

# A new spatial model for points above a threshold

April 14, 2014

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Statistical model

Let  $Y_t(\mathbf{s}) \in \mathcal{R}$  be the observed value at location  $\mathbf{s}$  on day  $t$ . To avoid bias in estimating tail parameters, we model the thresholded data

$$\tilde{Y}_t(\mathbf{s}) = \begin{cases} Y_t(\mathbf{s}) & Y_t(\mathbf{s}) > T \\ T & Y_t(\mathbf{s}) \leq T \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $T$  is a pre-specified threshold.

We first specify a model for the complete data,  $Y_t(\mathbf{s})$ , and then study the induced model for thresholded data,  $\tilde{Y}_t(\mathbf{s})$ . The full data model is given in Section 2.1 assuming a multivariate normal distribution with a different variance each day. Computationally, the values below the threshold are updated using standard Bayesian missing data methods as described in Section 3.

### 2.1 Complete data

Consider the spatial process

$$Y_t(\mathbf{s}) = X_t(\mathbf{s})\beta + e_t(\mathbf{s}) \quad (2)$$

$$e_t(\mathbf{s}) = \sigma\delta|u_t(\mathbf{s})| + v_t(\mathbf{s}) \quad (3)$$

where  $u_t(\mathbf{s}) = u_{tl}$  if  $\mathbf{s} \in P_{tl}$  where  $P_{t1}, \dots, P_{tL}$  form a partition, and  $u_{tl} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 1)$ ,  $\delta \in (-1, 1)$  controls skew, and  $v_t(\mathbf{s})$  is a spatial process with mean zero and variance  $\sigma^2(1 - \delta^2)$ . Then  $Y_t(\mathbf{s})$  is skew normal within each partition (Minozzo and Ferracuti, 2012). We model this with a Bayesian hierarchical model as follows. Let  $w_{t1}, \dots, w_{tL}$  be partition centers so that  $P_{tl}$  includes all spatial locations  $\mathbf{s}$  that are within the partition. Then

$$Y_t(\mathbf{s}) \mid \Theta = \mu_t(\mathbf{s}) + v_t(\mathbf{s}) \quad (4)$$

$$\mu_t(\mathbf{s}) = X_t(\mathbf{s})\beta + \sigma\delta|u_{tl}| \quad (5)$$

where  $l = \arg \min_j \|\mathbf{s} - w_j\|$  and  $\Theta = \{u_{t1}, \dots, u_{tL}, w_{t1}, \dots, w_{tL}, \beta, \rho, \nu, \sigma\}$  are the random effects, knot locations, and parameters for the mean, and spatial covariance.

## 3 Computation

The MCMC for this model is fairly straightforward. First, we impute values below the threshold. Then, we update  $\Theta$  using random walk MH or Gibbs sampling when appropriate. Finally, we make spatial predictions. Each requires the joint distribution for the complete data given  $\Theta$ . As defined in 4, the distribution of  $Y_t(\mathbf{s}) \mid \Theta$  is the usual multivariate normal distribution with a Matérn spatial covariance structure.

### 3.1 Imputation

We can use Gibbs sampling to update  $\tilde{Y}_t(\mathbf{s})$  for observations that are below  $T$ , the thresholded value. Given  $\Theta$ ,  $Y_t(\mathbf{s})$  has truncated normal full conditional with these parameter values. So we sample  $Y_t(\mathbf{s}) \sim \text{TN}_{(-\infty, T)}$

## 3.2 Parameter updates

To update  $\Theta$  given the current value of the complete data  $\mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_T$ , we use a standard Gibbs updates for all parameters except for the knot locations which are done using a Metropolis update. See Appendix A.1 for details regarding Gibbs sampling and  $|u_t(\mathbf{s})|$ .

## 3.3 Spatial prediction

Given  $\mathbf{Y}_t$  the usual Kriging equations give the predictive distribution for  $Y_t(\mathbf{s}^*)$  at prediction location  $(\mathbf{s}^*)$

## 4 Data analysis

## 5 Conclusions

## Acknowledgments

## Appendix A.1: Posterior distributions

### Half-normal

Let  $u = \xi + \sqrt{\eta}|x|$  where  $X \sim N(0, 1)$ . Then Wiper et al. (2008) show that  $U$  follows a half-normal distribution which we shall write as  $U \sim \text{HN}(\xi, \theta)$  where  $\theta = \frac{1}{\eta}$  is a precision term. The density is given by

$$f_U(u) = \frac{\sqrt{\theta\pi}}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(u-\xi)^2\theta}{2}\right), \quad u > \xi. \quad (6)$$

