A new spatial model for points above a threshold

July 21, 2014

1 Introduction

2

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

31

32

33

In most climatological applications, researchers are interested in learning about the average behavior of different climate variables (e.g. ozone, temperature, ranfall). However, averages do not help regulators prepare for the unusual events that only happen once every 100 years. For example, it is important to have an idea of how much rain will come in a 100-year floor in order to construct strong enough river levees to protect lands from flooding. Unlike multivariate normal distributions, it is challenging to model multivariate extreme value distributions (e.g. generalized extreme value and generalized Pareto distribution) because few closed-form expressions exist for the density in more than two-dimensions (Coles and Tawn, 1991).

In a bivariate setting, the extremal coefficient describes the pairwise dependence between two spatial locations (Smith, 1990). Consider a spatial process $Y(\mathbf{s}) \in \mathcal{R}^n$ observed at locations $s \in \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{R}^2$. Then the extremal coefficient, $\theta(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{s}_i) \in [1, 2]$, is defined as

$$\Pr(Y(\mathbf{s}_i) < c, Y(\mathbf{s}_i) < c) = \Pr(Y(\mathbf{s}_i) < c)^{\theta(\mathbf{S}_i, \mathbf{S}_j)}. \tag{1}$$

One way to characterize the dependence over the entire set of spatial locations is to calculate all of the pairwise extremal coefficients. Although this method provides information regarding the spatial structure of the observations, it does not fully characterize the joint spatial dependence. One way to account for this limitation is the use of pairwise composite likelihoods (Padoan et al., 2010; Blanchet and Davison, 2011; Huser, 2013).

Recently, skew eliptical distributions have become a popular alternative to model correlated extreme values (Genton, 2004; Zhang and El-Shaarawi, 2010; Padoan, 2011). Specifically, the skew-normal and skew-t distribution offer a flexible way to handle non-symmetric data within a framework of multivariate normal and multivariate t-distributions. In this paper, we present a modified version of the multivariate skew-t distribution that incorporates thresholding and random spatial partitions. The advantage of using a thresholded model as opposed to a non-thresholded model is that is allows for the tails of the distribution to inform the predictions in the tails (DuMouchel, 1983). The random spatial partitions increase the flexibility of the model by giving us a way to account for observations in spatial regions that may have higher variability due to certain regional climate variables (e.g. forest fires).

28 2 Statistical model

Let $Y_t(\mathbf{s}) \in \mathcal{R}$ be the observed value at location \mathbf{s} on day t. To avoid bias in estimating tail parameters, we model the thresholded data

$$\tilde{Y}_t(\mathbf{s}) = \begin{cases} Y_t(\mathbf{s}) & Y_t(\mathbf{s}) > T \\ T & Y_t(\mathbf{s}) \le T \end{cases}$$
(2)

where T is a pre-specified threshold.

We first specify a model for the complete data, $Y_t(\mathbf{s})$, and then study the induced model for thresholded data, $\tilde{Y}_t(\mathbf{s})$. The full data model is given in Section 2.2 assuming a skew normal distribution with a different variance each day. Computationally, the values below the threshold are updated using standard Bayesian missing data methods as described in Section 3. The skew normal representation is from (Zhang and El-Shaarawi, 2010).

2.1 Half-normal distribution

Let u=|z| where $Z\sim N(\mu,\sigma^2)$. Specifically, we consider the case where $\mu=0$. Then U follows a half-normal distribution which we denote as $U\sim HN(0,1)$, and the density is given by

$$f_U(u) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) I(u > 0)$$
(3)

When $\mu=0$, the half-normal distribution is also equivalent to a $N_{(0,\infty)}(0,\sigma^2)$ where $N_{(a,b)}(\mu,\sigma^2)$ represents a normal distribution with mean μ and standard deviation σ that has been truncated below at a and above at b.

43 2.2 Complete data

44 Consider a skew Gaussian spatial process

$$Y_t(\mathbf{s}) = X_t(\mathbf{s})\beta + \sigma_1 z_t(\mathbf{s}) + v_t(\mathbf{s})$$
(4)

where $z_t(\mathbf{s}) = z_{tl}$ if $s \in P_{tl}$ where P_{t1}, \dots, P_{tL} form a partition, and $z_{tl} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N_{(0,\infty)}(0,1), \sigma_1 \in \mathcal{R}$, and $v_t(\mathbf{s})$ is a spatial Gaussian process with mean zero and variance σ_{tl}^2 . It can be shown (Zhang and El-Shaarawi, 2010) that $Y_t(\mathbf{s})$ follows a skew normal distribution with skewness parameter $\alpha = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_{tl}}$. We can then reexpress the model in (4) as

$$Y_t(\mathbf{s}) = X_t(\mathbf{s})\beta + \alpha z_t(\mathbf{s}) + v_t(\mathbf{s})$$
(5)

where $z_t(\mathbf{s}) = z_{tl}$, $z_{tl} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N_{(0,\infty)}(0,\sigma_{tl}^2)$, and $v_t(\mathbf{s})$ is defined as before.

