CS 205: Introduction to Discrete Structures I Homework 0

Sami Kamal

February 2023

Problem 1. [16 points]

Let p be the proposition "Hiking in the New Jersey forest terrain is allowed", and let q be the proposition "Bears have been spotted in New Jersey forests". Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

 $a) \neg q$

Solution: No bears have been spotted in New Jersey forests.

(b) $p \wedge q$

Solution: Hiking in the New Jersey forest terrain is allowed **and** bears have been spotted in New Jersey forests.

(c) $\neg p \lor q$

Solution: No hiking in the New Jersey forest terrain is allowed or bears have been spotted in New Jersey forests.

(d) $p \Longrightarrow \neg q$

Solution: If hiking in the New Jersey forest terrain is allowed, **then** bears have **not** been spotted in New Jersey forests.

(e) $\neg q \Longrightarrow p$

Solution: No bears have been spotted in New Jersey forests, so hiking in the New Jersey forest terrain is allowed.

 $(f) \neg p \Longrightarrow \neg q$

Solution: If **no** hiking in the New Jersey forest terrain is allowed, then **no** bears have been spotted in New Jersey forests.

$$(g) p \iff \neg q$$

Solution: Hiking in the New Jersey forest terrain is allowed **if and only if** bears have **not** been spotted in New Jersey forests.

(h)
$$\neg p \land (p \lor \neg q)$$

Solution: No hiking in the New Jersey forest terrain is allowed and Hiking in the New Jersey forest terrain is allowed or bears have not been spotted in New Jersey forests.

Problem 2. [12 points]

A very special island is inhabited only by knights and knaves. Knights always tell the truth, and knaves always lie.

On Day 1, you meet two inhabitants: Zoey and Mel. Zoey tells you that Mel is a knave. Mel says, "Neither Zoey nor I are knaves."

On Day 2, you meet two inhabitants: Peggy and Zippy. Peggy tells you that "of Zippy and I, exactly one is a knight'. Zippy tells you that only a knave would say that Peggy is a knave.

On Day 3, you meet two inhabitants: Sue and Zippy. Sue says that Zippy is a knave. Zippy says, "I and Sue are knights."

On Day 4, you meet two inhabitants: Sally and Zippy. Sally claims, "I and Zippy are not the same." Zippy says, "Of I and Sally, exactly one is a knight."

On Day 5, you meet two inhabitants: Homer and Bozo. Homer tells you, "At least one of the following is true: that I am a knight or that Bozo is a knight." Bozo claims, "Homer could say that I am a knave."

On Day 6, you meet two inhabitants: Marge and Zoey. Marge says, "Zoey and I are both knights or both knaves." Zoey claims, "Marge and I are the same."

Can you determine who are the knights and who are the knaves? Show the complete work for your deductions.

Solution:

Day 1:

 $\neg m = \text{Zoey says}$ "Mel is a knave."

 $(z \wedge m) = \text{Mel says}$ "Neither Zoey nor I are knaves."

From the truth table, Zoey is a **knight** and Mel is a **knave**.

Day 2:

 $p \oplus z = \text{Peggy says}$ "of Zippy and I, exactly one is a knight."

p = Zippy says "Only a knave would say that Peggy is a knave."

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} p & z & p \oplus z & p \\ \hline F & F & \neg (p \oplus z) = \mathbf{T} & \neg p = \mathbf{T} \\ F & T & \neg (p \oplus z) = F & p = F \\ T & F & p \oplus z = T & \neg p = F \\ T & T & p \oplus z = F & p = T \\ \end{array}$$

From the truth table, Peggy and Zippy are knaves.

Day 3:

 $\neg z = \text{Sue says "Zippy is a knave."}$

 $(s \wedge z) = \text{Zippy says}$ "I and Sue are knights."

From the truth table, Sue is a knight and Zippy is a knave.

Day 4:

 $s \oplus z = \text{Sally says}$ "I and Zippy are not the same."

