) = 1/\theta^2$ .
- $\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|$  is complete for  $\theta$ .
- Suppose that the distribution of  $X$  is  $Gamma(a, b)$ , that is,  $X$  has probability density function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)b^a} x^{a-1} e^{-x/b}.$$

Then the moment generating function for  $X$  is

$$M(t) = (1 - bt)^{-a}, \quad t < 1/b.$$

- (a) Show that  $\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .
- (b) Find the UMVUE for  $1/\theta$ .
- (c) Find the MLE for  $1/\theta$ .
- (d) Let  $T_n$  be the MLE of  $1/\theta$ . Find the limiting distribution for  $\sqrt{n}(T_n - 1/\theta)$ .
- (e) Now suppose that  $\theta \geq 1$  and we want to test

$$H_0 : \theta = 1 \text{ vs } H_a : \theta > 1.$$

Show that the size  $\alpha$  likelihood ratio test has a rejection region of the form

$$\left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) : \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|}{n} < c \right\},$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and the constant  $c$  is determined by  $\alpha$ . Explain how to determine  $c$  based on  $\alpha$  without giving an explicit expression for  $c$ .

- (f) For part (e), find an approximate value for  $c$  based on the limiting distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(T_n - 1/\theta)$ . Express the approximate value for  $c$  in terms of  $n$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\Phi^{-1}$ , the inverse function of the standard normal cumulative distribution function.
- (g) For part (e), express  $c$  in terms of  $\alpha$  and the inverse of the cumulative distribution function of some common distribution. The distribution needs to be identified.

(a)

$$f_{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta}(x_i) \\ = \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^n \cdot \frac{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|}{L}$$

By Factorization Theorem,

 $\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ 

(b)

let  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ , then

$$E(T) = n \cdot E(|X_1|) = \frac{n}{\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad E\left(\frac{T}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

Since  $T$  is complete and sufficient for  $\theta$ and  $\frac{T}{n}$  is a function of  $T$  with  $E\left(\frac{T}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta}$ , $\frac{T}{n}$  is the UMVUE for  $\frac{1}{\theta}$ .

(c)

$$L(\theta) = \log f_{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = n \log \theta - n \log 2 - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

$$L'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

$$= -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|}{\theta} \left( \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|} - \theta \right)$$

## 542-543(II) Solutions

$$Q'(\theta) \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{if } 0 < \theta < n/\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \\ = 0 & \text{if } \theta = n/\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \\ > 0 & \text{if } \theta > n/\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \end{cases}$$

$Q(\theta)$  is maximized at  $\theta = n/\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$

$\Rightarrow$  MLE for  $\theta$  is  $\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|}$

$\Rightarrow$  MLE for  $\frac{1}{\theta}$  is  $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|}{n}$

(d)

By CLT,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|}{n} - E(|x_i|) \right)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(|x_i|)}} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{n} \left( T_n - \frac{1}{\theta} \right)}{\frac{1}{\theta}} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1)$$

(2) The likelihood ratio

$$\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \cdot \bar{L}^{-\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|}}{\left(\frac{\hat{\theta}}{2}\right)^n \cdot \bar{L}^{-\hat{\theta} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|}}$$

where  $\hat{\theta}(x)$  is the maximizer of  $\ell(\theta) = \log f_\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

over  $[1, \infty)$ . From the calculation in part (c),

$$\hat{\theta}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|} & \text{if } \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|} \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|} < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} \bar{L}^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|}{n}\right)^n & \text{if } \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|} \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|} < 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} \bar{L}^n (1 - T_n + \log T_n) & \text{if } T_n \leq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } T_n > 1 \end{cases}$

where  $T_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|}{n}$

The function  $g(t) = 1 - t + \log t$  is increasing

on  $(0, 1)$ , since  $g'(t) = -1 + \frac{1}{t} > 0$  for  $0 < t < 1$ .

Therefore, the LRT rejects  $H_0$  if

$$\chi(x_1, \dots, x_n) < k$$

$\Leftrightarrow$

$$T_n < c.$$

To make the test size  $\alpha$ , we choose  $c$

so that

$$P_{\theta=1} (T_n < c) = \alpha.$$

(f) From part (d), when  $\theta=1$ ,

$$\sqrt{n}(T_n - 1) \xrightarrow{\text{D}} N(0, 1)$$

$$P_{\theta=1} (T_n < c) = P_{\theta=1} (\sqrt{n}(T_n - 1) < \sqrt{n}(c-1))$$

$$\approx \Phi(\sqrt{n}(c-1))$$

$$\Phi(\sqrt{n}(c-1)) \approx \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow c \approx 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$$

(8) We will first identify the distribution for  $|X_i|$

$$P(|X_i| \leq t) = \int_{-t}^t \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\theta|x|} dx, \quad \text{if } t > 0$$

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \int_0^t \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\theta|x|} dx, & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^t g(x) dx$$

where  $g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\theta|x|} & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Since  $g$  is the probability density function

for  $|X_i|$ ,  $|X_i| \sim \text{Gamma}(1, \frac{1}{\theta})$

The moment generating function for  $\bar{x} \cdot n T_n$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(e^{t(\lambda n T_n)}) &= E\left(e^{t\lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|\right)}\right) \\
 &= \left(E e^{t\lambda|X_1|}\right)^n \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot t\lambda}\right)^n \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{1 - t\left(\frac{\lambda}{\theta}\right)}\right)^n
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\lambda n T_n \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \frac{\lambda}{\theta})$

Take  $\lambda = 2\theta$ , then the distribution for  $2\theta n T_n$

is  $\text{Gamma}(n, 2)$ , which is  $\chi_{2n}^2$ , the chi-square

distribution with degrees of freedom  $2n$ . Let

$F$  be the cumulative distribution function for  $\chi_{2n}^2$ .

Then

$$P_{\theta=1}(T_n < c) = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow F(2n c) = \alpha, c = \frac{F^{-1}(\alpha)}{2n}$$