We model this with a Bayesian hierarchical model as follows. Let w_{t1}, \ldots, w_{tL} be partition centers so that

$$P_{tl} = \{\mathbf{s}_t : l = \arg\min_k ||\mathbf{s}_t - w_{tk}||\}.$$

52 Then

$$Y_t(\mathbf{s}) \mid \Theta, z_{t1}, \dots, z_{tL} = X_t(\mathbf{s})\beta + \alpha z_t(\mathbf{s}) + v_t(\mathbf{s})$$
 (6)

$$z_{tl}(\mathbf{s}) \mid \Theta \sim N_{(0,\infty)}(0, \sigma_{tl}^2)$$
 (7)

$$v_t(\mathbf{s}) \mid \Theta \sim \text{Matérn}(0, \Sigma_t(\lambda))$$
 (8)

$$\sigma_{tl}^2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} IG(\alpha, \beta)$$
 (9)

$$\alpha \sim N(0, 10) \tag{10}$$

$$w_{tk} \sim Unif(\mathcal{D})$$
 (11)

where $\Theta = \{w_{t1}, \dots, w_{tL}, \beta, \sigma_t, \alpha, \lambda, \rho, \nu\}; l = \arg\min_k ||\mathbf{s} - w_k||; \Sigma_t(\lambda)$ is a Matérn covariance matrix with variance σ_{tl}^2 , spatial range ρ , smoothness ν , and λ is the percentage of variation that can be attributed to the partial sill; and \mathcal{D} is the spatial domain of interest.

56 3 Computation

The MCMC for this model is fairly straightforward. First, we impute values below the threshold. Then, we update Θ using random walk MH or Gibbs sampling when appropriate. Finally, we make spatial precictions using conditional multivariate normal results and the fact that the distribution of $Y_t(\mathbf{s}) \mid \Theta, z_{tl}$ is the usual multivariate normal distribution with a Matérn spatial covariance structure.

61 3.1 Imputation

We can use Gibbs sampling to update $Y_t(\mathbf{s})$ for observations that are below T, the thresholded value. Given

 Θ , $Y_t(\mathbf{s})$ has truncated normal full conditional with these parameter values. So we sample $Y_t(\mathbf{s}) \sim N_{(-\infty,T)}$

64 3.2 Parameter updates

To update Θ given the current value of the complete data $\mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_T$, we use a standard Gibbs updates for

all parameters except for the knot locations which are done using a Metropolis update. See Appendix A.1

67 for details regarding Gibbs sampling.

68 3.3 Spatial prediction

Given Y_t the usual Kriging equations give the predictive distribution for $Y_t(\mathbf{s}^*)$ at prediction location (\mathbf{s}^*)

70 4 Data analysis

5 Conclusions

72 Acknowledgments

73 Appendix A.1: Posterior distributions

74 Conditional posterior of $z_{tl} \mid \dots$

For simplicity, drop the subscript t and define

$$R(\mathbf{s}) = \begin{cases} Y(\mathbf{s}) - X(\mathbf{s})\beta & s \in P_l \\ Y(\mathbf{s}) - X(\mathbf{s})\beta - \alpha z(\mathbf{s}) & s \notin P_l \end{cases}$$

76 Let

$$R_1$$
 = the vector of $R(\mathbf{s})$ for $s \in P_l$
 R_2 = the vector of $R(\mathbf{s})$ for $s \notin P_l$
 $\Omega = \Sigma^{-1}$.

77 Then

$$\pi(z_l|\ldots) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} R_1 - \alpha z_l \mathbf{1} \\ R_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} \\ \Omega_{21} & \Omega_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_1 - \alpha z_l \mathbf{1} \\ R_2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{z_l^2}{\sigma_l^2} \right] \right\} I(z_l > 0)$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left[\Lambda_l z_l^2 - 2\mu_l z_l\right] \right\} I(z_l > 0)$$

78 where

$$\mu_l = \alpha (R_1^T \Omega_{11} + R_2^T \Omega_{21}) \mathbf{1}$$
$$\Lambda_l = \alpha^2 \mathbf{1}^T \Omega_{11} \mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{\sigma_l^2}.$$

