6.5896 - Algorithmic Statistics

Lecture 1: Introduction

Uniformity Testing

Recent News Titles

O" Biden's J.b Approval 42%" - Gallup 8/25/23

O "Obesity spreads through Social Networks"

- Harvard Medical School 7/25/07

3 "Better Cybersecurity W/a New Quantum
Random Number Generator"
- Sci Tech Daily
2/4/23

"EHR-Safe: generating high-fidelity & privaly preserving synthetic electronic health records"

- Nature Digital Medicine B/11/23

Q: How much stock to put on such Claims?

Challenge: all these claims involve high-dimensional distributions which are, in general, hard to understand

This Class. Develop { mathematical tools } that algorithmic tools } complexity tools } are useful to make sense of high-dimensional data

Application 1: Polling

Application 1: Polling

underlying assumption: n people are asked whether
they approve Biden & their
answers, X1,X2,...,Xn, are
independently & identically sampled
from Bernoulli(p)

restimand

natural estimator: $\beta = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i$

 $F[\hat{p}] = P$ $Var[\hat{p}] = \frac{1}{n} P(1-P) \leq \frac{1}{4n}$ $\Rightarrow std(\hat{p}) \leq \frac{1}{2n}$ $so I expect \hat{p} \approx p \pm \frac{1}{n} w \text{ pr} \geq 95\%$

Question: is 1/2 necessary? Le Cam's two-point method setting: two distributions P, Q over I goal: find a test T: X -> {P,Q}
such that error probability of T is small max { Pr [Tx = Q], Pr [Tx = P]} Lemma! error prob.). \(\frac{1}{2} \left(1-\text{Tr}(P,Q)\right) let's use Le Cam's method to show Lemma: $\Omega(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2})$ iid samples from a Bernoulli cure necessary to estimate its mean to within $\pm \epsilon$. Stronger Lemma: $\int_{0}^{\infty} (\frac{1}{c})$ iid samples are necessary to distinguish Bernoulli $(\frac{1}{c})$ vs Bernoulli $(\frac{1}{c}+\epsilon)$

Proof! Suppose
$$P = Ber(\frac{1}{2})^{\otimes n}$$

$$Q = Ber(\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon)^{\otimes n}$$

n iid samples from Ber
$$(\frac{1}{2}) \iff 1$$
 sample from P
$$-1/- \qquad \text{Ber}(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon) \iff -1/- \qquad Q$$

distinguisher between Ber(1) & Ber(1+c) is a function:

$$\geqslant \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - O(f_n \cdot \varepsilon) \right)$$

$$\geqslant \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{unless}$$

$$n = \Omega(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2})$$

B

Proof of Claim: Tensorization of Kullback-Leibler Divergence

Def: If P,Q distn's over (finite)
$$\chi$$
 $KL(P||Q) = \sum_{x} P(x) \log \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$

Fact: $Tv(P,Q) \leq \frac{1}{2} KL(P||Q)$

Now suppose $P = Ber(P)^{\otimes n} L Q = Ber(Q)^{\otimes n}$

then

 $KL(P||Q) = \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} T_{P(x)} L_{Q} \frac{T_{Q}(x)}{T_{Q}(x)}$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{x} \prod_{i} p(x_{i})}_{i} \underbrace{\sum_{i} \log \frac{p(x_{i})}{q(x_{i})}}_{i}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{x} \prod_{i} p(x_{i})}_{x_{i}} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{i} p(x_{i}) \log \frac{p(x_{i})}{q(x_{i})}}_{II}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{x} \prod_{i} p(x_{i})}_{X_{i}} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{i} p(x_{i}) \log \frac{p(x_{i})}{q(x_{i})}}_{II}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{x} \prod_{i} p(x_{i})}_{X_{i}} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{i} p(x_{i}) \log \frac{p(x_{i})}{q(x_{i})}}_{II}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{x} \prod_{i} p(x_{i})}_{X_{i}} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{i} p(x_{i}) \log \frac{p(x_{i})}{q(x_{i})}}_{II}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{x} \prod_{i} p(x_{i})}_{X_{i}} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{i} p(x_{i}) \log \frac{p(x_{i})}{q(x_{i})}}_{II}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{x} \prod_{i} p(x_{i})}_{X_{i}} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{i} p(x_{i}) \log \frac{p(x_{i})}{q(x_{i})}}_{II}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{x} \prod_{i} p(x_{i})}_{X_{i}} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{i} p(x_{i}) \log \frac{p(x_{i})}{q(x_{i})}}_{II}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{x} \prod_{i} p(x_{i})}_{X_{i}} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{i} p(x_{i}) \log \frac{p(x_{i})}{q(x_{i})}}_{II}$$

