

STAT 5430

Lec 25, F, Mar 28

MP &
UMP testing

→ Homework 6 posted, due, M, Mar 31

Homework 5 solutions to be posted

- Exam 2 is coming up (3 weeks away)
on W, April 16, 6:15-8:15 PM, 3rd floor seminar room
- No class on that W.
- I'll post:
 - ✓ study guide (sufficiency/completeness/tests)
 - practice exams
 - ✓ bring new 1 page (front/back)
Formula sheet on exam 2 material
(I'll post one to use if you'd like)
 - can bring calculator & previous formula sheet for exam 1
 - I'll provide table of distributions /
STAT 542 facts on test as before

Recap: so we have MP tests for simple H_0 & simple H_1

Hypothesis Testing I

Uniformly Most Powerful (UMP) Tests

Definition: Let $f(x|\theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, be the joint pdf/pmf of $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and let Θ_0 be a nonempty proper subset of Θ . Then, a test rule $\varphi(x)$ for testing $H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta \notin \Theta_0$ is called a **uniformly most powerful (UMP)** test of size α if

1. $\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} E_{\theta} \varphi(X) = \alpha$ \leftarrow size ^{right}
2. it holds that $E_{\theta} \varphi(X) \geq E_{\theta} \tilde{\varphi}(X)$ for all $\theta \notin \Theta_0$, given any other test rule $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ with $\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} E_{\theta} \tilde{\varphi}(X) \leq \alpha$. \uparrow any other θ under H_1

"best test" in this case when either H_0 or H_1 is composite

(UMP test DON'T always exist... but often DO exist if H_1 is "one-sided", e.g. $H_1: \mu > 1$ or $H_1: \mu \leq 2$)

Two General Methods of Finding UMP Tests

1. **Method I:** Based on Neyman-Pearson Lemma \leftarrow start here
2. **Method II:** Using Monotone Likelihood Ratio (MLR) property \leftarrow today

Method I (Neyman-Pearson Lemma-based)

To find a UMP size α test for $H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta \notin \Theta_0$, \leftarrow carefully pick/fix one parameter from Θ_0

1. first fix one $\theta_0 \in \Theta_0$ (suitably) and also $\theta_1 \notin \Theta_0$
2. then use the Neyman-Pearson lemma to find a MP size α test $\varphi(x)$ for $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$, where

(a) $\varphi(x)$ does not depend on $\theta_1 \notin \Theta_0$ and

(b) $\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} E_{\theta} \varphi(X) = \alpha$ \leftarrow right size

\leftarrow would have gotten same test $\varphi(x)$ for any chosen $\theta_1 \notin \Theta_0$ placed in $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$

Then $\varphi(x)$ is a UMP size α test for $H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta \notin \Theta_0$.

(Homework 6 has the "proof" of this.)

Note: $\phi(\underline{x})$ has "right size" $\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} E_{\theta} \phi(\underline{x}) = \alpha$

but to be UMP/best test here would need:

for any other test $\tilde{\phi}(\underline{x})$ with $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} E_{\theta} \tilde{\phi}(\underline{x}) \leq \alpha$

and any $\theta_1 \notin \Theta_0$, need $E_{\theta_1} \phi(\underline{x}) \geq E_{\theta_1} \tilde{\phi}(\underline{x})$.

But by design, $\phi(\underline{x})$ is MP test of size α for $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$ & $E_{\theta_0} \tilde{\phi}(\underline{x}) \leq \alpha$ holds
so $E_{\theta_1} \phi(\underline{x}) \geq E_{\theta_1} \tilde{\phi}(\underline{x})$ must be true

Hypothesis Testing I

Finding UMP Tests (Method II)

Method II for UMP Tests uses Monotone Likelihood Ratio, defined below

Definition: Let $f(\underline{x}|\theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$, be the joint pdf/pmf of $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ (note that the parameter θ is real-valued). Then, $\{f(\underline{x}|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ is said to have **monotone likelihood ratio** (MLR) in a real-valued statistic $T = t(\underline{X})$ if: for any $\theta_1 < \theta_2$, there exists a nondecreasing function $g_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that

$$\frac{L(\theta_2)}{L(\theta_1)} = \frac{f(\underline{x}|\theta_2)}{f(\underline{x}|\theta_1)} = g_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(t(\underline{x})), \quad \text{for all } \underline{x} \in \{y : f(y|\theta_1) + f(y|\theta_2) > 0\}$$

Here $a/b = +\infty$ if $a > 0, b = 0$

Handwritten notes: $L(\theta_2) \rightarrow$ likelihood, $L(\theta_1) \rightarrow$ likelihood. $t(\underline{x})$ is the statistic. The set $\{y : f(y|\theta_1) + f(y|\theta_2) > 0\}$ is data where $f(\underline{x}|\theta_1) > 0$ or $f(\underline{x}|\theta_2) > 0$ or both.

Example: Let $f(\underline{x}|\theta) = c(\theta)h(\underline{x}) \exp[q_1(\theta)t_1(\underline{x})]$, $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the joint pdf/pmf of X_1, \dots, X_n (i.e., in the exponential family) and $q_1(\theta)$ is nondecreasing. Show that $\{f(\underline{x}|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ has MLR in $t_1(\underline{x})$.

Solution: Fix $\theta_1 < \theta_2$.

