

Putting Software Testing Terminology to the Test

Samuel J. Crawford*, Spencer Smith*, Jacques Carette*

*Department of Computing and Software

McMaster University

Hamilton, Canada

{crawfs1, smiths, carette}@mcmaster.ca

Abstract—This document is a model and instructions for L^AT_EX. This and the IEEEtran.cls file define the components of your paper [title, text, heads, etc.]. *CRITICAL: Do Not Use Symbols, Special Characters, Footnotes, or Math in Paper Title or Abstract.

Index Terms—component, formatting, style, styling, insert.

I. Methodology

This process initially involved looking through textbooks that were trusted at McMaster [1, 2, 3]. However, this process was somewhat ad hoc and arbitrary, meaning it wouldn't be as systematic as required. Going forward, this process will be more rigorous, starting from more established sources of software testing terminology in approximately the following order: (4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11; [12]; 13, 14).

Sources from standards organizations (i.e., (author?)) were decided to be the most valuable, followed by “meta-level” commentaries or collections of terminology, often based on these standards along with other sources. This is reflected in how their information is displayed in any graphs: green lines correspond with standards and blue lines with less-standard collections. Other sources that focus on cataloging and categorizing testing terminology [e.g., 15], were also examined to see how well they agreed with the “standard” terminology, and these, along with sources investigated to “fill in” missing definitions (see **Undefined Terms**) and any “surface-level” analysis that followed trivially, are represented by black lines. Since this research began from McMaster-trusted sources, comparing them to the rest of the literature may prove interesting; these relations are coloured maroon.

I went through these resources by going through them looking for relevant terminology, taking special care with glossaries and lists of terms. Of particular note were terms that included “test(ing)”, “validation”, “verification”, “review”, “audit”, or terms that had come up before as part of already-discovered testing approaches, such as “performance”, “recovery”, “component”, “bottom-up”, “boundary”, and “configuration”. A type of “coverage” was assumed to imply a type of testing that aimed to maximize this coverage (e.g., “path testing” is testing that “aims to execute all entry-to-exit control flow paths in a SUT’s

control flow graph” [5, p. 5013], thus maximizing the path coverage; see also #63, (author?) [16, Fig. 1]). If a term’s definition had already been recorded, either the “new” one replaced it if the “old” one wasn’t as clear/concise or parts of both were merged to paint a more complete picture. If any discrepancies or ambiguities arose, they were investigated to a reasonable extent and documented. If a testing approach was mentioned but not defined, it was still added to the glossary to indicate it should be investigated further. A similar methodology was used for tracking software qualities, albeit in a separate document (see ??).

During this investigation, some terms came up that seemed to be relevant to testing but were so vague, they didn’t provide any new information. These were decided to be not worth tracking (see #39, #44, #28) and are listed below:

- Evaluation: the “systematic determination of the extent to which an entity meets its specified criteria” [7, p. 167]
- Product Analysis: the “process of evaluating a product by manual or automated means to determine if the product has certain characteristics” [7, p. 343]
- Quality Audit: “a structured, independent process to determine if project activities comply with organizational and project policies, processes, and procedures” [7, p. 361]
- Software Product Evaluation: a “technical operation that consists of producing an assessment of one or more characteristics of a software product according to a specified procedure” [7, p. 424]

However, over the course of this research, our scope was adjusted to include some terms for our initial list of test approaches to be filtered out later, such as types of attacks (see #55), meaning that some entries were missed during the first pass(es) of these resources. While reiterating over these resources would be ideal, this may not be possible due to time constraints.

A. Undefined Terms

This process also led to some testing approaches without definitions; [4] and [13] in particular introduced many. Once more “standard” sources had been exhausted, a strategy was proposed to look for sources that explicitly defined these terms, with the added benefit of uncovering

more terms to explore, potentially in different domains (see #57). This also uncovered some out-of-scope testing approaches, including EMSEC testing, HTML testing, and aspects of loop testing and orthogonal array testing (see ??); since these are out of scope, relevant sources were not investigated fully.

