

**Problem 1****a)**

Show that the kernel density estimate

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h}\right),$$

with kernel  $K$  and bandwidth  $h > 0$ , is a valid density. What condition(s) did you require on  $K$ ?

**Answer**

For  $\hat{f}$  to be a valid density, it must be nonnegative (over its support) and integrate to one (for  $X$  continuous).

Based on class, we generally want to make assumptions of the kernel, and make minimal assumptions about the true density  $f_X(x)$ . To that end:

Assume the kernel function,  $K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is measurable with  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(u) du = 1$ . (Our necessary assumptions.)

It then follows, if  $K \geq 0$ , then  $\hat{f}(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$  ( $K$  is non-negative, and we are multiplying it by some scalar, which necessarily must also be a non-negative quantity).

We then must satisfy the second property. To that end we evaluate the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x) dx &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h}\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{x - X}{h}\right) dx \quad \text{Via } X\text{'s iid} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(u) du \quad \text{Via } u \text{ substitution, where } u = \frac{x - X}{h} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \quad \text{Using the property } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(u) du = 1 \\ &= \frac{n}{n} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

This is to say that  $\hat{f}$  is a valid probability density function whenever  $K$  itself is a density, such that the only necessary assumption(s) are that the kernel  $K$  is a proper (valid) density.

b)

Show that the kernel density estimate

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{nh(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h(x)}\right),$$

with kernel  $K$  and bandwidth function  $h(x) > 0, \forall x$ , is *not* a valid density.

**Answer**

As given, define a kernel  $K$  and bandwidth function  $h(x) > 0, \forall x$ . These will be the sole assumptions made, otherwise, provided enough assumptions, we could define a valid density.

We still get the first property of a), namely:  $K \geq 0$ , then  $\hat{f}(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$ . The potential culprit then is whether we satisfy the other property (normalization, integrates to 1 over the support). To that end, we note the KDE is then given by:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n h(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h(x)}\right)$$

Such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{nh(x)} K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h(x)}\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h(x)} K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h(x)}\right) dx \quad \text{As the sum is finite, and some moving of terms} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h(x)} K\left(\frac{x - X}{h(x)}\right) dx \quad \text{Given iid } X, \text{ though this isn't important for our purposes} \end{aligned}$$

The issue then becomes whether:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h(x)} K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h(x)}\right) dx = 1$$

As given,  $h$  depends on  $x$ , meaning trick used in part a) is not valid, i.e., the transformation  $u = (x - X_i)/h(x)$  is no longer linear. Instead, we'd have  $u = \frac{x - X}{h(x)}$ , and notably:

$$du = \frac{h(x) - (x - X)h'(x)}{h(x)^2}$$

Notably, the above  $du$  term involves both  $h(x)$  and  $h'(x)$ , such that  $dx$  is **not** just a constant multiple of  $du$  (not a linear transformation).

It then follows that, without additional assumptions, there is no guarantee that:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h(x)} K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h(x)}\right) dx = 1$$

and hence why in general the variable bandwidth kernel density estimator is not a valid density when based solely upon the assumptions given (there is dependence on the bandwidth function  $h(x)$ , which would necessitate additional assumptions to ensure  $\hat{f}(x)$  is a valid density).

Note: An alternative approach we could take is to define some bandwidth function that satisfies  $h(x) > 0, \forall x$ , assume  $\hat{f}(x)$  is a valid density, and then arrive at some nonsense (for a proof by negation).

To that end, one such function could be  $h(x) = |x| + 1$ , using a Uniform kernel, with

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2(|x| + 1)}$$

This bandwidth function meets our base assumptions, yet:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2(|x| + 1)} dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{|x| + 1} dx = \infty$$

So, clearly  $\hat{f}(x)$  is not a valid density.

**Problem 2**

A natural estimator for the  $r$ th derivative  $f^{(r)}(x)$  of  $f(x)$  is

$$\hat{f}^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{nh^{r+1}} \sum_{i=1}^n K^{(r)}\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h}\right),$$

assuming that  $K$  satisfies the necessary differentiability conditions.

**a)**

Derive an asymptotic expression for the bias of  $\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)$ . Also mention the assumptions you made to obtain this result.

**Answer**

Start with the expectation of the estimator:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \hat{f}^{(r)}(x) &= \frac{1}{h^{r+1}} \int K^{(r)}\left(\frac{x-y}{h}\right) f(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{h^r} \int K^{(r)}(u) f(x-hu) du \end{aligned}$$

Where:

$$u = \frac{x-y}{h}, \quad y = x-hu, \quad dy = -h du$$

Our goal is to simplify/evaluate  $\int K^{(r)}(u) f(x-hu) du$ . To that end note: Via integration by parts ( $r$ -many times), for any sufficiently smooth  $g$  (see Assumptions),

$$\int K^{(r)}(u) g(u) du = (-1)^r \int K(u) g^{(r)}(u) du$$

With  $g(u) = f(x-hu)$ ,  $g^{(r)}(u) = (-h)^r f^{(r)}(x-hu)$ .

