

1 Algorithms

Section describing current algorithms in use. Algorithms designed to solve:

$$\arg \min_{u \geq 0} \left\{ \mathcal{D}(Au, f) + \beta R(Ku) \right\} \quad (1)$$

Often written as

$$\arg \min_u \left\{ \mathcal{D}(Au, f) + \beta R(Ku) + i_{\geq 0}(u) \right\} \quad (2)$$

1.1 ADMM

Algorithm 1 ADMM

```

1:  $u \leftarrow u_{init}, y \leftarrow Ku, z \leftarrow Au$ 
2:  $p, q \leftarrow 0$ 
3: Initialise  $\sigma$ 
4: for  $k$  iterations do
5:    $u \leftarrow \text{Proj}_{\geq 0, R}(u - D^T p - A^T q)$ 
6:    $y \leftarrow \text{prox}_R^{\beta\sigma}(Ku + p)$ 
7:    $z \leftarrow \text{prox}_D^\sigma(Au + q)$ 
8:    $p \leftarrow p + Ku - y$ 
9:    $q \leftarrow q + Au - z$ 

```

Algorithm 2 A-ADMM

```

1:  $u \leftarrow u_{init}, y \leftarrow Ku, z \leftarrow Au$ 
2:  $p, q, \bar{p}, \bar{q} \leftarrow 0$ 
3: Initialise  $r \geq 3$ 
4: Initialise  $\sigma$ 
5: for  $k$  iterations do
6:    $u^k = \text{Proj}_{\geq 0, R}(u^{k-1} - D^T p^{k-1} - A^T q^{k-1})$ 
7:    $y^k = \text{prox}_R^{\beta\sigma}(Ku^k + p^{k-1})$ 
8:    $z^k = \text{prox}_D^\sigma(Au^k + q^{k-1})$ 
9:   Acceleration
10:   $\gamma \leftarrow k/(k+r)$ 
11:   $\bar{p} \leftarrow p^{k-1} + Ku^k - y^k$ 
12:   $\bar{q} \leftarrow q^{k-1} + Au^k - z^k$ 
13:   $p^k = \bar{p} + \gamma(\bar{p} - p^{k-1})$ 
14:   $q^k = \bar{q} + \gamma(\bar{q} - q^{k-1})$ 
15:   $z^k = z^k + \gamma(z^k - z^{k-1} + 1)$ 

```

Algorithm 3 PDHG

```

1:  $u, \bar{u} \leftarrow u_{init}, y \leftarrow Ku, z \leftarrow Au$ 
2:  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ 
3: Initialise  $\sigma, \tau > 0$ 
4: for  $k$  iterations do
5:    $y^k = \beta \text{prox}_{R^*}^{\sigma/\beta}((y^{k-1} + \sigma Ku^{k-1})/\beta)$ 
6:    $z^k = \text{prox}_{\mathcal{D}^*}^{\sigma}(y^{k-1} + \sigma Ku^{k-1})$ 
7:    $u^k = \text{Proj}_{\geq 0}^R(u^{k-1} - \tau D^T y^k - \tau A^T z^k)$ 
8:    $\bar{u} = u^k + \theta(x^k - x^{k-1})$ 

```

1.2 PDHG

1.3 Preconditioned Gradient Descent

Algorithm 4 PC-GD

```

1:  $u \leftarrow u_{init}, S \leftarrow A^T \infty$ 
2: Initialise  $\zeta > 0$ 
3: for  $k$  iterations do
4:    $u \leftarrow u + \zeta \frac{u}{S} \cdot \nabla(\mathcal{D}(Au, f) + \beta R(Ku))$ 
5:    $u \leftarrow \text{Proj}_{\geq 0}(u)$ 

