

Samreen Azam (sa3tnc)

11/21/19

postlab9.pdf

Dynamic Dispatch:

Dynamic dispatch refers to the process of determining which version of a polymorphic function should be called during runtime¹. To see how this is implemented, I created two simple classes: Food and Cookie, which inherits Food. Their implementations in C++ are shown below.

```
class Food {
public:
    virtual void buyFood() {
        cout << "You just bought food.\n";
    }
    virtual void checkPrice() {
        cout << "Food is $1.";
    }
};

class Cookie: public Food { //inherits Food
public:
    void buyFood() {
        cout << "You just bought a cookie.\n";
    }
    void checkPrice() {
        cout << "Cookies are $1.";
    }
};

int main() {
    Food *f;
    Food f2;
    Cookie c;
    f = &c; //use reference
    //method calls:
    f->buyFood();
    f->checkPrice();
    c.buyFood();
    c.checkPrice();
    f2.buyFood();
    f2.checkPrice();
    return 0;
}
```

Output:

```
You just bought a cookie.
Cookies are $1.
You just bought a cookie.
Cookies are $1.
You just bought food.
Food is $1.
```

This occurs because f is set to point to c, and thus can use Cookie's buyFood() and checkPrice() methods. For c, the overridden methods in its class are called. Finally, f2 only has access to the operations in the Food class, so it can only call the original virtual methods.

Next, I generated assembly code via godbolt.com. The following image depicts the function calls in main:

```
76     lea     rax, [rbp-24]
77     mov     rdi, rax
78     call    Cookie::buyFood()
79     lea     rax, [rbp-24]
80     mov     rdi, rax
81     call    Cookie::checkPrice()
82     lea     rax, [rbp-16]
83     mov     rdi, rax
84     call    Food::buyFood()
85     lea     rax, [rbp-16]
86     mov     rdi, rax
87     call    Food::checkPrice()
88     mov     eax, 0
89     leave
90     ret
```

The methods in the Food class are only called at the end, at Lines 84 and 87. On the other hand, the Cookie class methods are called first. This conveys how only f2 uses the methods in the Food class whereas c and f call Cookie's methods. Since f is a reference type that holds the address of c, this is expected.

Inheritance:

In order to explain how the layout of data and the implementations of the constructor and destructor of a class are presented in assembly, I modified the Food and Cookie classes. As seen below, the updated classes include constructors, destructors, and private fields that can be printed.

```
class Food {
public:
    Food() {
        ingredients = "yummy stuff";
        cout << "Food object created!\n";
    }
    ~Food() {
        cout << "Food object destroyed!\n";
    }
    void printIng() {
        cout << ingredients << endl;
    }

private:
    string ingredients;
};
```

```
class Cookie: public Food { //inherits Food
public:
    Cookie() { //constructor
        isGlutenFree = "nope";
        cout << "Cookie object created!\n";
    }
    ~Cookie() { //destructor
        cout << "Cookie object destroyed!\n";
    }
    void printData() {
        printIng(); //print Food data as well
        cout << isGlutenFree << endl;
    }

private:
    string isGlutenFree;
};
```

The main method creates objects and calls their functions. The output is shown on the right.

```
int main() {
    Food f;
    Cookie c;
    cout << "Food data: \n";
    f.printIng();
    cout << "Cookie data: \n";
    c.printData();
}
```

```
Food object created!
Food object created!
Cookie object created!
Food data:
yummy stuff
Cookie data:
yummy stuff
nope
Cookie object destroyed!
Food object destroyed!
Food object destroyed!
```

The output of the main method shows that the constructor and destructor of Food is actually called twice; this relates to how all Cookie objects inherit from the Food class and can also be considered Food objects. In other words, a subclass has an “is-a” relationship with its parent², so we can say that “a Cookie is a Food”. Therefore, when a Cookie object is declared, its parent’s constructor is called in addition to its own. Likewise, both destructors are called when deleting a Cookie object. It is also notable that when creating an object of a child class, the constructor of the parent class is called first. This contrasts from the destruction of the object since the parent’s destructor was called after the child’s.

Observing the assembly code for these classes provides further support for this. It also allows for greater insight about how data is laid in memory as well as the procedure for constructing and destroying objects within the class hierarchy.

Firstly, when creating data members to be stored in memory, the class hierarchy is reflected in the order in which variables are stored. Upon instantiating an object, These fields are also stored sequentially in memory³, so the variable isGlutenFree would always be initialized and saved after ingredients. Some annotated screenshots of the main method in assembly are included below.

```

150  main:
151      push    rbp
152      mov     rbp, rsp
153      push    rbx
154      sub     rsp, 104
155      lea     rax, [rbp-48]
156      mov     rdi, rax
157      call    Food::Food() [complete object constructor]
158      lea     rax, [rbp-112]
159      mov     rdi, rax
160      call    Cookie::Cookie() [complete object constructor]
161      mov     esi, OFFSET FLAT:.LC6
162      mov     edi, OFFSET FLAT:_ZSt4cout
163      call    std::basic_ostream<char, std::char_traits<char>
164      lea     rax, [rbp-48]
165      mov     rdi, rax
166      call    Food::printIng()
167      mov     esi, OFFSET FLAT:.LC7
168      mov     edi, OFFSET FLAT:_ZSt4cout
169      call    std::basic_ostream<char, std::char_traits<char>
170      lea     rax, [rbp-112]
171      mov     rdi, rax
172      call    Cookie::printData()
173      mov     ebx, 0

