Monadic memoisation of non-deterministic left-recursive computations in Haskell

Samer Abdallah

August 23, 2017

Abstract

This technical note describes a Haskell implementation of a monadic memoising combinator that supports non-nondeterminism and recursion of the sort required to implement memoising parser combinators for left recursive grammars. It is a direct port of the OCaml implementation, presented by Abdallah (2017a)—in turn based on the work of Johnson (1995)—but using the standard Haskell library to provide monads and monad transformers for non-determinism, mutable state references, continuation capture, and fixed points for building recursive computations.

1 The code

The code is presented in Fig. 1. Control. Monad. Cont provides the continuation monad transformer ContT. Control. Monad. ST provides a monad supporting references to mutable cells; these are a required to manage the memo tables. Control. Monad. Ref provides MonadRef, a type class which presents a uniform interface to monads (such as ST) supporting references to mutable cells. There are several implementations of MonadRef in the Haskell package repository Hackage. The code presented here requires the one provided by the package ref-fd. Data. Foldable provides a type class which presents a uniform interface to data structures that can be folded over, including lists, sets, and associative maps. Data. Map and Data. Set provide data structures for associative maps and sets respectively.

The core of this approach is the type definition on line 10: the type constructor NDC s n r represents a monad supporting three computational effects: (1) a supply of references to mutable cells, ultimately provide by the ST monad; (2) non-determinism, provided by any instance n of MonadPlus; and (3) the ability to capture the continuation of this computation. This is done using the continuation monad transformer ContT with the base monad set to ST s (where s is phantom type parameter used by the ST monad to enforce correct scoping) and the answer type set to n r, where n can be any instance of MonadPlus. We can begin to understand how this is achieved by unpacking the type implied by applying the type constructor ContT to these particular arguments: after stripping out unimportant field names, it amounts to

$$NDC \ s \ n \ r \ a \approx (a \rightarrow ST \ s \ (n \ r)) \rightarrow ST \ s \ (n \ r)$$

```
module CPSMemo where
     import Control.Monad.Cont
     import Control.Monad.ST
     import Control.Monad.Ref
     import Data. Foldable
     import qualified Data. Map as Map
     import qualified Data. Set as Set
     type NDC \ s \ n \ r = ContT \ (n \ r) \ (ST \ s)
      type NDCK \ s \ n \ r \ a \ b = a \rightarrow NDC \ s \ n \ r \ b
     type Table\ a\ b = Map.Map\ a\ (Set.Set\ b)
     instance MonadPlus \ n \Rightarrow MonadPlus \ (NDC \ s \ n \ r) where
       mzero = ContT \{runContT = \lambda_{-} \rightarrow return \ mzero\}
       mplus\ f\ g = ContT\ \{runContT = \lambda k \rightarrow liftM2\ mplus\ (runContT\ f\ k)\ (runContT\ g\ k)\}
      run :: MonadPlus \ n \Rightarrow NDC \ s \ n \ a \ a \rightarrow ST \ s \ (n \ a)
      run \ m = runContT \ m \ (return \ . \ return)
20
      memo :: (MonadPlus n, Ord a, Ord b) \Rightarrow
                (NDCK \ s \ n \ r \ a \ b) \rightarrow ST \ s \ (ST \ s \ (Table \ a \ b), \ NDCK \ s \ n \ r \ a \ b)
      memo\ f = \mathbf{do}
24
       loc \leftarrow newRef Map.empty
       let feed x table k = \mathbf{do}
            let update\ e\ t\ =\ writeRef\ loc\ (Map.insert\ x\ e\ t)
            \mathbf{let} consumer (res,conts) = \mathbf{do}
28
                 update (res, k:conts) table
                 foldr' (mplus . k) mzero res
            \mathbf{let} \ producer = \mathbf{do}
                 update (Set.empty, [k]) table
32
                 y \leftarrow f x
                 table' \leftarrow readRefloc
                 let Just\ (res,conts) = Map.lookup\ x\ table'
                 if Set.member y res then mzero
36
                 else update (Set.insert y res, conts) table'
                       foldr' (\lambda k \rightarrow mplus (k y)) mzero conts
            maybe\ producer\ consumer\ (Map.lookup\ x\ table)
        return (readRef loc \gg return . fmap fst,
40
                  \lambda x \rightarrow readRef loc \gg callCC \cdot feed x
```

Figure 1: Complete code for memoising non-deterministic and recursive (including left-recursive) monadic computations. All of the required library modules are automatically included in most installations of GHC, the Glasgow Haskell Compiler, except for *Control.Monad.Ref*, which should be installed from the Hackage package *ref-fd*. Note that other implementations of the *MonadRef* type class are available, but are not compatible with this code. See main text for a detailed description. This and supporting code can be found at https://github.com/samer--/cpsmemo in the directory haskell.

