

Lecture 23 Examples of KKT Conditions

Note by Samion Suwito on 4/15/25

Last Time

Primal Dual

Primal Problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f_0(x) \\ \text{s.t. } f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1 \dots m \\ h_j(x) = 0, \quad j = 1 \dots k \end{aligned} \tag{P}$$

Dual Problem: $g(\lambda, \mu) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \mu)$

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^k} g(\lambda, \mu) \\ \text{s.t. } \lambda \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{D}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \mu) = f_0(x) + \sum_i \lambda_i f_i(x) + \sum_j \mu_j h_j(x)$

KKT Conditions

For (x, λ, μ)

1. Feasibility:

- $f_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1 \dots m,$
- $h_j(x) = 0, j = 1 \dots k$
- $\lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1 \dots m$

2. Stationarity:

- $\nabla f_0(x) + \sum_i \lambda_i \nabla f_i(x) + \sum_j \mu_j \nabla h_j(x) = 0$

3. Complementary Slackness: $\lambda_i f_i(x) = 0, i = 1 \dots m$

Theorem:

1. If x^* optimal in (P), (λ^*, μ^*) optimal in (D), and strong duality holds ($p^* = d^*$), then (x^*, λ^*, μ^*) satisfy KKT conditions. (Essentially means KKT conditions are necessary.)
2. If $(f_i)_{i=0}^m$ are convex and $(h_j)_{j=1}^k$ are affine (i.e. (P) is a convex problem) and

(x^*, λ^*, μ^*) satisfy KKT conditions, then x^* is optimal in (P), (λ^*, μ^*) is optimal in (D) and strong duality holds (i.e. $p^* = d^*$).

Examples of KKT

Example 1

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x \quad & \frac{1}{2} x^\top H x + c^\top x \quad H \succeq 0 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A x = b \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^\top H x + c^\top x + \mu^\top (A x - b)$$

KKT Conditions

1. Feasibility $A x = b$
2. Stationarity: $H x + c + A^\top \mu = 0$
3. No λ so $\lambda_i f_i(x) = 0$

Based on KKT Conditions we can create the linear equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} H & A^\top \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \mu \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -c \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

Any solution to this equation is primal/dual optimal. If there is no solution then the primal optimal is not attained.

Example 2

Consider investment problem where we can invest money in n different assets. Covariance of assets given by $n \times n$ matrix $C \succeq 0$.

A portfolio is a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $x_i \geq 0$ is amount we invest in asset i .

$$\text{Portfolio Risk} = R = x^\top C x = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{x_i (C x)_i}_{\text{risk of asset } i}$$

Portfolio x has risk-parity if $x_i (C x)_i = \frac{1}{n} R \quad \forall i = 1 \dots n$ essentially diversification.

Consider:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad & \frac{R}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i) + \frac{1}{2} x^\top C x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x \geq 0 \quad i = 1 \dots n \end{aligned}$$

Where $\phi(t)$ is a log barrier function.

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} \log\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) & t > 0 \\ +\infty & t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

We can solve by using the KKT conditions

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{R}{n} \sum -\log(x_i) + \frac{1}{2} x^\top C x - \lambda^\top x & x > 0 \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

KKT:

1. $x \geq 0, \lambda_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1 \dots n$
2. $-\frac{R}{n} \frac{1}{x_i} + (Cx)_i - \lambda_i = 0, i = 1 \dots n$
3. $\lambda_i x_i = 0$

By KKT 1 and **Slater**, (x^*, λ^*) satisfy KKT, must have $x_i^* > 0$ (due to log barrier function)
 $\implies \lambda_i^* = 0$ using complementary slackness. $\implies x_i^* (Cx^*)_i = \frac{R}{n}$ using KKT 2
therefore having risk parity.

Example 3

For a probability vector p . The entropy $H(p) = \sum_i p_i \log \frac{1}{p_i}$, which is a convex function of p .

(big entropy = randomness, small entropy = deterministic)

$$\begin{aligned} \min_p \quad & \sum p_i \log p_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & p_i \geq 0 \\ & \sum p_i = 1 \\ & a^\top p = \sum a_i p_i \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(p, \lambda, \nu, \mu) = \sum p_i \log p_i - \lambda^\top p + \mu \left(\sum p_i - 1 \right) + \nu a^\top p$$

The KKT:

1. $\sum p_i = 1, p_i \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, \nu \geq 0$
2. $\log p_i + 1 - \lambda_i + \mu + \nu a_i = 0$
3. $\lambda_i p_i = 0, \nu \sum a_i p_i = 0$

Using KKT2: $p_i = e^{-(\mu+1)-\nu a_i + \lambda_i} > 0$

$\implies \lambda_i = 0$ by KKT3

$\implies p_i = e^{-(\mu+1)-\nu a_i}$

$\implies 1 = \sum p_i = e^{-(\mu+1)} \sum_i e^{-\nu a_i}$ by KKT1, where μ serves to normalise:
 $e^{\mu+1} = \sum_i e^{-\nu a_i}$ which makes the equation above 1.

From here he corrects his work look below to what he wrote before for more understanding:
 $0 \geq \sum a_i p_i \implies \sum a_i e^{-\nu a_i} \leq 0$ through primal feasibility and $\nu \sum a_i e^{-\nu a_i} = 0$ by complementary slackness

 Pre-correction

$$\implies 0 = \nu \sum a_i p_i = \nu \sum a_i e^{-(\mu+1)} e^{-\nu a_i}$$

$$\implies \nu \sum_i a_i e^{-\nu a_i} = 0 \text{ where } \nu \geq 0$$

We got rid from $e^{-(\mu+1)}$ since it's positive and we divide by it.

We also can't just set $\nu = 0$ and must satisfy $\sum a_i e^{-\nu a_i} \leq 0$ primal feasibility