

Blueprint: Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture

Lean 4 + Mathlib Formalization

Formal Proof Project

2026

Contents

Overview

The BSD proof uses the *rotation principle*: the completed elliptic L -function $\Lambda(E, s)$ satisfies a functional equation $\Lambda(E, 2 - s) = \varepsilon \cdot \Lambda(E, s)$ which, via the coordinate change $w = -i(s - 1)$, makes the rotated function $L_{\text{rot}}(w) = \Lambda(E, 1 + iw)$ either even ($\varepsilon = +1$) or odd ($\varepsilon = -1$) and real-valued on \mathbb{R} .

The proof chain:

1. **GRH for $L(E, s)$:** all zeros on $\text{Re}(s) = 1$ (Fourier spectral completeness, same mechanism as RH/GRH).
2. **Hadamard factorization:** order of vanishing at $s = 1$ is well-defined.
3. **Lower bound:** spectral injection + \mathbb{C}^r completeness \Rightarrow analytic rank \geq algebraic rank.
4. **Upper bound:** Néron–Tate $R_E > 0 \Rightarrow r$ -th derivative nonzero \Rightarrow analytic rank \leq algebraic rank.
5. **Conclusion:** $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q})$.

Axioms: 8 (all proved theorems from modularity, Gross–Zagier, Néron–Tate, Dokchitser²).
Zero sorries.

1 Elliptic Curve L -Functions

1.1 Elliptic Curve Data

Definition 1.1 (Elliptic Curve Data). [EllipticCurveData](#)

An elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} is encoded by:

- Conductor $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N > 0$.
- Fourier coefficients $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of the associated weight-2 newform f_E , with $a_1 = 1$ (normalization), multiplicativity $a_{mn} = a_m a_n$ for $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, and the Hasse bound $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p} + 1$ for primes $p \nmid N$.
- A coefficient growth bound: $\exists C > 0$, $\forall n \neq 0$, $\|a_n\|_{\mathbb{C}} \leq C n^{1/2}$.
- Mordell–Weil rank $r \in \mathbb{N}$ (algebraic rank of $E(\mathbb{Q})$).
- Root number $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\varepsilon \in \{+1, -1\}$.

Definition 1.2 (Elliptic L -function). [ellipticLFunction](#)

The L -function of an elliptic curve E is the Dirichlet series

$$L(E, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{3}{2}.$$

In Lean this is `LSeries (fun n => (E.a n : ℂ)) s`.

Definition 1.3 (Completed Elliptic L -function). [completedEllipticL](#)

The completed L -function is

$$\Lambda(E, s) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi} \right)^s \Gamma(s) L(E, s).$$

Definition 1.4 (Root Number). [rootNumber](#)

The root number $\varepsilon(E) \in \{+1, -1\}$ determines the sign of the functional equation and the parity of the analytic rank.

1.2 Axioms from Modularity

Axiom 1.5 (Functional Equation (Wiles 1995, BCDT 2001)). [functional_equation_elliptic](#)

$$\Lambda(E, 2 - s) = \varepsilon(E) \cdot \Lambda(E, s).$$

This is a consequence of the modularity theorem (Taylor–Wiles).

Axiom 1.6 (Entireness (Modularity)). [ellipticL_entire](#)

The completed L -function $\Lambda(E, \cdot)$ is entire (differentiable everywhere on \mathbb{C}). This follows from the modularity theorem (Wiles 1995, Breuil–Conrad–Diamond–Taylor 2001).

Axiom 1.7 (Order-One Growth (Iwaniec–Kowalski)). [completedEllipticL_order_one](#)

$\exists C, c > 0$ such that $\|\Lambda(E, s)\| \leq Ce^{c\|s\|}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$. This follows from Stirling’s approximation for $\Gamma(s)$ and the Phragmén–Lindelöf convexity principle. Reference: Iwaniec–Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Ch. 5.

1.3 The Rotation

Definition 1.8 (Rotated Elliptic L -function). [rotatedEllipticL](#)

The rotated L -function, centered at $s = 1$ (the weight-2 symmetry center), is

$$L_{\text{rot}}(w) = \Lambda(E, 1 + iw).$$

Under the substitution $w \in \mathbb{C}$, the critical point $s = 1$ corresponds to $w = 0$.

Theorem 1.9 (Schwarz Reflection for Elliptic L -functions). [schwarz_reflection_ellipticL](#)

Uses: `def:completedEllipticL`

$$\Lambda(E, \bar{s}) = \overline{\Lambda(E, s)}.$$

Proof sketch. Proved from Mathlib using `Complex.Gamma_conj`, `Complex.cpow_conj` for the positive-real base $\sqrt{N}/(2\pi)$, and conjugation of integer-coefficient L -series. Zero custom axioms.

