Todo list

Important: Lay abstract iii
Important: Abstract iv
Important: Acknowledgements
Important: Replace reading notes xii
Important: Declaration of Academic Achievement xiii
Easy: Such as this one, but check out Section 2.5 for more options 3
Important: "Important" notes
Generic inlined notes
Later: TODO notes for later! For finishing touches, etc
Easy: Easier notes
Needs time: Tedious notes
] \mathbf{Q} #1: Questions I might have?
add reference requirement and test case
add relevant code
double check issue
year
add PR reference and macro
add link to relevant comment
double check this type
] find out how long $\dots \dots \dots$
cite Dr. Smith
add refs to 'underlying Theory' comment and 'not all outputs be IMs'
comment
add constraints
issue ref
issue ref
issue refs



THE GENERATION OF TEST CASES IN DRASIL

By SAMUEL CRAWFORD, B.Eng.

A Thesis Submitted to the Department of Computing and Software and the School of Graduate Studies of McMaster University In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Applied Science

Master of Applied Science (2023) (Department of Computing and Software) McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario

TITLE: The Generation of Test Cases in Drasil

AUTHOR: Samuel Crawford, B.Eng. SUPERVISOR: Dr. Carette and Dr. Smith

PAGES: xiii, 19

Lay Abstract

Important: Lay abstract.

Abstract

Important: Abstract.

Acknowledgements

Important: Acknowledgements.

Contents

10	odo 1	lst	1
La	ay Al	bstract	iii
\mathbf{A}	bstra	net	iv
\mathbf{A}	ckno	wledgements	v
C	ontei	ats	vi
Li	\mathbf{st} of	'Figures v	⁄iii
Li	st of	Tables	ix
Li	\mathbf{st} of	Source Codes	X
Li	\mathbf{st} of	Abbreviations and Symbols	xi
\mathbf{R}	eadir	ng Notes	xii
D	eclar	ation of Academic Achievement x	ciii
1	Inti 1.1 1.2	roduction Template Organization	1 1 2
	1.3	Development Recommendations	3
	1.4	Troubleshooting	4
2	Ext 2.1	Writing Directives	5
	2.2	Acronyms	5
	2.3	HREFs	5
		Code Snippets	6
	2.5	TODOs	6
3	Not		8
	3.1	Software Metrics	8
	3.2	Software Testing	8

	3.2.1 General Testing Notes	8										
	3.2.2 Types of Testing	8										
4	Development Process	14										
	4.1 Improvements to Manual Test Code	15										
	4.1.1 Testing with Mocks	15										
	4.2 The Use of Assertions in Code	16										
	4.3 Generating Requirements	16										
5	Before Submitting	17										
Bibliography												
\mathbf{A}	ppendix	19										

List of Figures

List of Tables

1.	1 Tem^{\cdot}	plate	Organization																										1
		P 2000 0	0150011111111111111	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	_

List of Source Codes

2.1	Pseudocode: exWD	5
2.2	Pseudocode: exPHref	6
A.1	"MultiDefinitions" (MultiDefn) Definition	19
A.2	Pseudocode: Broken QuantityDict Chunk Retriever	19

List of Abbreviations and Symbols

HREF Hypertext REFerence

HTML HyperText Markup Language

IDE Integrated Development Environment

PDF Portable Document Format

Reading Notes

Before reading this thesis, I encourage you to read through these notes, keeping them in mind while reading.

- The source code of this thesis is publicly available.
- This thesis template is primarily intended for usage by the computer science community¹. However, anyone is free to use it.
- I've tried my best to make this template conform to the thesis requirements as per those set forth in 2021 by McMaster University. However, you should double-check that your usage of this template is compliant with whatever the "current" rules are.

Important: Replace reading notes.

¹Hence why there are some LATEX macros for "code" snippets.

Declaration of Academic Achievement

Important: Declaration of Academic Achievement.

Chapter 1

Introduction

Congratulations! If you're seeing this, it means you've managed to compile the PDF, which also means you can get started on typesetting your thesis¹.

This template is adapted from my thesis. If you'd like to see an example of this template in practice, please feel free to use my thesis as an example.

