

Proof of Correctness of BFS

First, two kind of annoying lemmas. These help us formalize what's going on as the algorithm is running.

Lemma 1. *At end of BFS, for all $v \in V$, $\text{dist}(v)$ is at least the distance from s to v .*

Proof. Will show by induction that at each iteration of loop, this holds for all v .

Base Case: 0th iteration.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume true for the k th iteration.

Inductive Case: Consider the vertex v removed from queue on $k + 1$ st iteration.

- Only change $\text{dist}(u)$ for a few vertices, and only if u adjacent to v .
- For verts not changed, use IH.
- Otherwise,
 - * distance from s to v
 - * \leq distance from s to $u + 1$
 - * $\leq \text{dist}(u) + 1$
 - * $= \text{dist}(v)$.

□

Lemma 2. *For any k (v_1, \dots, v_r) be the elements of the queue at iteration k . At this iteration,*

1. $\text{dist}(v_1) \geq \text{dist}(v_r) - 1$
2. for any $i < j$, $\text{dist}(v_i) < \text{dist}(v_j)$

Proof. Induction

Base Case: Initially, queue is empty.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume true for k th iteration.

Inductive Case: Consider $k + 1$ st iteration.

- Remove v_1 from queue. New front is v_2 .
- Enqueue neighbors of v_1 .
 - * Let u be a neighbor.
 - * $\text{dist}(u)$ is set to $\text{dist}(v_1) + 1 \geq \text{dist}(v_r) - 1 + 1 = \text{dist}(v_r)$, so 2. still holds.
 - * $\text{dist}(v_2) \geq \text{dist}(v_1) = \text{dist}(u) - 1$, so 1. still holds.

□

Corollary: Let v_k be the k th vertex to have $\text{dist}(v)$ set. $\text{dist}(v_k)$ is increasing in k .

Finally, the proof of correctness

At the termination of BFS, if BFS explores v , then $\text{dist}(v)$ is the distance from s to v .

Proof by contradiction.

- Assume there's some vertex with $\text{dist}()$ not equal to the distance from s .
- Let v be such a vertex which is the smallest distance from s .
- Let u be its predecessor on shortest path from v to s .
- By lemma 16, $\text{dist}(v) > \text{distance from } s \text{ to } v$

- $= (\text{distance from } s \text{ to } u) + 1 = \text{dist}(u) + 1$
- Consider when u was dequeued. We'll contradict the above chain of inequalities in any of the three cases:
 - if v wasn't explored yet, v would have been enqueued with $\text{dist}(v) = \text{dist}(u) + 1$. Contradiction to above inequalities.
 - if v on the queue, then $\text{parent}(v)$ has lower dist than u does, by corollary to lemma 17. Then $\text{dist}(v) = \text{dist}(\text{parent}(v)) + 1 \leq \text{dist}(u) + 1$, again contradicting the inequalities.
 - if v has already been dequeued, then $\text{dist}(v) \leq \text{dist}(u)$. Contradiction again.

□