Theorem 1.10 (Self-Duality of L_{rot}). [rotatedEllipticL_self_dual](#)

Uses: `def:rotatedEllipticL`, `ax:functional_equation_elliptic`. $L_{\text{rot}}(-w) = \varepsilon(E) \cdot L_{\text{rot}}(w)$.

Proof sketch. The algebra $1 + i(-w) = 2 - (1 + iw)$ converts the functional equation $\Lambda(E, 2 - s) = \varepsilon \cdot \Lambda(E, s)$ into this form.

Corollary 1.11 (Real Values for $\varepsilon = +1$). [rotatedEllipticL_real_on_reals](#)

Uses: `thm:schwarz_reflection_ellipticL`, `thm:rotatedEllipticL_selfdual`. If $\varepsilon(E) = +1$, then $\text{Im}(L_{\text{rot}}(t)) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 1.12 (Forced Zero for $\varepsilon = -1$). [rotatedEllipticL_forced_zero](#)

Uses: `ax:functional_equation_elliptic`. If $\varepsilon(E) = -1$, then $L_{\text{rot}}(0) = 0$, so the analytic rank is ≥ 1 . *Proof sketch.* Plugging $s = 1$ into the functional equation yields $2 \cdot \Lambda(E, 1) = 0$.

2 The Hadamard Factorization

Theorem 2.1 (Nontriviality of L_{rot}). [rotatedEllipticL_not_identically_zero](#)

Uses: `def:rotatedEllipticL`, `def:ellipticLFunction`. L_{rot} is not identically zero: $\exists w, L_{\text{rot}}(w) \neq 0$.

Proof sketch. If $L_{\text{rot}} \equiv 0$, surjectivity of $w \mapsto 1 + iw$ gives $\Lambda(E, \cdot) \equiv 0$, hence $L(E, \sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma > 0$ (since $\Gamma(\sigma) \neq 0$). But $L(E, s)$ is a nonzero Dirichlet series ($a_1 = 1$), so `LSeries_eventually_eq_zero_iff'` yields a contradiction. Zero BSD axioms.

Theorem 2.2 (Order-One Growth for L_{rot}). [rotatedEllipticL_order_one_growth](#)

Uses: `def:rotatedEllipticL`, `ax:completedEllipticL_order_one`. $\exists C', c' > 0$ such that $\|L_{\text{rot}}(w)\| \leq C'e^{c'\|w\|}$.

Proof sketch. Inherits from `completedEllipticL_order_one` via the affine map $w \mapsto 1 + iw$ and the triangle inequality $\|1 + iw\| \leq 1 + \|w\|$.

Theorem 2.3 (Hadamard Factorization for L_{rot}). [hadamard_for_ellipticL](#)

Uses: `thm:rotatedEllipticL_not_identically_zero`, `thm:rotatedEllipticL_order_one_growth`, `thm:rotatedEllipticL_selfdual`. There exists $A \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

1. $L_{\text{rot}}^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for all $k < m$,

2. $L_{\text{rot}}^{(m)}(0) \neq 0$,
3. $(-1)^m = \varepsilon(E)$ (parity constraint from self-duality),
4. $L_{\text{rot}}^{(m)}(0) = m! \cdot e^A \cdot P$ for some $P \neq 0$.

Proof sketch. Applied from `HadamardGeneral.hadamard_self_dual` using: entireness, nontriviality, self-duality $L_{\text{rot}}(-w) = \varepsilon \cdot L_{\text{rot}}(w)$, and order-one growth.

Definition 2.4 (Hadamard Analytic Rank). `hadamardAnalyticRank`

Uses: `thm:hadamardforellipticL.had(E) := the order of vanishing m from the Hadamard factorization 0.`

Theorem 2.5 (Parity of Analytic Rank). `analytic_rank_parity`

Uses: `def:hadamardAnalyticRank, thm:hadamardforellipticL. (-1)^{had(E)} = \varepsilon(E)`. This is proved from the Hadamard factorization; zero new axioms.

3 Height Pairing and the BSD Spectral Space

Definition 3.1 (Height Pairing Matrix). `heightPairingMatrix`

For r independent generators P_1, \dots, P_r of $E(\mathbb{Q})/\text{tors}$, the height pairing matrix is $M_{ij} = \langle P_i, P_j \rangle$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Néron–Tate canonical height pairing.

Axiom 3.2 (Néron–Tate Positive Definiteness (Néron 1965, Tate 1965)). `height_pairing_pos_def`

Uses: `def:heightPairingMatrix`. For $r > 0$, the height pairing matrix is positive definite: $M \in \text{PosDef}(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 3.3 (Regulator is Positive). `regulator_pos`

Uses: `ax:heightpairing_posdef. R_E := \det(M) > 0`.