1.1 Template Organization

I've broken up the template according to my preferred organization: chapters in separate files, various kinds of assets (images, tables, code snippets, macros, etc.) in separate files, etc. The split is approximately according to Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Template Organization

File/Folder	Intended Usage & Description						
thesis.tex	Focal LATEX file that collects everything and						
	is used to build your thesis/report						
	document.						
Makefile	A basic Makefile configuration. See make						
	help for a list of helpful commands.						
build/	When you build your PDF, this folder is						
	used as the working directory of LuaLaTeX.						
	Using this allows us to quickly get rid of						
	LATEX build files that can cause problems						
	when we re-build documents.						
manifest.tex	Basic options that you should certainly						
	configure according to your needs.						
chapters.tex	All chapters of your thesis should be						
	included here.						

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{Or}$ report or . . .

chapters/	Enumeration of the chapters of your thesis.
-	I prefer using a two-digit indexing pattern
	for the prefix of file names so that I can
	quickly open up by chapter number using
	VS Codium.
assets.tex	Enumeration of the various kinds of
	"assets" in the assets/ folder. See the file
	for examples on how you can write your
	extra utility macros.
assets/	Enumeration of various kinds of "assets,"
	with subdirectories for images and figures,
	tables, and code snippets.
front.tex	All front matter of your thesis should be
	included here.
front/	Enumeration of the front chapters of your
	thesis. These chapters should all be
	numbered using Roman numerals.
back.tex	All back matter of your thesis should be
	included here.
back/	Enumeration of the back matter content.
acronyms.tex	List of acronyms you intend to use in your
	thesis. This uses the "acro" LATEX package.
macros.tex	Helpful macros!
unicode_chars.tex	At times, you might find issues with
	unicode characters, especially in verbatim
	environments, where you might need to
	manually define them using other font
	glyphs.
mcmaster_colours.tex	Macros for the McMaster colour palette.
README.md	Read it!
.gitignore	List of files in the working directory that
	should be ignored by git.
latexmkrc	Used for setting the timezone for latexmk,
	but can be used for other options.

1.2 Writing Tips

When drafting chapters, I:

- 1. wrote "writing directives" for each chapter to understand what I need to write about (see Section 2.1),
- 2. wrote "todo" notes for tedious things that I might want to do later (such as citations, figures, code snippets, etc., see Section 2.5), and

3. regularly built my thesis using make debug to make sure that whatever I wrote didn't break the LATEX code.

For workflow recommendations, you should speak with your supervisor as they might prefer you work in a specific way with them.

1.3 Development Recommendations

Other than the basic tools I used for this template, I enjoyed using the following tools while writing my thesis:

- 1. VS Codium/VS Code² with the following extensions:
 - (a) LATEX Workshop, for LATEX syntax highlighting, code formatting (this is highly recommended), and code completion,
 - (b) LTeX LanguageTool grammar/spell checking, for grammar checking using LanguageTool, and
 - (c) Todo Tree, for quickly listing all of my TODO notes in my IDE (in addition to the list at the top of the PDF).
- 2. texcount (which should come with your LaTeX installation) to quickly check the word count of individual LaTeX files, and
- 3. Zotero for collecting my references and quickly exporting bib entries that I could use.

In particular, when writing, I found it particularly helpful to use VS Code's "Zen Mode" (to see your keybind, press CTRL+ALT+P and search for "Zen"), which enters a stripped-down full-screen version of the current working file, keeping your eyes purely focused on the document in front of you. Being comfortable with the keybinds is particularly helpful for working effectively in this setup. For example, I found the following³ to be helpful: CTRL+TAB and CTRL+SHIFT+TAB to scroll between open files, CTRL+P to quickly open up recent files, CTRL+ALT+P to run commands you forgot the keybind for, CTRL+O to open up files out of the current working directory.

While writing, I enjoyed:

1. using "TODO" notes

Easy: Such as this one, but check out Section 2.5 for more options.

to collect notes that I would want to do later,

2. formatting the LATEX code to make it easier to read (the LATEX Workshop plugin has functionality for this),

²I prefer VS Codium simply because I prefer libre software.

³If you're not using Linux, I cannot guarantee that these will be the same for you, so you should use CTRL+ALT+P to look for your appropriate bound keybinds.

M.A.Sc. Thesis — S. Crawford McMaster University — Computing and Software

- 3. breaking the non-textual content into separate files and "include"-ing them in the LATEX code so that they didn't cause large visual interruptions,
- 4. using git to version control copies of my thesis, chapters, etc.,
- 5. using TikZ and draw.io/diagrams.net to build graphics and diagrams, and
- 6. building the thesis often using make debug to quickly debug issues in the written code.

1.4 Troubleshooting

"StackOverflow" is a great area to look for solutions to common LATEX issues. Otherwise, feel free to use create a ticket or sending an email to me.