```

After the objects are created and all operations are performed in main, the destructor is called just before the main method ends to destruct f and c:

```

174      lea     rax, [rbp-112]
175      mov     rdi, rax
176      call    Cookie::~~Cookie() [complete object destructor]
177      lea     rax, [rbp-48]
178      mov     rdi, rax
179      call    Food::~~Food() [complete object destructor]
180      mov     eax, ebx
181      jmp     .L19
182      mov     rbx, rax
183      lea     rax, [rbp-112]
184      mov     rdi, rax
185      call    Cookie::~~Cookie() [complete object destructor]
186      jmp     .L16
187      mov     rbx, rax
188  .L16:
189      lea     rax, [rbp-48]
190      mov     rdi, rax
191      call    Food::~~Food() [complete object destructor]
192      mov     rax, rbx
193      mov     rdi, rax
194      call    _Unwind_Resume

```

Moreover, I noticed a few key operations in the Cookie class constructor that were not present in the Food class. These differences exemplify how Cookie extends the Food class. For instance, when a Food object is created, only the constructor of the Food class is called by main. The process is slightly more complex when making a Cookie object. When calling the Cookie constructor, the Food constructor's base constructor is called *before* Cookie's complete constructor is. Since Food's constructor is called first, the "Food object was created!" message appears before the "Cookie object was created!" message. This is congruent to the output printed to the console when running the C++ program. Then, when the program moves out of the scope of the object, the destructor is called and the Cookie object's life cycle ends. Cookie's base constructor and destructor are shown below.

```

71     mov     rbp, rsp
72     push   rbx
73     sub     rsp, 24
74     mov     QWORD PTR [rbp-24], rdi Make space on stack for object
75     mov     rax, QWORD PTR [rbp-24]
76     mov     rdi, rax
77     call    Food::Food() [base object constructor] Call base constructor of Food class
78     mov     rax, QWORD PTR [rbp-24]
79     add     rax, 32
80     mov     rdi, rax Call complete object constructor of Cookie class
81     call    std::_cxx11::basic_string<char, std::char_traits<char>, std::allocator<char> >::basic_string() [complete object constructor]
82     mov     rax, QWORD PTR [rbp-24]
83     add     rax, 32 Initialize isGlutenFree
84     mov     esi, OFFSET FLAT:.LC3
85     mov     rdi, rax
86     call    std::_cxx11::basic_string<char, std::char_traits<char>, std::allocator<char> >::operator=(char const*)
87     mov     esi, OFFSET FLAT:.LC4
88     mov     edi, OFFSET FLAT:_ZSt4cout Print message
89     call    std::basic_ostream<char, std::char_traits<char> >& std::operator<< <std::char_traits<char> >(std::basic_ostream<char, std::char_traits<char> >& const&, const std::basic_string<char, std::char_traits<char>, std::allocator<char> >& const&)
90     jmp     .L10
91     mov     rbx, rax
92     mov     rax, QWORD PTR [rbp-24]
93     add     rax, 32
94     mov     rdi, rax Call complete destructor for Cookie
95     call    std::_cxx11::basic_string<char, std::char_traits<char>, std::allocator<char> >::~~basic_string() [complete object destructor]
96     mov     rax, QWORD PTR [rbp-24]
97     mov     rdi, rax
98     call    Food::~~Food() [base object destructor] Call base destructor of Food

```

```

107 .LCS:
108     .string "Cookie object destroyed!\n"
109 Cookie::~~Cookie() [base object destructor]:
110     push    rbp
111     mov     rbp, rsp
112     sub     rsp, 16
113     mov     QWORD PTR [rbp-8], rdi
114     mov     esi, OFFSET FLAT:.LC5 Print message
115     mov     edi, OFFSET FLAT:_ZSt4cout
116     call    std::basic_ostream<char, std::char_traits<char> >& std::operator<< <std::char_traits<char> >(std::basic_ostream<char, std::char_traits<char> >& const&, const std::basic_string<char, std::char_traits<char>, std::allocator<char> >& const&)
117     mov     rax, QWORD PTR [rbp-8] Deallocate memory, call complete
118     add     rax, 32 object destructor
119     mov     rdi, rax
120     call    std::_cxx11::basic_string<char, std::char_traits<char>, std::allocator<char> >::~~basic_string() [complete object destructor]
121     mov     rax, QWORD PTR [rbp-8]
122     mov     rdi, rax
123     call    Food::~~Food() [base object destructor] Call base destructor of Food class
124     nop
125     leave
126     ret

```

Sources:

1. <https://condor.depaul.edu/ichu/csc447/notes/wk10/Dynamic2.htm>
2. <https://runestone.academy/runestone/books/published/cppds/Introduction/ObjectOrientedProgrammingDerivedClasses.html>
3. <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/12378271/what-does-an-object-look-like-in-memory>