The following terms (and their respective related terms) were explored in the following sources, bringing the number of testing approaches from 431 to 514 and the number of undefined terms from 152 to 170 (the assumption can be made that about 78% of added terms also included a definition):

- Assertion Checking: (author?) [17, 18, 19]
- Loop Testing¹: (author?) [26, 27, 28, 29]
- EMSEC Testing: (author?) [30, 31]
- Asynchronous Testing: (author?) [32]
- Performance(-related) Testing: (author?) [33]
- Web Application Testing: (author?) [34, 35]
 - HTML Testing: (author?) [36, 37, 38]
 - DOM Testing: (author?) [39]
- Sandwich Testing: (author?) [16, 40]
- Orthogonal Array Testing²: (author?) [43, 44]
- Backup Testing³: (author?) [45]

Different sources categorized software testing approaches in different ways; while it is useful to record and think about these categorizations (see ??), following one (or more) during the research stage could lead to bias and a prescriptive categorization, instead of letting one emerge descriptively during the analysis stage. Since these categorizations are not mutually exclusive, it also means that more than one could be useful (both in general and to this specific project); more careful thought should be given to which are “best”, and this should happen during the analysis stage.

¹[20] and [21] were used as reference for terms but not fully investigated, [22] and [23] were added as potentially in scope, and [24] and [25] were added as out-of-scope examples.

²[41] and [42] were added as out-of-scope examples.

³See ??.

II. Introduction

This document is a model and instructions for L^AT_EX. Please observe the conference page limits. For more information about how to become an IEEE Conference author or how to write your paper, please visit IEEE Conference Author Center website: <https://conferences.ieeeauthor-center.ieee.org/>.

A. Maintaining the Integrity of the Specifications

The IEEEtran class file is used to format your paper and style the text. All margins, column widths, line spaces, and text fonts are prescribed; please do not alter them. You may note peculiarities. For example, the head margin measures proportionately more than is customary. This measurement and others are deliberate, using specifications that anticipate your paper as one part of the entire proceedings, and not as an independent document. Please do not revise any of the current designations.

III. Prepare Your Paper Before Styling

Before you begin to format your paper, first write and save the content as a separate text file. Complete all content and organizational editing before formatting. Please note sections III-A to III-H below for more information on proofreading, spelling and grammar.

Keep your text and graphic files separate until after the text has been formatted and styled. Do not number text heads—L^AT_EX will do that for you.

A. Abbreviations and Acronyms

Define abbreviations and acronyms the first time they are used in the text, even after they have been defined in the abstract. Abbreviations such as IEEE, SI, MKS, CGS, ac, dc, and rms do not have to be defined. Do not use abbreviations in the title or heads unless they are unavoidable.

B. Units

- Use either SI (MKS) or CGS as primary units. (SI units are encouraged.) English units may be used as secondary units (in parentheses). An exception would be the use of English units as identifiers in trade, such as “3.5-inch disk drive”.
- Avoid combining SI and CGS units, such as current in amperes and magnetic field in oersteds. This often leads to confusion because equations do not balance dimensionally. If you must use mixed units, clearly state the units for each quantity that you use in an equation.
- Do not mix complete spellings and abbreviations of units: “Wb/m²” or “webers per square meter”, not “webers/m²”. Spell out units when they appear in text: “. . . a few henries”, not “. . . a few H”.
- Use a zero before decimal points: “0.25”, not “.25”. Use “cm³”, not “cc”).

C. Equations

Number equations consecutively. To make your equations more compact, you may use the solidus (/), the exp function, or appropriate exponents. Italicize Roman symbols for quantities and variables, but not Greek symbols. Use a long dash rather than a hyphen for a minus sign. Punctuate equations with commas or periods when they are part of a sentence, as in:

$$a + b = \gamma \quad (1)$$

Be sure that the symbols in your equation have been defined before or immediately following the equation. Use “(1)”, not “Eq. (1)” or “equation (1)”, except at the beginning of a sentence: “Equation (1) is . . .”

D. L^AT_EX-Specific Advice

Please use “soft” (e.g., `\eqref{Eq}`) cross references instead of “hard” references (e.g., (1)). That will make it possible to combine sections, add equations, or change the order of figures or citations without having to go through the file line by line.

Please don’t use the `{eqnarray}` equation environment. Use `{align}` or `{IEEEeqnarray}` instead. The `{eqnarray}` environment leaves unsightly spaces around relation symbols.

