





CSE3103 : Database FALL 2020

Nazmus Sakib
Assistant Professor
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Ahsanullah University of Science and Technology

Concurrent Executions

- Multiple transactions are allowed to run concurrently in the system.
- Advantages are:
 - **Increased processor and disk utilization**, leading to better transaction *throughput*
 - **Reduced average response time** for transactions: short transactions need not wait behind long ones.
- **Concurrency control schemes** – mechanisms to achieve isolation
 - That is, to control the interaction among the concurrent transactions in order to prevent them from destroying the consistency of the database

Schedules

- **Schedule** – a sequences of instructions that specify the chronological order in which instructions of concurrent transactions are executed
 - A schedule for a set of transactions must consist of all instructions of those transactions.
 - Must preserve the order in which the instructions appear in each individual transaction.
- A transaction that successfully completes its execution will have a **commit** instructions as the last statement
 - By default transaction assumed to execute commit instruction as its last step
- A transaction that fails to successfully complete its execution will have an **abort** instruction as the last statement

Schedule 1

- T_1 transfer \$50 from A to B , and
- T_2 transfer 10% of the balance from A to B .
- An example of a **serial** schedule in which T_1 is followed by T_2 :

T_1	T_2
read (A) $A := A - 50$ write (A) read (B) $B := B + 50$ write (B) commit	read (A) $temp := A * 0.1$ $A := A - temp$ write (A) read (B) $B := B + temp$ write (B) commit

T1	T2
A=100	A=50
A=100-50	TEMP=50*10%=5
A=50	A=50-TEMP =45
B= 200	B= 250
B= 200+50	B= 250+5
B=250	B=255

After Schedule 1	
A = 45	B= 255

Schedule 2

- T_1 transfer \$50 from A to B , and
- T_2 transfer 10% of the balance from A to B .
- An example of a **serial** schedule in which T_2 is followed by T_1 :

T_1	T_2
read (A) $A := A - 50$ write (A) read (B) $B := B + 50$ write (B) commit	read (A) $temp := A * 0.1$ $A := A - temp$ write (A) read (B) $B := B + temp$ write (B) commit

After Schedule 1	
$A = 45$	$B = 255$

T_1	T_2
$A=90$	$A=100$
$A=90-50$	$TEMP=100*10\%=10$
$A=40$	$A=100-TEMP =90$
$B= 210$	$B= 200$
$B= 210+50$	$B= 200+10$
$B=260$	$B=210$

After Schedule 2	
$A = 40$	$B = 260$

Schedule 3

- Let T_1 and T_2 be the transactions defined previously.
- The following schedule is not a serial schedule,
- but it is **equivalent** to Schedule 1.

T_1	T_2
read (A) $A := A - 50$ write (A)	
	read (A) $temp := A * 0.1$ $A := A - temp$ write (A)
read (B) $B := B + 50$ write (B) commit	
	read (B) $B := B + temp$ write (B) commit

Note -- In schedules 1, 2 and 3, the sum "A + B" is preserved.

After Schedule 1

A = 45

B = 255

After Schedule 2

A = 40

B = 260

T1	T2
A=100	A=50
A=100-50	TEMP=50*10%=5
A=50	A=50-TEMP =45
B= 200	B= 250
B= 200+50	B= 250+5
B=250	B=255

After Schedule 3

A = 45

B = 255

Schedule 4

- Let T_1 and T_2 be the transactions defined previously.
- The following schedule is not a serial schedule,

Note -- In schedules 4 the sum "A + B" is not preserved.

T_1	T_2
read (A) $A := A - 50$	
	read (A) $temp := A * 0.1$ $A := A - temp$ write (A) read (B)
write (A) read (B) $B := B + 50$ write (B) commit	
	$B := B + temp$ write (B) commit

After Schedule 1	
A = 45	B = 255

After Schedule 2	
A = 40	B = 260

After Schedule 3	
A = 45	B = 255

T1	T2
A=100	A=100
A=100-50	TEMP=100*10%=10
A=50	A=100-TEMP =90
B= 200	B= 200
B= 200+50	B= 200+10
B=250	B=210

After Schedule 4	
A = 50	B = 210

