ON PROFINITE RIGIDITY AMONGST FREE-BY-CYCLIC GROUPS I: THE GENERIC CASE

SAM HUGHES AND MONIKA KUDLINSKA

ABSTRACT. We prove that amongst the class of free-by-cyclic groups, Gromov hyperbolicity is an invariant of the profinite completion. We show that whenever G is a free-by-cyclic group with first Betti number equal to one, and H is a free-by-cyclic group which is profinitely isomorphic to G, the ranks of the fibres and the characteristic polynomials associated to the monodromies of G and H are equal. We further show that for hyperbolic free-by-cyclic groups with first Betti number equal to one, the stretch factors of the associated monodromy and its inverse is an invariant of the profinite completion. We deduce that irreducible free-by-cyclic groups with first Betti number equal to one are almost profinitely rigid amongst irreducible free-by-cyclic groups. We use this to prove that generic free-by-cyclic groups are almost profinitely rigid amongst free-by-cyclic groups. We also show similar results for {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Two finitely generated groups G and H are said to be *profinitely isomorphic* if they share the same isomorphism types of finite quotient groups. It is a classical result that if two groups are profinitely isomorphic then they have the same profinite completion [DFPR82]. For a class $\mathcal{C}$ of finitely generated residually finite groups, a group $G \in \mathcal{C}$ is *profinitely rigid in $\mathcal{C}$* if any group H in $\mathcal{C}$ profinitely isomorphic to G is in fact isomorphic to G. Similarly, we say G is *almost profinitely rigid in $\mathcal{C}$* if there are at most finitely isomorphism types of groups H profinitely isomorphic to G.

There exists a large body of work investigating profinite rigidity of 3-manifold groups. For example, deep work of Bridson–McReynolds–Reid–Spitler shows that there are hyperbolic 3-manifolds which are profinitely rigid amongst all finitely generated residually finite groups [BMRS20] with more examples constructed in [CW22]. On the other hand, the existence of Anosov torus bundles and periodic closed surface bundles with non-isomorphic but profinitely isomorphic fundamental groups constructed in [Ste72, Fun13,

Hem14] shows that profinite almost rigidity does not hold for all 3-manifold groups.

Significant progress has been made on the problem of profinite rigidity *within* the class of 3-manifolds. A key step in showing that various classes and properties of 3-manifolds are invariants of the profinite completion is to establish the profinite invariance of fibring. In this vein, and in order to deduce results about the profinite completion of knot groups, Bridson–Reid studied profinite invariants of compact 3-manifolds with boundary and first Betti number equal to one, in particular showing that fibring and the rank of the fibre is a profinite invariant of such 3-manifolds [BR20]. At the same time, Boileau–Friedl tackled the problem of profinite invariants of knot groups by showing that fibring is an invariant of 3-manifolds whose profinite completions are related by a particular type of isomorphism, called a *regular isomorphism* [BF20]. Finally, Jaikin-Zapirain showed that being fibred is a profinite invariant of all 3-manifold groups [JZ20], and this was generalised to all LERF groups in [HK22].

Another crucial element is the work of Wilton–Zalesskii on profinite invariance of various decompositions of 3-manifolds [WZ10, WZ17a, WZ17b, WZ19], which reduces the study of profinite invariance of 3-manifolds to that of the pieces in the JSJ decomposition. The case of Seifert fibred manifolds and graph manifolds was entirely solved by Wilkes, who proved that these are almost profinitely rigid in the class of all 3-manifold groups, in an impressive series of papers [Wil17, Wil18b, Wil19]. Finally, Liu completed the last remaining piece of the puzzle by proving the spectacular theorem that finite volume hyperbolic 3-manifold groups are almost profinitely rigid [Liu23a]. Other results have also been obtained, e.g. [BRW17, Wil18a, BF20, Zal22, Liu23b].

A group G is said to be *free-by-cyclic* if it contains a normal subgroup $N \trianglelefteq G$ which is isomorphic to a free group of finite rank F_n, and such that $G/N \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We will almost always think of a free-by-cyclic group as a pair (G, φ), where $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(G; \mathbb{Z})$ and there's a short exact sequence

$$1 \to F_n \to G \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z} \to 1.$$

Since any such short exact sequence splits, one can realise a free-by-cyclic group as the semi-direct product $G \cong F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$, for some $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ which we refer to as the *monodromy* of the splitting. Conversely, given a semi-direct splitting $G \cong F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ there's an associated character $\varphi \colon G \to \mathbb{Z}$ which maps the normal free factor to zero, and the stable letter (with respect to any choice of representative of Φ) to the generator 1 of $\mathbb{Z}$. We call this the *induced character* of the splitting $F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$.

Free-by-cyclic groups form a well-studied class which has been shown to exhibit many key properties; these include residual finiteness [Bau71], quadratic isoperimetric inequality [BG10], and the property of being large

[But13]. Further, it is known that hyperbolic free-by-cyclic groups are cubulable [HW15] and thus virtually compact special in the sense of Haglund–Wise [HW08], and more generally that all free-by-cyclic groups which do not virtually split as a direct product admit non-elementary acylindrical actions on hyperbolic spaces [GH21]. Despite this, there are still many open questions in this area, most notably on the subject of rigidity, even when one considers only rigidity amongst the class of free-by-cyclic groups.

Our goal in writing this paper is to investigate profinite rigidity amongst free-by-cyclic groups. The study of profinite invariants of free-by-cyclic groups saw its inception in the work of Bridson–Reid [BR20]. Although the aim of their work was to prove results about fibred knot complements, their methods apply more generally and are later used by Bridson–Reid–Wilton [BRW17] to show profinite rigidity amongst the groups of the form $F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$.

Whilst we draw inspiration from the results in the 3-manifold setting, the problem for free-by-cyclic groups is significantly subtler. This stems in part from the lack of a sufficient $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$-analogue of the Nielsen–Thurston decomposition for homeomorphisms of finite-type surfaces. One artefact of this is that we frequently have to restrict our attention to the class of *irreducible* free-by-cyclic groups, that is free-by-cyclic groups which admit irreducible monodromy. Recall that an outer automorphism $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is *irreducible* if there does not exist a free splitting $F_n = A_1 * \ldots * A_k * B$, where $A_1 * \ldots * A_k$ is non-trivial, and such that Φ permutes the conjugacy classes of the factors A_i. By the work of Mutanguha [Mut21], for any two realisations of G as a free-by-cyclic group, $G \cong F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z} \cong F_m \rtimes_\Psi \mathbb{Z}$, the monodromy Φ is irreducible if and only if Ψ is.

Our first result is analogous to Liu's theorem with the additional hypotheses that $b_1(G) = 1$ and restricting to the class of irreducible free-by-cyclic groups. The first hypothesis is due to the fact that we do not have a method to establish $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regularity (see Section 4 for a definition) without an analogous result to the main theorems in [FV08, FV11] — this is one of the main technical steps in Jaikin-Zapirain's and Liu's results. The second hypothesis arises since, although we can show that hyperbolicity of free-by-cyclic groups is a profinite invariant, we are currently unable to show the same holds true for irreducibility.

Theorem A. *Let G be an irreducible free-by-cyclic group. If $b_1(G) = 1$, then G is almost profinitely rigid amongst irreducible free-by-cyclic groups.*

1.1. **Profinite invariants.** The next theorem is somewhat more technical. We will not include definitions of the invariants in the introduction, but many of them will be familiar to experts and they are scattered throughout the paper. Note that the result actually holds in the more general setting of a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular isomorphism (the specific results stated throughout the paper comprising Theorem B are stated in this generality).

We point out the general fact that the first Betti number of any discrete group is an invariant of its profinite completion.

Theorem B. *Let $G = F \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ be a free-by-cyclic group with induced character $\varphi \colon G \to \mathbb{Z}$. If $b_1(G) = 1$, then the following properties are determined by the profinite completion $\widehat{G}$ of G:*

(1) the rank of F;
(2) the homological stretch factors $\{\nu_G^+, \nu_G^-\}$;
(3) the characteristic polynomials $\{\mathrm{Char}\,\Phi^+, \mathrm{Char}\,\Phi^-\}$ of the action of Φ on $H_1(F; \mathbb{Q})$;
(4) for each representation $\rho \colon G \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ factoring through a finite quotient, the twisted Alexander polynomials $\{\Delta_n^{\varphi,\rho}, \Delta_n^{-\varphi,\rho}\}$ and the twisted Reidemeister torsions $\{\tau^{\varphi,\rho}, \tau^{-\varphi,\rho}\}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Moreover, if G is conjugacy separable, (e.g. if G is hyperbolic), then $\widehat{G}$ also determines the Nielsen numbers and the homotopical stretch factors $\{\lambda_G^+, \lambda_G^-\}$.

We note that our Theorem B(1) was already known by the work of Bridson–Reid [BR20, Lemma 3.1].

The reason for obtaining a set of invariants corresponding to Φ and Φ^{-1} is that the dynamics of Φ and Φ^{-1} can be different. Indeed, this is somewhat a feature of free-by-cyclic groups rather than a bug. A large technical hurdle in this work was overcoming this phenomena which cannot occur for 3-manifolds.

We also obtain a complete geometric picture à la Wilton–Zalesskii in the case of hyperbolic free-by-cyclic groups.

Theorem C. *Let G_A and G_B be profinitely isomorphic free-by-cyclic groups. Then G_A is Gromov hyperbolic if and only if G_B is Gromov hyperbolic.*

1.2. **Almost profinite rigidity and applications.** We will now explain how to apply Theorem A, Theorem B, and Theorem C to various classes of free-by-cyclic groups to obtain strong profinite rigidity phenomena.

1.2.1. *Super irreducible free-by-cyclic groups.* We say that a free-by-cyclic group G is *super irreducible*, if $G \cong F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ and the matrix $M \colon H_1(F_n; \mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(F_n; \mathbb{Q})$ representing the action of Φ on $H_1(F_n; \mathbb{Q})$ satisfies the property that no positive power of M maps a proper subspace of $H_1(F_n; \mathbb{Q})$ into itself. Note that this implies G is irreducible by [GS91, Theorem 2.5] and that $b_1(G) = 1$.

An example of a super irreducible free-by-cyclic group is whenever the characteristic polynomial of M is a *Pisot–Vijayaraghavan polynomial*, namely, it is monic, it has exactly one root (counted with multiplicity) with absolute value strictly greater than one, and all other roots have absolute value strictly less than one [GS91].

Corollary D. *Let G be a super irreducible free-by-cyclic group. Then, every free-by-cyclic group profinitely isomorphic to G is super irreducible. In particular, G is almost profinitely rigid amongst free-by-cyclic groups.*

Proof. Let H be a free-by-cyclic group and suppose $\widehat{H} \cong \widehat{G}$. As explained in [GS91, Section 2] G being super irreducible is a property of the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $M \colon H_1(F_n; \mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(F_n; \mathbb{Q})$ representing the action of Φ on $H_1(F_n; \mathbb{Q})$. Thus, by Theorem B we see H is super irreducible. The result follows from Theorem A. $\square$

1.2.2. *Random free-by-cyclic groups.* Fix $n \geqslant 2$ and let S be a finite generating set of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$. For any $l \geqslant 1$, define $\mathcal{G}_l$ to be the set of all free-by-cyclic groups G which admit a splitting $G \cong F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$, where Φ can be expressed as a word of length at most l in S. We say that for a random free-by-cyclic group the property P holds *asymptotically almost surely*, or that a *generic free-by-cyclic group satisfies property P*, if

$$\frac{\#\{G \in \mathcal{G}_l \mid G \text{ satisfies property } P\}}{\#\mathcal{G}_l} \to 1 \text{ as } l \to \infty.$$

We now state the result alluded to in the title of the paper.

Corollary E. *Let G be a random free-by-cyclic group. Then, asymptotically almost surely G is almost profinitely rigid amongst free-by-cyclic groups.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, every generic free-by-cyclic group G is super-irreducible and has $b_1(G) = 1$. The result follows from Corollary D. $\square$

1.2.3. *Low rank fibres.* When the fibre of the free-by-cyclic group has rank two or three we are able to obtain rigidity statements within the class of all free-by-cyclic groups.

Corollary F. *Let $G = F_3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. If G is hyperbolic and $b_1(G) = 1$, then G is almost profinitely rigid amongst free-by-cyclic groups.*

Proof. We first prove G is irreducible. Suppose that this is not the case. Then G has a subgroup isomorphic to either $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ or $F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. But both possibilities would imply G contains a $\mathbb{Z}^2$ subgroup contradicting hyperbolicity. Now let H be a free-by-cyclic group and suppose that $\widehat{H} \cong \widehat{G}$. By Theorem C we see H is hyperbolic and by Theorem B we see that H splits as $F_3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, the previous paragraph implies H is irreducible. The result follows from Theorem A. $\square$

Note in the next statement we see that G is uniquely determined.

Corollary G. *Let $G = F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. If $b_1(G) = 1$, then G is profinitely rigid amongst free-by-cyclic groups.*

Proof. Let H be a free-by-cyclic group and suppose $\widehat{H} \cong \widehat{G}$. By Theorem B we see that $H \cong F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. But each $F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is profinitely rigid amongst groups of the form $F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ by [BRW17]. $\square$

1.2.4. *Procongruent conjugacy.* Our next result investigates conjugacy in $\mathrm{Out}(\widehat{F}_n)$ and is somewhat analogous to [Liu23b, Theorem 1.2]. We say two outer automorphisms Ψ and Φ of F_n are *procongruently conjugate* if they induce a conjugate pair of outer automorphisms in $\mathrm{Out}(\widehat{F}_n)$. In this setting we have no assumption on the action of Ψ or Φ on the homology of F_n.

Theorem H. *Let $\Psi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ be atoroidal. If $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is procongruently conjugate to Ψ, then Φ is atoroidal and $\{\lambda_\Psi, \lambda_{\Psi^{-1}}\} = \{\lambda_\Phi, \lambda_{\Phi^{-1}}\}$. In particular, if Ψ is additionally irreducible, then there are only finitely many $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$-conjugacy classes of irreducible automorphisms which are conjugate with Ψ in $\mathrm{Out}(\widehat{F}_n)$.*

1.2.5. *Automorphisms of universal Coxeter groups.* Finally, we extend our results to the setting of universal Coxeter groups. A group G is *{universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic* if it splits as a semi-direct product $W_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ where $W_n = \ast_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/2$ is the free product of n copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$. A *free basis* of W_n is a generating set for W_n such that each element has order 2.

   After fixing a free basis for W_n, the kernel of the homomorphism $W_n \to \mathbb{Z}/2$ which maps every free generator of W_n to 1, is a characteristic index-two subgroup K of W_n isomorphic to the free group of rank $n-1$. We say an outer automorphism of a free group is *induced by an element of* $\mathrm{Out}(W_n)$, if it is the restriction $\Phi|_K \colon K \to K$, for some $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(W_n)$ and $K = \ker(W_n \to \mathbb{Z}/2)$ as above.

Theorem I. *Let $G = W \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ be a {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic group. Then the rank of the fibre W is an invariant of $\widehat{G}$.*

   Suppose that all free-by-cyclic groups with monodromy induced by an element of $\mathrm{Out}(W_n)$ are conjugacy separable. Then $\widehat{G}$ determines the the stretch factors $\{\lambda^+, \lambda^-\}$ associated to the monodromy of the splitting $W \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem J. *Let G be an irreducible {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic group. Then G is almost profinitely rigid amongst irreducible {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic groups.*

1.3. **Some unanswered questions.** While we began in earnest to transport the programme of profinite rigidity amongst 3-manifold groups to free-by-cyclic groups we have perhaps raised as many questions as answers. We will highlight some key questions that we have encountered and hope to answer in the future. Perhaps the most pressing issue is that of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regularity.

Question 1.1. *Is every profinite isomorphism of free-by-cyclic groups $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular?*

   One may hope to answer the previous question as in [Liu23a], but using the agrarian polytope [HK21, Kie20] in place of the Thurston polytope. The key issue is that we do not have the TAP_1 property for free-by-cyclic groups (for 3-manifolds this is a deep result of Friedl–Vidussi [FV08, FV11]). The reader is referred to [HK22, Definition 3.1] for the definition due to its technical nature.

Question 1.2. *Is every free-by-cyclic group G in $\mathsf{TAP}_1(\mathbb{F})$ for $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{F}_p\}$ with p prime?*

The other somewhat obvious question is whether irreducibility is a profinite invariant. We expect this to be the case (at least amongst hyperbolic free-by-cyclic groups) and so leave this as one final question.

Question 1.3. *Is being irreducible a profinite invariant amongst free-by-cyclic groups?*

1.4. **Structure of the paper.** In Section 2 we recall the necessary background on free group automorphisms and free-by-cyclic groups and prove a number of results we will need throughout the paper.

In Section 2.1 we recall the definition of a topological representative of a free group automorphism, its stretch factor and the various definitions of irreducibility we will need. We include a proof that there are at most finitely many equivalence classes of irreducible topological representatives such that the graph has rank n and the stretch factor is at most some positive real number $C > 1$ (Lemma 2.1).

In Section 2.2 we study generic outer automorphisms of free groups and prove that a generic free-by-cyclic group has first Betti number equal to one and is super irreducible (Proposition 2.4).

