

STAT 543(☺)

Lec 17, M, Mar 3

- Homework 4 posted, due M, Mar 10
- Exam 1 solutions, grading key, summary posted

Sufficiency and Point Estimation

Remarks on Completeness

1. If T is complete, then T is boundedly complete; the converse is false.

← connection between sufficiency & completeness

2. If T is sufficient and boundedly complete, then T is minimal sufficient.

So by Remark 1 above, if T is sufficient and complete, then T is minimal sufficient.

3. Suppose T is complete and $h_1(T), h_2(T)$ are two estimators of $\gamma(\theta)$

$$\text{if } E_{\theta} h_1(T) = \gamma(\theta) = E_{\theta} h_2(T), \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\Rightarrow E_{\theta} u(T) = 0, \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta, \text{ where } u(T) = h_1(T) - h_2(T)$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{\theta}(u(T) = 0) = 1, \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{\theta}(h_1(T) = h_2(T)) = 1, \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

Hence, there can be at most one (i.e., unique) UE of a parametric function $\gamma(\theta)$ that is a function of a complete statistic.

4. Let $T \equiv h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be an UE of $\gamma(\theta)$ & suppose \mathcal{S} is sufficient.

Recall:

$$T \xrightarrow[\text{ Rao-Blackwellize }]{\text{ sufficient } \mathcal{S}} T^* = E(T|\mathcal{S}) \text{ is U.E of } \gamma(\theta) \\ \text{ \& } \text{Var}_{\theta}(T^*) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}(T)$$

$$T \xrightarrow[\text{ L-S Theorem (next) }]{\text{ sufficient \& complete } \mathcal{S}} T^* = E(T|\mathcal{S}) \text{ is UMVUE of } \gamma(\theta)!$$

Sufficiency and Point Estimation

Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem: Completeness + Sufficiency + UE = UMVUE

Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem. Let $f(x|\theta) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n|\theta)$ be the joint pdf/pmf of (X_1, \dots, X_n) , $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$. Let $\underline{S} = (S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k)$ be a complete and sufficient statistic. If $T^* \equiv T(\underline{S})$ is an UE of $\gamma(\theta)$ and is a function of \underline{S} , then T^* is the UMVUE of $\gamma(\theta)$. \uparrow

Proof. Let T be any UE of $\gamma(\theta)$. We must show $\text{Var}_{\theta}(T^*) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}(T)$

Define $T_1 = E(T|\underline{S})$. Since \underline{S} is sufficient, by the Rao-Blackwell theorem, we know

T_1 is a function of \underline{S} & U.E. of $\gamma(\theta)$ &

$$\text{Var}_{\theta}(T_1) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}(T), \forall \theta$$

Now T_1 & T^* are functions of \underline{S} (complete) & both are U.E. of $\gamma(\theta)$
Since \underline{S} is complete, we know

$$P_{\theta}(T_1 = T^*) = 1, \forall \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}_{\theta}(T^*) = \text{Var}_{\theta}(T_1) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}(T), \forall \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow T^* = h(\underline{S}) \text{ is UMVUE of } \gamma(\theta) \text{ [\& so is } T_1 \text{]}$$

Remark. The R-B theorem & L-S theorem together suggest two methods for finding the UMVUE:

Method I: Given a parametric function $\gamma(\theta)$, find an UE of $\gamma(\theta)$

\underline{S} sufficient + complete

$$T^* = h(\underline{S})$$

that is a function of a complete and sufficient statistic.

$$E_{\theta} T^* = \gamma(\theta), \forall \theta$$

then T^* is UMVUE

Method II: Start with any UE T of $\gamma(\theta)$. Then $T^* = E(T|\underline{S})$

is the UMVUE of $\gamma(\theta)$, if \underline{S} is complete and sufficient.

\uparrow
easier

a little harder find $T^* = E(T|\underline{S}) = h(\underline{S})$

Sufficiency and Point Estimation

Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem: Illustrations

Example. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid Poisson(θ), $\theta > 0$. Find the UMVUE of θ .

(could here use CRLB to find UMVUE)

Solution: Check $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient (check by Factorization Theorem)
 & is also complete (later)

Use $\bar{X}_n = \frac{S}{n} \Rightarrow$ check $E_\theta(\bar{X}_n) = E_\theta(X_1) = \theta, \forall \theta$

So \bar{X}_n is UE of θ & a function of complete/sufficient $S \Rightarrow \bar{X}_n$ is UMVUE of θ .
 Note: $\tilde{S}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$ has $E_\theta \tilde{S}^2 = \text{Var}_\theta(X_1) = \theta, \forall \theta > 0$.
 So \tilde{S}^2 is UE of θ . So, $E(\tilde{S}^2 | S) = \bar{X}_n$ by L-S Theorem

Example. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid Bernoulli(θ), $0 < \theta < 1$. Find the UMVUE of $\gamma(\theta) = \theta^r(1-\theta)^{n-r}$, for a fixed (known) integer $1 \leq r \leq n$.

Solution: Check $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient & also complete (later)

Note $S \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$, $P_\theta(S=s) = \binom{n}{s} \theta^s (1-\theta)^{n-s}$

Define $T^* = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} & \text{if } S=r \\ 0 & \text{o.w} \end{cases} = \frac{I[S=r]}{\binom{n}{r}}$

which is a function of S
 $E_\theta(T^*) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{r}} E_\theta(I[S=r]) = \frac{P_\theta(S=r)}{\binom{n}{r}} = \frac{\theta^r(1-\theta)^{n-r}}{\binom{n}{r}}, \forall \theta$

So, T^* is UMVUE of $V(\theta)$ by L-S theorem.

Sufficiency and Point Estimation

Exponential Families (for Checking Sufficiency/Completeness)

Definition: A family of pdf/pmf $\{f(x|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$, $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, is called an **exponential family** if it can be written in the form

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} c(\theta)h(x) \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^k q_i(\theta)t_i(x) \right] & x \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where

$A \equiv \{x : f(x|\theta) > 0\}$ does NOT depend on θ ,

$c(\theta) > 0$ and $h(x) > 0$ are positive-valued functions,

and $q_i(\theta)$, $t_i(x)$ are real-valued functions for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Theorem: Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a (possibly vector-valued) random sample from $f(x|\theta)$, where $\{f(x|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ is an exponential family admitting a representation as above. If

$$\left\{ [q_1(\theta), \dots, q_k(\theta)] : \theta \in \Theta \right\} \supset (a_1, b_1) \times \dots \times (a_k, b_k)$$

for some $a_i < b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, then

$$S = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_1(X_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n t_k(X_j) \right)$$

is complete and sufficient.