This means that a computation which produces an a is represented in continuation passing style (CPS) as a function which, given a continuation ready to map an a to a stateful nondeterministic computation of type r, returns a stateful nondeterministic computation of r. The essence of CPS is that this function is free to ignore or use the continuation as many times as necessary to achieve the desired effect.

Using ContT to define the NDC monad means that many useful instances are automatically defined, in particular MonadRef, which means that the instance methods newRef, readRef and writeRef are available. Once instance which is not defined automatically is that for MonadPlus. This is easily done: mplus is implemented (on line 16) using the mplus operator of the underlying nondeterminism monad n to combine the results of applying the two alternatives f and g to the continuation k. The mzero is even simpler (line 15): the continuation is ignored and the mzero of the underlying monad n returned directly.

The type constructor NDCK s n r on line 11 is nothing more than the Kleisli arrow for the monad NDC s n r, but is useful to declare as it is objects of this type that will be memoised by the memo operator.

The type $Table\ a\ b$ on line 12 represents the external form of the memo table for a computation in this arrow, and is an associative map from values of type a to a sets of values of type b.

The function run (lines 18–19) reduces a computation in the NDC monad to a computation in the ST monad which, when run, using runST, will produce a collection of results represented using the base instance of MonadPlus.

We now come to the *memo* function itself. In order to memoise a monadic computation f, the first step is to create a reference to a new mutable cell to contain the memo table for that computation (line 24). For a computation of type $NDCK \ s \ n \ r \ a \ b$, this table will be of type $Map \ a \ (Set \ b, \ [b \to NDC \ s \ n \ r \ r])$, that is, a map associating with each a a set of bs and a list of continuations accepting a b. The use of Map and Set here requires that both a and b be instances of the type class Ord (line 21). Then, memo returns (monadically) two monadic computations, the first (line 39) can be used to get the current state of the memo table, but with the list of continuations stripped out, while the second (line 40) is the memoised version of f. When applied to a value f0, it gets the current state of the memo table, captures the current continuation (out to the nearest enclosing f1, and passes everything to the local function f1, which handles the bulk of the processing.

If the memo table already includes an entry for x (line 38), then this is passed to consumer, which updates the entry by prepending the newly captured continuation k to the list of continuations associated with this entry (line 28) and then returns the monadic sum of the results of applying k to each of the values returned by f so far (line 29). If the table does not contain an entry for x, then producer adds one, with an empty set of results and the continuation k (line 31), and then calls f. This part of the computation is still in the NDC s n r monad: for each result g produced nondeterministically by g (line 32), the current state of the table entry is checked; if g is already in the set of results (line 35), then this branch of the computation fails; otherwise, the new value is added to the set (line 36) and the function returns the monadic sum of the results of applying each continuation in the stored list to the new value (line 37). The helper function producer on line 26 simplifies the process of updating the table entry for g and storing this in cell referred to by g loc.

2 Example: Fibonacci function

In the previous section, the mechanism for setting up recursive computations was glossed over. In the OCaml implementation of Abdallah (2017a), this required careful handling by writing recursive computation in open recursive style and then applying an explicit fixed point combinator. In Haskell, the typeclass MonadFix in Control.Monad.Fix already provides the necessary functionality, while an extension to the **do** notation, the recursive do notation (Erkök and Launchbury, 2000) makes this feature transparently easy to use: inside an **mdo** block, the right hand sides of any monadic binding operators \leftarrow can refer to names defined on the left hand sides using any kind of mutual recursion, just as in ordinary Haskell bindings. Since the ST monad already has an instance of MonadFix, a fast Fibonacci function can be implemented as follows.

```
import Control.Monad.Fix memo':: (MonadPlus\ n,\ Ord\ a,\ Ord\ b) \Rightarrow (NDCK\ s\ n\ r\ a\ b) \rightarrow ST\ s\ (NDCK\ s\ n\ r\ a\ b) memo'=fmap\ snd\ .\ memo
```

```
ffib n = runST \$ mdo

fib \leftarrow memo' (\lambda n \rightarrow if \ n < 2 \ then \ return \ n \ else

liftM2 \ (+) \ (fib \ (n-2)) \ (fib \ (n-1)))

run \ (fib \ n)
```

Here, the memo' function simply discards the memo table retrieval operator returned by memo, as we are only interested in the memoised computation itself. The run time of this implementation is approximately linear in the argument n, and thanks to Haskell's unbounded Integer type, runs happily with n in the tens of thousands. When compiled using GHC version 7 and run on a 2015 MacBook Pro with a 2.7 GHz Core i5 processor and 8 GB of memory, the 10,000th Fibonacci number is computed in 70 ms, while the 20,000th number is found in 130 ms (it is 4,180 digits long).

