

# Proofs Portfolio

## MAT 3100W: Intro to Proofs

Sam Ly

November 3, 2025

## 1 Introduction

(Leave this blank for now. Here's an outline of course topics for your reference.)

## 2 Mathematical concepts

### 2.1 Logic, truth tables, and DeMorgan's laws

#### 2.1.1 Logical Statements

**Definition 1.** A logical statement is a statement that can either be **true** or **false**. Logical statements must be unambiguous, meaning all rational agents with access to the same information will come to the same conclusion.

**Example 1.** “The sun rose today.” is a **true** logical statement.

*Proof.* We begin by observing that we can currently see the sun in the sky and that we could not see the sun in the sky last night. If we can not see the sun in the sky, it must be below the horizon. Because the sun follows a continuous path, and it had been below the horizon last night, it must have crossed the horizon at some point between last night and now. Thus the sun must have risen today.  $\square$

#### 2.1.2 Truth Tables

**Definition 2.** Certain logical statements' **truth value** depends on the truth of other statements. For example, “the sun rose today **and** it rained today” requires both statements to be true in order for the overall statement to be true. If the sun rose but it didn't rain, or if the sun hasn't risen but it is raining, the overall statement is false. Thus, to visualize this relationship, it is useful to have a table to lay out the possibilities.

**Example 2.**  $A =$  the sun rose today,  $B =$  it rained today.

| A | B | $A \wedge B$ |
|---|---|--------------|
| T | T | T            |
| T | F | F            |
| F | T | F            |
| F | F | F            |

#### 2.1.3 DeMorgan's Laws

**Definition 3.** Logical statements and their combinations have their own form of algebra. One of the fundamental rules are DeMorgan's Laws, which state how to find the complements of conjunctions and disjunctions. *I prefer to use  $\neg$  for instead of  $\sim$ .*

DeMorgan's Laws:

$$\neg(A \wedge B) = \neg A \vee \neg B$$

$$\neg(A \vee B) = \neg A \wedge \neg B$$

*Proof.* Refer to direct proofs. □

## 2.2 Sets

**Definition 4.** Set: An unordered collection of unique elements.

### 2.2.1 Unions, intersections, complements, and set differences

### 2.2.2 Venn diagrams

## 2.3 Numbers and number systems

**Definition 5.** Number: values that symbolize quantities. Some quantities may not "make sense" at first glance.

**Definition 6.** Number system: way of representing numbers. Some are more sophisticated than others.

### 2.3.1 Parity, divisibility, and modular arithmetic

### 2.3.2 Rational and irrational numbers

### 2.3.3 Real numbers, absolute value, and inequalities

### 2.3.4 Combinatorics: combinations, permutations, and factorials.

### 2.3.5 Countable sets

### 2.3.6 Uncountable sets

## 2.4 Relations and functions

### 2.4.1 Relations and equivalence relations

### 2.4.2 Functions

### 2.4.3 Injections (one-to-one), surjections (onto), and bijections

## 3 Proof techniques

### 3.1 Direct Proofs

**Definition 7.** Direct proof: using fundamental rules of logic to prove a statement.

Suppose  $a \equiv a' \pmod{m}$  and  $b \equiv b' \pmod{m}$ . Prove the following:

1.  $a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{m}$ .

*Proof.* We begin with by defining  $a \equiv a' \pmod{m}$  as  $m \mid (a - a')$ . Similarly,  $m \mid (b - b')$ .

Following from these definitions, we write:

$$a - a' = m \times k_1 \tag{1}$$

$$b - b' = m \times k_2 \tag{2}$$

We can add equations 1 and 2 together to get  $a + b - a' - b' = m \times k_1 + m \times k_2$ .

With some factoring, we get  $(a + b) - (a' + b') = m(k_1 + k_2)$ .

By definition, we find that  $m \mid (a + b) - (a' + b')$ , and thus  $a + b \equiv a' + b' \pmod{m}$ . □

2.  $a - b \equiv a' - b' \pmod{m}$ .

*Proof.* Following from Proof 1, we can instead subtract equation 1 and 2 to get  
 $a - b - a' + b' = m \times k_1 - m \times k_2$ .

With some factoring, we get  $(a - b) - (a' - b') = m(k_1 - k_2)$ .

By definition, we find that  $m \mid (a - b) - (a' - b')$ , and thus  $a - b \equiv a' - b' \pmod{m}$ .  $\square$

3.  $a \times b \equiv a' \times b' \pmod{m}$ .

