

## BFS (adj list)

4 visited = [0] \* nodes, queue = []  $\rightarrow$  c

BFS (visited, graph, start, end):

Do visited[start]  $\leftarrow$  1  $\rightarrow$  c

Do queue  $\leftarrow$  append(node)  $\rightarrow$  c

while queue not empty:  $\rightarrow$  goes for every vertex in graph.

part 1.

Do queue  $\leftarrow$  pop()  $\rightarrow$  c

Print m.  $\rightarrow$  c

If m == end:  $\rightarrow$  c  
Break

break:  $\rightarrow$  visits all edge of a vertex v.

visited[neighbour-1] = 0  $\rightarrow$  c

Do visit[neighbour-1]  $\leftarrow$  1  $\rightarrow$  c

Do queue  $\leftarrow$  append(neighbour)  $\rightarrow$  c.

part 2

so, time is,  $\forall$

for part 2, ~~in each round~~  $\rightarrow$  c + edges of  $V_i$

where, i is  $|V|$ .

so,  $(c + E_1) + (c + E_2) + (c + E_3) + \dots + (c + E_v)$

$= c|V| + \sum E$

$= |V| + |E|$  as  $\sum E$  is  $|E|$

so,  $O(|V| + |E|)$

## BFS (adj matrix)

for matrix, we form a  $n \times n$  matrix  
and  $n$  is no. of vertices ( $v$ ).

for traversal we iterate through each  
block and there are  $n^2$  or  $v^2$  blocks to  
traverse in total. So time complexity is

$$O(v^2)$$

## DFS (adj list)

visited = [0] \* nodes  
printed = []

DFS - VISIT (graph, node)

Do visited (node - 1)  $\leftarrow 1$   
printed.append (node)

for each node in graph (node)  $\rightarrow$  runs for each vertex  $v$ .

if node not visited

DFS - VISIT (graph, node)

$\rightarrow$  keeps visiting  
neighbouring  
nodes till none  
left, in-depth  
manner.

def dfs (graph, end):

for node in graph

if node not visited.

DFS - visit (graph, node).

print list till end.  $\rightarrow O(V)$

Time taken,  $(C + E_1) + (C + E_2) + \dots + (C + E_v)$   
 $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{V \text{ times.}}$

$$= CV + \sum E$$

$$= O(V + E)$$

DFS (adj matrix)

for matrix, same as BFS, we must traverse through all cells of  $V \times V$  i.e.  $V^2$  cells in total. Thus, for adj matrix complexity is  $O(V^2)$ .

my rival Gary reaches victory road first, as,  
we know DFS works well if the searched element  
is far from the start vertex even though BFS and  
DFS have the same time complexity. we can also  
see this our outputs, where for DFS we  
traverse less edges than we do for BFS as the  
end destination is far from our start node.  
for BFS we traverse 8 edges / vertices, for  
DFS we traverse 6 vertices.



1. Yes, if I maintain a dictionary with the name and the corresponding place number, then I can just check sequence of the numbers and exchange them with the value of my new dictionary. that has the numbers as its 'key'.

eg. seq is

1	2	3	6	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	----

store\_dict = { 1: dhaka, 2: china, 3: USA, 6: }

2. We can run a loop to check unvisited vertex and then we will visit them using the normal BFS algorithm.

3. Using the below condition we can detect cycle using DFS. Here, white means unvisited vertex, grey means visited but not explored and black means visited and explored.

If (color(x) = 'Grey' and y not parent of x)  
then cycle exists.

