


# Lecture 2: Learning a High-Dimensional Gaussian

- Last time: testing high dimensional distributions (= large domain size) is hard (requires many samples).
- Today: a strong assumption under which efficient learning is possible.

## Gaussian Distributions

- Reminder: Gaussian in one dimension:   $\Pr(x) \propto e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2}$
- CLT: add together many indep. r.v.'s  $\rightarrow$  Gaussian
- Also holds in high dimensions!
- So if getting samples from a high-dimensional population where high-dimensional features act like sums of indep r.v.'s, Gaussian assumption can be reasonable.
- Multivariate Gaussian: any affine transformation of

$$\Pr(x) \propto e^{-\|x\|^2/2}$$

- Notation: transform by  $x \rightarrow Ax + \mu$ , distn is called

$$N(\mu, A^2) \quad (\text{traditionally: } N(\mu, \Sigma).)$$

• Fact:  $\mathbb{E} x = \mu, \quad \mathbb{E} (x-\mu)(x-\mu)^T = \Sigma$   
 $x \sim N(\mu, \Sigma) \quad x \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$

"Gaussian is determined by its 1st and 2nd moments"

---

Task: given  $x_1 \dots x_n \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$  for some unknown  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d, \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , find some dist'n  $D$  s.t.  $TV(D, N(\mu, \Sigma)) \leq \epsilon$ .

How big does  $n = n(d, \epsilon)$  need to be?

Theorem:  $n = O(d^2/\epsilon^2)$  suffices.

(Compare with  $n = \Omega(\sqrt{2^d})$  for uniformity testing on  $\{0,1\}^d$ , only easier than learning general dist'n on  $\{0,1\}^d$ .)

(And, can do in polynomial time.)

• Let  $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad \hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\mu})(x_i - \hat{\mu})^T.$

• Lemma (directly implies Theorem above):

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_1 \dots x_n \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)} TV(N(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\Sigma}), N(\mu, \Sigma)) \leq O\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

### Proof outline:

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \quad & TV(N(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\Sigma}), N(\mu, \Sigma)) \leq \\ & TV(N(\hat{\mu}, \Sigma), N(\mu, \Sigma)) + TV(N(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\Sigma}), N(\hat{\mu}, \Sigma)) \\ & = \underbrace{TV(N(\Sigma^{-1/2} \hat{\mu}, I), N(\Sigma^{-1/2} \mu, I))}_{\textcircled{A}} + \underbrace{TV(N(0, \hat{\Sigma}), N(0, \Sigma))}_{\textcircled{B}} \\ & \leq O\left(\|\Sigma^{-1/2}(\hat{\mu} - \mu)\|\right) \leq O\left(\|I - \Sigma^{-1/2} \hat{\Sigma}^{-1/2}\|_F\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \mathbb{E} \|\Sigma^{-1/2}(\hat{\mu} - \mu)\|^2 \leq O\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)$$

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbf{I} - \Sigma^{-1/2} \hat{\Sigma} \Sigma^{-1/2} \right\|_F \leq O\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Reminder:  $\|M\|_F^2 = \text{Tr } MM^T = \text{Tr } M^T M$

$= \sum_{i,j \leq d} M_{ij}^2 = \sum \lambda_i(M)^2$

↑ Singular values of  $M$

"Frobenius norm"

Reminder 2:  $\|v\|^2 = \text{Tr } vv^T = \sum_i v_i^2$

① Goal:  $TV(N(\mu, I), N(\tau, I)) \leq O(\|\mu - \tau\|)$

Enough:  $KL(N(\mu, I) \| N(\tau, I)) \leq O(\|\mu - \tau\|^2)$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim N(\mu, I)} \log \frac{e^{-\|x - \mu\|^2/2}}{e^{-\|x - \tau\|^2/2}}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim N(\mu, I)} \left[ -\|x - \mu\|^2 + \|x - \tau\|^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ -\|x - \mu\|^2 + \|x - \mu + \mu - \tau\|^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ -\|x - \mu\|^2 + \|x - \mu\|^2 + 2\langle x - \mu, \mu - \tau \rangle + \|\mu - \tau\|^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \|\mu - \tau\|^2$$