In Section 2.3 we relate the Nielsen numbers of an outer automorphism of a free group to the stretch factor of the outer automorphism.

In Section 2.4 we study certain subgroup separability properties of free-by-cyclic groups. In particular, we show that every abelian and every free-by-cyclic subgroup is separable (Corollary 2.8). We combine this with results of Wilton–Zalesskii [WZ17a] to prove Theorem C from the introduction.

In Section 3 we recall the definitions of twisted Alexander polynomials and twisted Reidemeister torsions. We establish a number of facts about twisted Alexander polynomials which we will use later in the paper. Our main new contribution is a complete calculation of the zeroth twisted Alexander polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}$ for any path-connected topological space (Lemma 3.4) As well as formula for the twisted Reidemeister torsion of a free-by-cyclic group in terms of the twisted Alexander polynomials.

In Section 4 we recall the notion of a matrix coefficient module and a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular isomorphism. The main reason for this section is to allow us to work in the generality of a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular isomorphism, thus, if one established a positive answer to Question 1.1 then one could apply the results in this paper without any further modifications.

At this stage we establish some notation. Let G_A be a free-by-cyclic group with character ψ and fibre subgroup F_A. Also let G_B be a free-by-cyclic group with character φ and fibre subgroup F_B. Let $\Theta \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ be a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular isomorphism (see Definition 4.2). Our final result of the section is that $F_A \cong F_B$ (Proposition 4.6).

In Section 5 we set out to prove profinite invariance of Reidemeister torsion over $\mathbb{Q}$ twisted by representations of finite quotients for G_A and G_B.

Our strategy is parallel to that of Liu [Liu23a, Section 7], however due to the extra complexity of free-by-cyclic groups we have to invoke extra results about twisted Alexander polynomials of free-by-cyclic groups established in Section 3. In Section 5.1 we prove profinite invariance of the twisted Alexander polynomials although we work in the more general setting of {good type F}-by-$\mathbb{Z}$ groups and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular isomorphisms. In Section 5.2 we establish the profinite invariance of twisted Reidemeister torsion for G_A and G_B. In Section 5.3 we prove that the homological stretch factors $\{\nu_A, \nu_A^-\}$ and $\{\nu_B, \nu_B^-\}$ are equal.

In Section 6, under the assumption of conjugacy separability of G_A and G_B we prove that the homotopical stretch factors $\{\lambda_A, \lambda_A^-\}$ and $\{\lambda_B, \lambda_B^-\}$ are equal. Again our strategy is largely motivated by [Liu23a, Section 8]. The key difference is that for a fibred character χ on a finite volume hyperbolic 3-manifold the stretch factors of χ and χ^{-1} are the same. This is not true for free-by-cyclic groups and so our main work is resolving this issue.

Combining the major results up to this point proves Theorem B.

In Section 7 we prove Theorem A. In the hyperbolic case this is a corollary of Theorem B and the fact that hyperbolic free-by-cyclic groups are virtually special and hence conjugacy separable. In the general case we apply a result of Mutanguha [Mut21] and train track theory to deduce the conjugacy separability we require.

In Section 8 we prove Theorem H. This is really an easy consequence of Theorem B once we transport a result of Liu [Liu23b, Proposition 3.7] on proconguence conjugacy of mapping class groups to the $\text{Out}(F_n)$ setting.

Finally, in Section 9 we prove results on profinite invariants and profinite almost rigidity of {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic groups. To do so, we start by establishing notation and recalling background on morphisms of graphs of groups in Section 9.1. The purpose of Section 9.2 is to relate the theory of train track representatives of elements in $\text{Out}(W_n)$ with Nielsen fixed point theory. We also prove a lemma on irreducibility of covers of directed graphs and use this to relate the stretch factor of an outer automorphism $\Phi \in \text{Out}(W_n)$ with the stretch factor of the free group automorphism obtained by restricting Φ to a free characteristic subgroup of W_n. In the final Section 9.3 we combine results from previous sections to prove Theorem I and Theorem J.

1.5. **Notation.** We include a table of notation for the aid of the reader.

Symbol	Definition
F_n	Free group of rank n
W_n	Universal Coxeter group of rank n, that is, $*_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}/2$
Γ	Graph
$(\Gamma, \mathcal{G}), \mathcal{G}$	Graph of groups
$X_{\mathcal{G}}$	Graph of spaces
$(f, f_X), f$	Morphism of graphs of groups
$\psi, \varphi, \psi_A, \varphi_B$	Character of a free-by-cyclic group
$(G_A, \psi), (G_B, \varphi)$	Free-by-cyclic group
F, F_A, F_B	Fibre subgroup
Ψ, Φ	Outer automorphisms (of F_n or W_n)
f, f_A, f_B	Train track
A	Incidence matrix
$\mathrm{Orb}_m(f)$	Set of m-periodic orbits of f
$N_m(f)$	mth Nielsen number of f
$\lambda, \lambda_f, \lambda_\Psi$	Homotopical stretch factor (of f or Ψ)
ν, ν_f, ν_Ψ	Homological stretch factor (of f or Ψ)
R	Unique factorisation domain
$R^\times$	Units of R
$\Delta_{R,n}^{\varphi,\alpha}$	nth Alexander polynomial of φ twisted by α over R
$\tau_R^{\varphi,\alpha}$	Reidemeister torsion of φ twisted by α over R
In some contexts we will drop the R from the previous notations and replace it with a group G for clarity, that is, $\Delta_{G,n}^{\varphi,\alpha}$ and $\tau_G^{\varphi,\alpha}$ or even $\tau_{G,R}^{\varphi,\alpha}$	
α, β, γ	Finite quotients
Q	Image of a finite quotient
ρ, σ	Representation of a group
χ_ρ	Character of the representation ρ
$\gamma^*(\sigma)$	Pullback representation of σ along γ
$\mathbf{1}$	The trivial representation
Θ	Profinite isomorphism
$\mathrm{MC}(\Theta)$	Mapping coefficient module
$\Theta_*^\epsilon, \Theta_\epsilon^*$	ϵ-specialisation of Θ
μ	Unit of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$

TABLE 1. Table of notation.

2. Preliminaries on free group automorphisms

2.1. **Topological representatives.** Let $n \geqslant 2$ and $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ be an outer automorphism of F_n. A *topological representative* of Φ is a tuple (f, Γ), where Γ is a connected graph with $\pi_1(\Gamma) \cong F_n$, and $f \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is a homotopy equivalence which induces the outer automorphism Φ. Furthermore, f preserves the set of vertices of Γ and it is locally injective on the interiors of the edges of Γ. Fix an ordering of the edges of Γ. The *incidence matrix A* of f is the matrix with entries a_{ij}, which is the number of occurrences of the unoriented edge e_j in the edge-path $f(e_i)$.

Recall that a non-negative integral n-by-n square matrix M is said to be *irreducible*, if for any $i, j \leqslant n$, there exists some $k \geqslant 1$ such that the (i, j)-th entry of M^k is positive.

Let (f, Γ) be a topological representative. A *filtration of length l* of (f, Γ) is a sequence of subgraphs

(1) $$\varnothing = \Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma_1 \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \Gamma_l = \Gamma,$$

so that $f(\Gamma_i) \subseteq \Gamma_i$ for all i. The closure $S_i = \mathrm{Cl}(\Gamma_i \backslash \Gamma_{i-1})$ is called the ith *stratum* of the filtration. Re-order the edges of Γ so that whenever $i < j$, the edges in Γ_i precede the edges in Γ_j. The filtration is said to be *maximal* if the square submatrix A_i of the incidence matrix A which corresponds to the i-th stratum is either the zero matrix, or it is irreducible. It is a standard fact that any topological representative admits a maximal filtration which is unique up to reordering of the strata. If (f, Γ) admits a maximal filtration of length one then we say that (f, Γ) is *irreducible*.

By the Perron–Frobenius theorem (see Chapter 2 in [Sen06]), if A_i is the submatrix of the incidence matrix A of (f, Γ) which corresponds to an irreducible stratum S_i, then the spectral radius $\rho(A_i)$ of A_i is an eigenvalue of A_i, which is known as the *Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue* of A_i. Furthermore, $\rho(A_i) \geqslant 1$ and equality holds exactly when A_i is a permutation matrix. We call S_i an *exponentially-growing stratum* if its Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue is strictly greater than one. For a topological representative (f, Γ), we write λ_f, (or λ if there is no potential for confusion), to denote the maximal Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue taken over all the non-zero strata of the maximal filtration of (f, Γ), and we call it the *(homotopical) stretch factor* of f.

Let (f, Γ) be a topological representative. The *mapping torus M_f* of f is the quotient space

$$M_f = \frac{\Gamma \times [0, 1]}{(f(x), 0) \sim (x, 1)}.$$

We define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on the set of topological representatives of elements of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$, so that $(f_1, \Gamma_1) \sim (f_2, \Gamma_2)$ whenever there exists an isomorphism $\pi_1(M_{f_1}) \cong \pi_1(M_{f_2})$.

The following lemma is well known to the experts. We reproduce the proof here for completeness and in order to refer to it in Section 9.2.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n \geqslant 2$ and $C > 1$. There exist at most finitely many equivalence classes of topological representatives (f, Γ) of elements in $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$, such that f is irreducible and the stretch factor λ of f satisfies $\lambda \leqslant C$.*

Proof. Let (f, Γ) be an irreducible topological representative with $\pi_1(\Gamma) \cong F_n$. By composing valence-one and valence-two homotopies, and collapsing maximal invariant forests (see [BH92, Section 1]), we obtain an irreducible representative (f', Γ') which is equivalent to (f, Γ), and such that $\pi_1(\Gamma') \cong F_n$ and Γ' has no valence-one and valence-two vertices. We now argue as in [BH92, Theorem 1.7]. By a simple Euler characteristic argument, the number of edges in Γ' is bounded above by $3n - 3$. Furthermore, it is known that the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue of an irreducible matrix A is bounded below by the minimum of the sums of the rows of A. Hence the transition matrix of (f', Γ') can take on at most finitely many values. $\square$

A subgraph is *non-trivial* if it has a component which is not a vertex. An outer automorphism $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is *irreducible*, if every topological representative (f, Γ) of Φ, where Γ has no valence-one vertices and no non-trivial f-invariant forests, is irreducible. A free-by-cyclic group G is *irreducible*, if G admits a splitting $G \cong F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$, with $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ irreducible. Note that by [Mut21], if G is irreducible then the monodromy associated to every fibred splitting of G is an irreducible outer automorphism.

The *stretch factor* of an irreducible outer automorphism Φ is the minimum of the stretch factors of the irreducible topological representatives of Φ. Such a minimum exists by Lemma 2.1. Any train track representative (f, Γ) of Φ realises the stretch factor of Φ. For a general outer automorphism Φ, we define the stretch factor of Φ to be the maximum of the set of stretch factors of the non-zero strata of any relative train track representative.

2.2. **Generic elements of** $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$. Fix a finite generating set S of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$. For each $l \geqslant 1$, let $\mathcal{W}_l$ denote the subset of elements $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ such that the shortest word representative of Φ has length l. We say that a *random element of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ satisfies property P with probability p*, if

$$\frac{\#\{\Phi \in \mathcal{W}_l \mid \Phi \text{ satisfies } P\}}{\#\mathcal{W}_l} \to p \text{ as } l \to \infty.$$

We say that a *generic element in $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ has property P*, if a random element satisfies property P with probability $p = 1$.

The following theorem is a consequence of the results in Section 7 of [Riv08], which hold verbatim after replacing $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ by $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ in all the statements.

Theorem 2.2 ([Riv08]). *A generic element in $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is super irreducible.*

Proposition 2.3. *For a generic element $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$, the first Betti number of $F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ is equal to one.*

Proof. Write Φ_{ab} to denote the image of Φ under the natural map induced by the abelianisation of F_n,

$$\mathrm{Out}(F_n) \to \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\Phi \mapsto \Phi_{\mathrm{ab}}.$$

The free abelianisation of $F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if Φ_{ab} has no eigenvalue equal to 1 [BMV07, Theorem 2.4]. By Theorem 2.2, for a generic element Φ in $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$, Φ_{ab} has irreducible characteristic polynomial. Hence the result follows. $\qquad\square$

Write $\mathcal{G}_l$ to denote the set of all (isomorphism types of) free-by-cyclic groups G such that $G \cong F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{W}_l$. We say that a *random F_n-by-cyclic group satisfies property P with probability p*, if

$$\frac{\#\{G \in \mathcal{G}_l \mid G \text{ satisfies } P\}}{\#\mathcal{G}_l} \to p \text{ as } l \to \infty.$$

An outer automorphism $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is said to be *super irreducible* if no positive power of the induced map $\Phi_{\mathrm{ab}} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ maps a proper subspace of $H_1(F_n; \mathbb{Q})$ into itself. A free-by-cyclic group G is *super irreducible* if there exists some splitting $G \cong F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ such that Φ is super irreducible.

Proposition 2.4. *A generic F_n-by-cyclic group has first Betti number equal to one and is super irreducible.*

Proof. Let $G \cong F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose that $b_1(G) = 1$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_l$. Then the monodromies associated to G are exactly Φ and Φ^{-1}. Both of these have length l in S, and if G is super irreducible then at least one of them is super irreducible. Thus for every $l \geqslant 1$,

$$\#\{G \in \mathcal{G}_l \mid b_1(G) = 1 \text{ and } G \text{ super irreducible}\}$$

$$\geqslant \frac{1}{2}\#\{\Phi \in \mathcal{W}_l \mid b_1(F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}) = 1 \text{ and } \Phi \text{ is super irreducible}\}.$$

Let $f \colon \mathcal{W}_l \to \mathcal{G}_l$ be the map which sends an element $\Phi \in \mathcal{W}_l$ to the associated free-by-cyclic group $F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$. Note that f is surjective. Let $\mathcal{W}_l'$ be the set of outer automorphisms $\Phi \in \mathcal{W}_l$ of order two, and let $\mathcal{G}_l'$ be the set of (isomorphism types of) free-by-cyclic groups G such that $G \cong F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ with $\Phi \in \mathcal{W}_l'$. Then, for every $G \in \mathcal{G}_l \backslash \mathcal{G}_l'$ we have that $|f^{-1}(G)| \geqslant 2$. Hence

$$\#\mathcal{W}_l \geqslant 2 \cdot \#\mathcal{G}_l - \#\mathcal{G}_l'.$$

Thus,

(2)
$$\#\{G \in \mathcal{G}_l \mid b_1(G) = 1 \text{ and } G \text{ is super irreducible}\}/\#\mathcal{G}_l$$

$$\geqslant \#\{\Phi \in \mathcal{W}_l \mid b_1(F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}) = 1 \text{ and } \Phi \text{ super irreducible}\}/(\#\mathcal{W}_l + \#\mathcal{G}_l')$$

$$= \#\{\Phi \in \mathcal{W}_l \mid b_1(F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}) = 1 \text{ and } \Phi \text{ super irreducible}\}/\#\mathcal{W}_l \cdot (1 - g(l)),$$

where

$$g(l) = \frac{1}{1 + \#\mathcal{W}_l/\#\mathcal{G}_l'}.$$

Note that
$$\#\mathcal{W}_l/\#\mathcal{G}_l' \geqslant \#\mathcal{W}_l/\#\mathcal{W}_l'$$
and $\#\mathcal{W}_l/\#\mathcal{W}_l' \to \infty$ as $l \to \infty$. Hence $g(l) \to 0$ as $l \to \infty$.

Combining Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 with the above observation implies that the right hand side of (2) tends to 1 as $l \to \infty$. The result follows. $\qquad\square$

2.3. **Nielsen fixed point theory.** Let X be a connected topological space and $f \colon X \to X$ a self-map. An *m-periodic point* $p \in X$ is a fixed point of the map f^m. Let $\mathrm{Orb}_m(f)$ be the set of orbits of m-periodic points of X under the action of f. Each orbit $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{Orb}_m(f)$ determines a free homotopy class of loops in the mapping torus M_f, and thus a conjugacy class in $\pi_1(M_f)$, which we denote by $\mathrm{cd}(\mathcal{O})$. Furthermore, every $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{Orb}_m(f)$ admits an index $\mathrm{ind}_m(f; \mathcal{O}) \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is the fixed point index of f^m at any point $p \in \mathcal{O}$ (see [Jia96, Section 1.3]). A periodic orbit of a point in X under the action of f is said to be *essential* if it has non-zero index. Note that if X is a graph then an isolated fixed point x has index zero, if and only if f is not locally injective at x.

Definition 2.5. The *m-th Nielsen number* of f, denoted by $N_m(f)$, is the number of essential m-periodic orbits of f.

It is a standard fact from Nielsen fixed point theory (see e.g. [Jia83, Chapter 1] and [Jia96]), that each Nielsen number is independent of the choice of topological representative of Φ. Hence, we may write $N_\infty(\Phi)$ to denote
$$N_\infty(\Phi) = \limsup_{m\to\infty} N_m(f)^{1/m},$$
where (f, Γ) is any topological representative of Φ.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$. Then $N_\infty(\Phi)$ is equal to the stretch factor λ of Φ.*

Proof. By [BFH00], there exists a positive integer k such that Φ^k admits a topological representative (f, Γ) which is an improved relative train track. Let A be the corresponding incidence matrix and fix a maximal filtration of Γ. Let $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the set of exponentially-growing strata of Γ and write A_i^m to denote the submatrix of A^m spanned by the edges of S_i. Let λ_i be the stretch factor of S_i.