Chapter 2

Extras

Writing Directives

• What macros do I want the reader to know about?

2.1 Writing Directives

I enjoy writing directives (mostly questions) to navigate what I should be writing about in each chapter. You can do this using:

Source Code 2.1: Pseudocode: exWD

\begin{writingdirectives}
 \item What macros do I want the reader to know about?
\end{writingdirectives}

Personally, I put them at the top of chapter files, just after chapter declarations.

2.2 Acronyms

I used a lot of acronyms in my thesis, and I wanted to add a glossary to the front matter. This is compulsory for McMaster theses, but you may remove it if you don't need it. I used the acro package, feel free to read their documentation. For example, I might want to write HyperText Markup Language (HTML) (written using \acf{html}) or just HTML (written using \acs{html}). To define them, you can find examples in the acronyms.tex file.

2.3 HREFs

For PDFs, we have (at least) 2 ways of viewing them: on our computers, and printed out on paper. If you choose to view through your computer, reading links

(as they are linked in this example, inlined everywhere with "clickable" links) is fine. However, if you choose to read it on printed paper, you will find trouble clicking on those same links. To mitigate this issue, I built the "porthref" macro (see macros.tex for the definition) to build links that appear as clickable text when "compiling for computer-focused reading," and adds links to footnotes when "compiling for printing-focused reading." There is an option (compilingforprinting) in the manifest.tex file that controls whether PDF builds should be done for computers or for printers. For example, by default, McMaster is made with clickable functionaity, but if you change the manifest.tex option as mentioned, then you will see the link in a footnote (try it out!).

Source Code 2.2: Pseudocode: exPHref

\porthref{McMaster}{https://www.mcmaster.ca/}

2.4 Code Snippets

Since I did my Master's in computer science, I needed code snippet listings. For them, I chose to use the minted package (which lets you write colourized code snippets, supporting syntax highlighting for many languages). However, I also wanted source code listings to be linked to the main Drasil [1] repository. For example, to write Source Code A.1, I had to write assets/code/example.tex and create appropriate links in assets.tex. Additionally, for pseudocode, you can also use the pseudocode environment, such as that used in Source Code A.2 (similarly built).

2.5TODOs

While writing, I plastered my thesis with notes for future work because, for whatever reason, I just didn't want to, or wasn't able to, do said work at that time. To help me sort out my notes, I used the todonotes package with a few extra macros (defined in macros.tex). For example,...

Important notes:

Important: "Important" notes.

Generic inlined notes:

Generic inlined notes.

Notes for later:

Later: TODO

notes for later!

For finishing

touches, etc.

Some "easy" notes:

Easy: Easier notes.

Tedious work:

¹Note: the header links use the portable HREFs (as per Section 2.3)!

 ${\rm M.A.Sc.}$ Thesis — S. Crawford McMaster University — Computing and Software

Needs time: Tedious notes.

Q #1: Questions I might have?

Questions:

Chapter 3

Notes

3.1 Software Metrics

- The following branches of testing started as parts of quality testing:
 - Reliability testing [2, p. 18, ch. 10]
 - Performance testing [2, p. 18, ch. 7]
- Reliability and maintainability can start to be tested even without code by "measur[ing] structural attributes of representations of the software" [2, p. 18]
- The US Software Engineering Institute has a checklist for determining which types of lines of code are included when counting [2, pp. 30-31]
- Measurements should include an entity to be measured, a specific attribute to measure, and the actual measure (i.e., units, starting state, ending state, what to include) [2, p. 36]
 - These attributes must be defined before they can be measured [2, p. 38]

3.2 Software Testing

3.2.1 General Testing Notes

• Simple, normal test cases (test-to-pass) should always be developed and run before more complicated, unusual test cases (test-to-fail) [3, p. 66]

3.2.2 Types of Testing

Static Black-Box (Specification) Testing [3, p. 56-62]

Most of this section is irrelevant to generating test cases, as they require human involvement (e.g., Pretend to Be the Customer [3, p. 57-58], Research Existing

Standards and Guidelines [3, p. 58-59]). However, it provides a "Specification Terminology Checklist" [3, p. 61] that includes some keywords that, if found, could trigger an applicable warning to the user (similar to the idea behind the correctness/consistency checks project):

- Potentially unrealistic: always, every, all, none, every, certainly, therefore, clearly, obviously, evidently
- Potentially vague: some, sometimes, often, usually, ordinarily, customarily, most, mostly, good, high-quality, fast, quickly, cheap, inexpensive, efficient, small, stable
- Potentially incomplete: etc., and so forth, and so on, such as, handled, processed, rejected, skipped, eliminated, if ... then ... (without "else" or "otherwise")

Dynamic Black-Box (Behavioural) Testing [3, p. 64-65]

This is the process of "entering inputs, receiving outputs, and checking the results" [3, p. 64]. Note that while black-box testing is usually done at a higher (e.g., system) level, unit testing can also be black-box [4, p. 1].