□

③ Enough:  $KL(N(0, \Sigma) \| N(0, \Gamma)) \leq O(\|I - \Gamma^{-1/2} \Sigma \Gamma^{-1/2}\|_F^2)$

Recall that  $p_{N(0, \Sigma)}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \Sigma}} e^{-\| \Sigma^{-1/2} x \|^2 / 2}$

$$KL(\dots) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim N(0, \Sigma)} \log \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \Sigma}} e^{-\| \Sigma^{-1/2} x \|^2 / 2}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \Gamma}} e^{-\| \Gamma^{-1/2} x \|^2 / 2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \log \frac{\det \Sigma}{\det \Gamma} + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim N(0, \Sigma)} \| \Gamma^{-1/2} x \|^2 - \| \Sigma^{-1/2} x \|^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\log \det(\Gamma^{-1/2} \Sigma \Gamma^{-1/2}) + \mathbb{E} \text{Tr}(\Gamma^{-1/2} x x^T \Gamma^{-1/2} - \Sigma^{-1/2} x x^T \Sigma^{-1/2}) \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \log \det(\Gamma^{-1/2} \Sigma \Gamma^{-1/2}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\Gamma^{-1/2} \Sigma \Gamma^{-1/2} - I)$$

let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$  be the eigs. of  $\Gamma^{-1/2} \Sigma \Gamma^{-1/2} - I$  Then,

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum \log(1 + \lambda_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum \lambda_i$$

$$= O\left(\sum \lambda_i^2\right) \quad \text{if } |\lambda_i| < 1 \quad \forall i \text{ (convergence of Taylor).}$$

OK to assume, since o.w.  $\|I - \Gamma^{-1/2} \Sigma \Gamma^{-1/2}\|_F \geq 1 \geq TV(\dots)$ .

□

$$\textcircled{2} \mathbb{E} \left\| \Sigma^{-1/2} (\hat{\mu} - \mu) \right\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{-1/2} X_i - \mu \right\|^2$$

$X_i = \Sigma(z_i + \mu)$  for  $z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ , so

$$\underset{z_1, \dots, z_n}{=} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E} \sum_{i,j} z_i^T z_j = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_i \mathbb{E} \|z_i\|^2$$

$$= \frac{d}{n}$$

□

$$\begin{aligned}
\textcircled{3} \quad \mathbb{I} - \Sigma^{-1/2} \hat{\Sigma} \Sigma^{-1/2} &= \mathbb{I} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{-1/2} (x_i - \hat{\mu})(x_i - \hat{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1/2} \\
&= \mathbb{I} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{-1/2} (x_i - \mu + \mu - \hat{\mu})(x_i - \mu + \mu - \hat{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1/2} \\
&= \mathbb{I} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{-1/2} (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1/2} - \Sigma^{-1/2} (\mu - \hat{\mu})(\mu - \hat{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1/2} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{-1/2} (x_i - \mu)(\mu - \hat{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1/2} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{-1/2} (\mu - \hat{\mu})(x_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1/2}}_{= \Sigma^{-1/2} (\hat{\mu} - \mu)(\mu - \hat{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1/2}}
\end{aligned}$$

By triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{I} - \Sigma^{-1/2} \hat{\Sigma} \Sigma^{-1/2}\|_F &\leq \mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{I} - \Sigma^{-1/2} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T \right) \Sigma^{-1/2}\|_F \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \|\Sigma^{-1/2} (\hat{\mu} - \mu)\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \|\mathbb{I} - \Sigma^{-1/2} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T \right) \Sigma^{-1/2}\|_F + O\left(\frac{d}{n}\right) \text{ by } \textcircled{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\Sigma^{-1/2} (x_i - \mu)$  is distributed as  $z \sim N(0, \mathbb{I})$ , so get

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbb{I} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_n} z_i z_i^T \right\|_F + O\left(\frac{d}{n}\right).$$

$$\leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathbb{I} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_n} z_i z_i^T \right\|_F^2 \right)^{1/2} + O\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d}{n}\right) + \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i, j \leq n} \mathbb{E} \left( \text{Tr} (z_i z_i^T - \mathbb{E} z_i z_i^T) \cdot (z_j z_j^T - \mathbb{E} z_j z_j^T) \right) \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d}{n}\right) + \left( \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Tr} \left( z z^\top - \mathbb{E} z z^\top \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d}{n}\right) + \left( \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \sum_{i,j \leq d} \left( z(i) z(j) - \mathbb{E} z(i) z(j) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

□



What if we only cared about learning the "shape",  
not learning in TV dist?