Please note that the `{subequations}` environment in L^AT_EX will increment the main equation counter even when there are no equation numbers displayed. If you forget that, you might write an article in which the equation numbers skip from (17) to (20), causing the copy editors to wonder if you’ve discovered a new method of counting.

Bib_T_EX does not work by magic. It doesn’t get the bibliographic data from thin air but from .bib files. If you use Bib_T_EX to produce a bibliography you must send the .bib files.

L^AT_EX can’t read your mind. If you assign the same label to a subsection and a table, you might find that Table I has been cross referenced as Table IV-B3.

L^AT_EX does not have precognitive abilities. If you put a `\label` command before the command that updates the counter it’s supposed to be using, the label will pick up the last counter to be cross referenced instead. In particular, a `\label` command should not go before the caption of a figure or a table.

Do not use `\nonumber` inside the `{array}` environment. It will not stop equation numbers inside `{array}` (there won’t be any anyway) and it might stop a wanted equation number in the surrounding equation.

E. Some Common Mistakes

- The word “data” is plural, not singular.
- The subscript for the permeability of vacuum μ_0 , and other common scientific constants, is zero with subscript formatting, not a lowercase letter “o”.
- In American English, commas, semicolons, periods, question and exclamation marks are located within

quotation marks only when a complete thought or name is cited, such as a title or full quotation. When quotation marks are used, instead of a bold or italic typeface, to highlight a word or phrase, punctuation should appear outside of the quotation marks. A parenthetical phrase or statement at the end of a sentence is punctuated outside of the closing parenthesis (like this). (A parenthetical sentence is punctuated within the parentheses.)

- A graph within a graph is an “inset”, not an “insert”. The word *alternately* is preferred to the word “alternately” (unless you really mean something that alternates).
- Do not use the word “essentially” to mean “approximately” or “effectively”.
- In your paper title, if the words “that uses” can accurately replace the word “using”, capitalize the “u”; if not, keep using lower-cased.
- Be aware of the different meanings of the homophones “affect” and “effect”, “complement” and “compliment”, “discreet” and “discrete”, “principal” and “principle”.
- Do not confuse “imply” and “infer”.
- The prefix “non” is not a word; it should be joined to the word it modifies, usually without a hyphen.
- There is no period after the “et” in the Latin abbreviation “et al.”.
- The abbreviation “i.e.” means “that is”, and the abbreviation “e.g.” means “for example”.

An excellent style manual for science writers is *The Technical Writer’s Handbook*.

F. Authors and Affiliations

The class file is designed for, but not limited to, six authors. A minimum of one author is required for all conference articles. Author names should be listed starting from left to right and then moving down to the next line. This is the author sequence that will be used in future citations and by indexing services. Names should not be listed in columns nor group by affiliation. Please keep your affiliations as succinct as possible (for example, do not differentiate among departments of the same organization).

G. Identify the Headings

Headings, or heads, are organizational devices that guide the reader through your paper. There are two types: component heads and text heads.

Component heads identify the different components of your paper and are not topically subordinate to each other. Examples include Acknowledgments and References and, for these, the correct style to use is “Heading 5”. Use “figure caption” for your Figure captions, and “table head” for your table title. Run-in heads, such as “Abstract”, will require you to apply a style (in this case, italic) in

addition to the style provided by the drop down menu to differentiate the head from the text.

Text heads organize the topics on a relational, hierarchical basis. For example, the paper title is the primary text head because all subsequent material relates and elaborates on this one topic. If there are two or more sub-topics, the next level head (uppercase Roman numerals) should be used and, conversely, if there are not at least two sub-topics, then no subheads should be introduced.

H. Figures and Tables

a) Positioning Figures and Tables: Place figures and tables at the top and bottom of columns. Avoid placing them in the middle of columns. Large figures and tables may span across both columns. Figure captions should be below the figures; table heads should appear above the tables. Insert figures and tables after they are cited in the text. Use the abbreviation “Fig. 1”, even at the beginning of a sentence.

TABLE I
Table Type Styles

Table Head	Table Column Head		
	Table column subhead	Subhead	Subhead
copy	More table copy ^a		

^aSample of a Table footnote.



Fig. 1. Example of a figure caption.

