CS261P- DATA STRUCTURES HW01

Name: Sameer Ganesh Shinde

1

Consider a "superstack" data structure which supports four operations: create, push, pop, and superpop.

The four operations are implemented using an underlying standard stack as shown below.



show that each of these operations uses a constant amortized number of stack operations. In your solution you should:

- define your potential function φ .
- state, for each operation, its actual time, the change in potential, and the amortized time.

Ans:

Here we can implement stack using LinkedList.

Potential function:

 Φ = Number of elements in stack

```
def create(): s = stack.create()
```

Creating stack is same as creating a linked list. In that case we only need to initialize head, which will Cost 1.

Create():

O(1) actual time

 $\Delta \Phi = 0$

= 1

O(1) amortized time

def push(x): s.push(x)

LinkedList can grow or shrink as per the elements adding or deletion. It will be automatically handled as we don't have to maintain a capacity of a LinkedList.

```
Push(x):
```

```
O(1+1) actual time ( Time to push an element plus constant additional time).  \Delta \Phi = \Phi(\text{new}) - \Phi \text{ (old)}  If L is the old size of stack then,  \Phi \text{ (old)} = L   \Phi \text{ (new)} = L+1
```

```
Amortized time = Actual time + c \cdot \Delta \Phi
                                           \leq c \cdot (2) + c \cdot (1)
                                           =3c
                                           = O(1)
def pop (): return s.pop()
     Similarly, for pop operation
                     O(1+1) actual time (time to pop an element plus constant additional time).
                     \Delta \Phi = \Phi(\text{new}) - \Phi(\text{old})
                     If L is the old size of stack then,
                     \Phi (old) = L
                     \Phi (new) = L-1
                     \Delta \Phi = L-1 - L
                          = -1
                     Amortized time = Actual time + \mathbf{c} \cdot \Delta \Phi
                                           \leq c \cdot (2) - c \cdot (1)
                                           = c
                                           = O(1)
def superpop(k, a):
                                               // k is an integer, a is an array with size >= k
     while i \le k
                a[i] = s.pop()
                i = i + 1
      SuperPop():
                     O(k+1) actual time
                     \Delta \Phi = \Phi(\text{new}) - \Phi(\text{old})
                     If L is the old size of stack then,
                     \Phi (old) = L
                     \Phi (new) = L-k
                     \Delta \Phi = \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{L}
                          = -k
                     Amortized time = Actual time + \mathbf{c} \cdot \Delta \Phi
                                           \leq c \cdot (k+1) - c \cdot (k)
                                           = c
```

= O(1)

Pop():

i = 0

Suppose we add a superpush operation to the superstack from the previous problem, defined as follows:

```
\label{eq:continuous_section} \begin{split} \text{def superpush}(k,\!A) &: // \ k \ \text{is an integer}, \ A \ \text{is an array with size} >= k \\ &i = 0 \\ &\text{while} \ i < k \\ &\text{S.push}(A[i]) \\ &i = i + 1 \end{split}
```

Is it still true that each of the superstack operations uses a constant amortized number of stack operations? Answer YES or NO. If your answer is YES, give an amortized analysis as in the previous problem. (If you need to use a different potential function that is fine, just be sure to define it.) If your answer is NO, explain why.

The answer is No.

```
Explanation:
```

```
ation: SuperPush(k, A): O(k+1) \text{ actual time (Time to push k elements plus constant additional time)}. \Delta \Phi = \Phi(\text{new}) - \Phi(\text{old}) If L is the old size of stack then, \Phi(\text{old}) = L \Phi(\text{new}) = L + k \Delta \Phi = L + k - L = k Amortized time = Actual time + c \cdot \Delta \Phi \leq c \cdot (k+1) + c \cdot (k) = 2k = O(k)
```

Superpush operation amortized time is O(k), which doesn't satisfy the constant amortized time.