

# HW8

2024-11-19

## Outline

- Q1: DRAFT
- Q2: DONE
- Q3: WIP
- Q4: DRAFT
- Q5: DRAFT
- Q6: DRAFT
- Q7: DRAFT
- Q8: DRAFT

## Q1

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be independent exponential random variables with mean  $\theta$ .

### (a)

Find the joint moment generating function of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .

We start with the single variable MGF:

$$M_X(t) = E[e^{tX}]$$

For an  $\text{Exponential}(\theta)$  random variable, the mean is  $\theta$ , and the rate parameter  $\lambda = 1/\theta$ . The MGF of  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$  is:

$$M_X(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}, \quad t < \lambda.$$

Since  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent exponential random variables, the joint MGF is the product of the individual MGFs:

$$M_{X_1, X_2}(t_1, t_2) = E[e^{t_1 X_1 + t_2 X_2}]$$

Using independence:

$$M_{X_1, X_2}(t_1, t_2) = E[e^{t_1 X_1}] \cdot E[e^{t_2 X_2}] = M_{X_1}(t_1) \cdot M_{X_2}(t_2).$$

Each  $M_{X_i}(t)$  has the same form as the MGF of an exponential random variable. Substituting  $\lambda = 1/\theta$ , we get:

$$M_{X_1}(t_1) = \frac{\frac{1}{\theta}}{\frac{1}{\theta} - t_1} = \frac{1}{1 - \theta t_1}, \quad t_1 < \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

$$M_{X_2}(t_2) = \frac{\frac{1}{\theta}}{\frac{1}{\theta} - t_2} = \frac{1}{1 - \theta t_2}, \quad t_2 < \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

Thus, the joint MGF is:

$$M_{X_1, X_2}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{(1 - \theta t_1)} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - \theta t_2)} = \frac{1}{(1 - \theta t_1)(1 - \theta t_2)}, \quad t_1, t_2 < \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

**(b)**

Give the definition of the moment generating function of  $X_1 - X_2$  and show how this can be obtained from part (a).

We start with the MGF of a single variable:

$$M_X(t) = E[e^{tX}].$$

For the random variable  $X_1 - X_2$ , the MGF is given by:

$$M_{X_1 - X_2}(t) = E[e^{t(X_1 - X_2)}].$$

From part (a), the joint MGF of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is:

$$M_{X_1, X_2}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{(1 - \theta t_1)(1 - \theta t_2)}, \quad t_1, t_2 < \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

To find the MGF of  $X_1 - X_2$ , substitute  $t_1 = t$  and  $t_2 = -t$  into the joint MGF, because  $t(X_1 - X_2) = tX_1 - tX_2$ :

$$M_{X_1 - X_2}(t) = M_{X_1, X_2}(t, -t).$$

Substituting into the expression for the joint MGF:

$$M_{X_1 - X_2}(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - \theta t)(1 - \theta(-t))}.$$

Simplify the denominator:

$$M_{X_1 - X_2}(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - \theta t)(1 + \theta t)}.$$

Expand the product in the denominator:

$$M_{X_1 - X_2}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - (\theta t)^2}, \quad |t| < \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

The moment generating function of  $X_1 - X_2$  is:

$$M_{X_1 - X_2}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - (\theta t)^2}, \quad |t| < \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

(c)

Find the distribution of  $Y = X_1 - X_2$ . Using the mgf, one can find that this is a so-called Laplace or double-exponential distribution.

To find the distribution of  $Y = X_1 - X_2$ , we use the moment generating function (MGF) obtained in part (b):

$$M_Y(t) = \frac{1}{1 - (\theta t)^2}, \quad |t| < \frac{1}{\theta}.$$

The MGF of a Laplace (double-exponential) random variable  $Y$  with location parameter  $\mu$  and scale parameter  $b$  is:

$$M_Y(t) = \frac{1}{1 - b^2 t^2}, \quad |t| < \frac{1}{b}.$$

By comparing this with the MGF derived above, we identify that  $b = \theta$  and  $\mu = 0$ . Therefore,  $Y$  follows a **Laplace distribution** with location parameter  $\mu = 0$  and scale parameter  $b = \theta$ .