Such that:

$$\int K^{(r)}(u) f(x-hu) du = h^r \int K(u) f^{(r)}(x-hu) du$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \hat{f}^{(r)}(x) = \frac{1}{h^r} h^r \int K(u) f^{(r)}(x-hu) du = \int K(u) f^{(r)}(x-hu) du$$

Now seems a good time for a Taylor Series. To that end, expand  $f^{(r)}(x-hu)$  around  $x$ :

$$f^{(r)}(x-hu) = f^{(r)}(x) - hu f^{(r+1)}(x) + \frac{1}{2} h^2 u^2 f^{(r+2)}(x) + o(h^2)$$

Some Assumptions being made at this step:

- $\int K(u), du = 1$ ,
- $\int uK(u), du = 0$  (e.g. for symmetric  $K$ , to make calculations easier),
- $\mu_2 = \int u^2 K(u), du < \infty$ , following the notation used in the text.

Taken together, we have:

$$\mathbb{E} \hat{f}^{(r)}(x) = f^{(r)}(x) + \frac{\mu_2}{2} h^2 f^{(r+2)}(x) + o(h^2)$$

Then, turning back to the original Bias formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bias}[\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)] &= \mathbb{E} \hat{f}^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \\ &= f^{(r)}(x) + \frac{\mu_2}{2} h^2 f^{(r+2)}(x) + o(h^2) - f^{(r)}(x) \\ &= \frac{\mu_2}{2} f^{(r+2)}(x) h^2 + o(h^2) \end{aligned}$$

(Overall) Assumptions:

- (1):  $f$  has  $r+2$  continuous derivatives in a neighborhood of  $x$  (could also say “absolutely continuous”, though this is a much stronger assumption)
- (2):  $K$  is a kernel and a valid density (based on allusions made in-class,  $K$  need not be a valid density, but instead satisfy being real-valued and  $\int K = 1$ )
- (3):  $K$  is  $r$ -times differentiable, with derivatives up to order  $r$  continuous and integrable
- (4):  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

b)

Derive an asymptotic expression for the variance of  $\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)$ . Mention the assumptions you made to obtain this result.

**Answer**

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)] &= \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{h^{r+1}} K^{(r)}\left(\frac{x-X}{h}\right)\right) \quad \text{under iid } X\text{'s} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{h^{2r+2}} \left(K^{(r)}\left(\frac{x-X}{h}\right)\right)^2\right] - \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{h^{r+1}} K^{(r)}\left(\frac{x-X}{h}\right)\right]\right)^2 \right\} \quad \text{variance formula}\end{aligned}$$

As in part a), we use the change of variables where  $u = (x - y)/h$ ,  $dy = -h du$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{h^{2r+2}} \left(K^{(r)}\left(\frac{x-X}{h}\right)\right)^2\right] &= \frac{1}{h^{2r+2}} \int \left(K^{(r)}\left(\frac{x-y}{h}\right)\right)^2 f(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{h^{2r+1}} \int \left(K^{(r)}(u)\right)^2 f(x - hu) du \\ &= \frac{1}{h^{2r+1}} \left[ f(x) \int \left(K^{(r)}(u)\right)^2 du + o(1) \right] \quad h \rightarrow 0 \\ &= \frac{f(x)}{h^{2r+1}} R(K^{(r)}) + o\left(\frac{1}{h^{2r+1}}\right) \quad \text{noted below}\end{aligned}$$

where  $R(K^{(r)}) = \int (K^{(r)}(u))^2 du$ , following similar notation used in the text.

Note on last line: By continuity of  $f$  at  $x$  we have  $f(x - hu) \rightarrow f(x)$  pointwise convergence, and by the dominated convergence theorem:

$$\int (K^{(r)})^2 f(x - hu) du = f(x) R(K^{(r)}) + o(1)$$

Note: We evaluated the first term in the variance decomposition. For the second term, from part a), we know that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{h^{r+1}} K^{(r)}\left(\frac{x-X}{h}\right)\right] = f^{(r)}(x) + O(h^2)$$

so its square is  $O(1)$  and, after multiplying by  $1/n$ , contributes  $O(1/n)$ ; and since  $h \rightarrow 0$ , noting little o arithmetic properties:

$$\frac{O(1/n)}{1/(nh^{2r+1})} = O(h^{2r+1})$$

meaning  $O(1/n) = o(1/(nh^{2r+1}))$ , such that the squared-mean term is negligible relative to the leading term from the first component of the variance decomposition.

