

HW 1

Sam Ly

September 8, 2025

[4 pts] Required Exercise 2.

1. If the two numbers a_1 and a_2 are odd numbers, then their product $a_1 \times a_2$ is an odd number.

Proof. By definition, $a_1 = 2n_1 + 1$ and $a_2 = 2n_2 + 1$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \times a_2 &= (2n_1 + 1) \times (2n_2 + 1) \\ &= 4n_1n_2 + 2n_1 + 2n_2 + 1 \\ &= 2(2n_1n_2 + n_1 + n_2) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since we can write $a_1 \times a_2$ in the form $2k + 1$ for some integer k , we prove that $a_1 \times a_2$ is odd. \square

2. If three numbers a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 are odd numbers, then their product $a_1 \times a_2 \times a_3$ is an odd number.

Proof. From the previous proof, we can substitute $a_1 \times a_2$ for some odd integer x .

Now we have $x \times a_3$, where both x and a_3 are odd. Again, using the previous proof, we know $x \times a_3$.

Thus, $a_1 \times a_2 \times a_3$ is odd. \square

3. If the four numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_4 are odd numbers, then their product $a_1 \times a_2 \times \dots \times a_4$ is an odd number.

Proof. Using the first proof, we know $a_1 \times a_2$ and $a_3 \times a_4$ are both odd.

Thus, $(a_1 \times a_2) \times (a_3 \times a_4)$ is odd.

By the associative property of multiplication,

$$a_1 \times a_2 \times \dots \times a_4 = (a_1 \times a_2) \times (a_3 \times a_4),$$

and therefore $a_1 \times a_2 \times \dots \times a_4$ is odd. \square

4. If the 50 numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{50} are odd numbers, then their product $a_1 \times a_2 \times \dots \times a_{50}$ is an odd number.

Proof. Using our first proof, we define our base case as "the product of two odd integers is odd".

Let S_k be the sequence of arbitrary odd integers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k ,

We assume that for $S_k = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$, the product $\prod S_k = a_1 \times a_2 \times \dots \times a_k$ is odd.

Let a_{k+1} be an odd integer.

Then, the product of the sequence $S_{k+1} = a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, a_{k+1}$ can be written as $\prod S_{k+1} = \prod S_k \times a_{k+1}$.

Since the product of two odd numbers is odd, and $\prod S_k$ and a_{k+1} are both odd, the product of the two is also odd.

Therefore, by induction, the product of any number of odd numbers will always be odd. Thus, the product of 50 odd numbers is odd. \square

[2 pts] Required Exercise 3.

3. Give a hint about one of the problems on the homework.

[5 pts] Choice Exercise 4.

1. $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$

2. First way:

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} | \log(n) > 5\}$$

Second way:

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \log(n) > 5\}$$

The main difference is that the use of the pipe symbol causes the rendering to look funky, since the bar is too close to the "N". Using the "mid" command renders the bar with nicer spacing.

3. With:

$$\left(\int e^x dx \right)$$

Without:

$$(\int e^x dx)$$

Not using the left and right descriptors causes the parens to not "cover" the entire height of the expression.

4.

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid niseven\}$$

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid nis\ even\}$$

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n\text{ is even}\}$$

The first option is not what we intend, since all letters in "is even" are treated as independent variable names, and gets rendered as such.

The second option is better, since "is even" is rendered properly, but we are missing a space in the front of "is even", so the rendered text gets squished.

The third option is best and is likely what we intended to write.

5.

$$\underbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1)}_{k \text{ times}}$$

$$\left(\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{k \text{ times}} \right)$$

$$\underbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1)}_{k \text{ times}}$$

When compared to the first, the second option makes the parens look funny because they really don't need to be that tall.

The third option looks fine, but the underbrace extending past the parens may have some weird "semantics".

I would prefer to read the first option because it is (imo) semantically correct, since we have k elements inside of the tuple. But writing it could be a bit cumbersome as we need to use the "big" command explicitly. When writing, the third option is easiest to remember, and imo still reasonably readable.

[5 pts] Choice Exercise 5.

1. Compute an integral to show that when $z = 1$, $\Gamma(1) = 0!$.

$$\Gamma(1) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{1-1} e^{-t} dt = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} dt = 1 = 0!$$

2. Compute an integral to show that when $z = 2$, $\Gamma(2) = 1!$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(2) &= \int_0^{\infty} t e^{-t} dt \\ &= (-t^2 e^{-t}) \Big|_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} t e^{-t} dt \\ &= 0 + 1 = 1!\end{aligned}$$

3. Compute an integral to show that when $z = 3$, $\Gamma(3) = 2!$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(3) &= \int_0^{\infty} t^2 e^{-t} dt \\ &= (-t^2 e^{-t}) \Big|_0^{\infty} + 2 \int_0^{\infty} t e^{-t} dt \\ &= 0 + 2 = 2!\end{aligned}$$

4. Prove that when $z \geq 1$ is an integer, $\Gamma(z) = (z-1)\Gamma(z-1)$.

Proof. We actually found it easier to say that $\Gamma(k+1) = k\Gamma(k)$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. These two statements are equivalent.

First, we define $\Gamma(k)$:

$$\Gamma(k) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{k-1} e^{-t} dt$$

Then, we define $\Gamma(k+1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(k+1) &= \int_0^{\infty} t^k e^{-t} dt \\ &= (-t^k e^{-t}) \Big|_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} k t^{k-1} e^{-t} dt \\ &= 0 + k \int_0^{\infty} t^{k-1} e^{-t} dt\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Gamma(k+1) = k \int_0^{\infty} t^{k-1} e^{-t} dt = k\Gamma(k)$$

□

5. Why can you conclude that $\Gamma(z) = (z-1)!$ for all integers $z \geq 1$?

We are able to conclude that $\Gamma(z) = (z-1)!$ for all integers $z \geq 1$ because we have actually proved this statement via induction.

We have shown that for any integer $k \geq 1$, if $\Gamma(k) = (k-1)!$ then $\Gamma(k+1) = k!$.

We have also shown a few base cases for which the statement $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$, namely for $n = 1, 2, 3$.

Thus, through induction, we have proved that $\Gamma(z) = (z-1)!$ for all integers $z \geq 1$.