```

2 Convex Conjugates

Defined for $f(x)$ as

$$f^*(y) = \sup_u \left\{ y^T x - f(x) \right\} \quad (3)$$

Now, for a scaled primal function $af(x)$ we have a dual

$$(af(y))^* = af^*(y/a). \quad (4)$$

e.g

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial u} (af(y))^* &= y - af'(u) = 0 \\
\Rightarrow y &= af'(u) = au \\
\Rightarrow u_{max} &= y/a
\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and we plug this into the definition (3) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
f^*(y) &= \frac{y^2}{a} - \frac{a}{2} \frac{y^2}{a} \\
&= \frac{y^2}{a} \\
&= af(y/a)
\end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

3 Proximals

Proximal of scaled function $af(u)$ with step size τ is

$$\text{prox}_{af}^\tau(x) = \arg \min_w \left\{ f(x') + \frac{1}{2a\tau} \|x' - x\|_2^2 \right\} \quad (7)$$

To analytically solve the proximal set

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} \text{prox}_{af}^\tau(x) &= f'(x') + \frac{1}{a\tau}(x' - x) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow a\tau f'(x') + x' &= x \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and solve for x' . $f'(x)$ is the differential of the function f with respect to f and x' is a dummy variable in the same space as x

We can easily find the proximal of a convex conjugate using Moreau decomposition:

$$x = \text{prox}_f^\tau(x) + \text{prox}_{f^*}^{1/\tau}(x/\tau) \quad (9)$$

where we have used (4) Proximal of a function with a scaled parameter, $f(u/a)$, is

$$a \text{prox}_{f/a^2}^\tau\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \quad (10)$$

And we can find the proximal of a scaled convex conjugate $(af(x))^* = af^*(y/a)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prox}_{(af)^*}^\tau(y) &= \text{prox}_{af^*}^\tau(y/a) \\ &= a \text{prox}_{f^*/a}^\tau(y/a) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

So the proximal conjugate of a scaled primal function $af(x)$ with step size τ is

$$\begin{aligned} a \text{prox}_{f^*/a}^\tau(y/a) &= a \left[\frac{y}{a} - \frac{\tau}{a} \text{prox}_{af}^{1/\tau}\left(\frac{a}{\tau} \frac{y}{a}\right) \right] \\ &= y - \tau \text{prox}_{af}^{1/\tau}\left(\frac{y}{\tau}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

3.1 Huber Prior

Defined as

$$\beta R(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{2\theta} |x|^2 & |x| \leq \theta \\ \beta(|x| - \frac{\theta}{2}) & |x| > \theta \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

with a gradient

$$\nabla(\beta R(x)) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{\theta}x & |x| \leq \theta \\ \beta \frac{x}{|x|} & |x| > \theta \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

proximal

$$\text{prox}_{\beta R}^{\tau}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{1+\beta\tau} & |x| \leq \theta \\ x \left(1 - \frac{\beta\tau}{|x|}\right)_+ & |x| > \theta \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

For $|x| \leq \theta$:

$$\begin{aligned} x' + \tau \cdot \beta R'(x') &= x \\ x' + \frac{\tau\beta}{\theta}x' &= x \\ \Rightarrow x' &= \frac{x}{1 + \tau\beta/\theta} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For $|x| \geq \theta$

$$\begin{aligned} x' + \tau\beta R'(x') &= x \\ x' + \tau\beta \frac{x'}{\|x'\|} &= x \\ \tau\beta \frac{x'}{\|x'\|} &= x - x' \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and we see that x' must be parallel to x so that $x' = \sigma x$ where σ is a scalar:

$$\frac{\tau\beta\sigma x}{|\sigma|||x||} = x - \sigma x \quad (18)$$

Depending on the sign of σ this has two solutions

$$x' = \sigma x = x \left(1 \pm \frac{\beta\tau}{||x||}\right) \quad (19)$$

If we plug these solutions in to (17) we see that the solution is

$$x' = x \left(1 - \frac{\beta\tau}{||x||}\right)_+ \quad (20)$$

where $(x)_+ = \max(0, x)$ The Huber function has a convex conjugate

$$(\beta R)^*(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta}{2\beta}|y|^2 & |y| \leq \theta \\ \{-\beta/2, \infty\}_{|y| \leq \beta} & |y| > \theta \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