Requirements

- Requirements documentation (definition of what the software does) [3, p. 64]; relevant information could be:
 - Requirements: Input-Values and Output-Values
 - Input/output data constraints

Exploratory Testing [3, p. 65]

An alternative to dynamic black-box testing when a specification is not available [3, p. 65]. The software is explored to determine its features, and these features are then tested [3, p. 65]. Finding any bugs using this method is a positive thing [3, p. 65], since despite not knowing what the software *should* do, you were able to determine that something is wrong.

This is not applicable to Drasil, because not only does it already generate a specification, making this type of testing unnecessary, there is also a lot of human-based trial and error required for this kind of testing [5].

Equivalence Partitioning/Classing [3, p. 67-69]

The process of dividing the infinite set of test cases into a finite set that is just as effective (i.e., by revealing the same bugs) [3, p. 67].

Requirements

- Ranges of possible values [3, p. 67]; could be obtained through:
 - Input/output data constraints
 - Case statements

Data Testing [3, p. 70-79]

The process of "checking that information the user inputs [and] results", both final and intermediate, "are handled correctly" [3, p. 70].

Boundary Conditions [3, p. 70-74] "[S]ituations at the edge of the planned operational limits of the software" [3, p. 72]. Often affects types of data (e.g., numeric, speed, character, location, position, size, quantity [3, p. 72]) each with its own set of (e.g., first/last, min/max, start/finish, over/under, empty/full, shortest/longest, slowest/fastest, soonest/latest, largest/smallest, highest/lowest, next-to/farthest-from [3, p. 72-73]). Data at these boundaries should be included in an equivalence partition, but so should data in between them [3, p. 73]. Boundary conditions should be tested using "the valid data just inside the boundary, ... the last possible valid data, and ... the invalid data just outside the boundary" [3, p. 73].

Requirements

- Ranges of possible values [3, p. 67, 73]; could be obtained through:
 - Case statements
 - Input/output data constraints (e.g., inputs that would lead to a boundary output)

Buffer Overruns [3, p. 201-205] Buffer overruns are "the number one cause of software security issues" [3, p. 75]. They occur when the size of the destination for some data is smaller than the data itself, causing existing data (including code) to be overwritten and malicious code to potentially be injected [3, p. 202, 204–205]. They often arise from bad programming practices in "languages [sic] such as C and C++, that lack safe string handling functions" [3, p. 201]. Any unsafe versions of these functions that are used should be replaced with the corresponding safe versions [3, p. 203-204].

Sub-Boundary Conditions [3, p. 75-77] Boundary conditions "that are internal to the software [but] aren't necessarily apparent to an end user" [3, p. 75]. These include powers of two [3, p. 75-76] and ASCII and Unicode tables [3, p. 76-77].

While this is of interest to the domain of scientific computing, this is too involved for Drasil right now, and the existing software constraints limit much of

the potential errors from over/underflow [5]. Additionally, strings are not really used as inputs to Drasil and only occur in output with predefined values, so testing these values are unlikely to be fruitful.

Requirements

• Increased knowledge of data type structures (e.g., monoids, rings, etc. [5]); this would capture these sub-boundaries, as well as other information like relevant tests cases, along with our notion of these data types (Space)

Default, Empty, Blank, Null, Zero, and None [3, p. 77-78] These should be their own equivalence class, since "the software usually handles them differently" than "the valid cases or ... invalid cases" [3, p. 78].

Since these values may not always be applicable to a given scenario (e.g., a test case for zero doesn't make sense if there is a constraint that the value in question cannot be zero), the user should likely be able to select categories of tests to generate instead of Drasil just generating all possible test cases based on the inputs [5].

Requirements

- Knowledge of an "empty" value for each Space (stored alongside each type in Space?)
- Knowledge of how input data could be omitted from an input (e.g., a missing command line argument, an empty line in a file); could be obtained from:
 - User responsibilities
- Knowledge of how a programming language deals with Null values and how these can be passed as arguments

Invalid, Wrong, Incorrect, and Garbage Data [3, p. 78-79] This is testing-to-fail [3, p. 77].

