Speed Running Calculus

Minh Nguyen

November 8, 2023

Contents

1	Pro	positional Logic	1
	1.1	Propositional Equivalencies	2
	1.2	Predicates and Quantifiers	4
	1.3	Nested Predicates	4
	1.4	Inference Rules and Proofs	4
2		Theory	4
	2.1	Cardinality	4
	2.2	Set Operations	4
	2.3	Functions	4
	2.4	Floor and nCeiling Functions	4
3	Alge	orithms	4

Author's Notes

This actually isn't the first copy of my discrete math notes...I had an earlier copy that got corrupted, so now I'm just going to constantly upload to GitHub because I'm afraid of them getting wiped again

These notes are based off of the textbook Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications by Kenneth H. Rosen, as well as from the lectures of Serdar Erbatur from the Fall 2023 semester.

If you have any complaints, or suggestions regarding these notes, please email me at mdn220004@utdallas.edu

1 Propositional Logic

Definition Proposition

Declarative statements that are either true or false

Table 1: Examples of propositions

Statement	Proposition?
1+1=2	V
What class are ya takin?	
I am happy	V

Propositions can be combined to form another proposition with the use of logical operators

Note

Common labels for propositions in discrete mathematics include letters like $P,Q,R,S\dots$

Table 2: Logical Operators in Discrete Mathematics

Symbol	Meaning	Expression
	negation / not	$\neg P$
\wedge	conjunction / and	$P \wedge Q$
V	disjunction / or	$P\vee Q$
\oplus	exclusive disjunction/ xor	$P\oplus Q$
\Longrightarrow	implication / conditional	$P \implies Q$
\iff	biconditional	$P \iff Q$

Note Logical Operator Precedence

Order matters when it comes to evaluating logical operators:

- 1. negaiton
- 2. conjunction
- 3. disjunctions
- 4. conditionals

Where negation is evaluated first, and conditionals last.

Theorem More on Conditionals

Conditionals can also be expressed in three other ways:

Contrapositive: $\neg Q \implies \neg P$

Converse: $Q \implies P$

Inverse: $\neg P \implies \neg Q$

Example

Conditional: If \underline{it} is raining, then I am not going to \overline{town}

Contrapositive: If $\underline{I \text{ go to town}}$, then it is not raining

Converse: If I do not go to town, then it is raining

Inverse: If it is not raining, then I am going to town

Definition Truth Table

They are used as a way of seeing all possible values of a proposition

Table 3: Truth Table of $P \implies Q$

$$egin{array}{c|cccc} P & Q & P \Longrightarrow Q \\ \hline F & F & T \\ F & T & T \\ T & F & F \\ T & T & T \\ \hline \end{array}$$

1.1 Propositional Equivalencies

Definition Equivalencies

A proposition is a...

- Tautology if it is true in every case
- Contradiction / Fallacy if it is false in every case
- Contingency if *neither* is the case

Table 4: Example of Tautology and Contradiction

P	$\neg P$	$P \vee \neg P$	$P \wedge \neg P$
T	F	Т	\mathbf{F}
F	Т	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}

Definition Logical Equivalency (≡)

Compound propositions P and Q are logically equivalent if $P \iff Q$ is a tautology. This is expressed as

$$P\equiv Q$$

Below is a table of useful logical equivalencies

Table 5: Logical Equivalencies

Name	Equivalence		
Identity	$P \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv P$		
пешц	$P\vee \mathcal{F}\equiv P$		
Idempotent	$P \wedge P \equiv P$		
	$P \lor P \equiv P$		
Domination	$P \vee \mathcal{T} \equiv \mathcal{T}$		
	$P \wedge F \equiv F$		
Negation	$P \vee \neg P \equiv \mathbf{T}$		
	$P \land \neg P \equiv \mathbf{F}$		
Double Negation	$\neg(\neg P) \equiv P$		
Commutative	$P \wedge Q \equiv Q \wedge P$		
	$P \lor Q \equiv Q \lor P$		
Associative	$(P \wedge Q) \wedge R \equiv P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$		
	$(P \lor Q) \lor R \equiv P \lor (Q \lor R)$		
Distributive	$P \wedge (Q \vee R) \equiv (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$		
	$P \lor (Q \land R) \equiv (P \lor Q) \land (P \lor R)$		
De Morgan's	$\neg(P \land Q) \equiv \neg P \lor \neg Q$		
	$\neg (P \lor Q) \equiv \neg P \land \neg Q$		
Absorption	$P \wedge (P \vee Q) \equiv P$		
710501 puloii	$P \vee (P \wedge Q) \equiv P$		

The use of showing the equivalencies between two compound propositions is called a $conditional-disjunction\ equivalence$

Example Conditional-Disjunction Equivalence

$$P \implies Q \equiv \neg P \vee Q$$

This can be proven with the use of a truth table $\stackrel{\text{\tiny cel}}{\rightleftharpoons}$

Continued on Next Page...

Table 6: Conditional-Disjunction Equivalence Proof

P	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg P \vee Q$	$P \implies Q$
F	F	Т	Т	Т
F	\mathbf{T}	Т	${ m T}$	Τ
Τ	\mathbf{F}	F	\mathbf{F}	F
Τ	\mathbf{T}	F	${ m T}$	T

- 1.2 Predicates and Quantifiers
- 1.3 Nested Predicates
- 1.4 Inference Rules and Proofs
- 2 Set Theory
- 2.1 Cardinality
- 2.2 Set Operations
- 2.3 Functions
- 2.4 Floor and nCeiling Functions
- 3 Algorithms