Figure Labels: Use 8 point Times New Roman for Figure labels. Use words rather than symbols or abbreviations when writing Figure axis labels to avoid confusing the reader. As an example, write the quantity “Magnetization”, or “Magnetization, M”, not just “M”. If including

units in the label, present them within parentheses. Do not label axes only with units. In the example, write “Magnetization (A/m)” or “Magnetization {A[m(1)]}”, not just “A/m”. Do not label axes with a ratio of quantities and units. For example, write “Temperature (K)”, not “Temperature/K”.

Acknowledgment

The preferred spelling of the word “acknowledgment” in America is without an “e” after the “g”. Avoid the stilted expression “one of us (R. B. G.) thanks ...”. Instead, try “R. B. G. thanks...”. Put sponsor acknowledgments in the unnumbered footnote on the first page.

References

Please number citations consecutively within brackets. The sentence punctuation follows the bracket. Refer simply to the reference number; do not use “Ref. [1]” or “reference [1]” except at the beginning of a sentence: “Reference [1] was the first ...”

Number footnotes separately in superscripts. Place the actual footnote at the bottom of the column in which it was cited. Do not put footnotes in the abstract or reference list. Use letters for table footnotes.

Unless there are six authors or more give all authors’ names; do not use “et al.”. Papers that have not been published, even if they have been submitted for publication, should be cited as “unpublished”. Papers that have been accepted for publication should be cited as “in press”. Capitalize only the first word in a paper title, except for proper nouns and element symbols.

For papers published in translation journals, please give the English citation first, followed by the original foreign-language citation.

IEEE conference templates contain guidance text for composing and formatting conference papers. Please ensure that all template text is removed from your conference paper prior to submission to the conference. Failure to remove the template text from your paper may result in your paper not being published.

References

- [1] R. Patton, Software Testing, 2nd ed. Indianapolis, IN, USA: Sams Publishing, 2006.
- [2] J. Peters and W. Pedrycz, Software Engineering: An Engineering Approach, ser. Worldwide series in computer science. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2000.
- [3] H. van Vliet, Software Engineering: Principles and Practice, 2nd ed. Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2000.
- [4] ISO/IEC and IEEE, “ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard - Systems and software engineering –Software testing –Part 1: General concepts,” ISO/IEC/IEEE 29119-1:2022(E), Jan. 2022.
- [5] H. Washizaki, Ed., Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge, Version 4.0, Jan. 2024.

