# An adaptive test based on Kendall's tau for independence in high dimensions

Sam Olson

#### General Timeline

- **1897** Fechner introduces the *method of signs* for succession-dependence.
- 1938 Kendall develops the  $\tau$  rank correlation coefficient.
- 1958 Kruskal broadens Kendall's ideas into a general nonparametric testing framework.
- 1958–1990s Others (e.g., El-Shaarawi, 1992) apply rank-based methods to time series.
- 2024 Shi et al. develop adaptive high-dimensional independence tests using Kendall's  $\tau$ .
- 2025 Han et al. extend to a broader class of sum-of-powers tests.

# General Summary I

The trajectory from Fechner to modern adaptive tests highlights how a simple sign-based idea grew into a major branch of nonparametric inference.

Fechner's Kollektivmasslehre (1897) (Fechner 1897) anticipated many of the ideas behind Kendall's  $\tau$ . His method of signs looked for succession-dependence in sequences of observations, asking whether runs of increases or decreases occurred more often than chance would predict. Though Fechner restricted his comparisons to adjacent pairs, the spirit was the same: assess concordance and discordance using only the signs of differences, not their magnitudes. He even applied this method to meteorological series and anthropometric data, extending the idea to two dimensions.

Kendall (1938) (Kendall 1938) generalized Fechner's idea by considering all possible pairs of observations, not just consecutive ones. His  $\tau$  statistic became the canonical rank correlation coefficient, widely adopted as a nonparametric alternative to Pearson's correlation.

# General Summary II

Kruskal (1958) (Kruskal 1958) emphasized  $\tau$ 's place within a broader family of nonparametric statistics for ordinal data, framing it for hypothesis testing. Rank-based measures then spread to time series, enabling tests for persistence/independence in hydrological and environmental data (El-Shaarawi and Niculescu 1992; Hamed 2011).

Key point: This lineage leads to independence testing in high dimensions, where Kendall's  $\tau$  supports robust, distribution-free procedures resilient to heavy tails and monotone transformations (Shi et al. 2024; Han, Ma, and Xie 2025).

# Concise Comparison to Kendall's au

Fechner (1897): Successive changes in time series; sign-based concordance on adjacent pairs.

Kendall (1938): Concordance/discordance over all pairs; a general rank correlation for unordered data (Kendall 1938).

Key takeaway: Fechner's succession-based idea becomes Kendall's general ordinal association measure.

## Motivation and Relevance

Modern work continues to exploit distribution-free, rank-based tests of independence:

Adaptive high-dimensional tests building on Kendall's  $\tau$  (Shi et al. 2024; Han, Ma, and Xie 2025).

Time-series applications echoing Fechner's focus (El-Shaarawi and Niculescu 1992).

Broader treatments of ordinal association and nonparametric effects (Kruskal 1958; Newson, n.d.).

Persistence testing with ranks in environmental contexts (Hamed 2011).

# Recent Development: Shi et al. (2024) I

#### **Problem**

 $H_0: X_1, \ldots, X_d$  are mutually independent

## Why Kendall's $\tau$ ?

Rank-based; distribution-free; robust to heavy tails.

# Dense vs. Sparse

- **Dense:** many weak deps  $\Rightarrow$  sum-type  $(L_2)$ .
- **Sparse:** few strong deps  $\Rightarrow$  max-type  $(L_{\infty})$ .

# Recent Development: Shi et al. (2024) II

## Method (sketch)

- Build  $L_2$  and  $L_{\infty}$  from pairwise  $\tau_{k\ell}$ .
- $S_{\tau} \Rightarrow N(0,1); M_{\tau} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Gumbel}.$
- Adaptive p-value:

$$C_{\tau} = \min\left(1 - \Phi(S_{\tau}), 1 - F_{\text{Gumbel}}(M_{\tau})\right)$$

# Theory (high level)

 $S_{ au}$  and  $M_{ au}$  asymptotically independent;  $W=\min U_1, U_2$  with  $U_i \sim \mathrm{Unif}(0,1)$  so  $H(t)=2t-t^2.$ 