Task: Given  $X_1 \dots X_n \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ , find  $\hat{\Sigma}$  s.t.,

$$\|\Sigma^{-1/2} \hat{\Sigma} \Sigma^{-1/2} - I\|_{\text{op}} \leq \varepsilon$$

Reminder:  $\|M\|_{\text{op}} = \max_v \frac{v^T M v}{\|v\|^2}$ . So,

$$\forall v, \quad v^T \hat{\Sigma} v = (1 \pm \varepsilon) v^T \Sigma v$$

- simultaneously estimate the variance in every direction.
- good for e.g. PCA.

Theorem:  $n = O\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$  samples suffice — compare to  $\frac{d^2}{\varepsilon^2}$   
for T.V.

## Interlude: Matrix Concentration

- $n$  copies of a  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued random variable  $X$  —  
how close is  $\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i$  to  $\mathbb{E}X$ ?

$$\left[ \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i - \mathbb{E}X \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var}(X)}{n}}$$

If  $X$  is a little bit "nice" (bald, sub Gaussian, etc.),  
get concentration —  $\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i$  acts like Gaussian

$$\Pr \left( \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i - \mathbb{E}X \right| > t \right) \lesssim e^{-t^2 n / \text{Var}(X)}$$

- $n$  copies of a  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued random vector  $X$ :

$$\left[ \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i - \mathbb{E} X \right\|^2 \right]^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E} \|X - \mathbb{E} X\|^2}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Tr}(\text{Cov}(X))}{n}}$$

- $n$  copies of a  $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  random matrix  $X$  (symmetric)?

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i - \mathbb{E} X \right\|_{\text{op}} \leq ??$$

- What should we expect? Suppose  $X = \begin{pmatrix} X^{(1)} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & X^{(d)} \end{pmatrix}$  is diagonal.

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^{(1)} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^{(d)} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{has}$$

Singular vals  $\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^{(j)}$ .

For each  $j \leq d$ , expect

$$\Pr \left( \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^{(j)} - \mathbb{E} X^{(j)} \right| > t \right) \lesssim e^{-t^2 n / \text{Var}(X^{(j)})} \lesssim \frac{1}{100d}$$

if  $t = \Theta \left( \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var}(X^{(j)}) \cdot \log d}{n}} \right)$

By union bound,  $w_p \geq 0.99$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i - \mathbb{E}x \right\| \leq O \left( \max_j \sqrt{\text{Var}(x^{(j)})} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\log d}{n}} \right)$$

Is something like this true in general, ie even for non-diagonal  $x$ ?

Matrix Bernstein Inequality: Let  $X$  be  $d \times d$  random matrix,  $\mathbb{E}X = 0$ , and  $\|X\| \leq R$  w.p. 1,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  indep. copies.

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i \right\|_{op} \leq O \left( \frac{\|\mathbb{E}XX^T\| + \|\mathbb{E}X^TX\|}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \sqrt{\log d} + \frac{R \log d}{n} \right)$$

Application to prove theorem, up to  $\log d$  factors:

wlog,  $\Sigma = I$ , and goal is to show

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i x_i^T - I \right\|_{op} \leq O\left(\sqrt{\frac{d \log d}{n}}\right).$$

$\mathbb{E}[x_i x_i^T - I] = 0$ , but don't have  $\|x_i x_i^T - I\|_{op} \leq R$  w.p. 1.

But let's pretend...  $\|x_i x_i^T - I\|_{op} \leq O\left(\frac{d}{n}\right)$  w.p. 1 (almost true).

Then, just need to calculate  $\left\| \mathbb{E} (x x^T - I)(x x^T - I) \right\|$

$$\leq \left\| \mathbb{E} \|x\|^2 x x^T \right\| + O(1) \leq O(d)$$

which proves the theorem

□