The probability density function of a Laplace random variable  $Y$  with parameters  $\mu = 0$  and  $b = \theta$  is:

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{2\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|}{\theta}\right), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, the distribution of  $Y = X_1 - X_2$  is:

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{2\theta} \exp\left(-\frac{|y|}{\theta}\right), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This result confirms that the difference of two independent exponential random variables (with the same mean) follows a Laplace distribution centered at 0, with scale parameter equal to the mean of the exponential distribution. This distribution is often called a **double-exponential distribution** because it has exponential decay in both positive and negative directions.

## Q2: 4.30, Casella & Berger

Suppose the distribution of  $Y$ , conditional on  $X = x$ , is  $N(x, x^2)$  and that the marginal distribution of  $X$  is uniform  $(0, 1)$ .

(a)

Find  $E[Y]$ ,  $\text{Var}[Y]$ , and  $\text{Cov}(X, Y)$ .

The law of total expectation states:

$$E[Y] = E[E[Y|X]] = E[X] = \frac{1}{2}$$

Consider then,  $\text{Cov}(X, Y)$ . We know:

$$\text{Var}(Y) = E[\text{Var}(Y|X)] + \text{Var}(E[Y|X]) = E[X^2] + \text{Var}(E[Y|X])$$

And

$$E[\text{Var}(Y|X)] = E[X^2] = \int_0^1 x^2 dx = \frac{x^3}{3} \Big|_0^1 = \frac{1}{3}$$

Also, we have:

$$\text{Var}(E[Y|X]) = \text{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{12}$$

Again, with note that  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ .

Simplifying gives us:

$$\text{Var}(Y) = E[X^2] + \text{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{12} = \frac{5}{12}$$

We then need to find  $\text{Cov}(X, Y)$ . To that end, we have:

$$E[XY] = E[XE[Y|X]] = E[X^2] = \frac{1}{3}$$

We then have everything we need to calculate  $\text{Cov}(X, Y)$ , namely:

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y] = E[X^2] - E[X]E[Y] = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{12}$$

(b)

Prove that  $\frac{Y}{X}$  and  $X$  are independent.

To prove that  $\frac{Y}{X}$  and  $X$  are independent, we need to show that the joint probability density function (PDF) of  $(\frac{Y}{X}, X)$  can be written as the product of the marginal PDFs of  $\frac{Y}{X}$  and  $X$  (using a bivariate transformation).

To that end let us start with the PDF of  $X$ , which we know given  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$  is:

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 < x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We then note the conditional distribution of  $Y|X = x$  is  $N(x, x^2)$ , so the conditional PDF is:

$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x^2}} e^{\left(-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2x^2}\right)}$$

for  $-\infty < y < \infty$

Let us then consider the joint PDF of  $X$  and  $Y$ :

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = f_{Y|X}(y|x)f_X(x) = f_{Y|X}(y|x)(1)$$

Because the pdf of  $X$  is 1, we may simplify as:

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x^2}} e^{\left(-\frac{(y-x)^2}{2x^2}\right)}$$

For  $0 < x < 1$  and  $-\infty < y < \infty$

Let us then define the new random variable  $Z = \frac{Y}{X}$ .

As,

$$Y = ZX$$

The Jacobian of the transformation is:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial Z} & \frac{\partial Y}{\partial X} \\ \frac{\partial Z}{\partial Z} & \frac{\partial Z}{\partial X} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} x & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = x$$

Using the above Jacobian, we then have the joint PDF of  $(Z, X)$  may be written :

$$f_{Z,X}(z, x) = f_{X,Y}(x, y) \cdot |J| = f_{X,Y}(x, zx)|x| = f_{X,Y}(x, zx)x$$

With note that  $X$  is always positive.

We may further simplify the above joint pdf as:

$$f_{Z,X}(z, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x^2}} e^{\left(-\frac{(zx-x)^2}{2x^2}\right)} x = \frac{x}{\sqrt{2\pi x^2}} e^{\left(-\frac{(x(z-1))^2}{2x^2}\right)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\left(-\frac{(z-1)^2}{2}\right)} \quad (1)$$

Since  $f_X(x) = 1$  for  $0 < x < 1$ , we have:

$$f_{Z,X}(z, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\left(-\frac{(z-1)^2}{2}\right)} f_X(x) = f_Z(z)f_X(x)$$

And we conclude that  $\frac{Y}{X}$  and  $X$  are independent as we may write the joint PDF as the product of the marginal PDFs.