 $s \oplus z = \text{Zippy says}$ "Of I and Sally, exactly one is a knight."

From the truth table, Sally and Zippy are both knaves.

Day 5:

 $h \lor b =$ Homer says "At least one of the following is true: that I am a knight or that Bozo is a knight."

 $(\neg h \land b) \lor (h \land \neg b) = \text{Bozo says}$ "Homer could say that I am a knave."

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} h & b & h \lor b & (\neg h \land b) \lor (h \land \neg b) \\ \hline F & T & \neg (h \lor b) = F & (\neg h \land b) \lor (h \land \neg b) = F \\ F & F & \neg (h \lor b) = \mathbf{T} & \neg (\neg h \land b) \land \neg (h \land \neg b) = \mathbf{T} \\ T & T & h \lor b = T & (\neg h \land b) \lor (h \land \neg b) = F \\ T & F & h \lor b = T & \neg (\neg h \land b) \land \neg (h \land \neg b) = F \\ \end{array}$$

From the truth table. Homer and Bozo are both knaves.

Day 6:

 $(m \wedge z) \vee (\neg m \wedge \neg z) = \text{Marge says}$, "Zoey and I are both knights or both knaves."

 $m \equiv z = \text{Zoey says}$ "Marge and I are the same."

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} m & z & (m \wedge z) \vee (\neg m \wedge \neg z) & m \equiv z \\ \hline F & T & (\neg m \vee \neg z) \wedge (m \vee z) = T & m \equiv z = F \\ F & F & (\neg m \vee \neg z) \wedge (m \vee z) = F & \neg (m \equiv z) = F \\ T & T & (m \wedge z) \vee (\neg m \wedge \neg z) = T & m \equiv z = T \\ T & F & (m \wedge z) \vee (\neg m \wedge \neg z) = F & \neg (m \equiv z) = T \end{array}$$

From the truth table, Marge and Zoey are knights.

Problem 3. [9 points]

Question:

Prove or disprove these universally quantified statements. If disproving, you must provide a counterexample, where the domain for all variables consists of all real numbers.

Part (a)

Prove or disprove:

$$\forall x, \exists y, \left(x = \frac{1}{y}\right)$$

Solution: This statement is **false** if x = 0 then there is no y such that $x = \frac{1}{y}$. Or in other words, 0 has no inverse.

Part (b)

Prove or disprove:

$$\forall x, \exists y, (y^2 - x < 100)$$

Solution: This statement is **false**. Consider x = -200

$$y^{2} - x < 100$$

$$y^{2} - (-200) < 100$$

$$y^{2} + 200 < 100$$

$$y^{2} < -100$$
(x = -200)

And so we have $y^2 < -100$, but this is not possible because y is a real number and any real number squared is non-negative.

Part (c)

Prove or disprove:

$$\forall x, \exists y, (x^2 \neq y^3)$$

Solution: This statement is **false**. Consider x = 0 and y = 0, x = y so this statement is false.

Problem 4. [10 points]

Question:

Prove that if n and m are perfect squares, then $(n \cdot m) + 2$ is not a perfect square.

Solution:

Lemma 1:

 $n=l^2$, where $l \in Z$

$$n+2=z^2$$
 where $z\in Z$

Now $z^2 - l^2 = 2$

$$(z+l)(z-l) = z^2 - l^2 = (n+2) - n = 2$$

It is impossible for (z+l) or (z-l)=1 and for the other to =2.

Contradiction Proof:

Let's assume $(n \cdot m) + 2$ is a perfect square.

$$(n \cdot m) + 2 = k^2$$
, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Because n and m are perfect squares, we can rewrite these as the following:

$$n=l^2,\in Z$$

$$m=z^2, \in Z$$

 l^2 and z^2 can now be substituted into $(n \cdot m) + 2 = k^2$:

$$(l^2 \cdot z^2) + 2 = k^2$$

$$(lz)^2 + 2 = k^2$$

Note that l and z are integers and so their product is an integer. And so by lemma 1, it is impossible for $(lz)^2 + 2 = k^2$ to be a perfect square.