We can see this For $|y| \leq \theta$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial y'} f^*(y) &= y' - \beta R'(y) = 0 \quad \text{for maximum} \\ &= y - \beta \frac{y'}{\theta} \\ \Rightarrow y'_{max} &= \frac{\theta}{\beta} y\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

and plugging into our definition of a convex conjugate (3) we see

$$\begin{aligned}f^*(y) &= y^T (y')_{max} - R(y'_{max}) \\ &= \frac{\theta}{\beta} y^2 - \frac{\beta}{2\theta} \frac{\theta^2}{\beta^2} \theta y^2 \\ &= \frac{\theta}{2\beta} y^2\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

and for $|y| \geq \theta$

$$\begin{aligned}f^*(y) &= \sup_y \left\{ y^T y' - \beta (||y'|| - \theta/2) \right\} \\ &= \sup_y \left\{ y^T y' - \beta ||y'|| - \beta\theta/2 \right\}.\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

If $||y|| \leq \beta$ we can see that this is maximised by $-\beta\theta/2$ with $y'_{max} = 0$ and if $||y|| > \beta$, y' can be scaled to and so the convex conjugate is

$$f^*(y) = \{-\beta\theta/2, \infty\}_{||y|| \leq \beta}\tag{25}$$

For the convex proximal we see that for $||y|| \leq \theta$

$$\text{prox}_{\beta R}^\tau(y) = \arg \min_{y'} \left\{ \frac{\theta}{2\beta} y'^2 + \frac{1}{2\tau} ||y' - y||^2 \right\}\tag{26}$$

And so the maximum is at

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\theta}{\beta} y' + \frac{1}{\tau} (y' - y) &= 0 \\ y' &= \frac{y}{1 + \frac{\tau\theta}{\beta}}.\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

For $||y|| > \theta$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{prox}_{(\beta R)^*}^\tau(y) &= \arg \min_{y'} \left\{ \{-\beta\theta/2, \infty\}_{||y'|| \leq \beta} + \frac{1}{2\tau} ||y' - y||^2 \right\} \\ &= \arg \min_{y' \leq \beta} \left\{ -\beta\theta/2 + \frac{1}{2\tau} ||y' - y||^2 \right\} \\ &= \text{Proj}_{\leq \beta}(y)\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

and so the proximal conjugate of a scaled function $\beta R(y)$ is

$$(\beta R)^*(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{y}{1+\tau\theta/\beta} & |y| \leq \theta \\ \text{Proj}_{\leq \beta}(y) & |y| > \theta \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

3.2 Fair Potential

$$\beta R(x) = \beta \cdot \theta \left(\frac{|x|}{\theta} - \ln \left[1 + \frac{|x|}{\theta} \right] \right) \quad (30)$$

3.3 Proximal Step sizes

I've accidentally swapped round y and z in this section

Taking our minimisation problem

$$\arg \min_{u \geq 0} \left\{ \mathcal{D}(Au, f) + \beta R(Ku) \right\} \quad (31)$$

Which, unconstrained, looks like

$$\arg \min_u \left\{ \mathcal{D}(Au, f) + \beta R(Ku) + i_{\geq 0}(u) \right\} \quad (32)$$

we can rename our variables $Au = y$ and $Ku = z$. Then defining a Lagrangian

$$L(u, y, z) = \arg \min_{u, y, z} \max_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left\{ \mathcal{D}(y, f) + \beta R(z) + \lambda_1(Au - y) + \lambda_2(Ku - z) + i_{\geq 0}(u) \right\} \quad (33)$$

with Lagrange multipliers λ_1, λ_2 We can then augment our Lagrangian

$$L^\#(u, y, z) = \arg \min_{u, y, z} \max_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left\{ \mathcal{D}(y, f) + \beta R(z) + \lambda_1^T(Au - y) + \lambda_2^T(Ku - z) + \frac{1}{\tau} \|Au - y\|^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \|Ku - z\|^2 + i_{\geq 0}(u) \right\} \quad (34)$$