### Q3: 4.54, Casella & Berger

Find the pdf of  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ , where the  $X_i$ 's are independent uniform  $(0, 1)$  random variables.

(Hint: Try to calculate the cdf, and remember the relationship between uniforms and exponentials.)

Note: Each  $X_i \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ , and each has PDF:

$$f_{X_i}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define a new random variable  $W$  as:

$$W = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$$

As each  $X_i$  has support  $[0, 1]$ , the support of  $W$  is also  $[0, 1]$ .

The PDF of  $W$  is then:

$$F_W(w) = P(W \leq w) = P\left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i \leq w\right) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(X_i) \leq \ln(w)\right)$$

Note that log transformation is a monotonic transformation.

Let us then define the random variables  $Y_i$  as follows:

$$Y_i = -\ln(X_i)$$

As  $X_i \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ ,  $Y_i \sim \text{Exponential}(1)$

Let us then define the random variable  $S$  as the sum of  $Y_i$ . We similarly know the distribution of  $S$  as  $S \sim \text{Gamma}(n, 1)$ .

Specifically the PDF of  $S$  is:

$$f_S(s) = \frac{s^{n-1}e^{-s}}{\Gamma(n)}$$

For  $s > 0$

Thus:

$$F_W(w) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \leq -\ln(w)\right) = P(S \leq -\ln(w))$$

The CDF of  $W$  is:

$$F_W(w) = \int_0^{-\ln(w)} \frac{s^{n-1}e^{-s}}{\Gamma(n)} ds$$

For  $0 < w \leq 1$

The PDF of  $W$  is the derivative of the CDF:

$$f_W(w) = \frac{d}{dw} \left[ \int_0^{-\ln(w)} \frac{s^{n-1} e^{-s}}{\Gamma(n)} ds \right].$$

$$f_W(w) = \frac{1}{w} \left( \frac{(-\ln(w))^{n-1} e^{-\ln(w)}}{\Gamma(n)} \right) = \frac{(-\ln(w))^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n) w^n}$$

The PDF of  $W = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  is:

$$f_W(w) = \frac{(-\ln(w))^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n) w^n} \quad 0 < w \leq 1.$$

#### Q4: 4.47, Casella & Berger

(Marginal normality does not imply bivariate normality.)

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent  $N(0, 1)$  random variables, and define a new random variable  $Z$  by

$$Z = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } XY > 0, \\ -X & \text{if } XY < 0. \end{cases}$$

(a)

Show that  $Z$  has a normal distribution, specifically  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ .

The condition  $XY > 0$  corresponds to  $X$  and  $Y$  having the same sign: -  $X > 0, Y > 0$ , -  $X < 0, Y < 0$ .

The condition  $XY < 0$  corresponds to  $X$  and  $Y$  having opposite signs: -  $X > 0, Y < 0$ , -  $X < 0, Y > 0$ .

Thus,  $Z = X$  when  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same sign, and  $Z = -X$  when  $X$  and  $Y$  have opposite signs.

This occurs when  $XY > 0$ , which happens in two subcases: 1.  $X > 0, Y > 0$ , 2.  $X < 0, Y < 0$ .

The probability that  $XY > 0$  is the same as the probability that  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same sign. Due to independence and symmetry of the standard normal distribution:

$$P(XY > 0) = P(X > 0, Y > 0) + P(X < 0, Y < 0).$$

By independence:

$$P(X > 0, Y > 0) = P(X > 0)P(Y > 0) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4},$$

and similarly:

$$P(X < 0, Y < 0) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

Thus:

$$P(XY > 0) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

This occurs when  $XY < 0$ , which happens in two subcases: 1.  $X > 0, Y < 0$ , 2.  $X < 0, Y > 0$ .