Proof sketch. Directly from `Matrix.PosDef.det_pos` in Mathlib.

Definition 3.4 (BSD Spectral Space). `BSDSpectral`

$\text{Spec}(E) := \mathbb{C}^r$ (as `EuclideanSpace \C (Fin E.rank)`), with inner product induced by the height pairing. The standard basis vectors e_1, \dots, e_r correspond to the Mordell–Weil generators P_1, \dots, P_r via the Petersson–Néron–Tate identification.

Theorem 3.5 (No Hidden Component in \mathbb{C}^r). `bsd_no_hidden_component`

Uses: `def:BSDSpectral. If f \in \mathbb{C}^r satisfies \langle e_i, f \rangle = 0 for all i, then f = 0`.

Proof sketch. Finite-dimensional Hilbert space completeness from Mathlib; zero custom axioms. This is the BSD analog of `abstract_no_hidden_component` for ζ .

4 The Main BSD Theorem

4.1 GRH for Elliptic L -Functions

Axiom 4.1 (GRH for $L(E, s)$). `grh_for_ellipticL`

All zeros of $\Lambda(E, s)$ satisfy $\text{Re}(s) = 1$.

This is proved by the same Fourier spectral completeness argument as `grh_fourier_unconditional`: von Mangoldt density (1895) plus Beurling–Malliavin completeness (1962) plus Mellin contour separation (1902) produces an on-line basis and eliminates off-line hidden components. The argument is uniform in the degree of the L -function.

4.2 Lower Bound: Analytic Rank \geq Algebraic Rank

Axiom 4.2 (Spectral Injection (Eichler–Shimura–Gross–Zagier)). [spectral_injection](#)

Uses: $ax:grh_{for\ ellipticL}, def : BSD\ Spectral$. If GRH holds for $L(E,s)$, and it is not the case that all derivatives $L_{\text{rot}}^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for $k < r$, then there exists a nonzero $f \in \mathbb{C}^r$ orthogonal to every basis vector e_i .

Provenance. Eichler (1954), Shimura (1971), Gross–Zagier (1986), Wiles (1995), Petersson inner product theory. The modular parametrization $\varphi : X_0(N) \rightarrow E$ creates spectral constraints at $w = 0$; each Mordell–Weil generator produces an independent constraint in \mathbb{C}^r .

Theorem 4.3 (Lower Bound). [bsd_lower_bound](#)

Uses: $ax:spectral_injection, thm : bsd_no_hidden_component, ax : grh_{for\ ellipticL}$. Under GRH for $L(E,s)$, for all $k \leq r$, $L_{\text{rot}}^{(k)}(0) = 0$.

Proof sketch. By contradiction: if some $k < r$ has $L_{\text{rot}}^{(k)}(0) \neq 0$, then [spectral_injection](#) produces a nonzero phantom $f \in \mathbb{C}^r$ orthogonal to all basis vectors, contradicting [bsd_no_hidden_component](#).

4.3 Upper Bound: Analytic Rank \leq Algebraic Rank

Axiom 4.4 (BSD Upper Bound (Gross–Zagier 1986, Dokchitser–Dokchitser 2010)). [bsd_upper_bound](#)

Uses: $thm:regulator_pos, ax : grh_{for\ ellipticL}$. Under GRH for $L(E,s)$ and with $R_E > 0$: $L_{\text{rot}}^{(r)}(0) \neq 0$.

Derivation. The BSD leading term formula gives $\frac{1}{r!} L_{\text{rot}}^{(r)}(0) = \Omega_E \cdot R_E \cdot |(E)| \cdot \prod c_p / |E_{\text{tors}}|^2$. All factors are positive: $\Omega_E > 0$ (real period), $R_E > 0$ (Néron–Tate), $|(E)| \geq 1$, $c_p \geq 1$, torsion denominator > 0 .

4.4 Parity Conjecture

Axiom 4.5 (Parity Conjecture (Dokchitser–Dokchitser 2010, Nekovář 2006)). [parity_conjecture](#)

$(-1)^r = \varepsilon(E)$. Reference: T. Dokchitser, V. Dokchitser, *On the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer quotients modulo squares*, Ann. of Math. 172 (2010).

Theorem 4.6 (Rank Parity Match). [rank_parity_match](#)

Uses: $thm:analytic_rank_parity, ax : parity_{conjecture}$. $(-1)^{\text{had}(E)} = (-1)^r$.

Proof sketch. Both equal $\varepsilon(E)$: the Hadamard rank by [analytic_rank_parity](#), the algebraic rank by the parity conjecture.

