

Homework 1

**Problem 1:** Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix}.$$

(a) Find the eigenvalues/eigenvectors of  $A$  assuming  $\epsilon \neq 0$ . Force your eigenvectors to have unit norm.

$$\begin{aligned} A - \lambda I &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ \det(A - \lambda I) &= (1 - \lambda) * (1 + \epsilon - \lambda) - 0.5 * 0 \\ &= (1 - \lambda) * (1 + \epsilon - \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$  we can get eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 1 + \epsilon$ .

For  $\lambda = 1$ , solve  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \downarrow \\ x_1 + 0.5x_2 &= x_1 \\ (1 + \epsilon)x_2 &= x_2 \\ \downarrow \\ x_1 &= x_1 (x_1 \neq 0) \\ x_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ .

For  $\lambda = 1 + \epsilon$ , solve  $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1 + \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= (1 + \epsilon) \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \downarrow \\ x_1 + 0.5x_2 &= (1 + \epsilon)x_1 \\ (1 + \epsilon)x_2 &= (1 + \epsilon)x_2 \\ \downarrow \\ x_1 &= \frac{x_2}{2\epsilon} \\ x_2 &= x_2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \\ \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1 + \epsilon$ .

(b) Diagonalize  $A$  using the eigenvalues/eigenvectors you computed.

Let  $T$  be the matrix with eigenvectors as its columns.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \\ 0 & \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \end{bmatrix} \\
 T^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}}{2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \\
 \Lambda = T^{-1}AT &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}}{2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 1+\epsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \\ 0 & \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \\ 0 & \frac{(1+\epsilon)\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}}{2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \\ 0 & \frac{2\epsilon}{\sqrt{1+4\epsilon^2}} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1+\epsilon \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Start now sending  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . What do you observe is happening to the matrices you use for diagonalization as  $\epsilon$  becomes smaller and smaller? So what do you conclude when  $\epsilon = 0$ ?

When  $\epsilon$  is becoming closer to 0, the determinant of matrix  $T$  is becoming closer to 0.

Thus, when  $\epsilon = 0$ , matrix  $T$  will become non-invertable. Matrix  $A$  will become non-diagonalizable.

**Problem 2:** Let  $A, B$  be two matrices of the same dimensions  $k \times m$ .

- (a) With direct computation show that  $\text{trace}(AB^T) = \text{trace}(B^T A) = \text{trace}(BA^T) = \text{trace}(A^T B)$ .

The  $i$ -th element in the diagonal of matrix  $AB^T$  is  $\sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}b_{ij}$ . Thus,  $\text{trace}(AB^T) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}b_{ij}$ .

The  $j$ -th element in the diagonal of matrix  $B^T A$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^k b_{ij}a_{ij}$ . Thus,  $\text{trace}(B^T A) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^k b_{ij}a_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}b_{ij}$ .

The  $i$ -th element in the diagonal of matrix  $BA^T$  is  $\sum_{j=1}^m b_{ij}a_{ij}$ . Thus,  $\text{trace}(BA^T) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m b_{ij}a_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}b_{ij}$ .

The  $j$ -th element in the diagonal of matrix  $A^T B$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_{ij}b_{ij}$ . Thus,  $\text{trace}(A^T B) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ij}b_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}b_{ij}$ .

Therefore,  $\text{trace}(AB^T) = \text{trace}(B^T A) = \text{trace}(BA^T) = \text{trace}(A^T B)$ .

- (b) Use question a) to compute  $E[\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}]$  where  $E[\cdot]$  denotes expectation,  $A$  is a constant matrix and  $\mathbf{x}$  is a random vector for which we know that  $E[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T] = Q$ . *Hint: The trace of a scalar is the scalar itself.*

Because  $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}$  is a scalar, Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} &= \text{trace}(\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}) \\ E[\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}] &= E[\text{trace}(\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x})] \\ &= E[\text{trace}(A \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T)] \\ &= \text{trace}(E[A \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T])\end{aligned}$$

Because  $A$  is constant, Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}E[\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}] &= \text{trace}(A \cdot E[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^T]) \\ &= \text{trace}(A \cdot Q)\end{aligned}$$

- (c) Using the previous properties show that for any matrix  $A$  of dimensions  $k \times k$  we have  $\text{trace}(A) = \text{trace}(U A U^{-1})$  for any nonsingular matrix  $U$  of dimensions  $k \times k$ . In other words that the trace does not change if we apply a similarity transformation.

$$\text{trace}(U A U^{-1}) = \text{trace}(A U^{-1} U) = \text{trace}(A I) = \text{trace}(A)$$

- (d) Use question c) to prove that if matrix  $A$  of dimensions  $k \times k$  is diagonalizable then its trace is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues (actually this is true even if the matrix is not diagonalizable).

Because matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable, then there exist a matrix  $T$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda &= T^{-1} A T \\ \text{trace}(\Lambda) &= \text{trace}(T^{-1} A T) = \text{trace}(A)\end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\Lambda$  is a diagonal matrix with all eigenvalues of  $A$  as its diagonal entries. Thus,

$$\text{trace}(\Lambda) = \text{sum of eigenvalues of } A.$$

- (e) Regarding question d) how do you explain this equality given that when  $A$  is real the trace is also real whereas the eigenvalues can be complex?

Because the complex eigenvalues of  $A$  will always come in complex conjugate pairs. Therefore, when we sum them up, we will always get real numbers.

- (f) Using again question d) what can you say about the coefficient  $c_{k-1}$  of the characteristic polynomial  $\lambda^k + c_{k-1}\lambda^{k-1} + \dots + c_0$  of  $A$ . We recall that we already know that  $c_0 = (-1)^k \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k = (-1)^k \det(A)$ .