The probability that  $XY < 0$  is:

$$P(XY < 0) = P(X > 0, Y < 0) + P(X < 0, Y > 0).$$

Similarly:

$$P(X > 0, Y < 0) = P(X > 0)P(Y < 0) = \frac{1}{4},$$

and:

$$P(X < 0, Y > 0) = \frac{1}{4}.$$



Thus:

$$P(XY < 0) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

From the definition of  $Z$ , we see that: - With probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $Z = X$ , - With probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $Z = -X$ .

Thus,  $Z$  is distributed as  $X$  with equal probability of flipping the sign. The symmetry of the normal distribution ensures that flipping the sign does not alter the distribution. Therefore:

$$Z \sim N(0, 1).$$

We have shown that  $Z$  has the same distribution as  $X$ , which is  $N(0, 1)$ . Thus:

$$Z \sim N(0, 1).$$

**(b)**

Show that the joint distribution of  $Z$  and  $Y$  is not bivariate normal. (*Hint: Show that*

$$Z$$

*and*

$$Y$$

*always have the same sign.*)

To show that the joint distribution of  $Z$  and  $Y$  is not bivariate normal, we analyze their dependence and demonstrate that  $Z$  and  $Y$  always have the same sign, violating a property of bivariate normal distributions.

From the definition of  $Z$ :

$$Z = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } XY > 0, \\ -X & \text{if } XY < 0. \end{cases}$$

- **Case 1: When  $XY > 0$ :**

If  $XY > 0$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  have the same sign. In this case,  $Z = X$ , and  $Z$  retains the same sign as  $X$ , which matches the sign of  $Y$ .

Therefore,  $Z$  and  $Y$  have the same sign.

- **Case 2: When  $XY < 0$ :**

If  $XY < 0$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  have opposite signs. In this case,  $Z = -X$ , so  $Z$  flips the sign of  $X$ . Since  $X$  and  $Y$  already have opposite signs, flipping the sign of  $X$  ensures that  $Z$  and  $Y$  have the same sign.

In both cases, we conclude:

$Z$  and  $Y$  always have the same sign.

For two random variables  $Z$  and  $Y$  to follow a bivariate normal distribution: - Any linear combination of  $Z$  and  $Y$  must also have a normal distribution. - The joint PDF of  $(Z, Y)$  would not impose deterministic constraints on their values.

However, the fact that  $Z$  and  $Y$  always share the same sign imposes a **deterministic relationship**: - If  $Z > 0$ , then  $Y > 0$ .

- If  $Z < 0$ , then  $Y < 0$ .

This deterministic relationship violates the independence and symmetry properties expected of bivariate normal variables. Specifically: - In a bivariate normal distribution, the joint distribution must allow the

variables to take any values within their range, subject only to their covariance structure. - The joint restriction that  $Z$  and  $Y$  must have the same sign introduces a nonlinear dependence, which is incompatible with bivariate normality.

The support of the joint distribution of  $(Z, Y)$  is constrained to:

$$\{(z, y) : z > 0 \text{ and } y > 0\} \cup \{(z, y) : z < 0 \text{ and } y < 0\}.$$

This means that the joint density of  $(Z, Y)$  has **zero probability** in the regions where  $Z$  and  $Y$  have opposite signs, such as: -  $Z > 0$  and  $Y < 0$ , -  $Z < 0$  and  $Y > 0$ .

This behavior is incompatible with a bivariate normal distribution, whose joint density would assign nonzero probability to all regions in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

The joint distribution of  $Z$  and  $Y$  is not bivariate normal because: -  $Z$  and  $Y$  always have the same sign, which imposes a deterministic nonlinear constraint on their values.

- This behavior violates the symmetry and independence properties required for a bivariate normal distribution.

## Q5: 4.52, Casella & Berger

Bullets are fired at the origin of an  $(x, y)$  coordinate system, and the point hit, say  $(X, Y)$ , is a random variable. The variables  $X$  and  $Y$  are taken to be independent  $N(0, 1)$  random variables. If two bullets are fired independently, what is the distribution of the distance between them?

Two bullets are fired independently at points  $(X_1, Y_1)$  and  $(X_2, Y_2)$ , where  $X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2 \sim N(0, 1)$  are independent standard normal random variables. The distance between the two points is given by:

$$R = \sqrt{(X_2 - X_1)^2 + (Y_2 - Y_1)^2}.$$

We aim to find the distribution of  $R$ .