now if
$$p = \frac{1}{2}$$
 $q = \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$

$$KL\left(Ber(\frac{1}{2}) \parallel Ber(\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)\right) = \frac{1}{2} log \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} log \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} log \frac{1}{1+2\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} log \frac{1}{1-2\epsilon}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} log \left(1 - 4\epsilon^{2}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left(-4\epsilon^{2} + O(\epsilon^{4})\right)$$

$$= 2\epsilon^{2} + O(\epsilon^{4})$$

$$= \lambda L(P \parallel Q) = 2n \cdot \epsilon^{2} + O(n \cdot \epsilon^{4})$$

$$= O(n \cdot \epsilon^{1})$$

Proof of Le Cam!

$$\max \left\{ \Pr_{x \sim P} \left(T(x) = Q \right), \Pr_{x \sim Q} \left(T(x) = P \right) \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pr_{x \sim P} \left(T(x) = Q \right) + \Pr_{x \sim Q} \left(T(x) = P \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{x \sim P} \Pr_{x \sim Q} \left(T(x) = Q \right) + \sum_{x \sim Q} \Pr_{x \sim Q} \left(T(x) = P \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\underbrace{\xi}_{x} P(x) \cdot \left(1 - \underbrace{1}_{\xi T(x) = \frac{1}{2}} \right) + \underbrace{\xi}_{Q(x)} \cdot \underbrace{1}_{\{T(x) = \frac{1}{2}\}} \right)$$

$$= \underbrace{1}_{z} \cdot \left(\underbrace{1}_{x} - \underbrace{\xi}_{x} \left(P(x) - Q(x) \right) \cdot \underbrace{1}_{\xi T(x) = \frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{2} \left(\frac{P(x) - Q(x)}{P(x)} \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{TV(P, Q)}{P(x)} \right)$$

Application 2: Uniformity Testing

- Big domain X , |X| = N

More precise question:

distinguish using iid samples from P between

$$P = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{X}) \quad \forall s \quad \mathsf{Tr}(P, \mathcal{U}(x)) \geqslant \varepsilon$$

How many samples do we need?

Thm: $\Theta(\mathbb{R}^2)$ sumples are necessary & sufficient trouble: if $\mathcal{X} = \{0,1\}^n$, # samples $\frac{2^{n/2}}{\epsilon^2}$.

Today: proof it lower bound of $\Omega(\frac{TN}{\epsilon^2})$

Intuition: unless > TN samples are drawn how can we distinguish between P unitorm over full or unitorm over half of the domain?

Proof (of lower bound) use Le Cam.

w.l.o.g. $\chi = [N] = \{1,...,N\}$ $P = \mathcal{U}([N])^{\otimes n}$ (P is disting of a samples from $\mathcal{U}([N])$)

ok and what is a bad dist'n Q?

if Q is uniform over a specific half of the domain (2.9. all even numbers in arrange it would be easy to distinguish from P...

Made with Goodnote

Idea: take Q to be uniform on a random half of the domain!

