# HW4

### Sam Olson

# Problem 1

#### Problem 6.2, Casella and Berger (2nd Edition)

**6.2** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent random variables with densities

$$f_{X_i}(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} e^{\theta - x} & x \ge i\theta \\ 0 & x < i\theta. \end{cases}$$

Prove that  $T = \min_i(X_i/i)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Example of Rao-Blackwell theorem, which is largely a STAT 5420 problem in computation. Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be iid Bernoulli(p), 0 .

**a**)

Show  $S = X_1 + X_2$  is sufficient for p.

b)

Identify the conditional probability  $P(X_1 = x | S = s)$ ; you should know which values of x, s to consider.

**c**)

Find the conditional expectation  $T \equiv E(X_1|S)$ , i.e., as a function of the possibilities of S. Note that T is a statistic.

d)

Show  $X_1$  and T are both unbiased for p.

**e**)

Show  $\operatorname{Var}_p(T) \leq \operatorname{Var}_p(X_1)$ , for any p.

### Problem 6.21 a)-b), Casella and Berger (2nd Edition)

 ${\bf 6.21}$  Let X be one observation from the pdf

$$f(x|\theta) = \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{|x|} (1-\theta)^{1-|x|}, \quad x = -1, 0, 1, \quad 0 \le \theta \le 1.$$

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

Is X a complete sufficient statistic?

b)

Is |X| a complete sufficient statistic?

#### Problem 6.24, Casella and Berger (2nd Edition)

 ${f 6.24}$  Consider the following family of distributions:

$$\mathcal{P} = \{ P_{\lambda}(X = x) : P_{\lambda}(X = x) = \frac{\lambda^{x} e^{-\lambda}}{x!}; x = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \lambda = 0 \text{ or } 1 \}.$$

This is a Poisson family with  $\lambda$  restricted to be 0 or 1. Show that the family  $\mathcal{P}$  is not complete, demonstrating that completeness can be dependent on the range of the parameter. (See Exercises 6.15 and 6.18.)

**Problem 7.57, Casella and Berger (2nd Edition)** You may assume  $n \geq 3$ .

One has to Rao-Blackwellize on the complete/sufficient statistic here

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i.$$

**7.57** Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}$  be iid Bernoulli(p), and define the function h(p) by

$$h(p) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i > X_{n+1} \middle| p\right),\,$$

the probability that the first n observations exceed the (n+1)st.

a)

Show that

$$T(X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > X_{n+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is an unbiased estimator of h(p).

b)

Find the best unbiased estimator of h(p).