Leaving us with an overall Variance expression of the form:

$$\text{Var}[\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)] = \frac{f(x) R(K^{(r)})}{n h^{2r+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n h^{2r+1}}\right)$$

Assumptions on next page

Assumptions:

(1):  $f$  is continuous at  $x$

(2):  $R(K^{(r)}) = \int (K^{(r)}(u))^2 du < \infty$

(3):  $h \rightarrow 0$  and  $n h^{2r+1} \rightarrow \infty$ .

**c)**

Calculate the mean squared error (MSE) of  $\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)$ .

**Answer**

Combining squared bias and variance from parts a) and b), and gathering terms for the remainder error term:

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)) = \left( \frac{\mu_2}{2} f^{(r+2)}(x) h^2 \right)^2 + \frac{f(x) R(K^{(r)})}{n h^{2r+1}} + o\left(h^4 + \frac{1}{n h^{2r+1}}\right)$$

d)

Calculate the mean integrated squared error (MISE) of  $\hat{f}^{(r)}$ .

**Answer**

Integrating the MSE from part c) gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{MISE}(\hat{f}^{(r)}) &= \int \text{MSE}(\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)) dx \quad \text{definition} \\
 &= \int \left[ \left( \frac{\mu_2}{2} f^{(r+2)}(x) h^2 \right)^2 + \frac{f(x) R(K^{(r)})}{nh^{2r+1}} + o\left(h^4 + \frac{1}{nh^{2r+1}}\right) \right] dx \quad \text{Substituting known quantities} \\
 &= \frac{\mu_2^2}{4} h^4 \int (f^{(r+2)}(x))^2 dx + \frac{R(K^{(r)})}{nh^{2r+1}} \int f(x) dx \quad \text{Separating terms} \\
 &\quad + \int o\left(h^4 + \frac{1}{nh^{2r+1}}\right) dx \quad \text{For spacing purposes, isolating the "o" terms} \\
 &= \frac{\mu_2^2}{4} h^4 \int (f^{(r+2)}(x))^2 dx + \frac{R(K^{(r)})}{nh^{2r+1}} + o\left(h^4 + \frac{1}{nh^{2r+1}}\right) \quad \text{as } \int f(x) dx = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

e)

From all your previous results, can you conclude why density derivative estimation is becoming increasingly more difficult for estimating higher order derivatives?

**Answer**

From parts b)–d), the variance term is of leading order  $1/(nh^{2r+1})$ . Specifically:

$$\text{Var}[\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)] = \frac{f(x) R(K^{(r)})}{nh^{2r+1}} + o\left(\frac{1}{nh^{2r+1}}\right)$$

As every little-o is also Big-O (not the other way around though!) we may then say:

$$\text{Var}[\hat{f}^{(r)}(x)] = O\left(\frac{1}{nh^{2r+1}}\right)$$

As  $r$  increases (and for a fixed  $h$ ):

(1): The variance increases.

(2): If we wish to reduce variance, we do so by trading off with increased bias (bias being of order  $O(h^2)$ )

(3): So we effectively introduce more bias to get a lower variance for higher-order derivations, i.e., the bias–variance tradeoff becomes “more costly”



f)

Find an expression for the asymptotically optimal constant bandwidth.

**Answer**

We want to minimize the AMISE expression from part d):

$$\text{AMISE}(h) = \frac{\mu_2^2}{4} h^4 \int (f^{(r+2)}(x))^2 dx + \frac{R(K^{(r)})}{nh^{2r+1}} + o\left(h^4 + \frac{1}{nh^{2r+1}}\right)$$

To find the value of  $h$  which minimizes the expression, we differentiate with respect to  $h$  and set equal to zero:

$$\frac{d}{dh} \text{AMISE}(h) = 4 \left( \frac{\mu_2^2}{4} \int (f^{(r+2)}(x))^2 dx \right) h^3 - \frac{(2r+1)R(K^{(r)})}{n} h^{-(2r+2)} = 0$$

Gathering terms, and isolating the  $h$ , we have the asymptotically optimal constant bandwidth given by:

$$h_{\text{AMISE}}^* = \left[ \frac{(2r+1)R(K^{(r)})}{\mu_2^2 \int (f^{(r+2)}(x))^2 dx} \right]^{\frac{1}{2r+5}} n^{-\frac{1}{2r+5}}$$