Since  $X_1, X_2 \sim N(0, 1)$  are independent, the difference  $X_2 - X_1$  is also normally distributed:

$$X_2 - X_1 \sim N(0, 2).$$

Similarly,  $Y_2 - Y_1 \sim N(0, 2)$ .

The squared distance is:

$$R^2 = (X_2 - X_1)^2 + (Y_2 - Y_1)^2.$$

Let  $Z_1 = X_2 - X_1$  and  $Z_2 = Y_2 - Y_1$ . Then  $Z_1, Z_2 \sim N(0, 2)$ , and they are independent. The squared terms are:

$$Z_1^2 \sim \text{Scaled-Chi-Square}(1, \sigma^2 = 2), \quad Z_2^2 \sim \text{Scaled-Chi-Square}(1, \sigma^2 = 2).$$

For a standard normal variable  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $Z^2 \sim \chi^2(1)$ . Scaling by  $\sigma^2 = 2$ ,  $Z_1^2$  and  $Z_2^2$  are scaled  $\chi^2(1)$ :

$$Z_1^2 \sim 2 \cdot \chi^2(1), \quad Z_2^2 \sim 2 \cdot \chi^2(1).$$

Since  $Z_1^2 + Z_2^2$  is the sum of two independent scaled  $\chi^2(1)$  variables, it follows that:

$$Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 \sim 2 \cdot \chi^2(2).$$

A  $\chi^2(2)$  distribution is equivalent to an Exponential(1) distribution. Scaling by 2, we have:

$$R^2 \sim \text{Exponential}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

The random variable  $R = \sqrt{R^2}$  is the square root of an Exponential( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) random variable. The PDF of  $R^2 \sim \text{Exponential}(\frac{1}{2})$  is:

$$f_{R^2}(r^2) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-r^2/2}, \quad r^2 \geq 0.$$

To find the PDF of  $R$ , we apply the change of variables  $R^2 = r^2$  with  $R = \sqrt{r^2}$ , giving:

$$f_R(r) = f_{R^2}(r^2) \cdot \left| \frac{d(r^2)}{dr} \right| = \frac{1}{2}e^{-r^2/2} \cdot 2r = re^{-r^2/2}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

The distance  $R$  between the two bullets follows a **Rayleigh distribution** with scale parameter  $\sigma = \sqrt{2}$ :

$$f_R(r) = re^{-r^2/2}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

## Q6: 4.55, Casella & Berger

A **parallel system** is one that functions as long as at least one component of it functions.

A particular parallel system is composed of three independent components, each of which has a lifetime with an exponential ( $\lambda$ ) distribution. The lifetime of the system is the maximum of the individual lifetimes.

What is the distribution of the lifetime of the system?

Let the lifetimes of the three components be  $X_1, X_2, X_3$ , where each  $X_i \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ , and the lifetimes are independent. The lifetime of the parallel system is the maximum of the individual lifetimes:

$$T = \max(X_1, X_2, X_3).$$

We aim to find the distribution of  $T$ .

To determine the distribution of  $T$ , we first compute its **CDF**,  $F_T(t)$ , defined as:

$$F_T(t) = P(T \leq t).$$

The maximum  $T \leq t$  if and only if all the individual lifetimes satisfy  $X_1 \leq t$ ,  $X_2 \leq t$ , and  $X_3 \leq t$ . Because the  $X_i$  are independent, the joint probability is the product of the individual probabilities:

$$P(T \leq t) = P(X_1 \leq t) \cdot P(X_2 \leq t) \cdot P(X_3 \leq t).$$

For an exponential random variable  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ , the CDF is:

$$P(X \leq t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Thus, the CDF of  $T$  becomes:

$$F_T(t) = [P(X_1 \leq t)] \cdot [P(X_2 \leq t)] \cdot [P(X_3 \leq t)] = [1 - e^{-\lambda t}]^3, \quad t \geq 0.$$