For each exponentially-growing stratum S_i, there exists a length assignment $L \colon E(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$ on the edges of Γ, such that $L(e) > 0$ and $L(f(e)) = \lambda_i \cdot L(e)$, for every edge e in S_i. Hence the number of fixed points of f^m contained in the interior of the edge e of Γ_i is given by the number of times the edge path $f^m(e)$ crosses the edge e in either direction. This is precisely the element on the diagonal of the matrix A^m corresponding to the edge e.

By definition of improved relative train tracks, every periodic Nielsen path has period one, and for each exponentially growing stratum S_i, there is at most one indivisible Nielsen path which intersects S_i. Furthermore, the

number of Nielsen fixed point classes which intersect the non-exponentially-growing strata non-trivially or which contain a vertex is uniformly bounded as m goes to infinity. Hence, there exists some constant C such that

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty} N_m(f)^{1/m} = \limsup_{m\to\infty} \left(C + \sum_{i\in I} \operatorname{tr}(A_i^m) \right)^{1/m}$$
$$= \max\{\lambda_i\}_{i\in I} = \lambda_f.$$

Thus, $N_\infty(\Phi^k)$ is equal to the stretch factor of Φ^k.

By [FM21, Corollary 7.14], if λ is the maximal stretch factor of a relative train track representative of Φ^k, then $\lambda^{1/k}$ is the maximal stretch factor associated to Φ. Note also that $N_\infty(\Phi^k) = N_\infty(\Phi)^k$. The result follows by combining the arguments in the previous paragraphs. $\qquad\square$

2.4. **Detecting atoroidal monodromy.** In this section we will prove that hyperbolicity (equivalently the property of admitting atoroidal monodromy) is determined by the profinite completion. The strategy is to show finitely generated abelian subgroups of free-by-cyclic groups are fully separable and the use work of Brinkmann [Bri00] and Wilton–Zalesskii [WZ17a].

Recall a subgroup $H \leqslant G$ is *separable* if for every $g \in G\backslash H$ there exists a finite quotient $\rho\colon G \twoheadrightarrow Q$ such that $\rho(g) \notin \rho(H)$. A subgroup H is *fully separable* if every finite index subgroup of H is separable in G.

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a free-by-cyclic group and let $H \leqslant G$ be a subgroup. If H is free-by-cyclic or cyclic, then H is separable in G.*

Proof. Fix a fibred character $\varphi\colon G \to \mathbb{Z}$ of G. Let $F = \ker\varphi$ be the fibre, $t \in \varphi^{-1}(1)$ and $\Phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(F)$ the automorphism corresponding to the conjugation action of t on F in G.

Let $H \leqslant G$ be a free-by-cyclic subgroup of G. By [FH99, Proposition 2.3], there exist a finitely generated subgroup $A \leqslant F$, an element $y \in F$ and a positive integer k such that $\Phi^k(A) = yAy^{-1}$ and $H = A \rtimes \langle t^k y\rangle$. Let $g \in G\backslash H$. Then $g = bt^m$, for some $b \in F$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that m is not a multiple of k. The subgroup $G' = \langle F, t^k y\rangle \cong F \rtimes_{\iota_y \Phi^k} \mathbb{Z}$, where $\iota_y \in \operatorname{Aut}(F)$ denotes the inner automorphism $\iota_y(x) = y^{-1}xy$ for all $x \in F$, is a finite index subgroup of G, and it is free-by-cyclic. Thus, using normal forms it is easy to see that $H \leqslant G'$ and $g \notin G'$.

Suppose now that $m = kl$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $g = b'(t^k y)^l$, for some $b' \in F$, and since $g \notin H$ it must be that $b' \notin A$. The usual Marshall–Hall argument gives a finite-index subgroup $F' \leqslant F$ such that $b' \notin F'$ and $A \leqslant F'$. Let $N = [F : F']$. Since $\iota_y \cdot \Phi^k\colon F \to F$ is an automorphism, it permutes the (finite) set of subgroups of F of index N. Hence there exists some positive integer M such that $(\iota_y \cdot \Phi^k)^M(F') = F'$. Let $F'' = \bigcap_{i=0}^{M-1}(\iota_y \cdot \Phi^k)^i(F')$. Then $\iota_y \cdot \Phi^k(F'') = F''$ and $A \leqslant F''$. Furthermore, since $F'' \leqslant F'$, we have that $b' \notin F''$. Thus $G' = \langle F'', t^k y\rangle \cong F'' \rtimes \langle t^k y\rangle$ is a finite index subgroup

of G which is free-by-cyclic. Again, by normal forms it follows that $H \leqslant G'$ and $g \notin H$. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 2.8. *Let G be a free-by-cyclic group. If $H \leqslant G$ is a free-by-cyclic or abelian subgroup, then H is fully separable in G. In particular $\bar{H}$, the closure of H in $\widehat{G}$, is isomorphic to $\widehat{H}$.*

Proof. Every finite-index subgroup of H is free-by-cyclic or abelian. It follows from Proposition 2.7 that every finite-index subgroup of H is separable (including H itself). The result now follows from [Rei15, Lemma 4.6]. $\qquad\square$

We have everything we need to prove Theorem C from the introduction.

Theorem C. *Let G_A and G_B be profinitely isomorphic free-by-cyclic groups. Then G_A is Gromov hyperbolic if and only if G_B is Gromov hyperbolic.*

Proof. Let G_A and G_B be free-by-cyclic groups such that $\widehat{G}_A \cong \widehat{G}_B$. Suppose that G_A is Gromov hyperbolic. By [HW15], G_A is a cocompactly cubulated and thus virtually special. Hence we may apply [WZ17a, Theorem D] to deduce that $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ is not a subgroup of $\widehat{G}_A$. By Corollary 2.8, the $\mathbb{Z}^2$ subgroups of G_B are fully separable and since $\widehat{G}_B$ contains no $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ subgroups, it follows G_B contains no $\mathbb{Z}^2$ subgroups. In particular, by [Bri00, Theorem 1.2] G_B is Gromov hyperbolic.

Suppose conversely that G_A is not Gromov hyperbolic. Then by [Bri00], G_A has a $\mathbb{Z}^2$ subgroup and so by Corollary 2.8, $\widehat{G}_A$ contains a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ subgroup. Suppose now G_B is not Gromov hyperbolic, then by the argument in the previous paragraph $\widehat{G}_B$ does not contain $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ subgroups. This contradiction completes the proof. $\qquad\square$

We will need the following proposition later. It is a special case of [BR20, Lemma 2.2] but we include a proof for completeness.

Proposition 2.9. *Let G be a finitely generated, residually finite group and $\varphi \colon G \to \mathbb{Z}$ an epimorphism. If $N = \ker \varphi$ is finitely generated, then N is fully separable in G.*

Proof. By [Rei15, Lemma 4.6], it suffices to prove that every finite index subgroup $N' \leqslant_f N$ of N is closed in the profinite topology on G. Note that since N is finitely generated, for any such subgroup $N' \leqslant_f N$ there exists a finite index subgroup $G' \leqslant_f G$ such that φ induces an epimorphism $\varphi' \colon G' \to \mathbb{Z}$ with $N' = \ker \varphi'$. Since G' has finite index in G, every subset of G' which is closed in the profinite topology on G' is also closed in the profinite topology on G. Hence it suffices to show that N is closed in the profinite topology on G. To that end, fix $t \in \varphi^{-1}(1)$ and let $g \in G \backslash N$. Then $\varphi(g) = k$, for some $k \neq 0$. Let $C_{|k|+1}$ denote the cyclic group of order $|k| + 1$. Define a homomorphism $\pi \colon G \to C_{|k|+1}$ which sends t to a generator of $C_{|k|+1}$ and all the elements of N to 0. Then $\pi(g) \neq 0$, whereas $N \leqslant \ker \pi$. $\qquad\square$

3. Some properties of twisted Alexander polynomials and Reidemeister torsion

In this section we will collect a number of facts about twisted Alexander polynomials and twisted Reidemeister torsion that we will use later on. Our main contribution is a complete computation of the zeroth Alexander polynomials twisted by representations factoring through finite groups over characteristic zero fields (Lemma 3.4).

Definition 3.1 (Alexander modules and polynomials)**.** Let R be a unique factorisation domain and let G be a finitely generated group. Let φ be a non-trivial primitive class in $H^1(G;\mathbb{Z})$ considered as a homomorphism $G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\rho\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ be a representation. Consider $R^n[t^{\pm 1}]$ equipped with the RG-bimodule structure given by

$$g.x = t^{\varphi(g)}\rho(g)x, \quad x.g = xt^{\varphi(g)}\rho(g)$$

for $g \in G, x \in R^n[t^{\pm 1}]$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the *kth twisted Alexander module of φ and ρ* to be $H_k(G; R^n[t^{\pm 1}])$, where $R^n[t^{\pm 1}]$ has the right RG-module structure described above. Observe that $H_k(G; R^n[t^{\pm 1}])$ also has the structure of a left $R[t^{\pm 1}]$-module. If G is of type $\mathsf{FP}_k(R)$, then the kth twisted Alexander module is a finitely generated $R[t^{\pm 1}]$-module. Moreover, it is zero whenever $k < 0$ or k is greater than the cohomological dimension of G over R.

Since R is UFD so is $R[t^{\pm 1}]$. Let M be an $R[t^{\pm 1}]$-module. The *order* of M is the greatest common divisor of all maximal minors in a presentation matrix of M with finitely many columns. The order of M is well-defined up to a unit of $R[t^{\pm 1}]$ and depends only on the isomorphism type of M.

Suppose that G is of type $\mathsf{FP}_k(R)$. The *kth twisted Alexander polynomial* $\Delta_{k,R}^{\varphi,\rho}(t)$ over R with respect to φ and ρ is defined to be the order of the kth twisted (homological) Alexander module of φ and ρ, treated as a left $R[t^{\pm 1}]$-module.

We will now collect a number of facts about twisted Alexanbder polynomials. The following lemma is a triviality.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a path-connected non-empty topological space. Let $G = \pi_1 X$ be a finitely generated group, let $\varphi\colon G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, and let $\rho, \sigma\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ be representations of G over a UFD R. If ρ and σ are conjugate representations, then*

$$\Delta_n^{\varphi,\rho}(t) \doteq \Delta_n^{\varphi,\sigma}(t).$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a path-connected non-empty topological space. Let $G = \pi_1 X$ be a finitely generated group, let $\varphi\colon G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, and let $\rho, \sigma\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ be representations of G over a UFD R. Then,*

$$\Delta_n^{\varphi,\rho\oplus\sigma}(t) \doteq \Delta_n^{\varphi,\rho}(t) \times \Delta_n^{\varphi,\sigma}(t).$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that homology commutes with taking direct sums of coefficient modules. □

The next lemma will be a key step in proving profinite rigidity of twisted Reidemeister torsion for our class of free-by-cyclic groups. Recall for a G-module M being acted on via $\alpha\colon G \times M \to M$ we write M_α when we wish to make clear the G-module structure.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a topological space. Let $G = \pi_1 X$ be a finitely generated group, let $\varphi\colon G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be algebraically fibred, and let $\rho\colon G \twoheadrightarrow Q \to \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Q})$ be a representation factoring through a finite group. Then,*

$$\Delta_0^{\varphi,\rho}(t) \doteq (1-t)^n P(t),$$

where $n \geqslant 0$ and $P(t)$ is a product of cyclotomic polynomials, up to multiplication by monomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}^\times$. In particular,

$$\Delta_0^{\varphi,\rho}(t) \doteq \Delta_0^{\varphi,\rho}(t^{-1}).$$

Proof. Let F denote the kernel of φ. We need to compute $M \coloneqq H_0(G; \mathbb{Q}^k[t^{\pm 1}])$ which is naturally isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Q}^k[t^{\pm 1}])_G$.

By Maschke's Theorem we may write the representation ρ of Q as a sum $\oplus_{i=1}^\ell \rho_i\colon Q \to \prod_{i=1}^\ell \mathrm{GL}_{k_i}(\mathbb{Q})$, where $\sum_{i=1}^\ell k_i = k$, of irreducible $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of L. We may now write

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^\ell (\mathbb{Q}^{k_i}[t^{\pm 1}])_G.$$

For each i there are three possibilities:

Case 1:. $\rho_i(Q) \neq \{1\}$ but $\rho_i(F) = \{1\}$.

In this case ρ_i has image a non-trivial finite cyclic group L. We quickly recap the $\mathbb{Q}$-representation theory of $\mathbb{Z}/n$ for $n \geqslant 2$. The irreducible representations of $\mathbb{Z}/n$ are exactly the 1-dimensional trivial representation and for each prime p dividing n the representation given by $\mathbb{Z}/n \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \to \mathrm{GL}_{p-1}(\mathbb{Q})$ where $\mathbb{Z}/p$ acts faithfully on $\mathbb{Q}^{p-1}$. The latter representation may be constructed by taking $\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}/p]$ and quotienting out by the $\mathbb{Z}/p$-invariant subspace $\langle \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} g^j \rangle$ where g is any non-trivial element of $\mathbb{Z}/p$. Note that in this case the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(g)$ is the cyclotomic polynomial $\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} t^j$.

Since ρ_i is irreducible it follows that we are in the situation of a faithful representation of $\mathbb{Z}/p$ on $\mathbb{Q}^{p-1}$ for some prime p. Consider the tail end of the standard resolution for $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}G$

$$C_1 \xrightarrow{\ \partial\ } C_0 \quad = \quad a_0 \mathbb{Z}G \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{m-1}\mathbb{Z}G \oplus t\mathbb{Z}G \xrightarrow{\ \partial\ } \mathbb{Z}G$$

where $a_0, \ldots, a_{m-1}$ is a generating set for F, where t is the generator of $\mathbb{Z}$ viewing $G = F \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, and where

$$(3) \qquad\qquad \partial = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_0, \ldots, 1 - a_{m-1}, 1 - t \end{bmatrix}.$$

We need to compute the order of the presentation matrix

$$\partial \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}^{p-1}[t^{\pm 1}]} = \begin{bmatrix} 0, \ldots, 0, \mathrm{id} - \rho_i(t)t \end{bmatrix}.$$

But this is the same as computing an order of the square matrix $\mathrm{id} - \rho_i(t)t$. Now,

$$(4) \quad \mathrm{ord}(\mathrm{id} - \rho_i(t)t) \doteq \det(\mathrm{id}\, t^{-1} - \rho_i(t)t \cdot t^{-1})t^{p-1} \doteq \det(\mathrm{id}\, t^{-1} - \rho_i(t))$$

but this is exactly the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_i(t)$ with respect to t^{-1}. Namely, it is the cyclotomic polynomial $\chi_p(t^{-1}) \doteq \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} t^j$. So we have that $\Delta_0^{\varphi,\rho_i}(t) \doteq \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} t^j$.   ◆

Case 2:. $\rho_i(F) \neq \{1\}$.

We start by again by viewing G as $F \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, we have a differential ∂ as in (3) such that $\Delta_0^{\varphi,\rho_i}$ is given by an order of

$$D_i := \partial \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}^{k_i}[t^{\pm1}]} = [\mathrm{id} - \rho_i(a_0), \dots, \mathrm{id} - \rho_i(a_{m-1}), \mathrm{id} - \rho_i(t)].$$

To this end we define D to be the set of cofactors of D_i. So $\Delta_0^{\varphi,\rho_i} \doteq \gcd D$.

We first conjugate ρ_i so that $\rho_i(t)$ is in block diagonal form. Since the image of t is cyclic, say of order n, we obtain a block structure where the non-identity blocks are matrices corresponding to non-trivial $\mathbb{Q}$-representations of various subgroups $H \leqslant \mathbb{Z}/n$. Thus, arguing as in (4) we see that

$$(1-t)^{n'} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \chi_{n_j}(t) \in D,$$

where n' is dimension of the fixed subspace of $\rho_i(t)$ and $\chi_{n_j}(t)$ is the cyclotomic polynomial of order n_j such that n_j divides n.

Now, $\Delta_0^{\varphi,\rho_i}$ divides every element of D and is a polynomial defined over $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ (up to multiplication by t^{ℓ} for some $\ell \geqslant 0$). Now, $\chi_{n_j}(t)$ is the minimal polynomial for all primitive n_jth roots of unity. In particular, any non-trivial polynomial dividing and not equal to $\chi_{n_j}(t)$ is not defined over $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm1}]$. It follows that $\Delta_0^{\varphi,\rho_i} = P_i(t) \cdot (1-t)^{n''}$ where $P_i(t)$ is a product of cyclotomic polynomials and n'' is a non-negative integer less than or equal to k_i.   ◆

Case 3:. $\rho_i(G) = \{1\}$.

