

Homework 1

Problem 1: Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

a) Find the eigenvalues/eigenvectors of A assuming $\epsilon \neq 0$. Force your eigenvectors to have unit norm.

$$\begin{aligned} A - \lambda I &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ \det(A - \lambda I) &= (1 - \lambda) * (1 + \epsilon - \lambda) - 0.5 * 0 \\ &= (1 - \lambda) * (1 + \epsilon - \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ we can get eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 1 + \epsilon$.

For $\lambda = 1$, solve $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \downarrow \\ x_1 + 0.5x_2 &= x_1 \\ (1 + \epsilon)x_2 &= x_2 \\ \downarrow \\ x_1 &= x_1 (x_1 \neq 0) \\ x_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is an eigenvector of A associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$.

For $\lambda = 1 + \epsilon$, solve $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= (1 + \epsilon) \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \downarrow \\ x_1 + 0.5x_2 &= (1 + \epsilon)x_1 \\ (1 + \epsilon)x_2 &= (1 + \epsilon)x_2 \\ \downarrow \\ x_1 &= \frac{x_2}{2\epsilon} \\ x_2 &= x_2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \\ \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \end{bmatrix}$ is an eigenvector of A associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1 + \epsilon$.

b) Diagonalize A using the eigenvalues/eigenvectors you computed.

Let T be the matrix with eigenvectors as its columns.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \\ 0 & \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \end{bmatrix} \\
 T^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}}{2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \\
 \Lambda = T^{-1}AT &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}}{2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1+\epsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \\ 0 & \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \\ 0 & \frac{(1+\epsilon)\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}}{2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \\ 0 & \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+\epsilon \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

- c) Start now sending $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. What do you observe is happening to the matrices you use for diagonalization as ϵ becomes smaller and smaller? So what do you conclude when $\epsilon = 0$?

When ϵ is becoming closer to 0, the determinant of matrix T is becoming closer to 0.

Thus, when $\epsilon = 0$, matrix T will become non-invertible. Matrix A will become non-diagonalizable.

Problem 2: Let A, B be two matrices of the same dimensions $k \times m$.

- a) With direct computation show that $\text{trace}(AB^T) = \text{trace}(B^T A) = \text{trace}(BA^T) = \text{trace}(A^T B)$.

The i -th element in the diagonal of matrix AB^T is $\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}b_{ij}$. Thus, $\text{trace}(AB^T) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}b_{ij}$.

The j -th element in the diagonal of matrix $B^T A$ is $\sum_{i=1}^k b_{ij}a_{ij}$. Thus, $\text{trace}(B^T A) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^k b_{ij}a_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}b_{ij}$.

The i -th element in the diagonal of matrix BA^T is $\sum_{j=1}^m b_{ij}a_{ij}$. Thus, $\text{trace}(BA^T) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m b_{ij}a_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}b_{ij}$.

The j -th element in the diagonal of matrix $A^T B$ is $\sum_{i=1}^k a_{ij}b_{ij}$. Thus, $\text{trace}(A^T B) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ij}b_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}b_{ij}$.

Therefore, $\text{trace}(AB^T) = \text{trace}(B^T A) = \text{trace}(BA^T) = \text{trace}(A^T B)$.

- b) Use question a) to compute $E[\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}]$ where $E[\cdot]$ denotes expectation, A is a constant matrix and \mathbf{x} is a random vector for which we know that $E[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T] = Q$. *Hint: The trace of a scalar is the scalar itself.*

Because $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}$ is a scalar, Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}) \\ E[\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}] &= E[\text{trace}(\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x})] \\ &= E[\text{trace}(A \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T)] \\ &= \text{trace}(E[A \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T])\end{aligned}$$

Because A is constant, Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}E[\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}] &= \text{trace}(A \cdot E[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T]) \\ &= \text{trace}(A \cdot Q)\end{aligned}$$

- c) Using the previous properties show that for any matrix A of dimensions $k \times k$ we have $\text{trace}(A) = \text{trace}(U A U^{-1})$ for any nonsingular matrix U of dimensions $k \times k$. In other words that the trace does not change if we apply a similarity transformation.

$$\text{trace}(U A U^{-1}) = \text{trace}(A U^{-1} U) = \text{trace}(A I) = \text{trace}(A)$$

- d) Use question c) to prove that if matrix A of dimensions $k \times k$ is diagonalizable then its trace is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues (actually this is true even if the matrix is not diagonalizable).