To find the PDF of  $T$ , we differentiate the CDF:

$$f_T(t) = \frac{d}{dt} F_T(t) = \frac{d}{dt} [1 - e^{-\lambda t}]^3.$$

Using the chain rule:

$$f_T(t) = 3[1 - e^{-\lambda t}]^2 \cdot \frac{d}{dt} [1 - e^{-\lambda t}].$$

The derivative of  $1 - e^{-\lambda t}$  is:

$$\frac{d}{dt} [1 - e^{-\lambda t}] = \lambda e^{-\lambda t}.$$

Substitute this into the expression for  $f_T(t)$ :

$$f_T(t) = 3[1 - e^{-\lambda t}]^2 \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The lifetime of the parallel system  $T = \max(X_1, X_2, X_3)$  has the PDF:

$$f_T(t) = 3\lambda[1 - e^{-\lambda t}]^2 e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

## Q7: 4.28, Casella & Berger

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent standard normal random variables.

(a)

Show that  $\frac{X}{X+Y}$  has a Cauchy distribution.

To show that  $\frac{X}{X+Y}$  has a Cauchy distribution, let's proceed as follows:

Let  $Z = \frac{X}{X+Y}$ . To study the distribution of  $Z$ , rewrite it as:

$$Z = \frac{X}{X+Y}.$$

This can be rearranged to express  $X$  in terms of  $Z$  and  $Y$ :

$$X = Z(X+Y).$$

Expanding this gives:

$$X = ZX + ZY \Rightarrow X(1-Z) = ZY \Rightarrow X = \frac{ZY}{1-Z},$$

where  $Z \neq 1$  to avoid division by zero.

Since  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $Y \sim N(0, 1)$  are independent, the joint probability density function (PDF) is:

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}}.$$

Let:

$$U = X+Y, \quad V = Z = \frac{X}{X+Y}.$$

This transformation is invertible. The inverse is:

$$X = VU, \quad Y = U - X = U - VU = U(1-V).$$

The Jacobian of the transformation is:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial X}{\partial U} & \frac{\partial X}{\partial V} \\ \frac{\partial Y}{\partial U} & \frac{\partial Y}{\partial V} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} V & U \\ 1-V & -U \end{vmatrix} = (-VU) - U(1-V) = U.$$

The absolute value of the Jacobian determinant is  $|U|$ .

The joint PDF of  $U$  and  $V$  is:

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = f_{X,Y}(x, y) \cdot |J|,$$

where  $(X, Y)$  are expressed in terms of  $(U, V)$ :

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{(vu)^2 + (u(1-v))^2}{2}\right) |u|.$$

Simplifying the exponent:

$$(vu)^2 + (u(1-v))^2 = u^2(v^2 + (1-v)^2) = u^2(v^2 + 1 - 2v + v^2) = u^2(1 + v^2).$$

Thus:

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2(1+v^2)}{2}\right) |u|.$$

To find the marginal distribution of  $V = Z$ , integrate out  $u$ :

$$f_V(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{U,V}(u, v) du.$$

Substitute  $f_{U,V}(u, v)$ :

$$f_V(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2(1+v^2)}{2}\right) |u| du.$$

Factorize the integral using the symmetry of the normal distribution and recognize a Gaussian integral:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2(1+v^2)}{2}\right) |u| du = \frac{1}{1+v^2}.$$

Thus:

$$f_V(v) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+v^2)}.$$

The PDF  $f_V(v) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+v^2)}$  is the PDF of a standard Cauchy distribution. Therefore,  $\frac{X}{X+Y}$  has a Cauchy distribution.

**(b)**

Find the distribution of  $\frac{X}{|Y|}$ .

To find the distribution of  $\frac{X}{|Y|}$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent standard normal random variables, let's proceed step by step.

Let  $Z = \frac{X}{|Y|}$ . To determine the distribution of  $Z$ , we calculate its PDF.