*Proof.* Following from equation 1, we get

$$a = a' + m \times k_1. \quad (3)$$

Similarly, from equation 2, we get

$$b = b' + m \times k_2. \quad (4)$$

By multiplying equations 3 and 4, we get  $a \times b = (a' + m \times k_1)(b' + m \times k_2)$ .

*From now on, I will omit the  $\times$  symbol.*

By distributing, we get

$$ab = a'b' + a'mk_2 + b'mk_1 + m^2k_1k_2.$$

We can factor out  $m$  to find

$$ab = a'b' + m(a'k_2 + b'k_1 + mk_1k_2).$$

We can subtract  $a'b'$  from both sides to find

$$ab - a'b' = m(a'k_2 + b'k_1 + mk_1k_2).$$

By definition, we see that  $m \mid (ab - a'b')$ , and, by extension,  $ab \equiv a'b' \pmod{m}$ .  $\square$

## 3.2 Transformation of conditionals

**Definition 8.** Transformation of conditionals: using rules of conditional logic to prove conditional statements.

### 3.2.1 Inverse statements

### 3.2.2 Converse statements

### 3.2.3 Contrapositive proofs

### 3.2.4 Bidirectional ("if and only if" proofs)

## 3.3 Quantifiers

**Definition 9.** Quantifier: a logical expression that denotes whether a statement is true for all cases or for specific cases.

### 3.3.1 Universal quantifiers

### 3.3.2 Existential quantifiers

### 3.3.3 Multiply quantified statements

## 3.4 Existence and uniqueness proofs

**Definition 10.** Existence and uniqueness proof: a proof that results in us being sure that an element exists with a given property, and that it is the only element that exhibits such property.

### 3.5 Proof by Induction

**Definition 11.** Proof by Induction: proof technique used to prove a statement is true for a countably infinite set of discrete elements.

As an example of Proof by Induction, we will prove the following.

**Proposition 1.** Show that every positive integer is a sum of one or more numbers of the form  $2^r 3^s$ , where  $r$  and  $s$  are nonnegative integers and no summand divides another. (For example,  $23 = 9 + 8 + 6$ ).

*Proof.* We proceed by mathematical induction.

We start with  $n = 0, 1$  as our base cases. We see that  $n = 0$  is true, and  $n = 1$  is true because  $1 = 2^0 3^0$ .

Now, we create the inductive hypothesis that all nonnegative integers strictly less than  $n$  have such summation.

If  $n$  is even, we can construct a valid summation by noticing that, from our inductive hypothesis,  $\frac{n}{2}$  has a valid summation  $\sum_{i=1}^k 2^{r_i} 3^{s_i}$ . Since none of these summands divide any other summand, multiplying all summands by 2 also creates a set of summands such that no summand divides another.

If  $n$  is odd, we can also construct a valid summation by picking a value  $3^t$  that is the biggest power of 3 that is less than or equal to  $n$ . Our proposition is trivially true if  $n = 3^t$ . Otherwise, we must find a value  $m = n - 3^t$ .

Since  $n$  and  $3^t$  are both odd,  $m$  must be even. Also notice that  $m < n$ . Thus, there must exist a valid summation  $m = \sum_{j=1}^k 2^{r_j} 3^{s_j}$  where all  $r_j \geq 1$ .

Since all summands of  $m$  are even,  $3^t$  can not be divisible by any of the summands of  $m$ . Also, since  $r_j \geq 1$ , there must not be any summand where  $s_j \geq t$  because if such summand existed, we would find at least a value of  $n = 3^t + 2(3^t) = 3^{t+1}$ . This is a contradiction, since we defined  $3^t$  as the largest power of 3 less than or equal to  $n$ .

Thus,  $n = \sum 2^r 3^s$  where no summand divides another for all nonnegative integers  $n$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $F_n$  be the  $n$ -th Fibonacci number, where  $F_0 = F_1 = 1$  and  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ . Prove that  $F_n \leq 1.9^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction, starting with the base cases, where  $n = 1, 2$ :

$$n = 1, F_1 = 1 \leq 1.9^1 = 1.9$$

$$n = 2, F_2 = 2 \leq 1.9^2 = 3.61.$$

We assume as an inductive hypothesis that  $F_n \leq 1.9^n$  for  $1 \leq n \leq k$ .

Using our inductive hypothesis, we see that  $F_k \leq 1.9^k$  and  $F_{k-1} \leq 1.9^{k-1}$ .

So,  $F_k + F_{k-1} \leq 1.9^k + 1.9^{k-1}$ .