3 Adding parser combinators

Since the NDC monad already provides the nondetermism required for building flexible top down parser combinators, the only other ingredient that needs to be added is a representation of the parser state, that is, how far through the input sequence the parser as got and what remains to be parsed. Although it would be possible to add another monadic layer to handle this, the code below takes a direct approach, representing the parser state as a list of remaining unparsed symbols, and passing this in to and out of the parsers explicitly. Thus, a parser of sequences of as is an NDCK computation from a list of as to another list of as. The code is shown in Fig. 2. Parser combinators for sequencing *> and alternatives \Leftrightarrow are trivially defined, as are the primitives term for matching a particular symbol and epsilon for matching the empty sequence.

The following shows how this parsing framework can be used—it is an implementation of the grammar used by Johnson (1995) to illustrate his memoising parser combinators, which were the inspiration for the approach presented presented here and previously in other languages (Abdallah, 2017a,b). The type

```
infixl 7 \gg
infixl 6 \Leftrightarrow

4 (**) f g xs = f xs \gg g
($\phi$) f g xs = (f xs) 'mplus' (g xs)
term x (y:ys) \mid x==y = return ys
term x_- = mzero
8 epsilon xs = return xs
```

Figure 2: Code for implementing parsers combinators. Apart from the assumed instance of *MonadPlus*, it is completely orthogonal to the memoisation system.

ParserGen represents a computation with the right sort of context to support the creation of memoised parsers using the memo or memo' functions defined earlier. Note how the \mathbf{mdo} notation supports the recursive np parser and the mutually recursive s and s parsers.

Notably lacking from the above is any commitment to a particular instance of *MonadPlus* as the base representation of nondeterminism. For parsing, a better alternative to the list monad is the following *free* monad, which, in practise, means that the collection of results is represented as a lazy tree.

```
data FreePlus a = Return \ a \mid Plus \ (FreePlus \ a) \ (FreePlus \ a) \mid Zero

instance Monad FreePlus where

equal return = Return
equal Zero \implies = Zero
equal Return x \gg f = f x
equal r \gg f = Plus \ (l \gg f) \ (r \gg f)

instance MonadPlus FreePlus where
equal mzero = Zero
equal mzero = Zero
equal mzero = Plus
equal pus = Plus
equal pus = Plus
equal pus = Plus \ (forall \ s. \ ParserGen \ s \ FreePlus \ [t] \ t) \rightarrow [t] \rightarrow FreePlus \ [t]
equal parse \ resurs T \ p \gg run \ . \ (s \ xs)
```

In operational terms, the function parse takes a ParserGen, runs it in the ST monad to get a Parser, applies the parser to the input sequence xs to a get computation in the NDC s FreePlus r monad, runs that to get a computation in the ST monad, and finally runs that to get a collection of tail sequences resulting from all possible parses.

It is worth noting that the parsing using this framework is *efficient*: like Johnson's (1995) parser and parsing using tabling in Prolog (Abdallah, 2017b), it is equivalent to Earley's chart parsing algorithm Earley (1970), which results in at-worst complexity of $O(N^3)$ in the length of the input sequence, depending on the level of ambiguity in the grammar.

4 Conclusions

The implementation presented here in Haskell is, in essence, the same as the OCaml version presented by Abdallah (2017a). However, language features found in Haskell but not in OCaml, combined with standard library modules for several relevant monads and monad transformers mean that the result is considerably shorter and in some ways more elegant. In particular, Haskell's typeclasses mean that most of the implementation of the NDC monad is derived automatically, leaving only the MonadPlus instance and the memoising operator memo itself to defined manually.

In addition Haskell's more flexible polymorphism means that there are fewer hoops to jump through to obtain 'enough' polymorphism in the implementation; for example, there is no need for a *Dynamic* module to implement a universal type to serve as the answer type of the continuation monad here.

Finally, the presence of *MonadFix* and the **mdo** notation make the use of open recursion and explicit fixed point operators unnecessary, making it possible to express recursive and mutually recursive computations in a more natural and less cluttered style.

In tests made so far, performance is on a par with the OCaml version.

References

- S. Abdallah. Memoisation: Purely, left-recursively, and with (continuation passing) style. arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.04724, 2017a.
- S. Abdallah. More declarative tabling in Prolog using multi-prompt delimited control. Technical report, Jukedeck Ltd., 2017b.
- J. Earley. An efficient context-free parsing algorithm. Communications of the ACM, 13(2):94–102, 1970.
- L. Erkök and J. Launchbury. Recursive monadic bindings. In *ACM Sigplan Notices*, volume 35, pages 174–185. ACM, 2000.
- M. Johnson. Memoization in top-down parsing. Computational Linguistics, 21 (3):405–417, 1995.