In this case we are computing $(\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm1}])_G$ where G acts trivially on $\mathbb{Q}$. Clearly, this is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm1}]/(1-t)$ which is additively isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}$.   ◆

By Lemma 3.3 we have that $\Delta_0^{\varphi,\rho}(t) \doteq \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \Delta_0^{\varphi,\rho_i}(t) \doteq (1-t)^n P(t)$ where n is some non-negative integer and $P(t)$ is a product of cyclotomic polynomials.   □

Remark 3.5. The previous lemma easily generalises to any field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero with the modified conclusion that $\Delta_0^{\varphi,\rho}(t) \doteq Q(t)P(t)$, where $Q(t)$ is a product of polynomials $(1 - \zeta_i t)$ such that ζ_i is some root of unity in $\mathbb{F}$, and where $P(t)$ is a product of cyclotomic polynomials whose roots do not lie in $\mathbb{F}$.

Let R be a unique factorisation domain. A polynomial $c(t) \in R[t^{\pm1}]$ is *palindromic* if $c(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} c_i t^i$ and $c_i = c_{r-i}$. Given any polynomial $c(t) \in$

$R[t^{\pm 1}]$ where $c(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{r} c_i t^i$ we write $c^{\star}(t)$ for the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{r} c_{r-i} t^i$. Note that $c(t) \cdot c^{\star}(t)$ is palindromic.

The following lemma is a triviality

Lemma 3.6. *let R be a UFD. Let G be a group, let $\varphi\colon G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, and let $\rho\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}_k(R)$ be a representation. Then,*

$$(\Delta_n^{\varphi,\rho})^{\star}(t) \doteq \Delta_n^{-\varphi,\rho}(t) \doteq \Delta_n^{\varphi,\rho}(t^{-1})$$

up to monomial factors with coefficients in $R^{\times}$.

The following lemma is well known to experts. We include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field. Let G be a group of type $\mathsf{FP}_n(\mathbb{F})$. If $\varphi\colon G \to \mathbb{Z}$ is an $\mathsf{FP}_n(\mathbb{F})$-fibring, then*

$$\deg \Delta_{G,n}^{\varphi,\mathbf{1}}(t) = b_n(\ker \varphi; \mathbb{F}),$$

where the Alexander polynomial is taken over $\mathbb{F}$.

Proof. We may write G as $\ker \varphi \rtimes \langle t \rangle$ and $\Delta_{G,n}^{\varphi,\mathbf{1}}(t)$ as the characteristic polynomial of the $\mathbb{F}$-linear transformation $T_n\colon H_n(\ker \varphi; \mathbb{F}) \to H_n(\ker \varphi; \mathbb{F})$ and $T^n\colon H^n(\ker \varphi; \mathbb{F}) \to H^n(\ker \varphi; \mathbb{F})$, where T is the induced map of t on (co)homology. Hence,

$$H^1(\ker \varphi; \mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}[t^{\pm 1}]/(\Delta_{G,n}^{\varphi,\mathbf{1}}(t)). \qquad \square$$

Recall that for a discrete group G, the *geometric dimension* of G, denoted $\mathrm{gd}(G)$, is the minimal $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that G admits an n-dimensional model for $K(G,1)$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let R be a UFD. Let G be a group of type F with $\mathrm{gd}(G) = n$, let $\varphi\colon G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, and let $\rho\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}_k(R)$ be a representation. If $\Delta_n^{\varphi,\rho} \neq 0$ over R, then $\Delta_n^{\varphi,\rho} \doteq 1$.*

Proof. Consider the head end of the cellular chain complex for G, namely,

$$0 \longrightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} C_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

tensoring with $R^k[t^{\pm 1}]$ and taking homology we see that $H_n(G; R^k[t^{\pm 1}]) = \ker \partial_{n-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{R^k[t^{\pm 1}]}$. In particular, it is a submodule of a free $R[t^{\pm 1}]$-module and so cannot be $R[t^{\pm 1}]$-torsion unless it is 0. But since $\Delta_n^{\varphi,\rho} \neq 0$ by assumption, we have that $H_n(G; R^k[t^{\pm 1}])$ is $R[t^{\pm 1}]$-torsion. The result follows. $\square$

We now wish to define *the twisted Reidemeister torsion* $\tau_{G,R}^{\varphi,\rho}(t)$ of φ twisted by ρ over R. Rather than give the original definition which we will not need, we instead use the following lemma which recasts the invariant in terms of twisted Alexander polynomials as our definition. The lemma can be deduced by standard methods, for example, it is an immediate corollary of [Tur86, Lemma 2.1.1].

Lemma 3.9. *Let R be a UFD. Let G be a group of type F, let $\varphi\colon G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ have kernel of type F, and let $\rho\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}_k(R)$ be a representation. Then,*

$$\tau_{G,R}^{\varphi,\rho}(t) \doteq \prod_{n \geqslant 0} \left(\Delta_{G,n}^{\varphi,\rho}(t) \right)^{(-1)^{n+1}}$$

up to monomial factors with coefficients in $\mathrm{Frac}(R)^{\times}$.

This allows us to easily compute the Reidemeister torsion of free-by-cyclic groups.

Proposition 3.10. *Let R be a UFD. Let (G, φ) be a free-by-cyclic group and let $\rho\colon G \to \mathrm{GL}_k(R)$ be a representation. Then,*

$$\tau_{G,R}^{\varphi,\rho}(t) = \frac{\Delta_{G,1}^{\varphi,\rho}(t)}{\Delta_{G,0}^{\varphi,\rho}(t)}$$

up to monomial factors with coefficients in $\mathrm{Frac}(R)^{\times}$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.9. □

The final well known lemma is elementary.

Lemma 3.11. *Let R be a UFD. Let G be a group of type F admitting a character $\varphi\colon G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which has kernel of type F. If ρ_1 and ρ_2 are conjugate representations of G into $\mathrm{GL}_k(R)$, then $\tau_{G,R}^{\varphi,\rho_1}(t) \doteq \tau_{G,R}^{\varphi,\rho_2}(t)$.*

4. REGULARITY

In this section we will introduce the definition of a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular isomorphism. We will prove that in the case where G has $b_1(G) = 1$ every profinite isomorphism is $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular and deduce some consequences.

Definition 4.1 (Matrix coefficient modules)**.** Let H_A and H_B be a pair of finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. Let $\Theta\colon \widehat{H_A} \to \widehat{H_B}$ be a continuous homomorphism of the profinite completions. We define the *matrix coefficient module*

$$\mathrm{MC}(\Theta; H_A, H_B)$$

(or simply $\mathrm{MC}(\Theta)$ if there is no chance of confusion) for Θ with respect to H_A and H_B to be the smallest $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule L of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\Theta(H_A)$ lies in the submodule $H_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ of $\widehat{H_B}$. We denote by

$$\Theta^{\mathrm{MC}}\colon H_A \to H_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{MC}(\Theta)$$

the homomorphism uniquely determined by the restriction of Θ to H_A.

For a finitely generated group G let G^{fab} denote the free part of the abelianisation G^{ab}. That is, the quotient of the abelianisation of G by its torsion elements.

Given groups G_A and G_B and a continuous homomorphism $\Theta\colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ we have an induced continuous homomorphisms $\Theta_*\colon \widehat{G}_A^{\mathrm{fab}} \to \widehat{G}_B^{\mathrm{fab}}$ and $\Theta^*\colon H^1(G_B, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(G_A, \mathbb{Z})$. We define $\mathrm{MC}(\Theta) \coloneqq \mathrm{MC}(\Theta_*, G_A^{\mathrm{fab}}, G_B^{\mathrm{fab}})$.

Definition 4.2 ($\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular isomorphism)**.** The isomorphism $\Theta \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-*regular*, if there exists a unit $\mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ and an isomorphism $\Xi \colon G_A^{\mathrm{fab}} \to G_B^{\mathrm{fab}}$ such that Θ_* is the profinite completion of the map given by the composite

$$(5) \qquad\qquad G_A^{\mathrm{fab}} \xrightarrow{\ \Xi\ } G_B^{\mathrm{fab}} \xrightarrow{\ \cdot \times \mu\ } \widehat{G_B^{\mathrm{fab}}}.$$

We sometimes write $\Theta_*^{1/\mu} \colon G_A^{\mathrm{fab}} \to G_B^{\mathrm{fab}}$ to denote the map Ξ in (5) and $\Theta_{1/\mu}^* \colon H^1(G_B, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(G_A, \mathbb{Z})$ to denote its dual.

For any $\varphi \in H^1(G_B; \mathbb{Z})$ and $\psi \in H^1(G_A; \mathbb{Z})$, we say ψ is the *pullback of φ via* Θ, if $\psi = \Theta_{1/\mu}^*(\varphi)$.

Suppose L_A is a finite index normal subgroup of G_A and let L_B be the corresponding normal subgroup of G_B under Θ. If $\psi \in H^1(G_A; \mathbb{Z})$ is the pullback of φ via Θ, then we say $\psi|_{L_A}$ is the pullback of $\varphi|_{L_B}$ via $\Theta|_{\widehat{L}_A}$.

We say a pair (G, ψ) is a $\mathcal{P}$-by-$\mathbb{Z}$ group for some group property $\mathcal{P}$ if G admits an epimorphism $\psi \colon G \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that the kernel has property $\mathcal{P}$.

Proposition 4.3 ($\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regularity)**.** *Let G_A and G_B be {type FP_∞}-by-$\mathbb{Z}$ groups satisfying $b_1(G_A) = b_1(G_B) = 1$. If $\Theta \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ is an isomorphism, then there exists a unit $\mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ such that $\mathrm{MC}(\Theta) = \mu \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. By [Liu23a, Proposition 3.2(1)], the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathrm{MC}(\Theta)$ is a non-zero finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-module spanned by the single entry of the 1×1 matrix (μ) over $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. By [Liu23a, Proposition 3.2(2)] we obtain a homomorphism $\Xi \colon G_A^{\mathrm{fab}} \to G_B^{\mathrm{fab}}$ such that $\Psi_* = \mu \widehat{\Xi}$. Moreover, μ is a unit because Θ is an isomorphism. Hence, $\mathrm{MC}(\Theta_*) = \mu \mathbb{Z}$. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 4.4 (Fibre closure isomorphisms)**.** *Let (L_A, ψ) and (L_B, φ) be {type FP_∞}-by-$\mathbb{Z}$ groups. Suppose $\Theta \colon \widehat{L}_A \to \widehat{L}_B$ is an isomorphism and ψ is the pullback of φ via Θ with unit μ. If F_A is the fibre subgroup of L_A, then $\overline{F}_A$ projects isomorphically onto $\overline{F}_B$, the closure of the fibre subgroup of L_B, under Θ.*

Proof. There are two cases, the first is when Θ is a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular isomorphism, and the second is when L_A and L_B are finite index subgroups of groups G_A and G_B respectively such that there is $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular isomorphism $\tilde{\Theta} \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ and ψ is the pullback of φ via $\tilde{\Theta}$.

We first prove the case where Θ is $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular. Our proof in this case essentially follows [Liu23a, Corollary 6.2]. Write $L_A = F_A \rtimes_\Psi Z_A$ and $G_B = F_B \rtimes_\Phi Z_B$ with $Z_A \cong Z_B \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Identify, H_A with G_A^{fab} and H_B with G_B^{fab}. By hypothesis the map Θ_* is the completion of an isomorphism $\Theta_\mu \colon H_A \to H_B$ followed by multiplication by μ in $\widehat{H}_B = H_B \otimes_Z \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Thus, ψ is the composite

$$H_A \xrightarrow{\Theta_\mu \otimes \mu} H_B \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mu \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mu^{-1}} H_B \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\ =\ } H_B \xrightarrow{\varphi|_{Z_B}} \mathbb{Z}.$$

We obtain that $\Theta_*(\ker \psi_*) = \mu F_\mu(\ker \varphi_*) = \mu \ker(\varphi_*)$ in $\widehat{H}_B$. Since $\ker \varphi_*$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of H_B, the closure of $\widehat{H}_B$ is invariant under multiplication

by a unit. Hence, $\Theta_* \overline{\ker \psi_*} = \overline{\mu \ker \varphi_*} = \mu \overline{\ker \varphi_*} = \overline{\ker \varphi_*}$. This completes the proof of the first case.

We now prove the second case. We may assume G_A is a finite index overgroup of H_A admitting a finite quotient α such that $\ker \alpha = H_A$. Note that $\overline{F}_A$ is equal to the intersection of a finite index normal subgroup $\ker \widehat{\alpha}$ with $\ker \widehat{\widetilde{\psi}}$ in $\widehat{G}_A$, where $\widetilde{\psi}$ is the lift of ψ to G_A. Similarly, $\overline{F}_B = \ker \widehat{\alpha} \cap \ker \widehat{\widetilde{\varphi}}$. The result now follows from the $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular case applied to $\widetilde{\Theta} \colon G_A \to G_B$. $\quad\square$

This following lemma is a special case of [Lor08, Corollary 2.9].

Lemma 4.5. *Let G be a free-by-cyclic group. Then G is cohomologically good.*

Proposition 4.6 (Isomorphism of fibre subgroups)**.** *Let (G_A, ψ) and (G_B, φ) be free-by-cyclic groups. Suppose $\Theta \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ is an isomorphism. If ψ is the pullback of φ via Θ, then the fibre subgroup F_A of G_A and the fibre subgroup F_B of G_B are isomorphic.*

Proof. We will show that the degree of the first Alexander polynomials of G_A and G_B are equal. By Lemma 3.7 this computes the rank of the $\mathbb{F}_p$-homology of F_A and F_B which determines their rank. Since F_A and F_B are free groups this determines them up to isomorphism.

Let ψ_n and φ_n denote the modulo n reduction of $\psi \colon G_A \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi \colon G_B \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ respectively, namely the composites

$$G_A \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n \qquad \text{and} \qquad G_B \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n.$$

We endow $M_{A,n} := \mathbb{F}_p[\mathbb{Z}/n]$ with the G_A-module structure given by ψ_n and $M_{B,n} := \mathbb{F}_p[\mathbb{Z}/n]$ with the G_B-module given by φ_n. Since G_A and G_B are cohomologically good (Lemma 4.5), by [BF20, Proposition 4.2] we have isomorphisms $H_k(G_A; M_{A,n}) \cong H_k(G_B; M_{B,n})$ for all $k, n \geqslant 0$. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_k(G_A; M_{A,n}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_k(G_B; M_{B,n})$. Now, by applying [BF20, Proposition 3.4] twice we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg \Delta_{G_A,1}^{\psi,\mathbf{1}}(t) &= \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_1(G_A; M_{A,n}) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_0(G_A; M_{A,n}), \right\} \\
&= \max_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_1(G_B; M_{B,n}) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_0(G_B; M_{B,n}), \right\} \\
&= \deg \Delta_{G_B,1}^{\varphi,\mathbf{1}}(t). \qquad\qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

5. Profinite invariance of twisted Reidemeister torsion

The goal of this section is to establish profinite invariance of twisted Reidemeister torsion (Corollary 5.9) for free-by-cyclic groups with first Betti number equal to one. We do this by first establishing invariance of the twisted Alexander polynomials in a more general setting. Finally, in Section 5.3 we establish profinite invariance of homological stretch factors.

5.1. **Twisted Alexander polynomials.**

Definition 5.1 (Corresponding quotients). Let G_A and G_B be residually finite groups. Suppose there exists an isomorphism $\Theta \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$. Let Q be a finite group. A pair of quotients $\gamma_A \colon G_A \twoheadrightarrow Q$ and $\gamma_B \colon G_B \to Q$ is said to be Θ-*corresponding*, if γ_A is given by the composite

$$(6) \qquad\qquad G_A \xrightarrow{\ i\ } \widehat{G}_A \xrightarrow{\ \Theta\ } \widehat{G}_B \xrightarrow{\ \widehat{\gamma}_B\ } Q$$

Here, $i \colon G_A \to \widehat{G}_A$ denotes the natural inclusion and $\widehat{\gamma_B}$ denotes the (profinite) completion of γ_B.

Proposition 5.2 (Profinite invariance of twisted Alexander polynomials). *Let (G_A, ψ_A) and (G_B, φ_B) be residually finite {good type F}-by-$\mathbb{Z}$ groups. Let $\Theta \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ be an isomorphism and suppose ψ_A is the pullback of φ_B via Θ with unit μ. Let $\psi_B \in H^1(G_B, \mathbb{Z})$ be a primitive fibred class. Let $\psi_A \in H^1(G_A, \mathbb{Z})$ be the fibred class $\Theta^*_\mu(\psi_B)$. Fix a Θ-corresponding pair of finite quotients $\gamma_A \colon G_A \to Q$ and $\gamma_B \colon G_B \to Q$. Suppose $\rho \colon Q \to \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{Q})$ is a representation and $\rho_A \colon G_A \to \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\rho_B \colon G_B \to \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{Q})$ the pullbacks. Then,*

$$\Delta^{\psi_A, \rho_A}_{G_A, n}(t) \cdot \Delta^{\psi_A, \rho_A}_{G_A, n}(t^{-1}) \doteq \Delta^{\varphi_B, \rho_B}_{G_B, n}(t) \cdot \Delta^{\varphi_B, \rho_B}_{G_B, n}(t^{-1})$$

holds in $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$ up to monomial factors with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}^\times$.