# Results I

n d		5	0		100				
	50	100	200	400	50	100	200	400	
Model 1									
$S_r$	0.042	0.055	0.048	0.053	0.047	0.044	0.047	0.049	
$TS_{\tau}$	0.044	0.053	0.049	0.049	0.050	0.043	0.053	0.053	
MS <sub>T</sub>	0.046	0.057	0.052	0.051	0.056	0.045	0.055	0.055	
Mr	0.013	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.021	0.020	0.013	0.009	
$TM_{\tau}$	0.029	0.028	0.018	0.013	0.029	0.027	0.027	0.033	
$MM_{\tau}$	0.044	0.063	0.052	0.051	0.041	0.047	0.044	0.052	
$TC_{\tau}$	0.037	0.037	0.031	0.029	0.040	0.036	0.037	0.044	
MC <sub>+</sub>	0.042	0.056	0.047	0.040	0.049	0.048	0.056	0.053	
PEr	0.168	0.135	0.080	0.073	0.068	0.058	0.053	0.051	
Umin	0.060	0.073	0.065	0.072	0.062	0.060	0.061	0.055	
Model 2									
Sr	0.418	0.439	0.432	0.440	0.578	0.568	0.577	0.574	
TS <sub>T</sub>	0.040	0.057	0.054	0.044	0.047	0.053	0.049	0.045	
$MS_{\tau}$	0.043	0.057	0.056	0.047	0.051	0.054	0.053	0.045	
$M_r$	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$TM_{\tau}$	0.024	0.020	0.016	0.014	0.032	0.036	0.037	0.028	
$MM_{\tau}$	0.041	0.056	0.052	0.040	0.054	0.054	0.058	0.051	
$TC_{\tau}$	0.038	0.040	0.038	0.033	0.044	0.043	0.049	0.035	
$MC_{\tau}$	0.045	0.055	0.052	0.045	0.052	0.055	0.072	0.043	
$PE_r$	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$U_{\min}$	NA								
Model 3									
$S_r$	0.056	0.057	0.061	0.059	0.051	0.062	0.051	0.059	
$TS_{\tau}$	0.048	0.045	0.047	0.056	0.047	0.049	0.046	0.048	
$MS_{\tau}$	0.049	0.049	0.050	0.057	0.052	0.052	0.049	0.049	
Mr	0.091	0.156	0.225	0.360	0.141	0.264	0.493	0.765	
$TM_{\tau}$	0.033	0.020	0.016	0.017	0.034	0.026	0.030	0.030	
$MM_{\tau}$	0.052	0.045	0.053	0.042	0.055	0.043	0.051	0.052	
$TC_{\tau}$	0.044	0.031	0.033	0.034	0.041	0.041	0.042	0.031	
MC <sub>T</sub>	0.047	0.046	0.052	0.048	0.053	0.049	0.063	0.041	
PEr	0.387	0.448	0.564	0.731	0.198	0.284	0.427	0.677	
Umin	NA	0.057	NA	NA	0.046	0.056	0.053	NA	

Figure 1: Empirical sizes of tests

## Results II

n d		5	0		100				
	50	100	200	400	50	100	200	400	
Model 4									
Sr	0.434	0.918	0.999	1.000	0.178	0.651	0.993	1.000	
$TS_{\tau}$	0.375	0.876	0.998	1.000	0.158	0.574	0.986	1.000	
MS <sub>T</sub>	0.362	0.873	0.998	1.000	0.155	0.577	0.986	1.000	
M <sub>r</sub>	0.015	0.018	0.008	0.003	0.036	0.026	0.021	0.024	
TM <sub>₹</sub>	0.036	0.044	0.040	0.041	0.040	0.044	0.046	0.053	
MM <sub>T</sub>	0.071	0.099	0.120	0.113	0.063	0.069	0.079	0.094	
TC <sub>T</sub>	0.380	0.878	0.999	1.000	0.168	0.582	0.988	1.000	
MC <sub>τ</sub>	0.393	0.894	0.999	1.000	0.192	0.621	0.989	1.000	
PE <sub>r</sub>	0.510	0.925	0.999	1.000	0.207	0.654	0.994	1.000	
U <sub>min</sub>	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.993	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Model 5									
Sr	0.891	0.927	0.956	0.971	0.866	0.914	0.947	0.972	
$\dot{TS}_{\tau}$	0.856	0.952	0.990	1.000	0.752	0.887	0.976	0.995	
$MS_{\tau}$	0.853	0.951	0.990	0.999	0.748	0.888	0.977	0.995	
M <sub>r</sub>	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
TM <sub>₹</sub>	0.426	0.514	0.594	0.722	0.308	0.407	0.530	0.684	
$MM_{\tau}$	0.545	0.691	0.823	0.907	0.377	0.492	0.639	0.801	
TC <sub>τ</sub>	0.888	0.967	0.994	1.000	0.794	0.908	0.987	0.997	
MCτ	0.896	0.973	0.997	1.000	0.819	0.923	0.992	0.998	
PEr	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Umin	NA								

Figure 2: Empirical powers of tests in dense cases.

