# **PCA** for extremes

Sam Morris<sup>1</sup>, Brian J Reich<sup>1</sup>, Emeric Thibauld<sup>2</sup>, and Dan Cooley<sup>2</sup>
May 28, 2015

4 Abstract

words...

6 **Key words**: Max-stable process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>North Carolina State University

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Colorado State University

#### 1 Introduction

#### 8 2 Model

Let  $Y_{it}$  be the observation at location  $\mathbf{s}_i$  for  $i \in \{1,...,n_s\}$  and time  $t \in \{1,...,n_t\}$ . To focus attention on the extreme values, we consider data above a threshold T. The marginal distribution of  $Y_{it}$  is then determined by the probability of exceeding the threshold and the distribution of the excursions. Denote the exceedance probability as  $\operatorname{Prob}[Y_{it} > T] = p_{it}$ . Extreme value theory says that for sufficiently large T the excursion distribution can be approximated using a generalized Pareto distribution (GPD). Therefore we model  $Y_{it}|Y_{it} > T \sim \operatorname{GDP}(\sigma_{it}, \xi)$ , where the GDP scale and shape parameters are denoted  $\sigma_{it} > 0$  and  $\xi$ , respectively.

spectral, max-linear...finally we settle on... Spatial extremal dependence is captured using a max-stable copula (define). Let  $Z_{it}$  be a max-stable process with Fréchet marginal distributions (define GEV etc...). Our objective is to identify a low-rank model for spatial dependence in  $Z_{it}$ .

Decompose  $Z_{it}$  as  $Z_{it} = \theta_{it}\varepsilon_{it}$  where  $\theta_{it}$  is a spatial process and  $\varepsilon_{it} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{GEV}(1, \alpha, \alpha)$  is a nugget.

The spatial component is written as a combination of L basis functions  $B_{il}$ 

$$\theta_{it} = \left(\sum_{l=1}^{L} B_{il}^{1/\alpha} A_{lt}\right)^{\alpha}.$$
 (1)

If  $B_{il} > 0$ ,  $\sum_{l=1}^{L} B_{il} = 1$ , and the  $A_{lt}$  have positive stable (PS) distribution  $A_{lt} \sim \text{PS}(\alpha)$  (define), then  $Z_{it}$  is max-stable and has Fréchet marginal distributions.

The  $Z_{it}$  are conditionally independent given the spatial random effects, with conditional distribution  $Z_{it}|\theta_{it}\sim$ . As a result, the likelihood is  $Y_{it}|\theta_{it}\stackrel{indep}{\sim}g(y;\theta_{it},p_{it},\sigma_{it},\xi)$  where

$$g(y; \theta, p, \sigma, \xi) = \tag{2}$$

Therefore, the likelihood factors across observations which is computationally convenient. Marginalizing over the random effect  $\theta_{it}$  induces extremal spatial dependence in the  $Z_{it}$ , and thus the  $Y_{it}$ . Spatial dependence can be summarized by the extremal coefficient (EC)  $\vartheta_{ij} \in [1,2]$ , where

$$\operatorname{Prob}(Z_{it} < c, Z_{jt} < c) = \operatorname{Prob}(Z_{it} < c)^{\vartheta_{ij}}. \tag{3}$$

For the PS random effects model the EC has the form

28

$$\vartheta_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left( B_{il}^{1/\alpha} + B_{jl}^{1/\alpha} \right)^{\alpha}. \tag{4}$$

- In particular,  $\vartheta_{ii}=2^{\alpha}$  for all i. Since  $\sum_{l=1}^{L}B_{il}=1$  for all i, we have  $\sum_{l=1}^{L}(\sum_{i=1}^{n_s}B_{il}/n_s)=1$ .
- Therefore, the relative contribution of term l can be measured by

$$v_l = \sum_{i=1}^{n_s} B_{il}/n_s,\tag{5}$$

with  $\sum_{l=1}^{L} v_l = 1$ . The order of the terms is arbitrary, and so we assume without loss of generality that  $v_1 \geq ... \geq v_L$ .

## **3** Estimating the extremal coefficient function

- In this section we develop an algorithm to estimate the spatial dependence parameter lpha and the
- $n_s \times L$  matrix  $\mathbf{B} = \{B_{il}\}$ . Given these parameters, we plug them into our model and proceed with
- Bayesian analysis as described in Section 4. Our algorithm has the following steps:
- 37 (1) Obtain an initial estimate of the extremal coefficient for each pair of locations,  $\hat{\vartheta}_{ij}$ .
- 38 (2) Spatially smooth these initial estimates  $\hat{\vartheta}_{ij}$  using kernel smoothing to obtain  $\tilde{\vartheta}_{ij}$ .
- 39 (3) Estimate the spatial dependence parameters by minimizing the difference between model-40 based coefficients,  $\vartheta_{ij}$ , and smoothed coefficients,  $\tilde{\vartheta}_{ij}$ .
- To estimate the spatial dependence we first remove variation in the marginal distribution. Let
- $U_{it} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_t} I[Y_{ik} < Y_{it}]/n_t$ , so that the  $U_{it}$  are approximately uniform at each location. Then for