Before proving Proposition 5.2 we will collect a number of facts.
The following criterion is due to Ueki [Uek18, Lemma 3.6].

Theorem 5.3 (Ueki). *Let $a(t), b(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ be a be a pair of palindromic polynomials and $\mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a unit. If the principal ideals $(a(t^\mu))$ and $(b(t))$ of the completed group algebra $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}[\![t^{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}]\!]$ are equal, then $a(t) \doteq b(t)$ holds in $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$.*

Definition 5.4 (μ-powers). Let G be a profinite group, let $g \in G$, and let $\mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. We define the μ-*power* of g to be $g^\mu = \varprojlim_N g^n \mod N$ where N ranges over the inverse system of open normal subgroups of G and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is congruent to μ modulo $|G/N|$. Note that $hg^\nu h^{-1} = (hgh^{-1})^\mu$ for all $h \in G$.

Lemma 5.5. [Liu23a, Lemma 7.6] *Let L be a finite group. If $\rho \colon L \to \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Q})$ is a representation, then ρ is conjugate to the induced representation $\sigma_\mathbb{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ of some representation $\sigma \colon L \to \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Remark 5.6. Combining Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 3.2 we may assume without loss of generality that the representation γ is equal to the induction of some integral representation $\sigma \colon L \to \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$. We denote by $\sigma_A \colon G_A \to \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{Z})$ the pullback $\gamma^*_A(\sigma)$ and similarly write σ_B for $\gamma^*_B(\sigma)$.

By Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 2.9 we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

(7)
$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \rightarrowtail & F_A & \rightarrowtail & G_A & \xrightarrow{\psi_A} & \mathbb{Z} & \twoheadrightarrow & 1 \\
  &   & \downarrow &   & \downarrow &   & \downarrow &   &   \\
1 & \rightarrowtail & \widehat{F}_A & \rightarrowtail & \widehat{G}_A & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\psi}_A} & \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
  &   & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\Theta_F} &   & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\Theta} &   & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\mu} &   &   \\
1 & \rightarrowtail & \widehat{F}_B & \rightarrowtail & \widehat{G}_B & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\varphi}_B} & \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
  &   & \uparrow &   & \uparrow &   & \uparrow &   &   \\
1 & \rightarrowtail & F_B & \rightarrowtail & G_B & \xrightarrow{\varphi_B} & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 1,
\end{array}
$$

where $\Theta_F = \Theta|_{\overline{F}_A}$ and Θ_F, Θ, and μ are isomorphisms.

We now write $G_A = F_A \rtimes \langle t_A \rangle$ with $\psi_A(t_A) = 1$ and $G_B = F_B \rtimes \langle t_B \rangle$ with $\varphi_B(t_B) = 1$. Now (7) is equivalent to the fact that $\Theta(t_A)$ is conjugate to the μ-power t_B^μ of t_B in $\widehat{G}_B$. Let M_A be $\mathbb{Z}^k$ equipped with the F_A-module structure given by $\sigma_A|_{F_A}$ and similarly for M_B. Note that ψ_A and φ_B induce automorphisms Ψ_A of F_A and Φ_B of F_B respectively. Moreover, Ψ_A induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear isomorphism $\psi_{A,n} \colon H_n(F_A; M_A) \to H_n(F_A; M_A)$. We note that the choices made here for picking group automorphisms Ψ_A and Φ_B only depend on the choices up to conjugacy in $\mathrm{Aut}(F_A)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(F_B)$. It follows that $\psi_{A,n}$ only depends on σ and ψ_A. We obtain a commutative diagram of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with exact rows

(8)
$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \rightarrowtail & H_n(F_A; M_A)_{\mathrm{tors}} & \rightarrowtail & H_n(F_A; M_A) & \longrightarrow & H_n(F_A; M_A)_{\mathrm{free}} & \twoheadrightarrow & 0 \\
  &   & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_{A,n}^{\mathrm{tors}}} &   & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_{A,n}} &   & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi_{A,n}^{\mathrm{free}}} &   &   \\
0 & \rightarrowtail & H_n(F_A; M_A)_{\mathrm{tors}} & \rightarrowtail & H_n(F_A; M_A) & \longrightarrow & H_n(F_A; M_A)_{\mathrm{free}} & \twoheadrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
$$

Note that after fixing bases we may consider $\psi_{A,n}^{\mathrm{free}}$ as a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}(H_n(F_A; M_A)_{\mathrm{free}})$. Define

(9)
$$
P_{A,n}(t) := \det_{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]} \left(\mathbf{1} - t \cdot \psi_{A,n}^{\mathrm{free}} \right)
$$

and

(10)
$$
P_{B,n}(t) := \det_{\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]} \left(\mathbf{1} - t \cdot \varphi_{B,n}^{\mathrm{free}} \right).
$$

The following lemma is [Liu23a, Lemma 7.7]. The proof goes through verbatim once one assumes the kernels of ψ_A and φ_B are type F.

Lemma 5.7. [Liu23a, Lemma 7.7] *Adopt the notation from Proposition 5.2, Remark 5.6, (9), and (10). We have $\Delta_{G_A,n}^{\psi_A,\rho_B}(t) \doteq P_{A,n}(t)$ and $\Delta_{G_B,n}^{\varphi_B,\rho_B}(t) \doteq P_{B,n}(t)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$ up to monomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}^\times$.*

The following lemma is [Liu23a, Lemma 7.8]. The proof goes through verbatim once one assumes that the kernels of ψ_A and φ_B are type F, that F_A and F_B are fully separable in G_A and G_B respectively (this is given by Proposition 2.9), and that F_A and F_B are good.

Lemma 5.8. [Liu23a, Lemma 7.8] *Adopt the notation from Proposition 5.2, Remark 5.6, (9), and (10). For all n we have an equality of principal ideals* $(P_{A,n}(t^\mu)) = (P_{B,n}(t))$ *in* $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}[\![t^{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}]\!]$.

Proof of Proposition 5.2. This follows from Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8 and Theorem 5.3 after observing that the polynomials $\Delta_{G_A,n}^{\psi_A,\rho_A}(t) \cdot \Delta_{G_A,n}^{\psi_A,\rho_A}(t^{-1})$ and $\Delta_{G_B,n}^{\varphi_A,\rho_B}(t) \cdot \Delta_{G_B,n}^{\varphi_B,\rho_B}(t^{-1})$ are palindromic by Lemma 3.6. □

5.2. Twisted Reidemeister torsion.

We now prove profinite invariance of twisted Reidemeister torsion for free-by-cyclic groups with first Betti number equal to one.

Corollary 5.9 (Profinite invariance of twisted Reidemeister torsion). *Let (G_A, ψ_A) and (G_B, φ_B) be free-by-cyclic groups. Let $\Theta \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ be an isomorphism. Let $\varphi_B \in H^1(G_B; \mathbb{Z})$ be a primitive fibred class and suppose ψ_A is the pullback of φ_B via Θ. Fix a Θ-corresponding pair of finite quotients $\gamma_A \colon G_A \to Q$ and $\gamma_B \colon G_B \to Q$. Suppose $\rho \colon Q \to \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{Q})$ is a representation and $\rho_A \colon G_A \to \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\rho_B \colon G_B \to \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{Q})$ the pullbacks. Then,*

$$\{\tau_{G_A}^{\psi_A,\rho_A}(t), \tau_{G_B}^{-\psi_A,\rho_A}\} = \{\tau_{G_B}^{\varphi_B,\rho_B}(t), \tau_{G_B}^{-\varphi_B,\rho_B}\}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.2, unique factorisation in $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$, and Lemma 3.6 we obtain

$$S_{A,n} = \{\Delta_{G_A,n}^{\psi_A,\rho_A}(t), \Delta_{G_A,n}^{-\psi_A,\rho_A}(t)\} = \{\Delta_{G_B,n}^{\varphi_A,\rho_B}(t), \Delta_{G_B,n}^{-\varphi_B,\rho_B}(t)\} = S_{B,n}.$$

By Proposition 3.10 the relevant Alexander polynomials are concentrated in degree 0 and 1. By Lemma 3.4 the sets $S_{A,0}$ and $S_{B,0}$ contain exactly one element up to $\doteq$-equivalence. Finally, the result follows from Proposition 3.10. □

5.3. Profinite invariance of homological stretch factors.

Theorem 5.10 (Profinite invariance of homological stretch factors). *Let (G_A, ψ) and (G_B, φ) be free-by-cyclic groups. If $\Theta \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ is an isomorphism and ψ is the pullback of φ via Θ, then $\{\nu_\psi^+, \nu_\psi^-\} = \{\nu_\varphi^+, \nu_\varphi^-\}$.*

Proof. Denote the non-trivial primitive characters of G_A by $\psi_A^\pm$ and the non-trivial primitive characters of G_B by $\varphi_B^\pm$. By Proposition 5.2 we have

$$\Delta_{G_A,1}^{\psi_A^+,\mathbf{1}}(t) \cdot \Delta_{G_A,1}^{\psi_A^-,\mathbf{1}}(t) \doteq \Delta_{G_B,1}^{\varphi_A^+,\mathbf{1}}(t) \cdot \Delta_{G_B,1}^{\varphi_B^-,\mathbf{1}}(t)$$

over $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$. Normalise the polynomials so that every term is a non-negative power of t and the lowest term is 1, and note that each of the four terms

has the same degree. Now, by unique factorisation in $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$ we obtain the equality of sets

$$S_A = \{\Delta_{G_A,1}^{\psi_A^+,\mathbf{1}}(t), \Delta_{G_A,1}^{\psi_A^-,\mathbf{1}}(t)\} = \{\Delta_{G_B,1}^{\varphi_A^+,\mathbf{1}}(t), \Delta_{G_B,1}^{\varphi_B^-,\mathbf{1}}(t)\} = S_B.$$

Now, since we are working over $\mathbb{Q}$ the set S_A [resp. S_B] is the set of characteristic polynomials for $(\psi_A^\pm)_1$ [resp. $(\varphi_B^\pm)_1$], that is, the set of characteristic polynomials for the induced maps on degree 1 homology of the respective fibres. In particular, the sets

$$\{\nu_\psi^+, \nu_\psi^-\} \text{ and } \{\nu_\varphi^+, \nu_\varphi^-\}$$

can be computed by taking the modulus of the largest root of the Alexander polynomials in S_A and S_B. The desired equality follows. $\qquad\square$

6. Profinite invariance of Nielsen numbers

Let X be a connected, compact topological space that is homeomorphic to a finite-dimensional cellular complex, with a finite number of cells in each dimension, and let $f\colon X \to X$ be a self-map. Recall from Section 2.3 the definitions of the fixed point index $\mathrm{ind}_m(f; \mathcal{O})$ of f^m at any point $p \in \mathcal{O}$, and the m-th Nielsen number $N_m(f)$ of f.

We will write M_f to denote the mapping torus

$$M_f = \frac{X \times [0,1]}{(f(x),0) \sim (x,1)}.$$

Let $x_0 \in X$ and fix a path α from $f(x_0)$ to x_0 in X. We identify X with the fibre $X \times \{0\}$ in M_f and write $\bar{x}_0$ denote the image of x_0 in M_f. We define $t \in \pi_1(M_f, \bar{x}_0)$ to be the loop obtained by concatenation of paths $\eta \cdot \alpha$, where $\eta_s = (x_0, s)$ for $s \in [0,1]$. The *induced character* $\varphi\colon \pi_1(M_f) \to \mathbb{Z}$ maps every loop in X based at x_0 to zero, and $\varphi(t) = 1$.

Let $\zeta\colon \pi_1(M_f) \to \mathbb{Q}$ be any map that is constant on conjugacy classes. Then the *m-th twisted Lefschetz number* of f with respect to ζ is

$$(11) \qquad L_m(f; \zeta) = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{Orb}_m(f)} \zeta(\mathrm{cd}(\mathcal{O})) \cdot \mathrm{ind}_m(f; \mathcal{O}).$$

For a finite-dimensional representation $\rho\colon \pi_1(M_f) \to \mathrm{GL}(k, R)$ of $\pi_1(M_f)$, let $\chi_\rho\colon \pi_1(M_f) \to R$ denote the trace map. We write $\exp(\cdot)$ to denote the formal power series,

$$\exp(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

Theorem 6.1 ([Jia96], [Liu23a, Lemma 8.2]). *Let $\varphi\colon \pi_1(M_f) \to \mathbb{Z}$ denote the induced character. Suppose that $\mathbb{F}$ is a commutative field of characteristic 0 and $\rho\colon \pi_1(M_f) \to \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{F})$ a finite-dimensional linear representation of $\pi_1(M_f)$. Then*

$$\tau_{\pi_1(M_f), \mathbb{F}[t^{\pm 1}]^k}^{\rho, \varphi} \doteq \exp \sum_{m \geqslant 1} L_m(f; \chi_\rho) \frac{t^m}{m},$$

where the equality holds as rational functions in t over $\mathbb{F}$, up to multiplication by monomial factors with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}^\times$.

Let Q be a finite group. We say two elements g_1 and g_2 in Q are $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-*conjugate* if the cyclic groups $\langle g_1 \rangle$ and $\langle g_2 \rangle$ are conjugate in Q (note that this is equivalent to the notion of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-conjugacy defined in [Liu23a]). This gives rise to an equivalence relation on the set $\mathrm{Orb}(Q)$ of conjugacy classes of Q. We write $\Omega(Q)$ to denote the resulting set of equivalence classes. For $\omega \in \Omega(Q)$, we let $\chi_\omega \colon \mathrm{Orb}(Q) \to \mathbb{Q}$ denote the characteristic function of ω.

Lemma 6.2 ([Liu23a, Lemma 8.5]). *Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\gamma \colon \pi_1(M_f) \to Q$ be a quotient of $\pi_1(M_f)$ onto a finite group Q. Then,*

$$N_m(f) \geqslant \#\{\omega \in \Omega(Q) \mid L_m(f; \gamma^*\chi_\omega) \neq 0\}.$$

Note that by (11), for every $\omega \in \Omega(Q)$ such that $L_m(f, \gamma^*\chi_\omega) \neq 0$, there exists some $\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{Orb}_m(f)$ such that $\mathrm{ind}_m(f, \mathcal{O}) \neq 0$ and

$$\gamma^*\chi_\omega(\mathrm{cd}(\mathcal{O})) = \chi_\omega \circ \gamma(\mathrm{cd}(\mathcal{O}))$$
$$\neq 0,$$

which holds if and only if $\gamma(\mathrm{cd}(\mathcal{O})) \in \omega$. Hence the number of such elements in $\Omega(Q)$ is bounded above by the number of essential m-periodic orbits of f, which is exactly $N_m(f)$.

The following lemma is a strengthening of Lemma 8.6 in [Liu23a], however the proof follows from Liu's proof with only a slight modification. We provide a sketch for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that $\pi_1(M_f)$ is conjugacy separable. Then, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a finite quotient Q_m of $\pi_1(M_f)$ such that*

(12)
$$N_m(f) = \{\omega \in \Omega(Q_m) \mid L_m(f; \gamma^*\chi_\omega) \neq 0\}, \ and$$
$$N_m(f^{-1}) = \{\omega \in \Omega(Q_m) \mid L_m(f^{-1}; \gamma^*\chi_\omega) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. Let $G = \pi_1(M_f)$ and write $\varphi \colon G \to \mathbb{Z}$ to denote the induced character, $t \in G$ the stable letter and $K = \ker\varphi$ the fibre subgroup as before. Since G is conjugacy separable, for each $m \geqslant 1$ there exists a finite quotient $\tilde{\pi}_m \colon G \to \tilde{Q}_m$, such that for all m-periodic orbits of f and f^{-1}, the corresponding distinct conjugacy classes in G are mapped to distinct conjugacy classes in $\tilde{Q}_m$.

By the discussion directly following the statement of Lemma 6.2, the inequality provided by Lemma 6.2 is achieved when the conjugacy classes corresponding to the essential m-periodic orbits of f are mapped to distinct $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-conjugacy classes in the finite quotient. Hence, it suffices to find a finite quotient $\pi_m \colon G \to Q_m$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_m$ factors through π_m, and which satisfies the following property. If x_1 and x_2 are two elements of G which correspond to m-periodic orbits of f, or of f^{-1}, and if $\langle \pi_m(x_1) \rangle$ and $\langle \pi_m(x_2) \rangle$ are conjugate in Q_m, then in fact the elements $\pi_m(x_1)$ and $\pi_m(x_2)$ are conjugate in Q_m. This will then imply that $\tilde{\pi}_m(x_1)$ and $\tilde{\pi}_m(x_2)$ are conjugate in $\tilde{Q}_m$,

since $\tilde{\pi}_m$ factors through π_m. Hence x_1 and x_2 are conjugate in G, showing that the required property holds for π_m.

