

**Stat 513**  
**Assignment 1**  
**Topic 1: Probability and Set Theory**  
**Fall 2025**

Total: 50 points

Due Sunday, September 7 at 23:59

## 1 Set Theory

### Problem 1. Countability..

The *algebraic numbers* are defined as the set of roots of polynomials with integer coefficients. Formally,

$$A = \left\{ x : \exists N, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=0}^N a_i x^i = 0 \right\}.$$

Is  $A$  countable or uncountable? Show your answer by either demonstrating the existence of a bijection, or showing that no such bijection could exist.

### Problem 1 Solution

Consider the set

$$B = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \{(a_0, \dots, a_N) : a_0, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Note that  $B$  is “larger” than  $A$ , in the sense that we can, for example, let  $g : A \rightarrow B$  to be such that  $g(x)$  corresponds to one of the possible polynomials that has  $x$  as a root (for example, by taking the smallest  $N$ , then the smallest  $a_0, \dots$ ). Then,  $g$  is a bijection with its  $g(A) \subset B$ ; as such, it is sufficient to prove that  $B$  is countable.

Since, as asserted in class, the countable union of countable sets is countable, it is sufficient to show that component sets of the union in the definition of  $B$  are each countable. Since each of these can be seen as equivalent to  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \cdots$ , each of these can be countable using the (informal) “diagonal” argument used to show that the rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  are countable.

For a more explicit example, fix  $N$  and consider  $y = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is countable, we can take  $a_0$  to be equivalent to its corresponding natural number. Then if  $N$  is even, let  $f(y)$  correspond to a binary decimal expansion with  $N$  zeros,  $a_0$  ones,  $a_1$  zeros, ...  $a_N$  ones, and then infinite zeros; if  $N$  is odd start with ones, so that you “end” with ones. Then, you can reconstruct  $(a_0, \dots, a_N)$  by first “reading off” the value of  $N$ ,

and then repeatedly looking at the number of zeros/ones until you've determined the value of  $a_N$ . Since the rest of the digits are zero, this is a rational number, and the rational numbers are countable.

## Problem 2. Countability and Density of Sets

As in class, let  $b_i(x)$  denote the  $i$ -th binary digit of  $x \in (0, 1)$ . The set of normal numbers between zero and one is defined as the following set:

$$A = \left\{ x \in (0, 1) \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) = \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

- i) Show that  $A$  is dense in  $(0, 1)$ . *Hint:* For a given  $\epsilon$ , look at the first  $n$  digits of the binary expansion for an appropriate value of  $n$ .
- ii) Show that the complement of  $A$  is also dense in  $(0, 1)$ .

## Problem 2 Solution

(i). Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $m$  be large enough such that  $\frac{1}{2^m} < \epsilon$ . For  $x \in (0, 1)$ , let  $a \in A$  be such that

$$b_i(a) = \begin{cases} b_i(x) & i \leq m \\ 0 & i > m, \text{ i is even} \\ 1 & i > m, \text{ i is odd} \end{cases}$$

Then we can see that (taking  $n > m$  inside of the limits) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i(a) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=m+1}^n b_i(a) \\ &= 0 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we can see that  $a$  is a normal number, and also that

$$|a - x| = \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|b_i(a) - b_i(x)|}{2^i} \leq \frac{1}{2^m} < \epsilon$$

(ii) The logic here is the same as the above, but you can let  $b_i(x) = 0$ , for example, for  $i > m$ .