- [Online]. Available: <https://waseda.app.box.com/v/SWEBOK4-book>
- [6] P. Bourque and R. E. Fairley, Eds., *Guide to the Software Engineering Body of Knowledge*, Version 3.0. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society Press, 2014. [Online]. Available: www.swebok.org
 - [7] ISO/IEC and IEEE, “ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard - Systems and software engineering–Vocabulary,” ISO/IEC/IEEE 24765:2017(E), Sep. 2017.
 - [8] —, “ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard - Systems and software engineering –Software testing –Part 1: General concepts,” ISO/IEC/IEEE 29119-1:2013, Sep. 2013.
 - [9] ISO/IEC, “ISO/IEC 25019:2023 - Systems and software engineering –Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) –Quality-in-use model,” ISO/IEC 25019:2023, Nov. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/en/#iso:std:iso-iec:25019:ed-1:v1:en>
 - [10] IEEE, “IEEE Standard for System and Software Verification and Validation,” IEEE Std 1012-2012 (Revision of IEEE Std 1012-2004), 2012.
 - [11] ISO/IEC, “ISO/IEC 25010:2023 - Systems and software engineering –Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) –Product quality model,” ISO/IEC 25010:2023, Nov. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:25010:ed-2:v1:en>
 - [12] M. Hamburg and G. Mogyorodi, editors, “ISTQB Glossary, v4.3,” 2024. [Online]. Available: https://glossary.istqb.org/en_US/search
 - [13] D. G. Firesmith, “A Taxonomy of Testing Types,” Pittsburgh, PA, USA, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/AD1147163.pdf>
 - [14] ISO/IEC and IEEE, “ISO/IEC/IEEE International Standard - Software and systems engineering –Software testing –Part 4: Test techniques,” ISO/IEC/IEEE 29119-4:2021(E), Oct. 2021.
 - [15] I. Kuļšovs, V. Arnicane, G. Arnicans, and J. Borzovs, “Inventory of Testing Ideas and Structuring of Testing Terms,” vol. 1, pp. 210–227, Jan. 2013.
 - [16] S. Sharma, K. Panwar, and R. Garg, “Decision Making Approach for Ranking of Software Testing Techniques Using Euclidean Distance Based Approach,” *International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering and Technology*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 599–608, Feb. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJARET?Volume=12&Issue=2>
 - [17] S. K. Lahiri, K. L. McMillan, R. Sharma, and C. Hawblitzel, “Differential Assertion Checking,” in *Proceedings of the 2013 9th Joint Meeting on Foundations of Software Engineering*, ser. ESEC/FSE 2013. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Aug. 2013, pp. 345–355. [Online]. Available: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2491411.2491452>
 - [18] P. Chalin, J. R. Kiniry, G. T. Leavens, and E. Poll, “Beyond Assertions: Advanced Specification and Verification with JML and ESC/Java2,” in *Formal Methods for Components and Objects*, F. S. de Boer, M. M. Bonsangue, S. Graf, and W.-P. de Roever, Eds. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2006, pp. 342–363.
 - [19] J. Berdine, C. Calcagno, and P. W. O’Hearn, “Small-foot: Modular Automatic Assertion Checking with Separation Logic,” in *Formal Methods for Components and Objects*, F. S. de Boer, M. M. Bonsangue, S. Graf, and W.-P. de Roever, Eds. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2006, pp. 115–137.
 - [20] ISO, “ISO 13849-1:2015 - Safety of machinery –Safety-related parts of control systems –Part 1: General principles for design,” ISO 13849-1:2015, Dec. 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui#iso:std:iso:13849:-1:ed-3:v1:en>
 - [21] —, “ISO 28881:2022 - Machine tools –Safety –Electrical discharge machines,” ISO 28881:2022, Apr. 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui#iso:std:iso:28881:ed-2:v1:en>
 - [22] D. Trudnowski, B. Pierre, F. Wilches-Bernal, D. Schoenwald, R. Elliott, J. Neely, R. Byrne, and D. Kosterev, “Initial closed-loop testing results for the pacific DC intertie wide area damping controller,” in *2017 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting*, 2017, pp. 1–5.
 - [23] B. J. Pierre, F. Wilches-Bernal, D. A. Schoenwald, R. T. Elliott, J. C. Neely, R. H. Byrne, and D. J. Trudnowski, “Open-loop testing results for the pacific DC intertie wide area damping controller,” in *2017 IEEE Manchester PowerTech*, 2017, pp. 1–6.
 - [24] W. Goralski, “xDSL loop qualification and testing,” *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 79–83, 1999.
 - [25] M. Dominguez-Pumar, J. M. Olm, L. Kowalski, and V. Jimenez, “Open loop testing for optimizing the closed loop operation of chemical systems,” *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, vol. 135, p. 106737, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0098135419312736>
 - [26] M. Dhok and M. K. Ramanathan, “Directed Test Generation to Detect Loop Inefficiencies,” in *Proceedings of the 2016 24th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*, ser. FSE 2016. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Nov. 2016, pp. 895–907. [Online]. Available: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2950290.2950360>
 - [27] P. Godefroid and D. Luchaup, “Automatic Partial Loop Summarization in Dynamic Test Generation,” in *Proceedings of the 2011 International Symposium on Software Testing and Analysis*, ser. ISSTA ’11.