Where τ, σ control the "stiffness" of the augmentation, i.e how forcefully we push the dual variables to equality. We first take the terms only related to the prior

$$L_R^\#(u, z, \lambda_2) = \arg \min_{u, z} \max_{\lambda_2} \left\{ \beta R(z) + \lambda_2^T(Ku - z) + \frac{1}{\sigma} \|Ku - z\|^2 \right\} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \arg \min_{u, z} \max_{\lambda_2} \left\{ \beta R(z) + \lambda_2^T(Ku) - \lambda_2^T z + \frac{1}{\sigma} (Ku)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\sigma} z^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma} z^T(Ku) - \frac{1}{\sigma} (Ku)^T z \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Now $\lambda_2^T z = z^T \lambda_2$ so **Is this true? It's obvious for $\lambda_1^T y$ as we can represent the acquisition data as a vector, but this is a gradient image**
 $\lambda_2^T z = \sum_i \lambda_{2,i}^T z_i$ where $\lambda_{2,i}$ and z_i are gradient vectors so I believe this holds.

$$\begin{aligned} L_R^\#(u, z) &= \arg \min_z \max_{\lambda_2} \left\{ \beta R(z) + \frac{1}{\sigma} \|Ku - z + \sigma \frac{\lambda_2}{2}\|^2 \right\} \\ &= \arg \min_z \max_q \left\{ R(z) + \frac{1}{2\beta\sigma} \|Ku - z + q\|^2 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$= \text{prox}_{\beta R}^\sigma(Ku + q) \quad \text{whilst maximising for } q \quad (38)$$

where $q = \sigma \frac{\lambda_2}{2}$. This is a step in the ADMM algorithm (32). For the next step, taking terms related to the data fidelity, we have

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}^\#(u, y, \lambda_1) = \arg \min_{u \geq 0} \max_{\lambda_2} \left\{ \mathcal{D}(y) + \lambda_1^T (Au - y) + \frac{1}{\tau} \|Au - y\|^2 \right\} \quad (39)$$

which in the same way as above can be rearranged such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathcal{D}}^\#(u, y) &= \arg \min_y \max_{\lambda_1} \left\{ \mathcal{D}(y) + \frac{1}{\tau} \|Au - y + \tau \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\|^2 \right\} \\ &= \text{prox}_{\beta R}^\sigma(Au + p) \quad \text{whilst maximising for } p \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $p = \tau \frac{\lambda_2}{2}$. For the final term (in this case the indicator function), we need to minimise over u . So we see that (for a now general function, g)

$$\begin{aligned} L_g^\#(u, y, \lambda_1) &= \arg \min_u \max_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left\{ g(u) + \lambda_1^T (Au - y) + \frac{1}{2\tau} \|Au - y\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_2^T (Ku - z) + \frac{1}{\sigma} \|Ku - z\|^2 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and we see that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathcal{D}}^\#(u) &= \arg \min_u \max_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left\{ g(u) + \frac{1}{\tau} \|Au - y + \tau \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\|^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \|Ku - z + \sigma \frac{\lambda_2}{2}\|^2 \right\} \\ &= \arg \min_u \max_{p, q} \left\{ g(u) + \frac{1}{\tau} \|u - A^T(y - p)\|^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma} \|u - K^T(z - q)\|^2 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

And here we come to an impasse for regular ADMM unless we ensure $\tau = \sigma$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathcal{D}}^\#(u) &= \arg \min_u \max_{p, q} \left\{ g(u) + \frac{1}{2\tau} \|u - \frac{1}{2}(A^T(y - p) + K^T(z - q))\|^2 \right\} \\ &= \text{prox}_g^\tau(1/2(A^T(y - p) + K^T(z - q))) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

I still don't quite see this. Need to sit down and have a think...

