Mark Sheet – Assessed by demonstration in your tutorial

Essential Requirements	Place ☑ or ☒ in box	**Y/N**
index.html - validates to HTML5 ☐- \<head> with appropriate meta tags incl. title and author ☐ - menu that links ☐ email link in footer ☐		
topic.html - validates to HTML5 ☐ - meaningful content ☐ - at least ~200 words ☐ -heading elements ☐ list ☐ table ☐ graphic ☐		
quiz.html - validates to HTML5 ☐ - At least two different types of input control used ☐ - specified field values echoed back from the server ☐		
style.css - CSS validates with no errors ☐ - single file external CSS applied to all HTML pages ☐ - consistent typological style applied to all pages ☐ - some CSS layout applied ☐		
Deployed to Mercury ☐		
Subtotal	**(all Y)**	

Specified Requirements Place ☑ or ☒ in box - 2 marks each tick	**Comment**	**Mark**
index.html HTML _(deduct 2 marks up to -14 for each HTML5 validation error)_ Menu that links (consistent menu on all pages) ☐ Header with appropriate context including title ☐ Footer with email hyperlink to your student email ☐ CSS: Background graphic ☐ Menu appropriately formatted with background colour ☐ Footer text small and centred ☐		/12
topic.html HTML _(deduct 2 marks up to -14 for each HTML5 validation error)_ Headings (at least contiguous 2 levels) ☐ Ordered list ☐, Unordered list ☐, No. of Sections >=2 ☐, Aside ☐ Table ☐ Graphic < 100KB ☐ CSS: Table different background colour for headings ☐ and data ☐ Aside 25% viewport width ☐, floats right ☐, coloured border ☐ \<h1> font variant, size family set ☐ Footer full page width ☐		/28
quiz.html _(deduct 2 marks up to -24 for each HTML5 validation error)_ Text input for names, id and text box question ☐ Radio ☐ Checkbox ☐ Dropdown ☐ 5th input type question ☐ Labels linked with for ☐ Fieldsets used ☐ HTML5 data validation: Text radio input questions answered ☐ (6 marks) Names alpha/space/hyphen > 0, =< 25 ☐ ID digit 7 or 10 only☐ Data for all inputs returned from server correctly ☐		/26
CSS (general) _(deduct 1 mark up to -14 for each CSS3 validation error)_ Selectors: element ☐,#id ☐,.class ☐,grouping ☐,context ☐, pseudo ☐ Fluid page flow (relative dimensions) ☐		/14
Subtotal		**/80**

During the demonstration you will be asked about various aspects of your Web site and asked to explain how you have implemented it to your tutor/ assessor.

Enhancements to Specified Requirements *listed and linked* from enhancements.html
Maximum of 2 Enhancements will be assessed (put your best ones at the top of the list). Up to 10 marks are available per feature. Poorly implemented or trivial enhancements may receive less or zero marks.

Feature Name	Described	Linked to where implemented on your Web site	Source (if applicable)	Mark
	Y/N	Y/N	Y/N/na	/10
	Y/N	Y/N	Y/N/na	/10
Total Additions				**/20**

Other Deductions based on demonstration, documentation, code and file inspection

Requirement	Max Deduction if not met	Deduct
Page design		
- Well designed structure	-4	
- Appropriate contrast in colours	-2	
- Appropriate use of fonts	-2	
- Consistent application of style across pages	-2	
Content		
- Topic content has sufficient quantity (200 words +)	-4	
- Topic content is sufficient quality	-4	
HTML		
- Valid XML -	(-2 / error)**up to -10**	
- Meta-data follows in-house standard	-4	
- HTML has no embedded Style markup CSS is fully separated from HTML	-4	
- HTML elements follow in-house standard (e.g. alt on images)	-4 per element type	
- No deprecated elements/attributes used	-2	
- No inappropriate use of HTML semantics (e.g. use of \<div> when \<section> \<article> should be used)	-2	
CSS		
- No redundant CSS or unused selectors	**up to -10**	
- Appropriate header comments (match in-house standard)	-4	
- Appropriate use of selectors (e.g. Class versus ID)	-4	
- Appropriate line comments	-2	
Web site		
- All third party content acknowledged properly*	4	
- Directory Structure as defined above	-4	
Total Deductions		

* Note: Failure to acknowledge third party code or content *at all* is plagiarism and may result in zero marks for this assessment or other penalties in accord with Swinburne policy.

A final assignment mark will *not* be provided during the demonstration. All code is inspected after the demonstration by your tutor before a final mark is allocated.

Comments: ……………………………………………………………………………………