- New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, Jul. 2011, pp. 23–33. [Online]. Available: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/2001420.2001424>
- [28] S. Preuß, H.-C. Lapp, and H.-M. Hanisch, “Closed-loop System Modeling, Validation, and Verification,” in *Proceedings of 2012 IEEE 17th International Conference on Emerging Technologies & Factory Automation (ETFA 2012)*. Krakow, Poland: IEEE, 2012, pp. 1–8. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/6489679>
- [29] P. Forsyth, T. Maguire, and R. Kuffel, “Real Time Digital Simulation for Control and Protection System Testing,” in *2004 IEEE 35th Annual Power Electronics Specialists Conference (IEEE Cat. No.04CH37551)*, vol. 1. Aachen, Germany: IEEE, 2004, pp. 329–335.
- [30] C. Zhou, Q. Yu, and L. Wang, “Investigation of the Risk of Electromagnetic Security on Computer Systems,” *International Journal of Computer and Electrical Engineering*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 92, Feb. 2012, publisher: IACSIT Press. [Online]. Available: <http://ijcee.org/papers/457-JE504.pdf>
- [31] ISO, “ISO 21384-2:2021 - Unmanned aircraft systems –Part 2: UAS components,” ISO 21384-2:2021, Dec. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.iso.org/obp/ui#iso:std:iso:21384:-2:ed-1:v1:en>
- [32] C. Jard, T. Jéron, L. Tanguy, and C. Viho, “Remote testing can be as powerful as local testing,” in *Formal Methods for Protocol Engineering and Distributed Systems: Forte XII / PSTV XIX’99*, ser. IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology, J. Wu, S. T. Chanson, and Q. Gao, Eds., vol. 28. Beijing, China: Springer, Oct. 1999, pp. 25–40. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-35578-8_2
- [33] M. H. Moghadam, “Machine Learning-Assisted Performance Testing,” in *Proceedings of the 2019 27th ACM Joint Meeting on European Software Engineering Conference and Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering*, ser. ESEC/FSE 2019. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2019, pp. 1187–1189. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3338906.3342484>
- [34] S. Doğan, A. Betin-Can, and V. Garousi, “Web application testing: A systematic literature review,” *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 91, pp. 174–201, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0164121214000223>
- [35] B. Kam, “Web Applications Testing,” Queen’s University, Kingston, ON, Canada, Technical Report 2008-550, Oct. 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://research.cs.queensu.ca/TechReports/Reports/2008-550.pdf>
- [36] S. R. Choudhary, H. Versee, and A. Orso, “A Cross-browser Web Application Testing Tool,” in *2010 IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance*. Timisoara, Romania: IEEE, Sep. 2010, pp. 1–6, iSSN: 1063-6773. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/5609728>
- [37] H. Sneed and S. Göschl, “A Case Study of Testing a Distributed Internet-System,” *Software Focus*, vol. 1, pp. 15–22, Sep. 2000. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220116945_Testing_software_for_Internet_application
- [38] P. Gerrard, “Risk-based E-business Testing - Part 2: Test Techniques and Tools,” *Systeme Evolutif*, London, UK, Tech. Rep., 2000. [Online]. Available: wenku.uml.com.cn/document/test/EBTestingPart2.pdf
- [39] M. Bajammal and A. Mesbah, “Web Canvas Testing Through Visual Inference,” in *2018 IEEE 11th International Conference on Software Testing, Verification and Validation (ICST)*. Västerås, Sweden: IEEE, 2018, pp. 193–203. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=8367048>
- [40] R. S. Sangwan and P. A. LaPlante, “Test-Driven Development in Large Projects,” *IT Professional*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 25–29, Oct. 2006. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=1717338>
- [41] H. Yu, C. Y. Chung, and K. P. Wong, “Robust Transmission Network Expansion Planning Method With Taguchi’s Orthogonal Array Testing,” *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 1573–1580, Aug. 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=5620950>
- [42] K.-L. Tsui, “An Overview of Taguchi Method and Newly Developed Statistical Methods for Robust Design,” *IIE Transactions*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 44–57, May 2007, publisher: Taylor & Francis. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/07408179208964244>
- [43] R. Mandl, “Orthogonal Latin squares: an application of experiment design to compiler testing,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 28, no. 10, pp. 1054–1058, Oct. 1985. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/4372.4375>
- [44] P. Valcheva, “Orthogonal Arrays and Software Testing,” in *3rd International Conference on Application of Information and Communication Technology and Statistics in Economy and Education*, D. G. Velez, Ed., vol. 200. Sofia, Bulgaria: University of National and World Economy, Dec. 2013, pp. 467–473. [Online]. Available: <https://icaictsee-2013.unwe.bg/proceedings/ICAICTSEE-2013.pdf>
- [45] M. Bas, “Data Backup and Archiving,” Bachelor Thesis, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Praha-Suchbát, Czechia, Mar. 2024. [Online]. Available: https://theses.cz/id/60licg/zaverecna_prace_Archive.pdf