

# Assignment 2

November 25, 2025

## 1 Calculate $\nabla a^{[L]}(\mathbf{x})$

### Network Definitions

The model is an L-layer feedforward neural network:

- **Input Layer** ( $l = 1$ ):  $\mathbf{a}^{[1]} = \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$

- **Linear Transformation** (For  $l = 2, \dots, L$ ):

$$\mathbf{z}^{[l]} = \mathbf{W}^{[l]} \mathbf{a}^{[l-1]} + \mathbf{b}^{[l]}$$

- **Activation** (For  $l = 2, \dots, L$ ):

$$\mathbf{a}^{[l]} = \sigma(\mathbf{z}^{[l]}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_l}$$

- **Output Dimension:**  $n_L = 1$  (Scalar output)

We aim to calculate the gradient of the scalar output  $a^{[L]}$  with respect to the input vector  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\nabla a^{[L]}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ .

### Backpropagation Formulation

We define the sensitivity vector  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l]}$  as the gradient of the output  $a^{[L]}$  with respect to the pre-activation vector  $\mathbf{z}^{[l]}$ . Since  $a^{[L]}$  is a scalar,  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l]}$  is the row vector  $\frac{\partial a^{[L]}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[l]}}$  viewed as a column vector.

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l]} = \left( \frac{\partial a^{[L]}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[l]}} \right)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n_l}$$

#### 1. Output Layer $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[L]}$

For the output layer ( $l = L$ ):

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[L]} = \frac{\partial a^{[L]}}{\partial z^{[L]}} = \sigma'(z^{[L]})$$

(Since  $n_L = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{a}^{[L]}$  and  $\mathbf{z}^{[L]}$  are scalars.)

#### 2. Backpropagating $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l]}$

The relationship between  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l]}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l+1]}$  is derived using the Chain Rule:

$$\frac{\partial a^{[L]}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[l]}} = \frac{\partial a^{[L]}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[l+1]}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[l+1]}}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{[l]}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{[l]}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[l]}}$$

Where:

- $\frac{\partial a^{[L]}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[l+1]}} = (\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l+1]})^T$
- $\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[l+1]}}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{[l]}} = \mathbf{W}^{[l+1]}$
- $\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{[l]}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[l]}} = \text{diag}(\sigma'(\mathbf{z}^{[l]}))$  (Diagonal matrix of derivatives)

Taking the transpose of the entire expression and simplifying:

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l]} = \text{diag}(\sigma'(\mathbf{z}^{[l]}))(\mathbf{W}^{[l+1]})^T \boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l+1]}$$

Using the property that  $\text{diag}(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} \circ \mathbf{u}$ , where  $\circ$  denotes the Hadamard (element-wise) product, we get the standard backpropagation step:

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l]} = \sigma'(\mathbf{z}^{[l]}) \circ ((\mathbf{W}^{[l+1]})^T \boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l+1]})$$

### 3. Final Gradient $\nabla a^{[L]}(\mathbf{x})$

The gradient  $\nabla a^{[L]}(\mathbf{x})$  is obtained by relating  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[2]}$  back to the input  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a}^{[1]}$ .

$$\nabla a^{[L]}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial a^{[L]}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial a^{[L]}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[2]}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[2]}}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{[1]}}$$

Since  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[2]}}{\partial \mathbf{a}^{[1]}} = \mathbf{W}^{[2]}$  and  $\frac{\partial a^{[L]}}{\partial \mathbf{z}^{[2]}} = (\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[2]})^T$ , we have:

$$\nabla a^{[L]}(\mathbf{x}) = (\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[2]})^T \mathbf{W}^{[2]}$$

Taking the transpose to get the column vector  $\nabla a^{[L]}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ :

$$\nabla a^{[L]}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{W}^{[2]})^T \boldsymbol{\delta}^{[2]}$$

### Algorithm for $\nabla a^{[L]}(\mathbf{x})$

#### Input and Output

- **Input:** Input vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ , Network parameters  $\{\mathbf{W}^{[l]}, \mathbf{b}^{[l]}\}_{l=2}^L$ , Activation function  $\sigma(\cdot)$ .
- **Output:** Gradient  $\nabla a^{[L]}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$ .

#### Forward Pass (Implicit)

(Must first compute all  $\mathbf{z}^{[l]}$  and  $\mathbf{a}^{[l]}$  for  $l = 2, \dots, L$  to get the required values.)

#### Backward Pass

1. **Step 1: Initialize  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[L]}$  (Output Layer)**

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[L]} = \sigma'(z^{[L]})$$

2. **Step 2: Backpropagate  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l]}$  (Hidden Layers)** For  $l = L - 1, L - 2, \dots, 2$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l]} = \sigma'(\mathbf{z}^{[l]}) \circ ((\mathbf{W}^{[l+1]})^T \boldsymbol{\delta}^{[l+1]})$$

(Compute this down to  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{[2]}$ )

3. **Step 3: Calculate Final Gradient**

$$\nabla a^{[L]}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{W}^{[2]})^T \boldsymbol{\delta}^{[2]}$$

## 2 General Questions on Network Architecture

**Q1: Does having more layers or more neurons in a neural network lead to a better result?**

Adding more layers (**depth**) or more neurons per layer (**width**) both increase the **capacity** (expressive power) of a neural network. However, whether this leads to a "better result" depends crucially on the task complexity, dataset size, and the optimization process.

### 1. More Layers (Depth)

- **Pros:** Enables the network to capture complex, **hierarchical features** (e.g., edges → shapes → objects in image data). Deep networks often require fewer parameters than wide shallow networks to achieve the same expressive power.
- **Cons:** Harder to train due to issues like **vanishing/exploding gradients**. Higher computational cost for the forward and backward passes.

### 2. More Neurons (Width)

- **Pros:** Increases the immediate expressive power of a single layer; generally **easier to train** and less susceptible to the extreme vanishing gradient problem compared to very deep networks.
- **Cons:** Leads to a much larger number of parameters, increasing the risk of **overfitting** if data is scarce. Higher memory consumption.

### 3. The Right Model Size

The goal is to find the architecture that best fits the complexity of the data without memorizing the noise.

- **Underfitting (Too Small):** If the model has too few parameters (low capacity), it fails to learn the underlying patterns.
- **Overfitting (Too Large):** If the model has too many parameters (high capacity), it learns the training data and noise too well, leading to poor generalization on unseen data.

### 4. Key Idea: Diagnosis-Based Adjustments

- If your model is **underfitting** (poor performance on both train and test sets), you should generally **increase size** (more depth or width) or train longer.
- If your model is **overfitting** (good performance on train, poor on test), you should **reduce size**, or more commonly, apply stronger **regularization** (L1/L2, Dropout) or acquire **more data**.
- If training is **unstable** (loss fluctuates wildly or doesn't converge), the depth might be too large, requiring advanced techniques (e.g., Residual Connections, Batch Normalization).