To construct Q_m note that the m-periodic orbits of f correspond to elements in the coset Kt^m of G, and the m-periodic orbits of f^{-1} to the elements in the coset Kt^{-m}. If $\bar{K}$ and $\bar{t}$ are the images of K and t in a finite quotient of G, then the coset $\bar{K}\bar{t}^m$ is invariant under conjugation by elements in the quotient group. Hence, it suffices to find Q_m such that that the cyclic subgroups generated by $\bar{x}_1$ and $\bar{x}_2$, for any $x_1, x_2 \in Kt^m$, intersect $\bar{K}\bar{t}^m$ exactly at $\bar{x}_1$ and $\bar{x}_2$, respectively. It will then follow that if $\langle \bar{x}_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{x}_2 \rangle$ are conjugate, then $\bar{x}_1$ and $\bar{x}_2$ are conjugate. The details of this construction are spelled out in the proof of Lemma 8.6 in [Liu23a]. $\square$

We will also need the following proposition from representation theory of finite groups (see e.g. [Ser77, Section 12.4]). We refer the reader to [Liu23a, Lemma 8.4] for the proof of statement rephrased in the language of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-conjugacy classes.

Proposition 6.4. *Let K be a finite group. The set of irreducible finite-dimensional characters of K over $\mathbb{Q}$ forms a basis for the space of maps $\mathrm{Orb}(K) \to \mathbb{Q}$ which are constant on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-conjugacy classes of K.*

Let X_A and X_B be topological spaces as before, with self-maps $f_A \colon X_A \to X_A$ and $f_B \colon X_B \to X_B$. We write $G_A = \pi_1(M_{f_A})$ and $G_B = \pi_1(M_{f_B})$, and let $\psi_A \colon G_A \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi_B \colon G_B \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the induced characters.

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose that G_A and G_B are conjugacy separable. Let $\Theta \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ be an isomorphism such that for any finite group Q, a Θ-corresponding pair of quotients $\gamma_B \colon G_B \twoheadrightarrow Q$ and $\gamma_A \colon G_A \twoheadrightarrow Q$ (see Definition 5.1), and a representation $\rho \colon Q \to \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{Q})$, we have*

$$\{\tau_{G_A}^{\psi_A, \rho\gamma_A}, \tau_{G_B}^{-\psi_A, \rho\gamma_A}\} = \{\tau_{G_B}^{\varphi_B, \rho\gamma_B}, \tau_{G_B}^{-\varphi_B, \rho\gamma_B}\}.$$

Then, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\{N_m(f_A), N_m(f_A^{-1})\} = \{N_m(f_B), N_m(f_B^{-1})\}.$$

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Invoke Lemma 6.3 to obtain a finite quotient $\gamma_B \colon G_B \to Q_m$ such that

$$N_m(f_B^{\pm}) = \#\{\omega \in \Omega(Q_m) \mid L_m(f_B^{\pm}; \gamma_B^* \chi_\omega) \neq 0\}.$$

By Proposition 6.4, for every $\omega \in \Omega(Q_m)$, χ_ω can be expressed uniquely as a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination $\chi_\omega = \sum_i \lambda_i \chi_{\rho_i}$, where each $\rho_i \colon Q_m \to \mathrm{GL}(k_i, \mathbb{Q})$ is an irreducible representation, and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. Hence

$$L_m(f_B; \gamma_B^* \chi_\omega) = \sum_i \lambda_i L_m(f_B; \gamma_B^* \chi_{\rho_i}).$$

Let γ_A be the map obtained by composing

$$G_A \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} \widehat{G}_A \overset{\widehat{\gamma_B}}{\longrightarrow} Q,$$

where $\iota\colon G_A \to \widehat{G}_A$ is the natural inclusion. In particular, γ_A and γ_B are Θ-corresponding, and thus by our assumption, for every representation $\rho_i\colon Q_m \to \mathrm{GL}(k_i, \mathbb{Q})$ we have that

$$\{\tau_{G_A}^{\psi_A,\rho_i\gamma_A}, \tau_{G_A}^{-\psi_A,\rho_i\gamma_A}\} = \{\tau_{G_B}^{\varphi_B,\rho_i\gamma_B}, \tau_{G_B}^{-\varphi_B,\rho_i\gamma_B}\}.$$

By Theorem 6.1 it follows that, up to multiplication by monomials in t,

$$\tau_{G_A}^{\psi_A,\rho_i\gamma_A}(t) \doteq 1 + L_1(f_A; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega)t + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i t^i,$$

where for every $i \geqslant 2$, the coefficient a_i is of the form

$$a_i = \frac{1}{i}L_i(f_A; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega) + C_i,$$

with C_i a constant term obtained from the numbers $L_k(f_A; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega)$, $k < i$. Similarly,

$$\tau_{G_A}^{-\psi_A,\rho_i\gamma_A}(t) \doteq 1 + L_1(f_A^{-1}; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega)t + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} b_i t^i,$$

$$b_i = \frac{1}{i}L_i(f_A^{-1}; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega) + D_i,$$

and each D_i is a constant term which only depends on the numbers $L_k(f_A^{-1}; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega)$, $k < i$. Note that the coefficients a_i and b_j are non-zero for only finitely many values of i and j. Furthermore, the analogous equalities hold true for $\tau_{G_B}^{\varphi_B,\rho_i\gamma_B}$ and $\tau_{G_B}^{-\varphi_B,\rho_i\gamma_B}$.

Hence, by comparing the coefficients of the powers of t in the expansions of the Redemeister torsions, it follows that for each ρ_i,

$$\{L_m(f_B; \gamma_B^*\chi_{\rho_i}), L_m(f_B^{-1}; \gamma_B^*\chi_{\rho_i})\} = \{L_m(f_A; \gamma_A^*\chi_{\rho_i}), L_m(f_A^{-1}; \gamma_A^*\chi_{\rho_i})\}.$$

Thus,

$$L_m(f_B; \gamma_B^*\chi_\omega) + L_m(f_B^{-1}; \gamma_B^*\chi_\omega) = L_m(f_A; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega) + L_m(f_A^{-1}; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega), \text{ and}$$

$$L_m(f_B; \gamma_B^*\chi_\omega)L_m(f_B^{-1}; \gamma_B^*\chi_\omega) = L_m(f_A; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega)L_m(f_A^{-1}; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega).$$

Solving the above equations, we obtain

$$\{L_m(f_B; \gamma_B^*\chi_\omega), L_m(f_B; \gamma_B^*\chi_\omega)\} = \{L_m(f_A; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega), L_m(f_A^{-1}; \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega)\}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_m(f_B) + N_m(f_B^{-1}) &= \#\{\omega \in \Omega(Q_m) : L_m(f_B, \gamma_B^*\chi_\omega) \neq 0\} \\
&\quad + \#\{\omega \in \Omega(Q_m) : L_m(f_B^{-1}, \gamma_B^*\chi_\omega) \neq 0\} \\
&= \#\{\omega \in \Omega(Q_m) : L_m(f_A, \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega) \neq 0\} \\
&\quad + \#\{\omega \in \Omega(Q_m) : L_m(f_A^{-1}, \gamma_A^*\chi_\omega) \neq 0\} \\
&\leqslant N_m(f_A) + N_m(f_A^{-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 6.2. The same argument shows that $N_m(f_A) + N_m(f_A^{-1}) \leqslant N_m(f_B) + N_m(f_B^{-1})$. Hence $N_m(f_A) + N_m(f_A^{-1}) = N_m(f_B) + N_m(f_B^{-1})$. Similarly, we get that $N_m(f_B) \cdot N_m(f_B^{-1}) = N_m(f_A) \cdot N_m(f_A^{-1})$. It follows that

$$\{N_m(f_A), N_m(f_A^{-1})\} = \{N_m(f_B), N_m(f_B^{-1})\}. \qquad \square$$

Combining Corollary 5.9 with Lemma 6.5 and Proposition 2.6, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.6 (Profinite invariance of Nielson numbers and stretch factors). *Let G_A and G_B be conjugacy separable free-by-cyclic groups with an isomorphism $\Theta \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$. Let $\varphi_B \in H^1(G_B, \mathbb{Z})$ be primitive and fibred, and let $\psi_A \in H^1(G_A, \mathbb{Z})$ be the primitive fibred class which is the pullback of φ_B via Θ. Let $(f_A^{\pm}, \Gamma_A)$ and $(f_B^{\pm}, \Gamma_B)$ be the corresponding relative train track representatives with stretch factors $\lambda_{f_A^{\pm}}$ and $\lambda_{f_B^{\pm}}$, respectively. Then, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\{N_m(f_A), N_m(f_A^{-1})\} = \{N_m(f_B), N_m(f_B^{-1})\}, \text{ and}$$
$$\{\lambda_{f_A}, \lambda_{f_A^{-1}}\} = \{\lambda_{f_B}, \lambda_{f_B^{-1}}\}.$$

We now have everything we need to prove Theorem B.

Theorem B. *Let $G = F \rtimes_{\Phi} \mathbb{Z}$ be a free-by-cyclic group with induced character $\varphi \colon G \to \mathbb{Z}$. If $b_1(G) = 1$ then following properties are determined by the profinite completion $\widehat{G}$ of G:*

(1) the rank of F;
(2) the homological stretch factors $\{\nu_G^+, \nu_G^-\}$;
(3) the characteristic polynomials $\{\operatorname{Char} \Phi^+, \operatorname{Char} \Phi^-\}$ of the action of Φ on $H_1(F; \mathbb{Q})$;
(4) for each representation $\rho \colon G \to \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ factoring through a finite quotient, the twisted Alexander polynomials $\{\Delta_n^{\varphi, \rho}, \Delta_n^{-\varphi, \rho}\}$ and the twisted Reidemeister torsions $\{\tau^{\varphi, \rho}, \tau^{-\varphi, \rho}\}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Moreover, if G is conjugacy separable, (e.g. if G is hyperbolic), then $\widehat{G}$ also determines the Nielson numbers and the homotopical stretch factors $\{\lambda_G^+, \lambda_G^-\}$.

Proof. Note that any free-by-cyclic group G_A profinitely isomorphic to $G = G_B$ has $b_1(G_A) = 1$ and so the monodromy ψ is the pullback of φ or φ^{-1} via some isomorphism Θ. With this setup we have that

(1) is given by Proposition 4.6;
(2) is given by Theorem 5.10;
(3) follows from (4) and the fact that we can identify $\operatorname{Char} \Phi^{\pm}$ with $\Delta_1^{\pm \varphi, \mathbf{1}}$;
(4) is given by Proposition 5.2.

Finally, the moreover is given by Theorem 6.6. $\qquad \square$

7. Almost profinite rigidity for free-by-cyclic groups

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem A. We reproduce the statement below. Before we prove the theorem we collect some facts.

Lemma 7.1. *Let G_A and G_B be free-by-cyclic groups with finite and infinite order monodromies respectively. Then, $\widehat{G}_A$ is not isomorphic to $\widehat{G}_B$.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that such an isomorphism exists. Note that since the monodromy of G_B has infinite order, the center $Z(G_B)$ of G_B is trivial. By [SV87, Proposition 2.1] $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ has a bound on the order of its torsion subgroups depending only on n. Hence, there exists a finite index subgroup G'_A of G_A with trivial monodromy. It follows $G'_A \cong F_m \times \mathbb{Z}$ for some $m \geqslant 2$ (the result is trivial if $m = 0, 1$ since then G_A is virtually abelian). Thus, $\widehat{G}'_A \cong \widehat{F}_m \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Let H be the image of $\widehat{G}'_A$ under the isomorphism $\widehat{G}_A \cong \widehat{G}_B$. Then, $H \simeq \bar{G}'_B \simeq \widehat{G}'_B$, for some finite index subgroup $G'_B \leqslant G_B$. Since $Z(G'_B) = \{1\}$ we have $Z(\widehat{G}'_B)/\overline{Z(G'_B)} = Z(\widehat{G}'_A) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. By [Lö94, Theorem 7.2] we have $b_1^{(2)}(G'_B) = b_1^{(2)}(G'_A) = b_1^{(2)}(F_m \times \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, where $b_1^{(2)}$ denotes the first ℓ^2-Betti number. It follows that the dense projection π of G'_B to $\widehat{F}_m \leqslant \widehat{G}'_A$ is not injective. Indeed, otherwise, by [BCR16, Corollary 3.3], we have

$$0 = b_1^{(2)}(G'_B) \geqslant b_1^{(2)}(F_m) = m - 1 \geqslant 1,$$

which is a contradiction. It follows that G'_B intersects $\ker \pi \leqslant Z(\widehat{G}'_B)$ non-trivially. But then, $Z(G'_B) \neq \{1\}$ contradicting our original hypothesis. $\square$

Proposition 7.2. *Let G be a free-by-cyclic group with finite order monodromy and $b_1(G) = 1$. Then, G is almost profinitely rigid amongst free-by-cyclic groups and every free-by-cyclic group in the profinite genus of G has finite order monodromy.*

Proof. Let G_A be a free-by-cyclic group with finite order monodromy and first Betti number equal to one, and suppose G_B is a free-by-cyclic group profinitely isomorphic to G_A. By Lemma 7.1 we may assume G_B has finite order monodromy. Note $b_1(G_B) = 1$. Now, Theorem B(1) implies that the (uniquely defined) fibre subgroups of G_A and G_B have the same rank — say n. Since, by [CV86], $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ has only finitely many conjugacy classes of torsion subgroups, there are only finitely many possibilities for the isomorphism type of G_B. $\square$

The following proposition is folkore. A careful proof in the more general setting of expanding free group endomorphisms can be found in the paper of Mutanguha [Mut21, Theorem A.4]. An outer automorphism $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is said to be *atoroidal* if there does not exist a non-trivial element $x \in F_n$ and $n \geqslant 1$ such that Φ^n preserves the conjugacy class of x.

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ be an outer automorphism of F_n. Suppose that Φ is infinite-order irreducible and not atoroidal. Then Φ is induced by a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphim of a punctured surface.*

Theorem A. *Let G be an irreducible free-by-cyclic group. If $b_1(G) = 1$, then G is almost profinitely rigid amongst irreducible free-by-cyclic groups.*

Proof. Let G_A be a free-by-cyclic group with $b_1(G_A) = 1$ and irreducible monodromy Φ. Let G_B be another free-by-cyclic group with irreducible monodromy Ψ and suppose that $\widehat{G}_A \cong \widehat{G}_B$. If the monodromy Ψ has finite order, then we are done by Proposition 7.2.

Assume Ψ has infinite order. Note that by Theorem C, Φ is atoroidal if and only if Ψ is atoroidal.

If Ψ is not atoroidal, then by Proposition 7.3, both Φ and Ψ are induced by pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms of compact surfaces. Thus, G_A and G_B are fundamental groups of compact hyperbolic 3-manifolds and the result holds by [Liu23a, Theorem 9.1].

Finally, suppose that Φ is atoroidal. Hence G_A and G_B are Gromov hyperbolic free-by-cyclic groups. By [HW15], G_A and G_B are virtually compact special, and thus by [Min06] they are conjugacy separable. Furthermore, $b_1(G_B) = 1$ since Betti numbers are invariants of profinite completions. Thus by Proposition 4.3, the isomorphism $\widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ is $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular. Hence by Theorem 6.6, the sets of stretch factors $\{\lambda_\Phi, \lambda_{\Phi^{-1}}\}$ of $\Phi^{\pm 1}$ and $\{\lambda_\Psi, \lambda_{\Psi^{-1}}\}$ of $\Psi^{\pm 1}$ are equal. The result now follows from Lemma 2.1. $\qquad\square$

Remark 7.4. In fact, Theorems A - E apply within a wider class of groups than stated in the hypothesis; namely, we can consider the class of mapping tori of (possibly infinite rank) free group automorphisms (imposing irreducibility if the fibre is finitely generated). The key point is that by [FH99] any group G in this class is finitely presented and has $\chi(G) \leqslant 0$ with equality if and only if the fibre subgroup is finitely generated. Now, $\chi(G) < 0$ if and only if $b_1^{(2)}(G) > 0$ by [Lüc02, Theorem 6.80], but the first ℓ^2-Betti number is a profinite invariant amongst finitely presented groups [BCR16, Corollary 3.3]. It follows no {infinitely generated free}-by-cyclic group G is profinitely isomorphic to a {finitely generated free}-by-cyclic group.

8. Procongruent conjugacy in $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$

In this section we show that the stretch factors of atoroidal elements of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ are procongruent conjugacy invariants.

Definition 8.1 (Procongruently conjugate)**.** Let $\Psi, \Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$. We say Ψ and Φ are *procongruently conjugate* if they induce a pair of conjugate outer automorphisms in $\mathrm{Out}(\widehat{F}_n)$.

Theorem H. *Let $\Psi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ be atoroidal. If $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ is procongruently conjugate to Ψ, then Φ is atoroidal and $\{\lambda_\Psi, \lambda_{\Psi^{-1}}\} = \{\lambda_\Phi, \lambda_{\Phi^{-1}}\}$. In*

particular, if Ψ is additionally irreducible, then there are only finitely many $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$*-conjugacy classes of irreducible automorphisms which are conjugate with Ψ in* $\mathrm{Out}(\widehat{F}_n)$

Proof. The first result follows from applying Theorem C, Theorem B, and Proposition 8.3. The latter of which is proved below. The "in particular" then follows from Lemma 2.1. $\qquad\square$

Definition 8.2 (Aligned isomorphism). Let $\Psi, \Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$. Write $G_A = F_n \rtimes_\Psi \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_B = F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\psi\colon G_A \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $\psi\colon G_B \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the induced characters. We say that an isomorphism $\Theta\colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ is *aligned* if the following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\widehat{G}_A & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\psi}} & \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle\Theta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\mathrm{id}} \\
\widehat{G}_B & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\varphi}} & \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}.
\end{array}
$$

Note that an aligned isomorphism realises ψ as the pullback of φ with respect to Θ with unit 1 in the sense that $\Theta_*(\varphi) = \psi$.