Because matrix A is diagonalizable, then there exists a matrix T such that:

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda &= T^{-1} A T \\ \text{trace}(\Lambda) &= \text{trace}(T^{-1} A T) = \text{trace}(A)\end{aligned}$$

Notice that Λ is a diagonal matrix with all eigenvalues of A as its diagonal entries. Thus,

$$\text{trace}(\Lambda) = \text{sum of eigenvalues of } A.$$

- e) Regarding question d) how do you explain this equality given that when A is real the trace is also real whereas the eigenvalues can be complex?

Because the complex eigenvalues of A will always come in complex conjugate pairs. Therefore, when we sum them up, we will always get real numbers.

- f) Using again question d) what can you say about the coefficient c_{k-1} of the characteristic polynomial $\lambda^k + c_{k-1}\lambda^{k-1} + \dots + c_0$ of A . We recall that we already know that $c_0 = (-1)^k \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k = (-1)^k \det(A)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\lambda I - A) &= \lambda^k + c_{k-1}\lambda^{k-1} + \dots + c_0 \\ &= (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2) \dots (\lambda - \lambda_k) \\ &= \lambda^k - \lambda^{k-1}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k) + \dots \\ &= \lambda^k - \text{trace}(A)\lambda^{k-1} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$c_{k-1} = -\text{trace}(A)$$

Problem 3: A matrix A is called *nilpotent* if $A^r = 0$ for some integer $r > 1$.

- a) Show that all eigenvalues of A must be equal to 0.

Take \vec{x} to be an eigenvector of A associated with eigenvalue λ , then

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{x} &= \lambda\vec{x} \\ A^2\vec{x} &= A(A\vec{x}) = A(\lambda\vec{x}) = \lambda^2\vec{x} \\ &\vdots \\ A^r\vec{x} &= \lambda^r\vec{x} \end{aligned}$$

From $A^r = 0$ we can get $\lambda^r = 0$. Thus, $\lambda = 0$. Note that all eigenvalues of A apply to the above equation. Therefore, all eigenvalues of A must be equal to 0.

- b) Is such a matrix diagonalizable?

Proof. Assume matrix A is diagonalizable, then there exists an invertible matrix T such that

$$A = T^{-1}\Lambda T.$$

The diagonal entries of matrix Λ are the eigenvalues of A . Since all eigenvalues of A are equal to 0, $\Lambda = 0$.

Thus, the right side of the equation equals to 0, while the left side does not.

Therefore, no matrix T can satisfy this equation. This contradicts the assumption that there exists an invertible matrix T such that $A = T^{-1}\Lambda T$.

By contradiction, matrix A is non-diagonalizable. □

- c) Give an example of a 2×2 matrix which is *nilpotent*.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{is nilpotent.}$$

- d) If we apply a similarity transformation to a *nilpotent*, is the resulting matrix *nilpotent*? Apply the previous observation to your example in c) to obtain a second example of a *nilpotent* matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} B &= T^{-1}AT \\ B^2 &= T^{-1}ATT^{-1}AT = T^{-1}A^2T \\ &\vdots \\ B^r &= T^{-1}A^rT \\ B^r &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we apply a similarity transformation to a *nilpotent* A , the resulting matrix B is *nilpotent*.

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
T &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
B &= T^{-1}AT \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
B^2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, after rotating matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 90 degrees counterclockwise, matrix $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is *nilpotent* for $r = 2$.