## Problem 3. Lim-sup and lim-inf of sets.

Consider a countable sequence of sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots$ . The lim-sup and lim-inf of this sequence are defined as follows:

$$\liminf A_i = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i$$

and

$$\limsup A_i = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i.$$

- i) Let  $A_i = [0, \frac{1}{i}]$  if  $i$  is odd and  $A_i = [0, 1]$  if  $i$  is even. What are  $\limsup A_i$  and  $\liminf A_i$ ?
- ii) Show that if  $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ , then the  $\liminf$  and  $\limsup$  of  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  are equal to each other and to the (infinite) union. Show the analogous result if  $A_i \supset A_{i+1}$  with respect to the infinite intersection.
- iii) Show that

$$(\liminf A_i)^c = \limsup A_i^c$$

and

$$(\limsup A_i)^c = \liminf A_i^c.$$

### Problem 3 Solution

i)

$$\limsup A_i = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [0, 1] = [0, 1].$$

$$\liminf A_i = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{0\} = \{0\}.$$

ii) If  $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \\ \bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i &= A_n. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\limsup A_i = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i = \liminf A_i.$$

The other case of “decreasing” sets is similar.

iii) By DeMorgan’s laws for infinite unions/intersections, we have

$$(\limsup A_i)^c = \left( \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i \right)^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i \right)^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} A_i^c = \liminf A_i^c$$

and similar for  $\liminf$ .

### Problem 4. The Cantor Set

Consider the set of sequences of elements of  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ :

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \mid x \in \{0, 1, 2\} \right\}.$$

Similar to the set of binary sequences, we can define  $t_i(x)$  as the  $i$ -th *ternary* digit of  $x \in (0, 1)$ , and establish a (psuedo) bijection with the unit interval:

$$f(\{x_i\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{t_i(x)}{3^i}.$$

(Note that this is not a true bijection as written because we would need to establish a condition for equivalent expansions similar to what we did for the binary digits, since  $.022\dots$  and  $.100\dots$  are both equal to  $1/3$ ; however we will ignore this complication as justified by Problem 5(i)).

Given this definition, the *Cantor set* can be defined as

$$\mathcal{C} = \{x \in (0, 1) \mid t_i(x) \neq 1\}.$$

i) Show that the Cantor set as defined above is equivalent to defining collections of sets  $C_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  through the following iterative process:

a) Initialization: Let  $C_0 = \{(0, 1)\}$ .

b) Construct  $C_{n+1}$  from  $C_n$  by removing the middle third of each of the intervals of  $C_n$ , i.e.

$$C_{n+1} = \left\{ \left[ a, a + \frac{b-a}{3} \right], \left[ a + \frac{2(b-a)}{3}, b \right] \mid \forall [a, b] \in C_n \right\}.$$

and taking the infinite intersection of the union of each of the  $C_n$ :

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{A \in C_n} A.$$

ii) Show that the Cantor set is closed (i.e., contains all of its limit points).

iii) A set  $S$  is *nowhere dense* in  $\mathcal{X}$  if for all open subsets  $E \subset \mathcal{X}$ ,  $S$  is not dense in  $E$ . Show that the Cantor set is nowhere dense in  $(0, 1)$ .

iv) Using the uniform probability space, show that  $P(\mathcal{C}) = 0$  by showing that  $P(\mathcal{C}) < \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

#### Problem 4 Solution

i) It is sufficient to show that at each level  $n$ ,

$$\bigcup_{A \in C_n} A = \{x : t_i(x) \neq 1 \ \forall i \leq n\}.$$

We will show this by proving the following claim through induction: at each level  $n$ ,  $C_n$  consists of the intervals

$$\left[ x, x + \frac{1}{3^n} \right] \quad \forall x \in A_n$$

where

$$A_n = \{x : t_i(x) \neq 1 \ \forall i \leq n \text{ and } t_i(x) = 0 \ \forall i > n\}.$$

This is true when  $n = 0$  and  $x = 0$  since the interval is  $[0, 1]$ .

Suppose by that is true for  $n$ . We can see that each element  $x \in A_n$  corresponds to two elements in  $A_{n+1}$ ,  $x$  and  $x + \frac{2}{3^{n+1}}$ . When we construct  $C_{n+1}$ , the construction will produce the two intervals

$$\left[ x, x + \frac{1}{3^{n+1}} \right], \left[ x + \frac{2}{3^{n+1}}, x + \frac{1}{3^n} \right].$$

We can see that the first interval corresponds to  $x$  in  $A_{n+1}$ . Re-writing the second interval as

$$\left[ x + \frac{2}{3^{n+1}}, \left( x + \frac{2}{3^{n+1}} \right) + \frac{1}{3^{n+1}} \right]$$

we can see that this corresponds to  $x + \frac{2}{3^{n+1}} \in A_{n+1}$ . Since this holds for any element of  $A_n$ , we have shown the induction hypothesis for  $C_{n+1}$  and as such for all  $C_n$ . The result follows by taking the infinite intersection.

