

Assignment 3: Exercises for Topic 3

Important Note. Due date: **July 13, 2020 (11:59am)**, the same due date as Assignment 4. The requested submission consists of the questions with * in the assignment, i.e., you need to submit Exercises 1, 4, and 5. In Exercise 8, (a), (b), and (d) are bonus questions for 1 mark.

Exercise 1*. In the public-key certificate system, suppose that the certificate authority (CA) employs DSS signature. Assume that CA's private key and public key pair is denoted by (sk_{CA}, pk_{CA}) . Bob requests a public-key certificate for his key pair (sk_B, pk_B) , which is a RSA key pair.

- (a) Explain what Bob should submit to the CA to get the certificate for his public key pk_B .
- (b) How does CA generate the certificate of Bob's public key? (You only need to specify the format of the certificate.)
- (c) When Alice wishes to send some sensitive information to Bob using Bob's public key, what does she need to do before she performs the RSA encryption using Bob's public key?
- (d) Why is a certificate authority necessary for a public-key system?

Exercise 2. Consider the man-in-the-middle attack when the Diffie-Hellman public keys are not signed in Protocol C.

- (a) Explain how the man-in-the-middle attack works.
- (b) Show how an attacker could impersonate the entities A and B by the man-in-the-middle attack.
- (c) What are the secret keys that A and B , respectively, obtained by the end of the protocol?

Exercise 3. Assume that each of party A and party B has a pair of RSA public and private keys. The public keys are certified by a trusted third party. Try to design a key agreement protocol using public key for key transport and explain how mutual authentication is done (which is referred to as implicit authentication).

Exercise 4*. Security analysis on IKE Auth:

- (a) Try to find a man-in-the-middle attack on the "IKE AUTH" exchange with the modification that the data fields over which the authentication payloads are generated such that $AUTH_i = Sig_{sk_i}(N_r)$ and $AUTH_r = Sig_{sk_r}(N_i)$, assume certificates are exchanged.

- (b) Try to explore possibilities to conduct a dictionary attack in IKEv2, when the pre-shared secret S_{pre} is a password with binary length 8 bits. (Hint: A failed execution may expose a value $AUTH$ and the data it is protecting.)

Exercise 5*. Security analysis on TLS:

- (a) Assume the key establishment algorithm is RSA , and the client authentication is not conducted, that is, message **CertificateVerify** is not sent. Try to identify an attack which hijacks the session by sending an attacker-generated “pre-master secret” to the server, where the messages *Finished* can carry along without being detected by either the client or the server.
- (b) Explain why the attack identified in (a) will not gain access to the server, if the client must enter a password before any further application data will be exchanged.
- (c) Try to explain why key establishment algorithms RSA and DH cannot provide perfect forward secrecy.

Exercise 6. Consider the authentication vectors in AKA in 4G-TLE.

- (a) Explain the functionalities of $f_i, i = 1, \dots, 5$ used to generate the authentication vector in AKA, i.e.,

$$AV = (RAND, XRES, CK, IK, AUTN)$$

where

$$XRES = f_2(K, RAND)$$

$$CK = f_3(K, RAND)$$

$$IK = f_4(K, RAND)$$

$$AK = f_5(K, RAND)$$

and

$$AUTN = (SQN \oplus AK) || AMF || MAC$$

where

$$MAC = f_1(K, RAND, SQN, AMF).$$

- (b) Explain functionality of $SQN \oplus AK$. Which value is served as a masking value?
- (c) Explain how the UE entity authentication and the network entity authentication are conducted.

Exercise 7. List the security flaws in WEP and comment that if you were a designer of WEP, you may argue how the design were considered as secure.

Exercise 8. A forgery attack on GHASH. GHASH is used in GCM in TLS and GCMP in WiFi, as well as EIA1 in 4G-LTE. In theory, it has been proved it is secure under the assumption that nonce cannot be reused. As you have seen, in the real world, in both 4G-LTE and WiFi, the nonce can be forced to repeat. Hence, an attacker is able to forge the authentication generated by GHASH. In the following, we will assume that a GHASH polynomial is evaluated in finite field $GF(2^4)$, defined by $t(x) = x^4 + x + 1$, a primitive polynomial, and α is a root of $t(x)$ in $GF(2^4)$. We give the following two pairs of plaintext and ciphertext.

plaintext	ciphertext
$M = 001100101111$	$C = 101000111001$
$M' = 100000110000$	$C' = 001011100101$

where the right most bit is LSB and each ciphertext is generated by a random cipher.

- ** Let $H = 0101$ in GCMP, compute $GHASH(C, H)$ and $GHASH(C', H)$. Find a ciphertext which has a valid hash value.
- ** In EIA1, let $P = 1111$, $Q = 0001$ and $OTP = 0011$ (i.e., without truncating), compute $GHASH(M, P)$, and $GHASH(M', P)$, the GHASH component in EIA1 for message M and M' .
- Provide an argument to show that a forgery for GCMP is successful even it is over the ciphertext.
- ** Show that after attacker intercepts the $MAC-I(M)$ and $MAC-I(M')$, he can forge a valid $MAC-I(M_{new})$ where $M_{new} = 0110 \cdot (M + M') + M$. (Hint. Show that $MAC-I(M_{new}) = \alpha^5[MAC-I(M) + MAC-I(M')] + MAC-I(M)$.)
- Identify a possible forgery when the attacker has only one MAC for both GCMP and EIA1.

Note. An example of the format for GHASH,

$$GHASH(M, H) = M_1 H^3 + M_2 H^2 + M_3 H$$

where $M = (M_1, M_2, M_3)$ where

$$M_1 = 0011, M_2 = 0010, M_3 = 1111.$$

Exercise 9. Assume that a path consists of n nodes, $n > 2$. A piece of data D is transported from node 1 to node n .

- (a) Assume that each node i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, has a pair of public and private keys (pk_i, sk_i) used for digital signatures, where the public key pk_i is certified by a CA, which is trusted by all the other nodes on the path. Can integrity protection and authenticity be applied on the path in both end-to-end and hop-by-hop manners through digital signature and how? (Hint: the data D can be protected by more than one signature.)
- (b) If using symmetric key based message authentication code, what are the conditions about the shared keys among these nodes to achieve both end-to-end and hop-by-hop integrity protection and authenticity?