Q:-Sample $Z_1,...,Z_{N/2}$ uar from $\{\pm 1\}$ importantly - define histing over [N] s.t. $q_{2i-1} = \frac{1+2i\cdot \epsilon}{2}$ note that any qthus defined $q_{2i} = \frac{1-2i\cdot \epsilon}{2}$ satisfies that $q_{2i} = \frac{1-2i\cdot \epsilon}{2}$ $r(q, u[N]), \epsilon$ be generate $q_{2i} = \frac{1-2i\cdot \epsilon}{2}$

Le Cam: Pr[error] > 1 (1- TV(P,Q))
Le how small is

La how small is this as a function of N, n, ε ?

TL; DR Lemma: $TV(P,Q) \times \sqrt{e^{O(n^2 \epsilon^9/N)} - 1}$ so, unless $n \gg \Omega(\frac{N}{\epsilon^2})$, r[error];

Proof of TL; Dr lemma: Will sketch this for a slightly different setting where rather than n samples

we draw ~ Possson(n) samples

Made with Goodnotes

P: -sample ~ Poisson (n) · So now: - draw ñ samples from U([N]) Q: - sample ñ~Poisson (n) - sample Z1, ..., ZN/2 uar from {±1} - define disting over [N] s.t. $q_{2i-1} = \frac{1+2i\cdot\epsilon}{N}$ $q_{2i} = \frac{1 - 2i}{N}$ - draw no samples from q · Suppose Xi is how many times i E [N] appeared in the sample under P: X1, ..., XN independent

& $X_i \sim Possson \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)$

 $P(X_1 = n_1, ..., X_N = n_N) = e^{-n} \frac{N}{11} \frac{(n_N)^{n_i}}{n_i!}$

Made with Goodnotes

under
$$Q: (\chi_{2i-1}, \chi_{2i})$$
 independent from (χ_{2j-1}, χ_{2j})

if $j \neq i$

$$Q \left(\chi_{1} = n_{1}, \chi_{2} = n_{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{n(1+\epsilon)}{N}} \frac{\left(\frac{n(1+\epsilon)}{N} \right)^{h_{1}}}{n_{L}!} \cdot e^{-\frac{n(1-\epsilon)}{N}} \frac{\left(\frac{n(1+\epsilon)}{N} \right)^{h_{2}}}{n_{2}!}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{n(1+\epsilon)}{N}} \frac{\left(\frac{n(1+\epsilon)}{N} \right)^{h_{1}}}{n_{2}!} \cdot e^{-\frac{n(1-\epsilon)}{N}} \frac{\left(\frac{n(1-\epsilon)}{N} \right)^{h_{2}}}{n_{1}!}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{2n}{N}} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^{h_{1}} \left(\frac{n}{N} \right)}{n_{1}!} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^{h_{1}} \left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^{h_{1}}}{\left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^{h_{1}} \left(\frac{n}{N} \right)}$$

$$Q \left(\chi_{1} = n_{L}, \dots, \chi_{N} = n_{N} \right) = e^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{N} \right)^{n_{i}}}{n_{i}!} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \left(\frac{n_{2i-1}}{(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{h_{2i}}}{\left(\frac{n_{2i-1}}{(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{h_{2i}}}$$

$$Q \left(\chi_{1} = n_{L}, \dots, \chi_{N} = n_{N} \right) = e^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left(\frac{n_{N}}{N} \right)^{n_{1}}}{n_{i}!} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{2}} \left(\frac{n_{2i-1}}{(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{h_{2i-1}}}{\left(\frac{n_{2i-1}}{(1+\epsilon)} \right)^{h_{2i-1}}}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(X_{1} = N_{L}, \dots, X_{N} = N_{N} \right) = e^{-N} \prod_{i=1}^{n_{N}} \frac{(N_{N})^{n_{1}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_{2}} (1+\epsilon)^{n_{2}}} + (1-\epsilon)^{n_{2}} + (1-\epsilon)^{n_{2}}$$

Then the use that $TV(P,Q) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} KL(Q || P)$

$$\chi^{2}(Q \parallel P) = \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline P(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline P(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \end{array} \right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} Q(x) \\ \hline Q(x) \\$$