some extreme probability  $q \in (0, 1)$ , solving (3) suggest the estimate

$$\hat{\vartheta}_{ij}(q) = \frac{\log[Q_{ij}(q)]}{\log(q)},\tag{6}$$

where  $Q_{ij}(q) = \sum_{t=1}^{n_t} I[U_{it} < q, U_{jt} < q]/n_t$  is the sample proportion of the time points at which both sites are less then q. Since all large q give valid estimates, we average over a grid of q with  $q_1 < ... < q_{n_q}$ 

$$\hat{\vartheta}_{ij} = \frac{1}{n_q} \sum_{j=1}^{n_q} \hat{\vartheta}_{ij}(q_j). \tag{7}$$

Assuming the true  $B_{il}$  are smooth over space, the initial estimates  $\hat{\vartheta}_{ij}$  can be improved by smoothing. Let

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{u=1}^{n_s} \sum_{v=1}^{n_s} w_{iu} w_{jv} \hat{\vartheta}_{uv}}{\sum_{u=1}^{n_s} \sum_{v=1}^{n_s} w_{iu} w_{jv}},$$
(8)

where  $w_{iu} = \exp(-\phi ||\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}_u'||^2)$  is the Gaussian kernel function with bandwidth  $\phi$ . The elements  $\hat{\vartheta}_{ii}$  do not contributed any information as  $\hat{\vartheta}_{ii} = 1$  for all i by construction. To eliminate the influence of these estimates we set  $w_{ii} = 0$ . However, this approach does give imputed values  $\tilde{\vartheta}_{ii}$ , which provides information about small-scale spatial variability.

The dependence parameters are estimated by comparing estimates  $\tilde{\vartheta}_{ij}$  with the model-based

values  $\vartheta_{ij}$ . For all i,  $\vartheta_{ii} = 2^{\alpha}$ , and therefore we set  $\alpha$  to  $\hat{\alpha} = \log_2(\sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \tilde{\vartheta}_{ii}/n_s)$ . Given  $\alpha = \hat{\alpha}$ , it remains to estimate **B**. These estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$  is taken as the minimizer of

$$m(\mathbf{B}) = \sum_{i < j} \left( \tilde{\vartheta}_{ij} - \vartheta_{ij} \right)^2 = \sum_{i < j} \left\{ \tilde{\vartheta}_{ji} - \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left[ B_{il}^{1/\hat{\alpha}} + B_{jl}^{1/\hat{\alpha}} \right]^{\hat{\alpha}} \right\}^2$$
(9)

under the restrictions that  $B_{il} \geq 0$  for all i and l and  $\sum_{l=1}^{L} B_{il} = 1$  for all i.

The order of the  $B_{il}$  is not defined. Therefore, we sort the terms so that  $v_1 > ... > v_L$ .

## **4** Implementation details

The model has three tuning parameters: the quantile threshold q, the kernel bandwidth  $\phi$ , and the number of terms L. How to pick? Say q=0.95 or whatever seems to give GPD marginals.  $\phi$  is

- something reasonable. For L, we start small and increase until the smallest proportion  $v_L$  is less than, say 0.05.
- Given the estimates of  $\alpha$  and **B**, the hierarchical model is

$$Y_{it}|\theta_{ij} \stackrel{indep}{\sim} g(y;\theta_{it},p_{it},\sigma_{it},\xi)$$

$$\theta_{it} = \left(\sum_{l=1}^{L} \hat{B}_{il}^{1/\hat{\alpha}} A_{lt}\right)^{\hat{\alpha}} \text{ where } A_{lt} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} PS(\hat{\alpha})$$

$$\log \operatorname{it}(p_{it}) = \mathbf{X}_{it}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1} \text{ and } \log(\sigma_{it}) = \mathbf{X}_{it}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}$$

$$(10)$$

- where g is given in (2) and  $\mathbf{X}_{it}=(X_{it1},...,X_{itp})^T$  is a vector of spatiotemporal covariates. To
- 65 complete the Bayesian model, we select independent normal priors with mean zero and variance
- 100 for the components of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  and standard normal prior for  $\xi$ .
- We estimate parameters  $\Theta = \{A_{lt}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \xi\}$  using Markov chain Monte Carlo. Details...

### **5 Data analysis**

- 69 5.1 Results
- **5.2** Model checking and sensitivity analysis
- 6 Conclusions
- 72 Acknowledgements
- 73 References