Instead, we can replace the augmentation term in the Lagrangian for g with

$$\frac{1}{\tau} (A^T Au' - A^T y)^T u + \frac{1}{\sigma} (K^T Ku' - K^T z)^T u + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|u - u'\|^2 \quad (44)$$

where we have linearised the quadratic terms and added a new quadratic augmentation. This can now be expressed as a proximal

$$\text{prox}_g^\mu \left(u - \frac{\mu}{\tau} A^T (Au - y + p) - \frac{\mu}{\sigma} K^T (Ku - z + q) \right). \quad (45)$$

This is known as linearised ADMM and holds for $0 < \mu \leq \tau/\|A\|^2$ and $0 < \mu \leq \sigma/\|K\|^2$

This is similar to my current implementation, but with $\mu = \sigma = \tau$ and ignoring the forward and reverse operations on the image. I'll change my implementation to match this, I think

3.3.1 Discussion

3.4 PDHG acceleration with strong convexity

I'm unlikely to use most of this Strong convexity constant α defined with:

$$f(x) - f(y) \leq \alpha \nabla f(x)^T (x - y) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x - y\|^2 \quad (46)$$

or if the following is convex for all x

$$f(x) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x\|^2 \quad (47)$$

3.4.1 Strong convexity of prior in the primal

for the Huber prior strong convexity is obvious for $|x| \leq \theta$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\beta R(x) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x\|^2 \right) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\theta} - \alpha \right) x \quad (48)$$

which is convex for all $\alpha \leq \beta/\theta$

For $x > \theta$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\beta R(x) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x\|^2 \right) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\|x\|} - \alpha \right) x \quad (49)$$

which is convex only for $\alpha \leq 0$

3.4.2 Strong convexity of prior in the dual

$|x| \leq \theta$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left((\beta R)^*(y) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|y\|^2 \right) = \left(\frac{\theta}{\beta} - \alpha \right) x \quad (50)$$

which is convex for all $\alpha \leq \theta/\beta$

For $x > \theta$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left((\beta R)^*(y) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|y\|^2 \right) = \{-\beta/2, \infty\}_{|y| \leq \beta} - \alpha x \quad (51)$$

3.4.3 Strong convexity of Data Fidelity in the primal

The Smooth Kullback Liebler divergence is defined as

$$F(y, f) = \begin{cases} f \log(\frac{f}{y+n+\eta}) - f + y & \text{if } f > 0, y > 0 \\ y & \text{if } f = 0, y \geq 0 \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

where $n + \eta$ is a smoothing parameter to avoid division by 0 in the log term and gradient and n is noise from scatters and randoms. Now

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(f(y) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|y\|^2 \right) = 1 - \frac{f}{y+n+\eta} - \alpha y \quad (53)$$

Showing that KL is not strongly convex as a large y will result in a negative gradient for any $\alpha > 0$

3.4.4 Strong convexity of Data Fidelity in the dual

KL in the dual:

$$F^*(f, y + n + \eta) = -f \log(1 - y) - y^T(n + \eta) \quad (54)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(f(y) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|y\|^2 \right) = \frac{f}{1-y} - (n + \eta) - \alpha y \quad (55)$$

which only defined for $y < 1$ and is convex for

3.5 Things to try / tried

Huber prior with only image (no gradient) No prior at all - KL only - all algos converge towards same image

$$\frac{1}{2} \left((x - \eta - \tau) + \sqrt{(x - \eta - \tau)^2 + 4\tau b} \right), \quad (56)$$

$$\text{prox}_{\tau F}(x) = \frac{x}{\|x\|_2} \max\{\|x\|_2 - \tau, 0\}$$