Then
$$Z_l|\ldots \sim N_{(0,\infty)}(\Lambda_l^{-1}\mu_l,\Lambda_l^{-1})$$

- 80 Conditional posterior of $\beta \mid \dots$
- Let $\beta \sim N_p(0, \Lambda_0)$ where Λ_0 is a precision matrix. Then

$$\pi(\beta \mid \dots) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\beta^T \Lambda_0 \beta - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T [\mathbf{Y}_t - X_t \beta - \alpha z_t]^T \Omega [\mathbf{Y}_t - X_t \beta - \alpha z_t]\right\}$$
$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left[\beta^T \Lambda_\beta \beta - 2 \sum_{t=1}^T [\beta^T X_t^T \Omega (\mathbf{Y}_t - \alpha z_t)]\right]\right\}$$
$$\propto \mathbf{N}(\Lambda_\beta^{-1} \mu_\beta, \Lambda_\beta^{-1})$$

82 where

$$\mu_{\beta} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left[X_t^T \Omega(\mathbf{Y}_t - \alpha z_t) \right]$$
$$\Lambda_{\beta} = \Lambda_0 + \sum_{t=1}^{T} X_t^T \Omega X_t.$$

- 83 Conditional posterior of $\sigma^2 \mid \dots$
- In the case where L=1, then σ^2 has a conjugate posterior distribution. Let $\sigma_t^2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathrm{IG}(\alpha_0,\beta_0)$. For simplicity, drop the subscript t. Then

$$\pi(\sigma^2 \mid \dots) \propto (\sigma^2)^{-\alpha_0 - 1/2 - n/2 - 1} \exp\left\{-\frac{\beta_0}{\sigma^2} - \frac{z^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$$

$$\propto (\sigma^2)^{-\alpha_0 - 1/2 - n/2 - 1} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[\beta_0 + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right]\right\}$$

$$\propto \mathrm{IG}(\alpha^*, \beta^*)$$

86 where

$$\alpha^* = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2}$$
$$\beta^* = \beta_0 + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}).$$

- In the case that L>1, a random walk Metropolis Hastings step will be used to update σ_{lt}^2 .
- 88 Conditional posterior of $lpha \mid \dots$
- Let $\alpha \sim N(0, \tau_{\alpha})$ where τ_{α} is a precision term. Then

$$\pi(\alpha \mid \dots) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\tau_{\alpha}\alpha^{2} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{Y}_{t} - X_{t}\beta - \alpha z_{t}]^{T} \Omega [\mathbf{Y}_{t} - X_{t}\beta - \alpha z_{t}]\right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} [\alpha^{2} (\tau_{\alpha} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} z_{t}^{T} \Omega z_{t}^{T}) - 2\alpha \sum_{t=1}^{T} [z_{t}^{T} \Omega (\mathbf{Y}_{t} - X_{t}\beta)\right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} [\tau_{\alpha}^{*} \alpha^{2} - 2\mu_{\alpha}]\right\}$$

90 where

$$\mu_{\alpha} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} z_{t}^{T} \Omega(\mathbf{Y}_{t} - X_{t}\beta)$$
$$\tau_{\alpha}^{*} = t_{\alpha} + \sum_{t=1}^{T} z_{t}^{T} \Omega z_{t}.$$

91 Then $\alpha \mid \ldots \sim N(\tau_{\alpha}^{*-1}\mu_{\alpha}, \tau_{\alpha}^{*-1})$

92 Appendix A.2: MCMC Details

93 Priors

94 References

- Blanchet, J. and Davison, A. C. (2011) Spatial modeling of extreme snow depth. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, **5**, 1699–1725.
- Coles, S. G. and Tawn, J. A. (1991) Modelling Extreme Multivariate Events. *Journal of the Royal Statistical* Society: Series B (Methodological), 53, 377–392.
- DuMouchel, W. H. (1983) Estimating the stable index α in order to measure tail thickness: a critique. *The Annals of Statistics*, **11**, 1019–1031. URLhttp://www.jstor.org/stable/2241294.
- M. G. (2004) Skew-Elliptical Distributions and Their Applications: A Jour-101 Beyond Normality. Statistics (Chapman & Hall/CRC). **Taylor** & Francis. 102 URLhttp://books.google.com/books?id=R9hBnwEACAAJ. 103
- Huser, R. (2013) Statistical Modeling and Inference for Spatio-Temporal Extremes. Ph.D. thesis.
- Padoan. S. A. (2011)Multivariate extreme models based on underlying skew-105 skew-normal distributions. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 102, 977-991. 106 URLhttp://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0047259X11000157. 107
- Padoan, S. A., Ribatet, M. and Sisson, S. A. (2010) Likelihood-Based Inference for Max-Stable Processes. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **105**, 263–277.

 URLhttp://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1198/jasa.2009.tm08577.
- Smith, R. L. (1990) Max-stable processes and spatial extremes.
- Zhang, H. and El-Shaarawi, A. (2010) On spatial skewGaussian processes and applications. *Environmetrics*,
 33–47.