### Results III

n d		5	0		100				
	50	100	200	400	50	100	200	400	
Model 6									
Sr	0.053	0.060	0.054	0.055	0.082	0.069	0.056	0.054	
$TS_{\tau}$	0.050	0.058	0.051	0.050	0.077	0.062	0.059	0.054	
$MS_{\tau}$	0.048	0.059	0.054	0.048	0.077	0.064	0.061	0.055	
$M_r$	0.201	0.307	0.504	0.757	0.845	0.963	0.999	1.000	
$TM_{\tau}$	0.210	0.329	0.533	0.793	0.786	0.936	0.996	1.000	
$MM_{\tau}$	0.260	0.425	0.645	0.861	0.809	0.944	0.997	1.000	
$TC_{\tau}$	0.182	0.281	0.473	0.746	0.734	0.918	0.993	1.000	
$MC_{\tau}$	0.194	0.323	0.531	0.767	0.754	0.926	0.995	1.000	
PEr	0.492	0.605	0.760	0.926	0.860	0.956	0.997	1.000	
$U_{\min}$	0.233	0.289	0.371	0.347	0.763	0.874	0.946	0.976	
Model 7									
Sr	0.433	0.435	0.431	0.436	0.577	0.568	0.578	0.575	
$TS_{\tau}$	0.086	0.077	0.057	0.052	0.075	0.057	0.057	0.043	
MS <sub>T</sub>	0.081	0.076	0.056	0.049	0.073	0.059	0.062	0.045	
$M_r$	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$TM_{\tau}$	0.806	0.869	0.924	0.951	0.646	0.727	0.783	0.836	
$MM_{\tau}$	0.834	0.904	0.952	0.967	0.687	0.760	0.820	0.870	
$TC_{\tau}$	0.755	0.833	0.895	0.933	0.592	0.682	0.755	0.798	
MCτ	0.769	0.853	0.918	0.941	0.615	0.704	0.778	0.812	
PEr	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Umin	NA								

Figure 3: Empirical powers of tests in sparse cases.

#### Results IV

n d		5	0		100				
	50	100	200	400	50	100	200	400	
$\rho = 0.02$									
$TS_{\tau}$	0.078	0.185	0.400	0.782	0.168	0.413	0.822	0.993	
$MS_{\tau}$	0.072	0.179	0.399	0.776	0.168	0.420	0.828	0.993	
$TM_{\tau}$	0.033	0.024	0.014	0.018	0.045	0.054	0.045	0.029	
$MM_{\tau}$	0.056	0.062	0.055	0.057	0.073	0.077	0.074	0.057	
TC <sub>T</sub>	0.093	0.191	0.404	0.783	0.179	0.430	0.827	0.993	
MC <sub>T</sub>	0.101	0.225	0.450	0.815	0.201	0.461	0.856	0.994	
$\rho = 0.04$									
$TS_{\tau}$	0.387	0.764	0.972	0.998	0.809	0.990	1.000	1.000	
MS <sub>T</sub>	0.375	0.759	0.972	0.998	0.803	0.990	1.000	1.000	
TM <sub>T</sub>	0.043	0.044	0.022	0.029	0.089	0.082	0.087	0.101	
MM <sub>+</sub>	0.080	0.108	0.081	0.074	0.126	0.130	0.141	0.160	
TC <sub>T</sub>	0.395	0.766	0.974	0.998	0.814	0.991	1.000	1.000	
MC <sub>+</sub>	0.415	0.796	0.981	0.998	0.826	0.991	1.000	1.000	
$\rho = 0.06$									
TS <sub>T</sub>	0.781	0.981	0.998	1.000	0.993	1.000	1.000	1.000	
MS <sub>T</sub>	0.772	0.980	0.998	1.000	0.993	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$TM_{\tau}$	0.061	0.065	0.055	0.048	0.142	0.183	0.172	0.177	
MM <sub>T</sub>	0.110	0.128	0.149	0.132	0.211	0.257	0.270	0.279	
TC,	0.786	0.981	0.998	1.000	0.993	1.000	1.000	1.000	
MC <sub>T</sub>	0.799	0.983	0.998	1.000	0.995	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$\rho = 0.08$									
$TS_{\tau}$	0.958	0.998	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
MS <sub>T</sub>	0.957	0.998	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
TM <sub>T</sub>	0.125	0.106	0.091	0.074	0.283	0.299	0.356	0.376	
$MM_{\tau}$	0.182	0.201	0.245	0.176	0.379	0.402	0.486	0.527	
$TC_{\tau}$	0.961	0.998	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
MC <sub>7</sub>	0.964	0.998	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	

Figure 4: Empirical powers under various strengths of dependence in dense cases.