The following proposition patterns [Liu23b, Proposition 3.7].

Proposition 8.3. *Let $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathrm{Out}(F_n)$. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *the free-by-cyclic groups $G_A = F_n \rtimes_\Psi \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_B = F_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ are aligned isomorphic;*
(2) *the outer automorphisms Φ and Ψ are procongruently conjugate.*

Proof. In constructing G_A and G_B we have implicitly picked lifts of Φ and Ψ to $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ which abusing notation we have also denoted by Φ and Ψ. Write $G_A = F_n \rtimes_\Psi \langle t_A \rangle$ and $G_B = F_n \rtimes_\Phi \langle t_B \rangle$. Denote the images of t_A and t_B is $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ by τ_A and τ_B. Note $\widehat{G}_A = \widehat{F}_n \rtimes \widehat{\langle t_A \rangle}$ and similarly for G_B. Denote the images of τ_A and τ_B in $\mathrm{Aut}(\widehat{F}_n)$ by $\widehat{\tau}_A$ and $\widehat{\tau}_B$ respectively.

We now prove that (1) implies (2). Suppose there is an aligned isomorphism $\Theta\colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ and denote its restriction to $\widehat{F}_n$ by Θ_F. We have $\Theta(t_A) = t_B h$ for some $h \in \widehat{F}_n$. Since $g t_A = t_A t_A^{-1} g t_A = t_A \widehat{\tau}_A(g)$ we have $\Theta_F(g) t_B h = t_B h \Theta_0(\widehat{\tau}_A(g))$. Let I_h denote the inner automorphism given by conjugation by h. We have $\Theta_F(g) t_B = t_B I_h(\Theta_F(\widehat{\tau}_A(g)))$, and hence, $t_B \widehat{\tau}_B(\Theta_F(g)) = t_B I_h(\Theta_F(\widehat{\tau}(g)))$ for all $g \in \widehat{F}_n$. Hence, $\widehat{\tau}_B = I_h \Theta_F \widehat{\tau}_A \Theta^{-1}$. It follows that $\widehat{\tau}_A$ and $\widehat{\tau}_B$ are conjugate when projected to $\mathrm{Out}(\widehat{F}_n)$. Hence, Φ and Ψ are procongruently conjugate.

To show (2) implies (1) we reverse the previous calculation to obtain a group isomorphism $\widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$. $\qquad\square$

9. Automorphisms of universal Coxeter groups

Let $n \geqslant 2$ be an integer. The *universal Coxeter group of rank n* is the free product W_n of n copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$,

$$W_n = \mathop{\Large *}_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

A *free basis* of W_n is a collection of n elements $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ of W_n of order 2, such that

$$W_n \cong \langle a_1 \rangle * \ldots * \langle a_n \rangle.$$

9.1. Graphs of groups.

For further detail and careful proofs of the claims made in this section, the interested reader is referred to [Lym22b]. We closely follow the notation established there.

A *graph of groups* $(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ *with trivial edge groups* consists of a connected graph Γ and an assignment of a group $\mathcal{G}_v$ to every vertex v of Γ. The vertex v is said to be *essential* if $\mathcal{G}_v$ is non-trivial. To every graph of groups $(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ we associate a graph of spaces $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ constructed by attaching a $K(\mathcal{G}_v, 1)$ with a unique vertex v_0 to the corresponding vertex v of Γ. For the sake of brevity, we will sometimes write $\mathcal{G}$ to denote the graph of groups $(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})$. After fixing a basepoint and a spanning tree in $\mathcal{G}$, and immediately suppressing their notation, we write $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$ to denote the fundamental group of the graph of groups $\mathcal{G}$.

A *morphism* between graphs of groups $(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ and $(\Lambda, \mathcal{H})$ consists of a pair of maps (f, f_X) with the following properties. The first map $f \colon \Gamma \to \Lambda$ sends vertices to vertices, and edges to edge paths. The second map $f_X \colon X_{\mathcal{G}} \to X_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a map of spaces such that the following diagram commutes,

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{\mathcal{G}} & \xrightarrow{\ f_X\ } & X_{\mathcal{H}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma & \xrightarrow{\ f\ } & \Lambda
\end{array}
$$

The vertical maps are the retractions obtained by collapsing the vertex spaces. Again we will sometimes write f to mean the pair (f, f_X).

A *homotopy* from the morphism $(f, f_X) \colon (\Gamma, \mathcal{G}) \to (\Lambda, \mathcal{H})$ to $(f', f'_X) \colon (\Gamma, \mathcal{G}) \to (\Lambda, \mathcal{H})$ is a collection of morphisms

$$\{(f_s, f_{X,s}) \colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H} : s \in [0, 1]\},$$

such that $\{f_s\}$ is a homotopy from f to f', and $\{f_{X,s}\}$ is a homotopy from f_X to f'_X.

A morphism $f \colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ is a *homotopy equivalence*, if there exists a morphism $g \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G}$ such that fg and gf are homotopic to the identity morphisms. Any homotopy equivalence $f \colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$ induces an isomorphism $f_* \colon \pi_1(\mathcal{G}) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{H})$.

We will use the term *combinatorial graph* when we want to emphasise that we are considering a graph with no extra structure.

9.2. **Topological representatives of** $\mathrm{Out}(W_n)$ **and Nielsen numbers.**
Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(W_n)$. A *topological representative* of Φ is a tuple $(f, \mathcal{G})$ where $\mathcal{G}$ is a graph of groups with $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}) \cong W_n$ and $f \colon \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ is homotopy equivalence of graphs of groups, which induces the outer automorphism Φ. We assume that $\mathcal{G}$ has trivial edge groups and finite vertex groups (in particular, each vertex group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$). We further assume that f is locally injective on the interiors of the edges of Γ. When we talk of the *transition matrix, maximal filtration* and *exponential strata* of $(f, \mathcal{G})$, we are referring to those objects associated to the underlying graph map (f, Γ) (see Section 2.1). In particular, the topological representative $(f, \mathcal{G})$ is said to be *irreducible* if the filtration of the underlying graph map (f, Γ) has length one.

Given a topological representative $(f, \mathcal{G})$ of an element in $\mathrm{Out}(W_n)$, we define the mapping torus M_f to be the quotient space

$$M_f = \frac{X_{\mathcal{G}} \times [0, 1]}{(f_X(x), 0) \sim (x, 1)}.$$

We define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on the set of topological representatives of elements of $\mathrm{Out}(W_n)$, so that $(f_1, \mathcal{G}) \sim (f_2, \mathcal{H})$ whenever there is an isomorphism $\pi_1(M_{f_1}) \cong \pi_1(M_{f_2})$. The proof of the following lemma is a slight modification of the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 9.1. *Let $n \geqslant 2$ and $C > 1$. There exists at most finitely many equivalence classes of topological representatives $(f, \mathcal{G})$ of elements in $\mathrm{Out}(W_n)$, such that f is irreducible and the stretch factor λ of f satisfies $\lambda \leqslant C$.*

Proof. Fix $n \geqslant 2$. Since the vertex groups of $\mathcal{G}$ are finite, each topological representative $(f, \mathcal{G})$ of an element in $\mathrm{Out}(W_n)$ contains exactly n essential vertices and the underlying graph Γ is simply-connected. Furthermore, as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, every equivalence class of irreducible topological representatives contains an irreducible representative $(f, \mathcal{G})$ with no inessential valence-one and valence-two vertices. In particular, the total number of edges of Γ is uniformly bounded in terms of n. Hence, the transition matrix associated to $(f, \mathcal{G})$ can take on at most finitely many values. Since the vertex groups are finite, there are finitely many equivalence classes of topological representatives $(f, \mathcal{G})$ with a given transition matrix. The result follows. $\square$

The outer automorphism $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(W_n)$ is said to be *irreducible*, if every topological representative $(f, \mathcal{G})$ of Φ, where the underlying graph Γ has no inessential valence-one vertices and no invariant non-trivial forests, is irreducible. The *stretch factor* of Φ is the infimum of the stretch factors of irreducible topological representatives of Φ. By Lemma 9.1, the infimum is realised.

There exists a theory of (improved) relative train track representatives for elements of $\mathrm{Out}(W_n)$ [Lym22a] (see also [CT94], [FM15] and [Lym22b] for earlier results on train tracks on graphs of groups), which is completely analogous to that for elements in $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$. As in the case of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$, the

stretch factor of an irreducible outer automorphism $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(W_n)$, as defined in the previous paragraph, coincides with the stretch factor of any train track representative. The stretch factor of a general element $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(W_n)$ is defined to be the stretch factor of any relative train track representative.

The proof of the following lemma is completely analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.6.

Lemma 9.2. *Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(W_n)$ be an outer automorphism of W_n with stretch factor λ. Let $(f, \mathcal{G})$ be a topological representative of Φ. Then*

$$\lambda = \limsup_{m \to \infty} N_m(f)^{1/m}.$$

Before proceeding further, we take a detour to discuss irreducibility of matrices and graphs.

Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be a matrix with non-negative integer entries a_{ij}. We construct a directed graph Γ_A associated to A, so that Γ_A has n vertices $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and there exist a_{ij} directed edges from v_i to v_j, for every $i, j \leqslant n$. The directed graph Γ_A is said to be *irreducible*, if for any two vertices u and v of Γ_A, there exists a directed path from u to v. The following is an elementary exercise.

Lemma 9.3. *The non-negative integer matrix A is irreducible if and only if the associated graph Γ_A is irreducible.*

We now prove a crucial lemma on the irreducibility of degree-two covers of directed graphs. In what follows, when we say *path* from u to v, we will always mean a directed path. Given an oriented edge e in an oriented graph Γ, we write $i(e)$ to denote the initial vertex of e in Γ and $t(e)$ the terminal vertex.

Lemma 9.4. *Let Γ be a directed graph on n vertices, and let Γ' be a degree-two cover of Γ. If Γ is irreducible then either Γ' is irreducible, or it has two connected components and each is isomorphic to Γ.*

Furthermore, if Γ' is irreducible then the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalues of $A_{\Gamma'}$ and A_Γ are equal.

Proof. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be the vertex set of Γ. Let v_i^1 and v_i^2, be the two lifts of v_i in Γ', and write $V_1 = \{v_i^1 \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_i^2 \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$. Let N be the number of edges e in Γ' such that $i(e) \in V_1$ and $t(e) \in V_2$. We call such edges *special*. We prove our result by induction on N.

If $N = 0$ then the lemma is clearly true, since Γ' has two connected components and each is isomorphic to Γ.

Let $N \geqslant 1$ and suppose the lemma is true whenever the number of special edges is at most $N - 1$. Let $\Gamma' \to \Gamma$ be a degree-two cover with N special edges. Note that since Γ is irreducible, for any vertices v_i and v_j of Γ, there exists a path γ from v_i to v_j. This path has two lifts γ_1 and γ_2 in Γ' such that either

    i) γ_1 joins v_i^1 to v_j^1 and γ_2 joins v_i^2 to v_j^2; or

ii) γ_1 joins v_i^1 to v_j^2 and γ_2 joins v_i^2 to v_j^1.

Hence to prove the lemma it suffices to show that there exists a path in Γ' from v_k^1 to v_k^2, for all k.

Let e_1 be a special edge and suppose that $i(e_1) = v_i^1$ and $t(e_1) = v_j^2$, for some i and j. Then Γ' contains an edge e_2 such that $i(e_2) = v_i^2$ and $t(e_2) = v_j^1$. Construct a graph Γ'' from Γ' by replacing e_1 with the edge e_1' which joins v_i^1 to v_j^1, and replacing e_2 with the edge e_2' which joins v_i^2 to v_j^2. Note that Γ'' is a degree -two cover of Γ with $N-1$ special edges.

Suppose first that $N = 1$ and fix index $k \leqslant n$. Since Γ is irreducible, there exists a path in Γ from v_k to v_i. Let γ be a shortest such path. Then γ has two lifts γ_1 and γ_2 in Γ''. Since Γ'' has zero special edges, γ_1 only crosses edges with both endpoints in V_1 and γ_2 only crosses edges with both endpoints in V_2 (possibly after swapping γ_1 and γ_2). Also by minimality of the length of γ, the lifts of γ do not cross the edges e_1' and e_2'. Hence the path γ_1 descends to a path in Γ' joining v_k^1 to v_i^1. Similarly one constructs a path from v_j^2 to v_k^2 in Γ'. The concatenation of these two paths and the edge e_1 gives a path from v_k^1 to v_k^2.

Now assume $N \geqslant 2$. Then Γ'' is irreducible and thus there exists a shortest path η_1 in Γ'' from v_k^1 to v_i^1, and a shortest path η_2 from v_j^2 to v_k^2. Since $i(e_1') = v_i^1$, any shortest path from v_k^1 to v_i^1 does not contain e_1'. Similarly, any shortest path from v_j^2 to v_k^2 does not contain e_2'. Hence η_1 and η_2 descend to paths in Γ'. The concatenation of these paths, together with the edge e_1 give rise to a path from v_k^1 to v_k^2. Hence statement holds for Γ'. This proves the first part of the lemma.

To prove the statement about equality of Perron–Fobenius eiganvalues, suppose that Γ' is irreducible. Let a_{ij} and a_{ij}' denote the ijth elements of A_Γ and $A_{\Gamma'}$, respectively. Since Γ' is a degree-two cover of Γ, it follows that for every $i, j \leqslant n$,

$$(13) \qquad a_{ij} = a_{ij}' + a_{i(j+n)}' = a_{(i+n)j}' + a_{(i+n)(j+n)}'.$$

Let v_{pf} denote the Perron–Frobenius eigenvector of A_Γ and let λ be the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue. Let v_{pf}' be the vector obtained by concatenating two copies of v_{pf}. Then by (13),

$$A_{\Gamma'} v_{pf}' = \lambda \cdot v_{pf}'.$$

Hence the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue of $A_{\Gamma'}$ is λ. $\square$

Let W_n be the universal Coxeter group with a free basis $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$. There exists a homomorphism $W_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ which maps each generator a_i to the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2$. The kernel $K \leqslant W_n$ is an index-two characteristic subgroup of W_n, which is isomorphic to the free group of rank $n-1$, F_{n-1}. Any outer automorphism $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(W_n)$ induces an outer automorphism of K, which we denote by $\Phi_K \in \mathrm{Out}(F_{n-1})$. Note that K depends on the choice of free basis of W_n.

Proposition 9.5. *Let $n \geqslant 3$ and $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(W_n)$. Then, for some subgroup $K \leqslant W_n$ as above, the stretch factor of the map $\Phi_K \colon K \to K$ is equal to the stretch factor of Φ.*

Furthermore, if Φ is irreducible then so is Φ_K.

Proof. Let $(f, \mathcal{G})$ be a relative train track representative of $\Phi \in \mathrm{Out}(W_n)$, where $\mathcal{G} = (\Gamma, \mathcal{G})$ is a graph of groups as before. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ be a free basis of W_n so that each vertex of the underlying graph Γ of $\mathcal{G}$ is labelled by some $\langle a_i \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ or the trivial group. Note that Γ is simply-connected. Let $K = \langle a_1 a_2, a_1 a_3, \ldots, a_1 a_n \rangle$.

As before, let $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ denote the graph of spaces associated to $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\pi \colon Y \to X_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the cover of $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ corresponding to the subgroup K. Since K is a characteristic subgroup, there's a lift of the map f_X to a map $f_Y \colon Y \to Y$. Note that $a_i \notin K$ for every i, and thus the edge loops in $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ which correspond to the elements $a_i \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G})$ lift to edges in Y with distinct endpoints. Let Y' be the space obtained from Y by collapsing all such edges and the lifts of the 2-cells. Then Y' is homotopy equivalent to Y, and there is a map $f_{Y'} \colon Y' \to Y'$ which is homotopic to f_Y. Note that Y' is a (combinatorial) graph which is obtained by doubling the underlying graph Γ of $\mathcal{G}$ along the essential vertices. In particular, $(f_{Y'}, Y')$ is a topological representative of Φ_K.

The relative train track structure of f lifts to a relative train track structure of $f_{Y'}$. If S is a non-zero stratum of $\mathcal{G}$ with stretch factor λ, then by Lemma 9.4, its lift to Y' is either an irreducible stratum with stretch factor λ or two irreducible strata, each with stretch factor λ. This proves the claim about equality of stretch factors.