We know  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $Y \sim N(0, 1)$ . The joint PDF of  $X$  and  $Y$  is:

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}}.$$

Since  $|Y| = |y|$ , the PDF of  $|Y|$  is given by:

$$f_{|Y|}(y) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}, & y \geq 0, \\ 0, & y < 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the joint PDF of  $X$  and  $|Y|$  is:

$$f_{X,|Y|}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}, & y \geq 0, \\ 0, & y < 0. \end{cases}$$

For  $y \geq 0$ , this simplifies to:

$$f_{X,|Y|}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}}.$$

Let  $Z = \frac{X}{|Y|}$  and  $W = |Y|$ . Then:

$$X = ZW \quad \text{and} \quad |Y| = W.$$

The Jacobian of this transformation is:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial X}{\partial Z} & \frac{\partial X}{\partial W} \\ \frac{\partial |Y|}{\partial Z} & \frac{\partial |Y|}{\partial W} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} W & Z \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = W.$$

Thus, the joint PDF of  $Z$  and  $W$  is:

$$f_{Z,W}(z, w) = f_{X,|Y|}(zw, w) \cdot |W| = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\frac{(zw)^2+w^2}{2}} \cdot w.$$

The marginal PDF of  $Z$  is obtained by integrating out  $W$ :

$$f_Z(z) = \int_0^\infty f_{Z,W}(z, w) dw.$$

Substitute  $f_{Z,W}(z, w)$ :

$$f_Z(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\frac{(zw)^2+w^2}{2}} w dw.$$

Factorize the exponent:

$$(zw)^2 + w^2 = w^2(z^2 + 1),$$

so:

$$f_Z(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\frac{w^2(z^2+1)}{2}} w dw.$$

Change variables to simplify the integral. Let  $u = \frac{w^2(z^2+1)}{2}$ , so  $w^2 = \frac{2u}{z^2+1}$  and  $dw = \frac{du}{w(z^2+1)}$ . When  $w = 0$ ,  $u = 0$ ; as  $w \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . Substituting, we get:

$$f_Z(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-u} \cdot \frac{1}{z^2+1} du.$$

The integral of  $e^{-u}$  from 0 to  $\infty$  is 1, so:

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{1}{\pi(z^2+1)}.$$



The PDF of  $Z = \frac{X}{|Y|}$  is:

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+z^2)}.$$

This is the standard Cauchy distribution. Therefore:

$$\frac{X}{|Y|} \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 1).$$

(c)

Is the answer to part (b) surprising? Can you formulate a general theorem?

At first glance, the result that  $\frac{X}{|Y|}$  has a standard Cauchy distribution might seem surprising because  $|Y|$  (the absolute value of a standard normal random variable) is strictly positive and not symmetrically distributed like  $X$ . Despite this, the independence of  $X$  and  $|Y|$  and the particular relationship between their distributions result in a cancellation of dependencies, yielding the familiar Cauchy distribution. This is a remarkable property of the ratio of independent normal and scaled distributions, arising due to specific symmetry properties.

The result in parts (a) and (b) can be unified under a broader theorem:

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be independent  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  random variables. Define  $R = \frac{X}{aY+b}$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \neq 0$ . Then:

$$R \sim \text{Cauchy}\left(0, \frac{\sigma}{|a|}\right).$$

1. **Case 1 (Part (b)):** When  $a = 1$  and  $b = 0$ ,  $R = \frac{X}{Y}$ , and the result is a standard Cauchy distribution:

$$R \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, \sigma).$$

2. **Case 2 (Part (b), absolute value modification):** When  $R = \frac{X}{|Y|}$ , we use the symmetry of  $Y$ . The absolute value does not alter the result since the distribution depends on the magnitude of  $Y$ , not its sign. Thus:

$$R \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, \sigma).$$

3. **Case 3 (Scaled and shifted denominator):** When  $a \neq 1$  or  $b \neq 0$ , the location parameter is adjusted by the shift in the denominator, but the scale depends only on  $a$ .

The theorem can be explained through the invariance of the ratio of independent Gaussian variables under scaling and translation:

- The numerator  $X$  introduces symmetry in the numerator.
- The denominator  $aY + b$  shifts and scales the variable but does not change the overall form of the distribution due to the independence of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

This property of the ratio of independent Gaussians is tied to the definition of the Cauchy distribution, which arises naturally in this context.

## Q8: 4.50, Casella & Berger

If  $(X, Y)$  has the bivariate normal probability density function (pdf):

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} (x^2 - 2\rho xy + y^2)\right),$$

show that

$$\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \rho$$

and

$$\text{Corr}(X^2, Y^2) = \rho^2.$$

*Hint:* Conditional expectations will simplify calculations.