- ii) Let  $x$  denote a limit point of the Cantor set. Let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3^n}$ . By the definition of a limit point there exists a  $x_n \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $|x - x_n| < \epsilon$ . This implies that  $t_i(x) = t_i(x_n) \neq 1$  for all  $i < n$ . Since  $n$  is arbitrary, this means that  $t_i(x) \neq 1$  for all  $i$ , which means that  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ , so since  $\mathcal{C}$  contains all of its limit points and is as such closed.
- iii) Let  $A \subset (0, 1)$  denote an open set. By definition, for any  $x$  there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N_\epsilon(x) \subset A$ . Denote the endpoints of this interval as  $(a, b)$ . Since  $a \neq b$ , there must exist some  $i$  such that  $t_i(a) \neq t_i(b)$ ; take  $i$  to be the first such value. Then let  $y$  be such that  $t_j(y) = t_j(a) = t_j(b)$  for all  $j < i$  but  $t_i(y) = 1$ . Then  $a < y < b$  but  $y$  is not in  $\mathcal{C}$ , so  $\mathcal{C}$  cannot be dense in  $A$ .
- iv) Since

$$P(C_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \frac{1}{3^n} = \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^n$$

and  $P(\mathcal{C}) < P(C_n)$  for all  $n$ , then take  $n$  to be large enough such that  $\left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^n < \epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  to show that  $P(\mathcal{C}) = 0$

## 2 Basic Probability

### Problem 5. Binary Sequences

- i) Show that, when represented in base-2,  $.1000\ldots = .0111\ldots = \frac{1}{2}$ .
- ii) Taking  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  to be  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  as the Borel sets, and  $P$  as the uniform probability measure, show that

$$P(\{x \mid \exists N \text{ s.t. } b_j(x) = 0 \ \forall j \geq N\}) = 0.$$

(In other words, the probability that a given binary sequence ends in all zeros is zero). This allows us to use our bijection between the “non-terminating” binary sequences and the interval  $(0, 1)$  without any loss of generality.

### Problem 5 Solution

- i) We can see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1$$

implies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

- ii) Because each element of this set is a rational number, it is countable, and as such must be of probability one as shown in class.

### Problem 6. Infinite Sequences of Coin Flips

For the following parts, consider the event space of infinite sequences of zero-one coin flips:

$$\Omega = \left\{ \{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \mid x_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\}.$$

- i) Using the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by evenly sized intervals of width  $1/8$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}$  composed of the sets  $A_i = (i/8, (i+1)/8)$  along with union and complements) derive the probability of the second and third coin flips being heads.
- ii) What is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra (i.e., composed of the fewest number of sets) that will allow you to evaluate the probability of the second and third coin flips being heads?
- iii) What is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra (i.e., composed of the fewest number of sets) that will allow you to evaluate the probabilities that the second and third coin flips take *any* value? (i.e., (H,H), (T,T), (H,T), (T,T)).

### Problem 6 Solution

i)

$$P\left(\left(\frac{3}{8}, \frac{4}{8}\right] \cup \left(\frac{7}{8}, \frac{8}{8}\right]\right) = P\left(\left(\frac{3}{8}, \frac{4}{8}\right]\right) + P\left(\left(\frac{7}{8}, \frac{8}{8}\right]\right) = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{4}$$

ii) Let

$$A = (3/8, 4/8] \cup (7/8, 8/8].$$

Then

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, A, A^c, \Omega\}$$

will allow us to evaluate the probability from part (a) with just four sets.

iii) If we need to calculate the probability of any two values, consider

$$A_0 = (0/8, 1/8] \cup (4/8, 5/8],$$

$$A_1 = (1/8, 2/8] \cup (5/8, 6/8],$$

$$A_2 = (2/8, 3/8] \cup (6/8, 7/8],$$

$$A_3 = (3/8, 4/8] \cup (7/8, 8/8].$$

Then let  $\mathcal{F}$  consist of the unions and complements of these four sets. This yields  $2^4 = 16$  sets total, unlike the  $\mathcal{C}$  used in part (i) which is composed of  $2^8 = 256$  sets.