By refactoring  $1.9^k + 1.9^{k-1}$ , we get:

$$1.9^k + 1.9^{k-1} = 1.9(1.9^{k-1}) + 1.9^{k-1} = 2.9(1.9^{k-1}).$$

Also,  $1.9^{k+1}$  can be rewritten as  $1.9^2(1.9^{k-1}) = 3.61(1.9^{k-1})$ .

Finally, we see

$$F_{k+1} = F_k + F_{k-1} \leq 2.9(1.9^{k-1}) \leq 3.61(1.9^{k-1}) = 1.9^{k+1}$$

$$F_{k+1} \leq 1.9^{k+1}.$$

Thus, we conclude that by the principle of mathematical induction,  $F_n \leq 1.9^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** Look up the Tower of Hanoi puzzle. Prove that given a stack of disks, you can solve the puzzle in moves.

*Proof.* We begin by defining the Tower of Hanoi problem.

In this problem, we begin with a stack of  $n$  disks. The disks are ordered from largest at the bottom to smallest at the top. We are also given 3 ‘spots’ to place our disks under one condition: that we never place a larger disk on top of a smaller disk.

Following these rules, what is the minimum number of moves required to move the entire pile to a new ‘spot’?

We define the function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that it maps the starting stack height  $n$  to the minimum number of moves required to move the entire pile  $f(n)$ .

Before immediately proving that  $f(n) = 2^n - 1$ , it is more intuitive to first define  $f$  as a recurrence relation, then prove that the recurrence relation is equal to  $2^n - 1$ .

We notice that moving the entire pile of  $n$  disks essentially requires 3 ‘phases’:

1. Moving the top  $n - 1$  disks onto a single pile.
2. Moving the  $n$ th disk to another vacant spot.
3. Moving the top  $n - 1$  disks onto the new spot.

Thus, we know that  $f(n) = f(n - 1) + 1 + f(n - 1) = 1 + 2f(n - 1)$ , where  $f(1) = 1$ . We can then prove  $f(n) = 2^n - 1$  using induction.

We begin with our base cases:

| $n$ | $f(n)$        |
|-----|---------------|
| 1   | $1 = 2^1 - 1$ |
| 2   | $3 = 2^2 - 1$ |
| 3   | $7 = 2^3 - 1$ |

Now, we assume that  $f(k) = 2^k - 1$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} f(k+1) &= 1 + 2f(k) \\ f(k+1) &= 1 + 2(2^k - 1) \\ f(k+1) &= 2^{k+1} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f(n) = 2^n - 1$ . □

### 3.6 Proof by Contradiction

**Definition 12.** Proof by contradiction: proof technique that assumes the opposite of our proposition, then showing that this leads to an absurd conclusion, ie. a contradiction. Used as a “last resort” proof technique.

## 4 Final project

## 5 Conclusion and reflection

# Appendix

(The first section, “Course objectives and student learning outcomes” is just here for your reference.)

## A Course objectives and student learning outcomes

1. Students will learn to identify the logical structure of mathematical statements and apply appropriate strategies to prove those statements.
2. Students learn methods of proof including direct and indirect proofs (contrapositive, contradiction) and induction.
3. Students learn the basic structures of mathematics, including sets, functions, equivalence relations, and the basics of counting formulas.
4. Students will be able to prove multiply quantified statements.
5. Students will be exposed to well-known proofs, like the irrationality of  $\sqrt{2}$  and the uncountability of the reals.

### A.1 Expanded course description

- Propositional logic, truth tables, DeMorgan’s Laws
- Sets, set operations, Venn diagrams, indexed collections of sets
- Conventions of writing proofs
  - Direct proofs
  - Contrapositive proofs
  - Proof by cases
  - Proof by contradiction
  - Existence and Uniqueness proofs
  - Proof by Induction
- Quantifiers
  - Proving universally and existentially quantified statements
  - Disproving universally and existentially quantified statements
  - Proving and disproving multiply quantified statements
- Number systems and basic mathematical concepts
  - The natural numbers and the integers, divisibility, and modular arithmetic
  - Counting: combinations and permutations, factorials
  - Rational numbers, the irrationality of  $\sqrt{2}$
  - Real numbers, absolute value, and inequalities
- Relations and functions
  - Relations, equivalence relations
  - Functions
  - Injections, surjections, bijections

- Cardinality
  - Countable and uncountable sets
  - Countability of the rational numbers,  $\mathbb{Q}$
  - Uncountability of the real numbers,  $\mathbb{R}$