We are given that  $(X, Y)$  has a bivariate normal PDF:

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} (x^2 - 2\rho xy + y^2)\right),$$

and we are tasked to show:

1.  $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \rho$ ,
2.  $\text{Corr}(X^2, Y^2) = \rho^2$ .

We will proceed step-by-step.

The correlation between  $X$  and  $Y$  is:

$$\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X) \cdot \text{Var}(Y)}}.$$

From the properties of the bivariate normal distribution: -  $X \sim N(0, 1)$ , so  $\text{Var}(X) = 1$ , -  $Y \sim N(0, 1)$ , so  $\text{Var}(Y) = 1$ .

Thus:

$$\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y).$$

The covariance for a bivariate normal random variable  $(X, Y)$  is given by the parameter  $\rho$ :

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y].$$

Since both  $X$  and  $Y$  are standard normal random variables,  $E[X] = E[Y] = 0$ . Therefore:

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E[XY].$$

For a bivariate normal random variable with correlation  $\rho$ , it is a known result that:

$$E[XY] = \rho.$$

Thus:

$$\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \rho,$$

and therefore:

$$\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \rho.$$

The correlation between  $X^2$  and  $Y^2$  is:

$$\text{Corr}(X^2, Y^2) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X^2, Y^2)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X^2) \cdot \text{Var}(Y^2)}}.$$

For a bivariate normal random variable, the conditional expectation simplifies calculations. Specifically, for  $Y|X = x$ , we have:

$$Y|X = x \sim N(\rho x, 1 - \rho^2).$$

Using this, we calculate the necessary terms.

We use the law of total expectation:

$$E[X^2 Y^2] = E[X^2 \cdot E[Y^2|X]].$$

The conditional variance and mean of  $Y$  given  $X$  allow us to compute  $E[Y^2|X]$ :

$$E[Y^2|X] = \text{Var}(Y|X) + (E[Y|X])^2.$$

Here: -  $\text{Var}(Y|X) = 1 - \rho^2$ , -  $E[Y|X] = \rho X$ .

Thus:

$$E[Y^2|X] = (1 - \rho^2) + (\rho X)^2 = 1 - \rho^2 + \rho^2 X^2.$$

Substitute this into  $E[X^2 Y^2]$ :

$$E[X^2 Y^2] = E[X^2 \cdot (1 - \rho^2 + \rho^2 X^2)].$$

Expand:

$$E[X^2 Y^2] = E[X^2] \cdot (1 - \rho^2) + \rho^2 E[X^4].$$

Since  $X \sim N(0, 1)$ , the moments are: -  $E[X^2] = 1$ , -  $E[X^4] = 3$  (from properties of normal distribution).

Thus:

$$E[X^2 Y^2] = (1)(1 - \rho^2) + \rho^2(3) = 1 - \rho^2 + 3\rho^2 = 1 + 2\rho^2.$$

The covariance is:

$$\text{Cov}(X^2, Y^2) = E[X^2 Y^2] - E[X^2]E[Y^2].$$

From above,  $E[X^2 Y^2] = 1 + 2\rho^2$ . Also: -  $E[X^2] = 1$ , -  $E[Y^2] = 1$ .

Thus:

$$\text{Cov}(X^2, Y^2) = (1 + 2\rho^2) - (1)(1) = 2\rho^2.$$

The variance of  $X^2$  is:

$$\text{Var}(X^2) = E[X^4] - (E[X^2])^2.$$

From above:

$$\text{Var}(X^2) = 3 - (1)^2 = 2.$$

By symmetry:

$$\text{Var}(Y^2) = 2.$$

Finally:

$$\text{Corr}(X^2, Y^2) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X^2, Y^2)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X^2) \cdot \text{Var}(Y^2)}}.$$

Substitute the values:

$$\text{Corr}(X^2, Y^2) = \frac{2\rho^2}{\sqrt{2 \cdot 2}} = \frac{2\rho^2}{2} = \rho^2.$$

Sumamry:

1.  $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \rho$ ,
2.  $\text{Corr}(X^2, Y^2) = \rho^2$ .