### Conditional posterior of $U|Y$

Let  $Y_i|U \sim N(U, \sigma^2)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $\tau = 1/\sigma^2$ , and let  $\pi(U) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{u^2\theta}{2}\right\}$ . Then the conditional posterior of  $U|Y$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(U | Y) &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{u^2\theta}{2}\right\} \exp\left\{-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\tau(y_i - u)^2}{2}\right\} \\ &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[u^2\theta + \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(y_i^2 - 2y_i u + u^2)\right]\right\} \\ &\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(u - \frac{\tau \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\theta + n\tau}\right)^2 (\theta + n\tau)\right\} \\ &\propto \text{HN}(\xi^*, \theta^*) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^* &= \frac{\tau \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\theta + n\tau} \\ \theta^* &= \theta + n\tau \end{aligned}$$

### 35 **Conditional posterior of $U_{t1}|\mathbf{Y}_{t1}(\mathbf{s})$**

Consider a multivariate response  $Y_t(\mathbf{s})$  as given by 4 using two partitions. Then conditioned on the observations in partition 2,

$$Y_{t1}|Y_{t2} \sim N_{n_1}(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\Sigma}) \quad (8)$$

where  $\bar{\mu} = \mu_1 + \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(y_{t2} - \mu_2)$ , and  $\bar{\Sigma} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}$ . Then conditional posterior of  $U_{t1}|\mathbf{Y}_{t1}$  is

$$\pi(U_{t1}|\mathbf{Y}_{t1}) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2\delta^2}u^2 - \frac{1}{\sigma^2(1-\delta^2)} [\mathbf{Y}_{t1} - \bar{\mu}]^T \bar{\Sigma}^{-1} [\mathbf{Y}_{t1} - \bar{\mu}] \right\} \quad (9)$$

$$\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\delta^2}u^2 + \frac{\sigma^2\delta^2}{(1-\delta^2)} u^2 \mathbf{1}^T \bar{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1} - 2u \mathbf{1}^T \bar{\Sigma}^{-1} [\mathbf{Y}_{t1} - X_{t1}\beta - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}_{t2} - \mu_2)] \right] \right\} \quad (10)$$

$$\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(u - \xi^*)^2(\theta^*) \right\} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\xi^* = \frac{\sigma\delta \mathbf{1}^T \bar{\Sigma}^{-1} [\mathbf{Y}_{t1} - X_{t1}\beta - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}_{t2} - \mu_2)]}{\frac{1}{\delta^2} + \frac{\sigma^2\delta^2 \mathbf{1}^T \bar{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{(1-\delta^2)}} \quad (12)$$

$$\theta^* = \frac{1}{\sigma^2\delta^2} + \frac{\delta^2 \mathbf{1}^T \bar{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{(1-\delta^2)} \quad (13)$$

### 36 **Conditional posterior of $\beta|\dots$**

Let  $\beta \sim N_p(0, \Lambda_0)$  where  $\Lambda_0$  is a precision matrix. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\beta|\text{rest}) &\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\beta^T \Lambda_0 \beta - \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{Y}_t(\mathbf{s}) - X_t(\mathbf{s})\beta - \sigma\delta|u_t|]^T \Sigma^{-1} [\mathbf{Y}_t(\mathbf{s}) - X_t(\mathbf{s})\beta - \sigma\delta|u_t|] \right\} \\ &\propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\beta^T \Lambda_n \beta - 2[\beta^T X_t(\mathbf{s})\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}_t(\mathbf{s}) + \sigma\delta|u_t|)] \right\} \\ &\propto N_p(\mu_p, \Lambda_p) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_p &= \Lambda_p^{-1} X_t(\mathbf{s})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}_t(\mathbf{s}) + \sigma\delta|u_t|) \\ \Lambda_p &= (\Lambda_0 + X_t(\mathbf{s})^T \Sigma^{-1} X_t(\mathbf{s})) \end{aligned}$$

37 and  $\Lambda_p$  is a precision matrix.

## 38 **Appendix A.2: MCMC Details**

### 39 **Priors**

### 40 **References**

41 Minozzo, M. and Ferracuti, L. (2012) On the existence of some skew-normal stationary processes. *Chilean*  
42 *Journal of Statistics (ChJS)*, **3**, 157–170.

- <sup>43</sup> Wiper, M. P., Girón, F. J. and Pewsey, A. (2008) Objective Bayesian Inference for the Half-Normal and  
<sup>44</sup> Half- t Distributions. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, **37**, 3165–3185.