Requirements This seems to be the most open-ended category of testing.

- Specification of correct inputs that can be ignored; could be obtained through:
 - Input/output data constraints (e.g., inputs that would lead to a violated output constraint)
 - Type information for each input (e.g., passing a string instead of a number)

State Testing [3, p. 79-87]

The process of testing "a program's states and the transitions between them" [3, p. 79].

M.A.Sc. Thesis — S. Crawford McMaster University — Computing and Software

Logic Flow Testing [3, p. 80-84] This is done by creating a state transition diagram that includes:

- Every possible unique state
- The condition(s) that take(s) the program between states
- The condition(s) and output(s) when a state is entered or exited

to map out the logic flow from the user's perspective [3, p. 81-82]. Next, these states should be partitioned using one (or more) of the following methods:

- 1. Test each state once
- 2. Test the most common state transitions
- 3. Test the least common state transitions
- 4. Test all error states and error return transitions
- 5. Test random state transitions [3, p. 82-83]

For all of these tests, the values of the state variables should be verified [3, p. 83].

Requirements

- Knowledge of the different states of the program [3, p. 82]; could be obtained through:
 - The program's modules and/or functions
 - The program's exceptions
- Knowledge about the different state transitions [3, p. 82]; could be obtained through:
 - Testing the state transitions near the beginning of a workflow more?

Testing States to Fail [3, p. 84-87] The goal here is to try and put the program in a fail state by doing things that are out of the ordinary. These include:

- Race Conditions and Bad Timing [3, p. 85-86] (Is this relevant to our examples?)
- Repetition Testing: "doing the same operation over and over", potentially up to "thousands of attempts" [3, p. 86]
- Stress Testing: "running the software under less-than-ideal conditions" [3, p. 86]
- Load testing: running the software with as large of a load as possible (e.g., large inputs, many peripherals) [3, p. 86]

M.A.Sc. Thesis — S. Crawford McMaster University — Computing and Software

Requirements

- Repetition Testing: The types of operations that are likely to lead to errors when repeated (e.g., overwriting files?)
- Stress testing: can these be automated with pytest or are they outside our scope?
- Load testing: Knowledge about the types of inputs that could overload the system (e.g., upper bounds on values of certain types)

Other Black-Box Testing [3, p. 87-89]

- Act like an inexperienced user (likely cannot be generated by Drasil)
- Look for bugs where they've already been found (keep track of previous failed test cases?)
- Think like a hacker (is this out of scope?)
- Follow experience (this will implicitly be done just by using Drasil)

Chapter 4

Development Process

The following is a rough outline of the steps I have gone through this far for this project:

- start development of system tests (this was pushed too later to focus on unit tests)
- test inputting default values as floats and ints
- check constraints for valid input
- check constraints for invalid input
- test calculation of:
 - t_flight
 - p_land
 - d_offset
 - s
- test valid output of writing output
- test for projectile going long
- integrate system tests into existing unit tests
- test for assumption violation of g
 - code generation could be flawed, so we can't assume assumptions are respected
 - test cases shouldn't necessarily match what is done by the code; for example, g=0 shouldn't really give a ZeroDivisionError; it should be a ValueError
 - this inspired the potential for The Use of Assertions in Code
- test that calculations stop on a constraint violation; this is a requirement should be met by the software

- test for empty input file
- start creation of test summary (for InputParameters module)
 - it was difficult to judge test case coverage/quality from the code itself
 - this is not really a test plan, as it doesn't capture the testing philosophy
 - rationale for each test explains why it supports coverage and how Drasil derived (would derive) it
- start researching testing
- start the Generating Requirements subproject

4.1 Improvements to Manual Test Code

Even though this code will eventually be generated by Drasil, it is important that it is still human-readable, for the benefit of those reading the code later. This is one of the goals of Drasil (see #3417 for an example of a similar issue). As such, the following improvements were discovered and implement in the manually created testing code:

- use pytest's parameterization
- reuse functions/data for consistency
- improve import structure
- use conftest for running code before all tests of a module

4.1.1 Testing with Mocks

When testing code, it is common to first test lower-level modules, then assume that these modules work when testing higher-level modules. An example would be using an input module to set up test cases for a calculation module after testing the input module. This makes sense when writing test cases manually since it reduces the amount of code that needs to be written and still provides a reasonably high assurance in the software; if there is an issue with the input module that affects the calculation module tests, the issue would be revealed when testing the input module.