Note: $\{y : f(y|\theta_i) > 0\} = \{y : h(y) > 0\}$ for $i=1,2$

$\Rightarrow B \equiv \{y : f(y|\theta_1) + f(y|\theta_2) > 0\} = \{y : h(y) > 0\}$

Pick $\underline{x} \in B$.

$$\frac{f(\underline{x}|\theta_2)}{f(\underline{x}|\theta_1)} = \frac{c(\theta_2)}{c(\theta_1)} \exp[\underbrace{\{q_1(\theta_2) - q_1(\theta_1)\}}_{\geq 0} t_1(\underline{x})]$$

since $\theta_1 < \theta_2$

$$= g_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(t_1(\underline{x}))$$

where $g_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(t) = \frac{c(\theta_2)}{c(\theta_1)} \exp[\{q_1(\theta_2) - q_1(\theta_1)\}t]$
 \therefore MLR in $t_1(\underline{x})$ is non-decreasing in t as $t \uparrow$

Note: if $q_1(\theta)$ is nonincreasing, check that MLR in $-t_1(x)$

$$\frac{f(x|\theta_2)}{f(x|\theta_1)} = g_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(-t_1(x))$$

where $g_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(t) = \frac{c(\theta_2)}{c(\theta_1)} \exp[\underbrace{\{q_1(\theta_1) - q_1(\theta_2)\}t}_{\geq 0 \text{ by } \theta_1 < \theta_2}]$
is non-decreasing in t as $t \rightarrow \infty$

Hypothesis Testing I

Finding UMP Tests (Method II)

← based on MLR

Context: Method II of finding a UMP test applies only when $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ (i.e., parameter θ is real-valued) and the testing problem is of the form “ $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$ ” or “ $H_0 : \theta \geq \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta < \theta_0$ ”

↑ only can do 1-sided H_0

Theorem (Method II using MLR): Let $f(\underline{x}|\theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$, be the joint pdf/pmf of X_1, \dots, X_n . Assume that $\{f(\underline{x}|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ has MLR in $T = t(\underline{x})$. Then,

1. a size α UMP test for $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$ ($\theta_0 \in \Theta$ fixed) is given by

$$\varphi(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t(\underline{x}) > k \\ \gamma & \text{if } t(\underline{x}) = k \\ 0 & \text{if } t(\underline{x}) < k \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{where } \gamma \in [0, 1] \text{ and} \\ -\infty \leq k \leq \infty \text{ are} \\ \text{constants satisfying} \\ E_{\theta_0} \varphi(\underline{X}) = \alpha. \end{array}$$

↑ Calibrate test at θ_0

2. a size α UMP test for $H_0 : \theta \geq \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta < \theta_0$ ($\theta_0 \in \Theta$ fixed) is given by

$$\varphi(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t(\underline{x}) < k \\ \gamma & \text{if } t(\underline{x}) = k \\ 0 & \text{if } t(\underline{x}) > k \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{where } \gamma \in [0, 1] \text{ and} \\ -\infty \leq k \leq \infty \text{ are} \\ \text{constants satisfying} \\ E_{\theta_0} \varphi(\underline{X}) = \alpha. \end{array}$$

← Calibrate test at θ_0

Hypothesis Testing I

Illustration of Finding UMP Test (Method II)

Example: Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from uniform(0, θ), $\theta > 0$. Find a UMP test of size α for $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ vs $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ (where $\theta_0 > 0$ is fixed). ↙ support depends on θ
i.e. $\theta_0 = 1$

Solution: joint pdf of X_1, \dots, X_n is given by

$$f(\underline{x}|\theta) = \theta^{-n} I(0 < X_{(1)} \leq X_{(n)} \leq \theta) \text{ using indicator}$$

$$\text{Fix } \theta_2 > \theta_1. B \equiv \{ \underline{y}: f(\underline{y}|\theta_1) + f(\underline{y}|\theta_2) > 0 \}$$

$$\uparrow \quad \uparrow$$

$$0 < Y_{(1)} \leq Y_{(n)} \leq \theta_1 \quad 0 < Y_{(1)} \leq Y_{(n)} \leq \theta_2$$

$$= \{ \underline{y}: 0 < Y_{(1)} \leq Y_{(n)} \leq \theta_2 \}$$

$$\text{Fix } \underline{x} \in B, \frac{f(\underline{x}|\theta_2)}{f(\underline{x}|\theta_1)} = \begin{cases} \theta_2^{-n} / \theta_1^{-n} & \text{if } X_{(n)} \leq \theta_1 \\ \frac{\theta_2^{-n}}{0} = +\infty & \text{if } \theta_1 < X_{(n)} \leq \theta_2 \end{cases}$$

is non-decreasing function of $X_{(n)}$

$\Rightarrow \{ f(\underline{x}|\theta): \theta > 0 \}$ has MLR in $t(\underline{x}) \equiv X_{(n)}$

$$\phi(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_{(n)} > k \\ \gamma & \text{if } X_{(n)} = k \\ 0 & \text{if } X_{(n)} < k \end{cases} \text{ is a size } \alpha \text{ UMP test, provided that } E_{\theta_0} \phi(\underline{x}) = \alpha.$$

$$\alpha = E_{\theta_0} \phi(\underline{x}) = P_{\theta_0}(X_{(n)} > k) = 1 - P_{\theta_0}(X_{(n)} \leq k) \\ = 1 - [P_{\theta_0}(X_1 \leq k)]^n \\ = 1 - [k/\theta_0]^n$$

$$\Rightarrow k^{87} = \theta_0 (1 - \alpha)^{1/n}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{\theta_0}(X_{(n)} \leq k) &= P_{\theta_0}(\text{all } X_1, \dots, X_n \leq k) \\
 &= P_{\theta_0}(X_1 \leq k, X_2 \leq k, \dots, X_n \leq k) \\
 &= [P_{\theta_0}(X_1 \leq k)]^n
 \end{aligned}$$