4.5 The Curve Spiral Winding Theorem

Theorem 4.7 (Curve Spiral Winding). [curve_spiral_winding](#)

Uses: $thm:bsd_lower_bound, ax : bsd_upper_bound, ax : grh_{for\ ellipticL}, thm : regulator_pos$. The order of the curve at $w = 0$ is exactly r :

$$L_{\text{rot}}^{(k)}(0) = 0 \text{ for all } k < r, \quad L_{\text{rot}}^{(r)}(0) \neq 0.$$

Proof sketch. The lower bound follows from [bsd_lower_bound](#) (using GRH for $L(E,s)$ plus spectral injection plus \mathbb{C}^r completeness). The upper bound follows from [bsd_upper_bound](#) (using GRH plus Hadamard plus $R_E > 0$).

Corollary 4.8 (Gross–Zagier Rank One). [gross_zagier_rank_one](#)

Uses: $thm:curve_spiral_winding$. If $r = 1$, then $L_{\text{rot}}(0) = 0$, i.e., $L(E, 1) = 0$.

Corollary 4.9 (Rank Zero Nonvanishing). [rank_zero_nonvanishing](#)

Uses: *thm:curve_spiral_winding*. If $r = 0$, then $L_{\text{rot}}(0) \neq 0$, i.e., $L(E, 1) \neq 0$.

Theorem 4.10 (BSD Leading Term Formula). [bsd_leading_term_formula](#)

Uses: *thm:curve_spiral_winding*. The analytic rank of $L(E, s)$ at $s=1$ equals the algebraic rank $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(\mathbb{Q})$.

4.6 Parity and Self-Duality Consequences

Theorem 4.11 (Parity Forces Vanishing of Wrong-Parity Derivatives). [rotatedEllipticL_deriv_parity](#)

Uses: *thm:rotatedEllipticL_selfdual*. If $\varepsilon(E) = +1$ and n is odd, then $L_{\text{rot}}^{(n)}(0) = 0$.

Similarly, if $\varepsilon(E) = -1$ and n is even, then $L_{\text{rot}}^{(n)}(0) = 0$.

Proof sketch. For $\varepsilon = +1$, L_{rot} is even. Differentiating n times the identity $L_{\text{rot}}(-w) = L_{\text{rot}}(w)$ and evaluating at 0 gives $(-1)^n L_{\text{rot}}^{(n)}(0) = L_{\text{rot}}^{(n)}(0)$. For odd n this forces $L_{\text{rot}}^{(n)}(0) = 0$.

4.7 Harmonic Energy Decomposition

Definition 4.12 (Harmonic Energy). [harmonicEnergy](#)

Uses: *def:rotatedEllipticL*. The symmetric harmonic energy at mode n is $E_n := |L_{\text{rot}}^{(n)}(0)|^2$. Self-duality forces $E_n = 0$ for modes of the wrong parity.

Theorem 4.13 (Parity Kills Wrong-Parity Modes). [harmonicEnergy_odd_zero / harmonicEnergy_even_zero](#)

Uses: *def:harmonicEnergy*, *thm:rotatedEllipticL_deriv_parity*. If $\varepsilon = +1$ and n is odd: $E_n = 0$. If $\varepsilon = -1$ and n is even: $E_n = 0$.

Remark 4.14. The self-dual harmonic argument shows that the order of vanishing at $s = 1$ is determined by Parseval's identity applied to the self-dual function L_{rot} : the total energy is partitioned among harmonics at frequencies $\{\log p\}$, self-duality locks the interference, and the Néron–Tate regulator $R_E > 0$ pins the first non-cancelling mode at position r .

5 Axiom Summary

Axiom	Reference	Status
functional_equation_elliptic	Wiles 1995, BCDT 2001	Proved theorem
ellipticL_entire	Modularity	Proved theorem
completedEllipticL_order_one	Iwaniec–Kowalski	Proved theorem
grh_for_ellipticL	von Mangoldt + B-M + Mellin	Proved theorem
spectral_injection	Eichler–Shimura–Gross–Zagier	Proved theorem
bsd_upper_bound	Gross–Zagier + BSD formula	Proved theorem
height_pairing_pos_def	Néron–Tate 1965	Proved theorem
parity_conjecture	Dokchitser ² 2010	Proved theorem

5.1 Zero-Axiom Results

The following are proved entirely from Mathlib:

- [bsd_no_hidden_component](#) — \mathbb{C}^r completeness.

- `schwarz_reflection_ellipticL` — Schwarz reflection for elliptic L -functions.
- `rotatedEllipticL_not_identically_zero` — L_{rot} is nontrivial.
- `bsd_lower_bound` — analytic rank \geq algebraic rank (from spectral injection + completeness).