This shows that the assumption of $(n \cdot m) + 2$ is a perfect square is **false**. So if n and m are perfect squares, we can conclude that $(n \cdot m) + 2$ is **not** a perfect square.

Problem 5

Question:

Show that these 3 statements about a real number x are equivalent:

- a. x is rational.
- b. $\frac{x}{2}$ is rational.
- c. 3x 1 is rational.

Solution:

Since x is rational we have the following:

$$\exists n,m \in Z \land m \neq 0, \quad x = \frac{n}{m}$$

And so:

$$x = \frac{n}{m}$$
 (assume a)
$$\frac{x}{2} = \frac{n}{2m}$$
 (divide both sides by 2)

And since n and 2m are integers (integers are closed under multiplication) we have that, $\frac{x}{2}$ is an integer. And so we have $a \to b$.

Next we will show that $b \to c$.

$$\frac{x}{2} = \frac{n}{m}$$
 (assume b, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \land m \neq 0$)
$$x = \frac{2n}{m}$$
 (multiply both sides by 2)
$$3x - 1 = \frac{6n}{m} - 1$$
 (multiply both sides by 3, subtract 1)
$$3x - 1 = \frac{3n - m}{m}$$
 (common denominator)

Note that because n and m are integers (assumed from b), we have that 3n - m is an integer (integers are closed under multiplication and addition). And since both

the numerator and denominator are integers, we have that 3x-1 is rational, giving us $b \to c$.

Finally we will show that $c \to a$:

$$3x - 1 = \frac{n}{m}$$
 (assume c, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \land m \neq 0$)
$$x = \frac{\frac{n}{m} + 1}{3}$$

$$= \frac{n}{3m} + \frac{1}{3}$$

$$= \frac{n + m}{3m}$$
 (common denominator)

Note that because n and m are integers (assumed from because we have that n+m and 3m are integers (integers are closed under multiplication and addition). Since both the numerator and denominator are integers, we have that x is rational, giving us $c \to a$.

Putting this all together we have a chain of implications:

$$a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c \rightarrow a$$

This of course implies our result:

$$a \equiv b \equiv c$$

Problem 6 [18 points]

Question:

Prove the following two statements. You need to give a proof starting with the definition. You will not get any credit for providing examples.

Part (a)

Prove that the sum two rational numbers is rational.

Solution:

Since x and y are rational we have the following:

$$p,q,r,s\in Z\wedge q\neq 0,s\neq 0$$

$$x = \frac{p}{q}, y = \frac{r}{s}$$

We can express the sum of x and y as rational numbers:

$$x + y = \frac{p}{q} + \frac{r}{s}$$

$$= \frac{ps + rq}{qs}$$
 (common denominator)

Because ps and rq are integers, $q \neq 0$ and $s \neq 0$, x + y can be shown as a ratio of two integers, therefore x + y is a rational number.

Part (b)

Prove that the sum of an irrational number and a rational number must be irrational.

Solution:

Let's assume that x is rational, y is irrational, and x + y is rational expression. Because x + y is a rational, we can express the following:

$$p, q, r, s \in Z \land q \neq 0, s \neq 0$$

$$x = \frac{p}{q}, x + y = \frac{r}{s}$$

We can now substitute x into $x + y = \frac{r}{s}$:

$$\frac{p}{q} + y = \frac{r}{s}$$
 (substitute $x = \frac{p}{q}$)
$$y = \frac{r}{s} + (-\frac{p}{q})$$
 (subtract both sides by $\frac{p}{q}$)

The expression $y = \frac{r}{s} + (-\frac{p}{q})$ is rational since it is a ratio of two numbers being summed, however our assumption of y being irrational is contradicted, so the sum of a irrational number and a rational number must be irrational.