## Results V

n d		5	0		100				
	50	100	200	400	50	100	200	400	
$\rho = 0.6$									
$TS_{\tau}$	0.056	0.064	0.054	0.042	0.111	0.078	0.051	0.062	
$MS_{\tau}$	0.057	0.062	0.055	0.044	0.108	0.079	0.055	0.059	
$TM_{\tau}$	0.571	0.408	0.271	0.174	0.990	0.973	0.952	0.891	
$MM_{\tau}$	0.636	0.511	0.399	0.274	0.993	0.979	0.957	0.911	
TC <sub>τ</sub>	0.512	0.363	0.238	0.155	0.984	0.962	0.926	0.866	
$MC_{\tau}$	0.534	0.399	0.287	0.179	0.986	0.965	0.942	0.875	
$\rho = 0.7$									
$TS_{\tau}$	0.085	0.070	0.055	0.045	0.204	0.095	0.055	0.058	
$MS_{\tau}$	0.077	0.070	0.056	0.045	0.203	0.097	0.055	0.062	
$TM_{\tau}$	0.876	0.828	0.698	0.561	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.997	
$MM_{\tau}$	0.902	0.875	0.806	0.651	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.998	
TC <sub>τ</sub>	0.902	0.875	0.806	0.651	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.998	
$MC_{\tau}$	0.860	0.803	0.690	0.535	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.997	
$\rho = 0.8$									
$TS_{\tau}$	0.129	0.087	0.060	0.045	0.356	0.122	0.062	0.059	
$MS_{\tau}$	0.117	0.080	0.061	0.044	0.354	0.126	0.066	0.061	
$TM_{\tau}$	0.992	0.988	0.973	0.951	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$MM_{\tau}$	0.995	0.996	0.988	0.969	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$TC_{\tau}$	0.987	0.983	0.960	0.929	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$MC_{\tau}$	0.987	0.987	0.974	0.942	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$\rho = 0.9$									
$TS_{\tau}$	0.197	0.097	0.062	0.050	0.621	0.201	0.082	0.065	
$MS_{\tau}$	0.187	0.096	0.064	0.046	0.616	0.204	0.089	0.065	
$TM_{\tau}$	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$MM_{\tau}$	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
TCτ	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
$MC_{\tau}$	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	

Figure 5: Empirical powers under various strengths of dependence in sparse cases.

#### Conclusion

Rank-based adaptive tests are practical and robust; 2025 work generalizes to sum-of-powers (Han, Ma, and Xie 2025).

# Next Steps

- Consulting applications (survey, environmental, biochemical).
- ullet Reflection: Kendall's au connects classic nonparametrics to modern HD inference.

### References I

- El-Shaarawi, A. H., and Stefan P. Niculescu. 1992. "On Kendall's Tau as a Test of Trend in Time Series Data." *Environmetrics* 3 (4): 385–411.
- Fechner, Gustav Theodor. 1897. *Kollektivmasslehre*. Leipzig: Verlag von Wilhelm Engelmann. https://www.google.com/books/edition/Kollektivmasslehre/bgQZAAAAMAAJ?hl=en.
- Hamed, K. H. 2011. "The Distribution of Kendall's Tau for Testing the Significance of Cross-Correlation in Persistent Data." *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 56 (5): 841–53. https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2011.586948.
- Han, Lijuan, Yun Ma, and Junshan Xie. 2025. "An Adaptive Test of the Independence of High-Dimensional Data Based on Kendall Rank Correlation Coefficient." *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics* 37 (3): 632–56. https://doi.org/10.1080/10485252.2024.2435852.
- Kendall, M. G. 1938. "A New Measure of Rank Correlation." *Biometrika* 30 (1/2): 81–93. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2332226.
- Kruskal, William H. 1958. "Ordinal Measures of Association." Journal of the American Statistical Association 53 (284): 814–61. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1958.10501481.
- Newson, Roger. n.d. "Parameters Behind 'Nonparametric' Statistics: Kendall's Tau, Somers' d and Median Differences." Working paper, King's College London.

#### References II

Shi, Xiangyu, Yuanyuan Jiang, Jiang Du, and Zhuqing Miao. 2024. "An Adaptive Test Based on Kendall's Tau for Independence in High Dimensions." *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics* 36 (4): 1064–87. https://doi.org/10.1080/10485252.2023.2296521.