However, since these test cases will be generated by Drasil, they can be consistently generated with no additional effort. This means that the testing of each module can be done completely independently, increasing the confidence in the tests.

4.2 The Use of Assertions in Code

While assertions are often only used when testing, they can also be used in the code itself to enforce constraints or preconditions; they act like documentation that determines behaviour! For example, they could be used to ensure that assumptions about values (like the value for gravitational acceleration) are respected by the code, which gives a higher degree of confidence in the code.

4.3 Generating Requirements

I structured my manually created test cases around Projectile's functional requirements, as these are the most objective aspects of the generated code to test automatically. As such, I created a test case to ensure that if an input constraint was violated, the calculations would stop. However, this test case failed, since the actual implementation of the code did *not* stop upon an input constraint violation. This was because the code choice for what to do on a constraint violation was "disconnected" from the manually written requirement (#3523). This problem has been encountered before (#3259) and presented a good opportunity for generation to encourage reusability and consistency. However, since it makes sense to first verify outputs before actually outputting them and inserting generated requirements among manually created ones seemed challenging, it made sense to first generate an output requirement.

While working on Drasil in the summer of, I implemented the generation of an input requirement across most examples. I had also attempted to generate an output requirement, but due to time constraints, this was not feasible. The main issue with this change was the desire to capture the source of each output for traceability; this source was attached to the InstanceModel (or rarely, DataDefinition) and not the underlying Quantity that was used for a program's outputs. The way I had attempted to do this was to add the reference as a Sentence in a tuple.

Taking another look at this years later allowed us to see that we should be storing the outputs of a program as their underlying models, allowing us to keep the source information with it. While there is some discussion about how this might change in the future, for now, all outputs of a program should be InstanceModels. Since this change required adding the Referable constraints to the output field of SystemInformation, the outputs of all examples needed to be updated to satisfy this constraint; this meant that generating the output requirement of each example was nearly trivial once the outputs were specified correctly. After modifying DataDefinitions in GlassBR that were outputs to be InstanceModels, reorganizing the requirements of SWHS, and clarifying the outputs of SWHS, SglPend, and DblPend, the output requirement was ready to be generated.

add reference requirement and test case

add relevant code

double check issue

year

add PR reference and macro

add link to relevant comment

double check this type

find out how long

cite Dr. Smith

add refs to 'underlying Theory' comment and 'not all outputs be IMs' comment

add constraints

issue ref

issue ref

issue refs

Chapter 5

Before Submitting

Just before submitting your thesis, you should make sure you've appropriately configured this template using the manifest.tex file. Specifically, you should make sure that no errors appear in the build/thesis.log file, make debug runs without issue, and that your PDF is visually appealing.

Happy hacking!

Bibliography

- [1] Jacques Carette, Spencer Smith, Jason Balaci, Ting-Yu Wu, Samuel Crawford, Dong Chen, Dan Szymczak, Brooks MacLachlan, Dan Scime, and Maryyam Niazi. *Drasil*. Version v0.1-alpha. 2021-02-09. DOI: 10.5281/ZENODO.45264 45. URL: https://github.com/JacquesCarette/Drasil/tree/v0.1-alpha (cit. on p. 6).
- [2] Norman E. Fenton and Shari Lawrence Pfleeger. Software Metrics: A Rigorous & Practical Approach. 2nd ed. Boston, MA, USA: PWS Publishing Company, 1997. ISBN: 0-534-95425-1 (cit. on p. 8).
- [3] Ron Patton. Software Testing. 2nd ed. Indianapolis, IN, USA: Sams Publishing, 2006. ISBN: 0-672-32798-8 (cit. on pp. 8–13).
- [4] Pramod Mathew Jacob and M. Prasanna. "A Comparative analysis on Black Box Testing Strategies". In: 2016 International Conference on Information Science (ICIS). Manhattan, NY, USA: IEEE, 2016-08, pp. 1–6. DOI: 10.1109 /INFOSCI.2016.7845290. URL: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=7845290 (visited on 2023-07-05) (cit. on p. 9).
- [5] W. Spencer Smith and Jacques Carette. Private Communication. Hamilton, ON, Canada, 2023-07 (cit. on pp. 9, 11).

Appendix

Source Code A.1: "MultiDefinitions" (MultiDefn) Definition

Source Code A.2: Pseudocode: Broken QuantityDict Chunk Retriever

```
retrieveQD :: UID -> ChunkDB -> Maybe QuantityDict
retrieveQD u cdb = do
   (Chunk expectedQd) <- lookup u cdb
   pure expectedQd</pre>
```