### Problem 7. Probability of Union

Let  $P$  denote a probability function on sample space  $\Omega$  and  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ . Show that

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i).$$

### Problem 7 Solution

First we will show the following:

$$P(A \cup B) \leq P(A) + P(B).$$

which can be seen since

$$\begin{aligned} P(A \cup B) &= P(A \cup B) + P(A \setminus B) + P(B \setminus A) \\ &= P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B) \leq P(A) + P(B). \end{aligned}$$

Then we will use induction. Assume that

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i).$$

Then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \cup A_{n+1}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) + P(A_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} P(A_i).$$

where the inequality follows by setting  $A$  in the above relationship to the sum, and  $B$  to  $A_{n+1}$ . The statement follows by induction on  $n$  and taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### Problem 8. Some Results on $\sigma$ -algebras

- i) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the collection of all countable subsets of  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ , and their complements. Show that
  - a)  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
  - b) If  $P : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is such that  $P(A) = 0$  if  $A$  is countable, then  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  forms a valid probability space.
- ii) Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots$  denote an increasing series of  $\sigma$ -algebras. Show by example that  $\bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_i$  is not necessary a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

### Problem 8 Solution

- i) (a) The first property holds because  $\Omega^c = \emptyset$  is countable. The second property holds by construction. To show the third property, let  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  denote a collection of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Write the infinite union as

$$\left(\bigcup_{i: A_i \text{ uncountable}} A_i\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i: A_i \text{ countable}} A_i\right) \equiv A \cup B.$$

where we will denote the first union as just  $A$  and the second union as  $B$  for simplicity.

Since the countable union of countable sets is countable, then  $B$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $A$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$  since its complement is countable, as

$$\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right)^c = \left(\bigcap_i A_i^c\right)$$

is the intersection of countable sets. Then we can see that

$$A \cup B = (\Omega \setminus (A^c)) \cup B = \Omega \setminus ((A^c) \setminus B).$$

Since  $A^c \setminus B$  is countable, then it follows that  $A \cup B$  is countable, so  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ .

- (b) Since  $\emptyset$  is countable and the complement of  $\Omega$  is countable, then  $P(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $P(\Omega) = 1$ . Consider a *disjoint* collection  $\{A_i\}_i$ . Then it can be seen that at most one of these is uncountable (since, if  $A$  and  $B$  were disjoint and both uncountable, then  $B$  would be in  $A^c$



which violates the construction). Say there is one uncountable set that we identify as  $i = 1$ . Then  $\bigcup_i A_i$  is uncountable, so

$$1 = P\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) = P(A_1) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P(A_i) = 1 + 0 = 1.$$

If all of the sets are countable, then both sides will just be equal to zero.

- ii) For one example, consider  $\mathcal{F}_n$  as the  $\sigma$ -algebra obtained by taking unions/complements of half-open sub-intervals of  $(0, 1]$  of length  $\frac{1}{2^n}$ , i.e.  $\left(\frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n}\right]$ . Then, for example,  $\{1/2\} \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$  because  $\{1/2\} \notin \mathcal{F}_n$  for any  $n$ , however  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/2 - 1/2^n, 1/2] = 1/2$  implies that  $\{1/2\}$  would need to be in  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_i$  in order for it to be a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Another example would be to let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  be equal to the set of all subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and their complements in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$  will include all of the singleton sets  $\{i\}$ , so  $\bigcup_{i: i \text{ is even}} \{i\}$  will be the set of all even natural numbers. However this set is not in any  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , so cannot be in  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ .