

# Problem Set 4

Samuel B. Hopkins

Last updated November 20, 2024

Due: 11/??, 11:59pm.

Please typeset your solutions in LaTeX.

**Problem 1** (Sparse robust mean estimation). In this problem, we will solve a sparse version of robust mean estimation. Let  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be an unknown  $k$ -sparse vector, in that only  $k$  of its entries are non-zero. First  $n = \tilde{\Omega}(k^2(\log d)/\varepsilon^2)$  samples  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are drawn from  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \text{Id})$ . Then an adversary alters  $\varepsilon n$  of the samples and reorders them arbitrarily. We observe the resulting dataset  $v'_1, \dots, v'_n$ . Our goal will be to give an algorithm for estimating  $\mu$  from these samples.

- (a) Let  $\bar{v} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i$ . Prove that with 0.99 probability, for all  $k$ -sparse vectors  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\|u\| = 1$ ,

$$\langle u, \bar{v} - \mu \rangle^2 \leq \varepsilon^2.$$

- (b) Define  $\Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (v_i - \bar{v})(v_i - \bar{v})^T$ . Prove that with 0.99 probability,  $|\Sigma_{ij}| \leq 1/k$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $|\Sigma_{ii} - 1| \leq 1/k$  for all  $i, j \in [d]$ .

- (c) Consider the following system, which we call  $\mathcal{S}$ , with scalar variables  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  and  $d$ -dimensional variables  $z, z_1, \dots, z_n$

$$\begin{aligned} w_i^2 &= w_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i &\geq (1 - \varepsilon)n \\ w_i(z_i - v'_i) &= 0 \\ \bar{z} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i, \quad \Sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \bar{z})(z_i - \bar{z})^T \\ -\frac{1}{k} &\leq \Sigma_{ij} \leq \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{for all } i \neq j \\ -\frac{1}{k} &\leq \Sigma_{ii} - 1 \leq \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{for all } i \end{aligned}$$

Prove that with 0.99 probability, there is a feasible solution to this system where the  $w_i$  are indicators of the clean samples and the  $z_i$  are the actual clean samples.

From now on, assume that the events in (a), (b), (c) hold.

- (d) Now we consider the SoS relaxation of the system  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be an arbitrary  $k$ -sparse vector with  $\|u\| = 1$ . Prove that

$$\mathcal{S} \vdash_2 \sum_{i=1}^n \langle u, z_i - v_i \rangle^2 \leq 10n(1 + \langle u, \bar{z} - \mu \rangle^2)$$

where recall  $v_i$  are the clean samples drawn from  $N(\mu, I)$ .

- (e) Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be an arbitrary  $k$ -sparse vector with  $\|u\| = 1$ . Use part (c) to prove that

$$\mathcal{S} \vdash_4 \langle u, \bar{z} - \bar{v} \rangle^2 \leq 100\varepsilon(1 + \langle u, \bar{z} - \mu \rangle^2)$$

- (f) Use part (e) to deduce that

$$\mathcal{S} \vdash_4 \langle u, \bar{z} - \mu \rangle^2 \leq O(\varepsilon).$$

Put everything together to show that there is a polynomial time algorithm that takes the samples  $v'_1, \dots, v'_n$  and with probability 0.9, outputs a  $k$ -sparse  $\hat{\mu}$  such that  $\|\mu - \hat{\mu}\| \leq O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ .

**Problem 2.** Recall the *planted clique* problem, with the “null distribution”  $\mathcal{N} = G(n, 1/2)$ , and the “planted distribution”  $\mathcal{P}$  obtained by drawing  $G$  from  $G(n, 1/2)$ , and adding a uniformly random  $k$ -clique. It is believed that for  $k$  significantly smaller than  $O(\sqrt{n})$  (say  $O(n^{1/2-\varepsilon})$ ), it is computationally hard to distinguish these two distributions. In this question, we will establish this computational hardness for the restricted class of algorithms based on low-degree polynomials.

Concretely, set  $k = O(n^{1/2-\varepsilon})$  for some (small) constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $D \leq C \log n$  for some (large) constant  $C > 0$ . Recall the degree- $D$   $\chi^2$ -divergence, defined by

$$\sqrt{\chi_{\leq D}^2(\mathcal{P} \parallel \mathcal{N})} = \max_{\substack{F: \{\text{set of graphs on } n \text{ vertices}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ F \text{ degree } \leq D \text{ polynomial} \\ F \text{ not identically 0}}} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{P}}[F] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}}[F]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_{\mathcal{N}}[F]}}.$$

Further recall that this maximum is attained by the function  $\left(\frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{\leq D}$ , where  $\frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{N}}$  is the likelihood ratio  $\frac{\mathcal{P}(G)}{\mathcal{N}(G)}$  and the notation  $f^{\leq D}$  means the projection of  $f$  to the space of degree  $D$  polynomials. This resulting maximum is equal to

$$\chi_{\leq D}^2(\mathcal{P} \parallel \mathcal{N}) = \left\| \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{\leq D} - 1 \right\|_2^2,$$

with the notation  $\|f\|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{N}} f^2$ .

- (a) Let  $g = \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{\leq D}$  be a polynomial of degree (at most)  $D$  in the variables  $(x_e)_{e \in \binom{[n]}{2}}$ , where  $x_e = 1$  if  $e$  is an edge in the graph, and  $-1$  otherwise. Express  $g$  in terms of its Fourier coefficients as  $g = \sum_{\alpha: |\alpha| \leq D} \hat{g}_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ . Determine  $\hat{g}_{\alpha}$ .
- (b) Show that in the given parameter regime of  $k, D$ ,  $\chi_{\leq D}^2(\mathcal{P} \parallel \mathcal{N}) = \|g - 1\|^2 = o(1)$ .