Suppose now that f is irreducible. Then either $f_{Y'}$ is irreducible, or $Y' = \Gamma \cup \Gamma^*$ is the double of a simply-connected graph Γ, and $f_{Y'}$ preserves Γ and Γ^*. Let Y'' be the graph obtained from Y' by collapsing the subgraph $\Gamma^* \subseteq Y'$ to a single point. Then Y'' is homotopy equivalent to Y' and there is an induced map $f_{Y''} \colon Y'' \to Y''$ which is homotopic to $f_{Y'}$. Then since $f_{Y''}$ is a train track map, it follows that Φ is irreducible. $\square$

9.3. **Profinite invariants and almost rigidity of {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic groups.** A group G is said to be {*universal Coxeter*}*-by-cyclic* if it fits into the short exact sequence

$$1 \to W_n \to G \to \mathbb{Z} \to 1.$$

For the remainder of this section, we let (G_A, φ) and (G_B, ψ) denote {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic groups with fibred characters $\varphi \colon G_A \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $\psi \colon G_B \to \mathbb{Z}$. We write $G_A = W_n \rtimes_\Phi \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_B = W_m \rtimes_\Psi \mathbb{Z}$ to denote the splittings of G_A and G_B induced by the characters, and let $K_A \leqslant W_n$ and $K_B \leqslant W_m$ be the index-two characteristic free subgroups associated to Φ and Ψ, respectively, as obtained in Proposition 9.5. In particular, the stretch factors of $\Phi_{K_A} = \Phi|_{K_A}$ and $\Psi_{K_B} = \Psi|_{K_B}$ are the same as those of Φ

and Ψ, respectively. We fix some $t \in \varphi^{-1}(1)$ and $s \in \psi^{-1}(1)$, and let

(14)
$$H_A = \langle K_A, t \rangle_{G_A} \cong K_A \rtimes_{\Phi_{K_A}} \mathbb{Z},$$
$$H_B = \langle K_B, s \rangle_{G_B} \cong K_B \rtimes_{\Psi_{K_B}} \mathbb{Z}.$$

We write $\bar{\varphi}$ to denote the character $\varphi \colon G_A \to \mathbb{Z}$ restricted to the subgroup H_A, and define $\bar{\psi}$ similarly. We note that the characters $\bar{\varphi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ induce the splittings (14).

For a group G and prime p we denote its *pro-p completion* by $\widehat{G}^{\mathbf{p}}$. Note this is exactly the inverse limit over all quotients of order a power of p.

Proposition 9.6. *Let (G_A, φ) and (G_B, ψ) be {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic groups, and suppose $\Theta \colon \widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$ is an isomorphism. The following conclusions hold:*
- *(1) Θ is $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular;*
- *(2) G_A and G_B have isomorphic fibres;*
- *(3) the free-by-cyclic groups $(H_A, \bar{\varphi})$ and $(H_B, \bar{\psi})$ satisfy that $\bar{\varphi}$ is the pullback of $\bar{\psi}$ via $\Theta|_{\widehat{H}_A}$;*
- *(4) G_A and G_B are good.*

Proof. It is easy to see that G_A and G_B satisfy $b_1(G_A) = b_1(G_B) = 1$. Thus, (1) follows from Proposition 4.3. Note that $b_1(W_n; \mathbb{F}_2) = n$. We may prove (2) by an identical argument to Proposition 4.6 but taking the twisted Alexander polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_2$ instead of an arbitrary prime.

The fact that G_A has an index two free-by-cyclic group H_A is [Hea21, Section 2] (see also [PR10]). Since goodness passes to finite index overgroups this also proves (4).

Now, the group H_A is the kernel of a map $\alpha \colon G_A \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$. We see that H_A is torsion-free and so its pro-2 completion has finite cohomological dimension, whereas G_A has 2-torsion so $\mathrm{cd}_2(\widehat{G}_A^{\mathbf{2}}) = \infty$ (see [Wil98, Section 1.1. and Proposition 11.1.5] for the definition of cd_2 and the relevant facts). Completing the map α to $\widehat{G}_A$ we obtain an induced map $\widehat{G}_B \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ and hence a map $\beta \colon G_B \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$. Now $\ker \beta$ is torsion-free since $\ker \widehat{\beta} \cong \ker \widehat{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{cd}_2(\ker \widehat{\alpha}^{\mathbf{2}})$ is finite. We have shown that H_A and H_B are profinitely isomorphic free-by-cyclic groups with monodromies $\bar{\varphi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ respectively. Since Θ is $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-regular by (1), it follows that $\bar{\varphi}$ is the pullback of $\bar{\psi}$ via $\Theta|_{\widehat{H}_A}$. $\square$

Theorem I. *Suppose all free-by-cyclic groups with monodromy induced by an element of $\mathrm{Out}(W_n)$ are conjugacy separable. Let (G_A, φ) and (G_B, ψ) be profinitely isomorphic {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic groups. Let $\{\lambda_A^+, \lambda_A^-\}$ and $\{\lambda_B^+, \lambda_B^-\}$ be the stretch factors of (G_A, φ) and (G_B, ψ), respectively. Then*

$$\{\lambda_A^+, \lambda_A^-\} = \{\lambda_B^+, \lambda_B^-\}.$$

Proof. The groups (G_A, φ) and (G_B, ψ) have isomorphic fibres by Proposition 9.6 Item 1, and by Proposition 9.6 Item 3, the character $\bar{\varphi} \colon H_A \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the pullback of $\bar{\psi} \colon H_B \to Z$ under a profinite isomorphism $\widehat{H}_A \to \widehat{H}_B$. Also,

by assumption, $(H_A, \bar{\varphi})$ and $(H_B, \bar{\psi})$ are conjugacy separable free-by-cyclic groups. Hence by Theorem 6.6, the stretch factors associated to $(H_A, \bar{\varphi})$ and $(H_B, \bar{\psi})$ are equal. Thus by construction of H_A and H_B, the stretch factors of (G_A, φ) and (G_B, ψ) are equal. $\square$

A {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic group is said to be *irreducible* if it admits irreducible monodromy.

Theorem J. *Let G be an irreducible {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic group. Then G is almost profinitely rigid amongst the class of irreducible {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic groups.*

Proof. Let G_A and G_B be irreducible {universal Coxeter}-by-cyclic groups with index-two free-by-cyclic groups $H_A \leqslant G_A$ and $H_B \leqslant G_B$ as before. Suppose that there exists a profinite isomorphism $\widehat{G}_A \to \widehat{G}_B$, and for a fixed character $\psi \colon G_B \to \mathbb{Z}$, let $\varphi \colon G_A \to Z$ be the pul-back of ψ. By Proposition 9.6, there exists an isomorphism $\widehat{H}_A \cong \widehat{H}_B$, and the induced character $\bar{\varphi} \colon H_A \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the pull-back of $\bar{\psi} \colon H_B \to \mathbb{Z}$. Hence by Theorem C, H_A is hyperbolic if and only if H_B is hyperbolic.

Suppose first that H_A and H_B are hyperbolic. Then they are virtually special by [HW15] and thus conjugacy separable by [Min06]. Now arguing as in the proof of Theorem I, the sets of stretch factors of (G_A, φ) and (G_B, ψ) coincide. Thus by Lemma 9.1, G_B can take on at most finitely many different isomorphism types.

Assume now that $H_A = K_A \rtimes_{\Phi_{K_A}} \mathbb{Z}$, and thus also $H_B = K_B \rtimes_{\Psi_{K_B}} \mathbb{Z}$, are not hyperbolic. Then Φ_{K_A} and Ψ_{K_B} are not atoroidal. By Proposition 9.5, Φ_{K_A} and Ψ_{K_B} are irreducible. Hence by Proposition 7.3, Φ_{K_A} and Ψ_{K_B} are realised by a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms of punctured surfaces. It follows that H_A and H_B are the fundamental groups of compact hyperbolic 3-manifolds. The result now follows by [Liu23a]. $\square$

References

[Bau71]   Gilbert Baumslag. Finitely generated cyclic extensions of free groups are residually finite. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 5:87–94, 1971.

[BCR16]   M. R. Bridson, M. D. E. Conder, and A. W. Reid. Determining Fuchsian groups by their finite quotients. *Israel J. Math.*, 214(1):1–41, 2016.

[BF20]   Michel Boileau and Stefan Friedl. The profinite completion of 3-manifold groups, fiberedness and the Thurston norm. In *What's next?—the mathematical legacy of William P. Thurston*, volume 205 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 21–44. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020.

[BFH00]   Mladen Bestvina, Mark Feighn, and Michael Handel. The Tits alternative for $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$. I. Dynamics of exponentially-growing automorphisms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(2):517–623, 2000.

[BG10]   Martin R. Bridson and Daniel Groves. The quadratic isoperimetric inequality for mapping tori of free group automorphisms. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 203(955):xii+152, 2010.

[BH92]   Mladen Bestvina and Michael Handel. Train tracks and automorphisms of free groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 135(1):1–51, 1992.

[BMRS20]   Martin Bridson, David Ben McReynolds, Alan Reid, and Ryan Spitler. Absolute profinite rigidity and hyperbolic geometry. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 192(3):679–719, 2020.

[BMV07]   O. Bogopolski, A. Martino, and E. Ventura. The automorphism group of a free-by-cyclic group in rank 2. *Comm. Algebra*, 35(5):1675–1690, 2007.

[BR20]   Martin R. Bridson and Alan W. Reid. Profinite rigidity, fibering, and the figure-eight knot. In *What's next?—the mathematical legacy of William P. Thurston*, volume 205 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 45–64. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020.

[Bri00]   P. Brinkmann. Hyperbolic automorphisms of free groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 10(5):1071–1089, 2000.

[BRW17]   Martin R. Bridson, Alan W. Reid, and Henry Wilton. Profinite rigidity and surface bundles over the circle. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 49(5):831–841, 2017.

[But13]   J. O. Button. Free by cyclic groups are large, 2013. arXiv:1311.3506 [math.GR].

[CT94]   D. J. Collins and E. C. Turner. Efficient representatives for automorphisms of free products. *Michigan Math. J.*, 41(3):443–464, 1994.

[CV86]   Marc Culler and Karen Vogtmann. Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups. *Invent. Math.*, 84(1):91–119, 1986.

[CW22]   Tamunonye Cheetham-West. Absolute profinite rigidity of some closed fibered hyperbolic 3-manifolds, 2022. arXiv:2205.08693 [math.GT].

[DFPR82]   John D. Dixon, Edward W. Formanek, John C. Poland, and Luis Ribes. Profinite completions and isomorphic finite quotients. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 23:227–231, 1982.

[FH99]   Mark Feighn and Michael Handel. Mapping tori of free group automorphisms are coherent. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 149(3):1061–1077, 1999.

[FM15]   Stefano Francaviglia and Armando Martino. Stretching factors, metrics and train tracks for free products. *Illinois J. Math.*, 59(4):859–899, 2015.

[FM21]   Stefano Francaviglia and Armando Martino. Displacements of automorphisms of free groups I: Displacement functions, minpoints and train tracks. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 374(5):3215–3264, 2021.

[Fun13]   Louis Funar. Torus bundles not distinguished by TQFT invariants. With an appendix by Louis Funar and Andrei Rapinchuk. *Geom. Topol.*, 17(4):2289–2344, 2013.

[FV08]   Stefan Friedl and Stefano Vidussi. Symplectic $S^1 \times N^3$, subgroup separability, and vanishing Thurston norm. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(2):597–610, 2008.

[FV11]   Stefan Friedl and Stefano Vidussi. Twisted Alexander polynomials detect fibered 3-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(3):1587–1643, 2011.

[GH21]   Anthony Genevois and Camille Horbez. Acylindrical hyperbolicity of automorphism groups of infinitely ended groups. *J. Topol.*, 14(3):963–991, 2021.

[GS91]   S. M. Gersten and J. R. Stallings. Irreducible outer automorphisms of a free group. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 111(2):309–314, 1991.

[Hea21]   Brendan Burns Healy. Acylindrical hyperbolicity of $\operatorname{Out}(W_n)$. *Topol. Proc.*, 58:23–35, 2021.

[Hem14]   John Hempel. Some 3-manifold groups with the same finite quotients, 2014. arXiv:1409.3509 [math.GT].

[HK21]   Fabian Henneke and Dawid Kielak. Agrarian and L^2-invariants. *Fundam. Math.*, 255(3):255–287, 2021.

[HK22]   Sam Hughes and Dawid Kielak. Profinite rigidity of fibring, 2022. arXiv:2206.11347 [math.GR].

[HW08]   Frédéric Haglund and Daniel T. Wise. Special cube complexes. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(5):1551–1620, 2008.

[HW15]   Mark F. Hagen and Daniel T. Wise. Cubulating hyperbolic free-by-cyclic groups: the general case. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 25(1):134–179, 2015.

[Jia83]   Bo Ju Jiang. *Lectures on Nielsen fixed point theory*, volume 14 of *Contemporary Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1983.

[Jia96]   Boju Jiang. Estimation of the number of periodic orbits. *Pacific J. Math.*, 172(1):151–185, 1996.

[JZ20]   Andrei Jaikin-Zapirain. Recognition of being fibered for compact 3-manifolds. *Geom. Topol.*, 24(1):409–420, 2020.

[Kie20]   Dawid Kielak. The Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariants via Newton polytopes. *Invent. Math.*, 219(3):1009–1068, 2020.

[Lö94]   Wolfgang Lück. L^2-Betti numbers of mapping tori and groups. *Topology*, 33(2):203–214, 1994.

[Liu23a]   Yi Liu. Finite-volume hyperbolic 3-manifolds are almost determined by their finite quotient groups. *Invent. Math.*, 231(2):741–804, 2023.

[Liu23b]   Yi Liu. Mapping classes are almost determined by their finite quotient actions. *Duke Math. J.*, 172(2), 2023.

[Lor08]   Karl Lorensen. Groups with the same cohomology as their profinite completions. *J. Algebra*, 320(4):1704–1722, 2008.

[Lüc02]   Wolfgang Lück. L^2-*invariants: theory and applications to geometry and K-theory*, volume 44 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[Lym22a]   Rylee Alanza Lyman. CTs for free products, 2022. arXiv:2203.08868 [math.GR].

[Lym22b]   Rylee Alanza Lyman. Train track maps on graphs of groups. *Groups, Geom. Dyn.*, 16(4):1389–1422, 2022.

[Min06]   Ashot Minasyan. On residual properties of word hyperbolic groups. *J. Group Theory*, 9(5):695–714, 2006.

[Mut21]   Jean Pierre Mutanguha. Irreducibility of a free group endomorphism is a mapping torus invariant. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 96(1):47–63, 2021.

[PR10]   Adam Piggott and Kim Ruane. Normal forms for automorphisms of universal Coxeter groups and palindromic automorphisms of free groups. *Int. J. Algebra Comput.*, 20(8):1063–1086, 2010.

[Rei15]   Alan W. Reid. Profinite properties of discrete groups. In *Groups St Andrews 2013*, volume 422 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 73–104. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2015.

[Riv08]   Igor Rivin. Walks on groups, counting reducible matrices, polynomials, and surface and free group automorphisms. *Duke Math. J.*, 142(2):353–379, 2008.

[Sen06]   E. Seneta. *Non-negative matrices and Markov chains*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, New York, 2006. Revised reprint of the second (1981) edition [Springer-Verlag, New York; MR0719544].

[Ser77]   Jean-Pierre Serre. *Linear representations of finite groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Translated from the second French edition by Leonard L. Scott.

[Ste72]   Peter F. Stebe. Conjugacy separability of groups of integer matrices. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 32:1–7, 1972.

[SV87]   John Smillie and Karen Vogtmann. Automorphisms of graphs, p-subgroups of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ and the Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 49(1-2):187–200, 1987.

[Tur86]   V. G. Turaev. Reidemeister torsion in knot theory. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 41(1(247)):97–147, 240, 1986.

[Uek18]   Jun Ueki. The profinite completions of knot groups determine the Alexander polynomials. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 18(5):3013–3030, 2018.

[Wil98]   John S. Wilson. *Profinite groups*, volume 19 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.

[Wil17]   Gareth Wilkes. Profinite rigidity for Seifert fibre spaces. *Geom. Dedicata*, 188:141–163, 2017.

[Wil18a]   Gareth Wilkes. Profinite completions, cohomology and JSJ decompositions of compact 3-manifolds. *N. Z. J. Math.*, 48:101–113, 2018.

[Wil18b]   Gareth Wilkes. Profinite rigidity of graph manifolds and JSJ decompositions of 3-manifolds. *J. Algebra*, 502:538–587, 2018.

[Wil19]   Gareth Wilkes. Profinite rigidity of graph manifolds. II: Knots and mapping classes. *Isr. J. Math.*, 233(1):351–378, 2019.

[WZ10]   Henry Wilton and Pavel Zalesskii. Profinite properties of graph manifolds. *Geom. Dedicata*, 147:29–45, 2010.

[WZ17a]   Henry Wilton and Pavel Zalesskii. Distinguishing geometries using finite quotients. *Geom. Topol.*, 21(1):345–384, 2017.

[WZ17b]   Henry Wilton and Pavel Zalesskii. Pro-p subgroups of profinite completions of 3-manifold groups. *J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser.*, 96(2):293–308, 2017.

[WZ19]   Henry Wilton and Pavel Zalesskii. Profinite detection of 3-manifold decompositions. *Compos. Math.*, 155(2):246–259, 2019.

[Zal22]   Pavel Zalesskii. The profinite completion of relatively hyperbolic virtually special groups, 2022. arXiv:2205.13201 [math.GR].