

MAS3114 MATLAB Assignment 4

Name: Samantha Bennett

UF ID: ####-####

WARNING: You will receive a zero on this assignment if you fail to enter your name, UF ID, and the seed in the random number generator.

```
clear
% Initialize the random number generator by typing the command
rng(#####, 'twister')
% where ##### must be your 8-digit UF ID number
```

Exercise 1 -- Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors

1A

```
B = randi([-8,8],4,4)
```

```
B = 4x4
     6     5     3     2
    -4     2     1     5
     2     3     1    -3
    -4    -1     4    -1
```

```
% The command tril returns a triangular matrix of the lower triangle part
% of matrix B
A1 = tril(B)
```

```
A1 = 4x4
     6     0     0     0
    -4     2     0     0
     2     3     1     0
    -4    -1     4    -1
```

The eigenvalues of A1 are 6, 2, 1, and -1 because eigenvalues of a triangular matrix are the entries on the main diagonal.

% Find eigenvalues and eigenvectors using eig:

```
% P is an invertible matrix
% D is a diagonal matrix
[P,D] = eig(A1)
```

```
P = 4x4
     0         0         0     0.6545
     0         0     0.2065    -0.6545
     0     0.4472     0.6196    -0.1309
    1.0000     0.8944     0.7573    -0.3553
D = 4x4
    -1     0     0     0
     0     1     0     0
     0     0     2     0
     0     0     0     6
```

```
% check if A1 = P*D*inv(P), Yes
P*D*inv(P)
```

```
ans = 4x4
    6.0000         0         0         0
   -4.0000    2.0000         0         0
    2.0000    3.0000    1.0000         0
   -4.0000   -1.0000    4.0000   -1.0000
```

```
% Find eigenvalues and eigenvectors using eigvec:
[P,D] = eigvec(A1)
```

```
P = 4x4
   -1.8421         0         0         0
    1.8421    0.2727         0         0
    0.3684    0.8182    0.5000         0
    1.0000    1.0000    1.0000    1.0000
D = 4x4
     6     0     0     0
     0     2     0     0
     0     0     1     0
     0     0     0    -1
```

```
% check if A1 = P*D*inv(P)?, Yes
P*D*inv(P)
```

```
ans = 4x4
    6.0000         0         0         0
   -4.0000    2.0000         0         0
    2.0000    3.0000    1.0000         0
   -4.0000   -1.0000    4.0000   -1.0000
```

Conclusion: A_1 is diagonalizable since $A_1 = PDP^{-1}$.

Note that P and D are not unique. Decompose A_1 using two different sets of P and D.

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.6545 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.2065 & -0.6545 \\ 0 & 0.4472 & 0.6196 & -0.1309 \\ 1 & 0.8944 & 0.7573 & -0.3553 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} * \text{inv}(P)$$

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.8421 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1.8421 & 0.2727 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.3684 & 0.8182 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} * \text{inv}(P)$$

1B

```
A2 = [5 0 0 0; 1 5 0 0; 0 1 5 0; 0 0 1 5]
```

```
A2 = 4x4
     5     0     0     0
     1     5     0     0
     0     1     5     0
```

0 0 1 5

```
[P,D] = eig(A2)
```

```
P = 4x4
    0      0      0      0.0000
    0      0      0.0000 -0.0000
    0      0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000
    1.0000 -1.0000  1.0000 -1.0000
D = 4x4
    5      0      0      0
    0      5      0      0
    0      0      5      0
    0      0      0      5
```

A2*P

```
ans = 4x4
    0      0      0      0.0000
    0      0      0.0000 -0.0000
    0      0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000
    5.0000 -5.0000  5.0000 -5.0000
```

P*D

```
ans = 4x4
    0      0      0      0.0000
    0      0      0.0000 -0.0000
    0      0.0000 -0.0000  0.0000
    5.0000 -5.0000  5.0000 -5.0000
```

P*D*inv(P)

Warning: Matrix is close to singular or badly scaled. Results may be inaccurate. RCOND = 6.842278e-46.

```
ans = 4x4
    5      0      0      0
    0      5      0      0
    0      0      5      0
    0      0      0      5
```

```
% check if A2*P = P*D, Yes
% check if A2 = P*D*inv(P), No
% write a single command for a basis of the eigenspace of A2 corresponding
% to lambda = 5 using NulBasis
N = NulBasis(A2 - 5*eye(4))
```

```
N = 4x1
    0
    0
    0
    1
```

Conclusion: A2 is NOT diagonalizable because

- $P \cdot D \cdot \text{inv}(P)$ does not equal A2. Therefore, the columns in P are not linearly independent eigenvectors of A2. A2 can only be diagonalizable if and only if A2 have n linearly indepdent eigenvectors.
- the dimension of eigenspace (dimension = 1) does not equal the multiplicity of lambda = 5 (multiplicity = 4)

1C

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{matrix} 2 \times 2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

$$[P,D] = \text{eigvec}(A)$$


$$P = \begin{matrix} 2 \times 2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

$$D = \begin{matrix} 2 \times 2 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{dot}(P(:, 1), P(:, 2))$$

$$\text{ans} = 0$$

Let v_1 and v_2 be two linearly independent eigenvectors of A . v_1 and v_2 are orthogonal because their dot product is zero.

The solution to the system $\begin{cases} x'_1 = 6x_1 + 3x_2 \\ x'_2 = 3x_1 + 6x_2 \end{cases}$ is .

1D

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{matrix} 3 \times 3 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

$$[P,D] = \text{eigvec}(A)$$

$$P = \begin{matrix} 3 \times 3 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0.5000 & 0 & -0.3333 \\ 1.0000 & 1.0000 & -0.6667 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.0000 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

$$D = \begin{matrix} 3 \times 3 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

$$P*D*\text{inv}(P)$$

$$\text{ans} = \begin{matrix} 3 \times 3 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

A is diagonalizable because $A = PDP^{-1}$. Therefore, the columns of P are linearly independent eigenvectors of A and diagonal entries of D are the eigenvalues of A that correspond to eigenvectors of P . So A has all linearly independent eigenvectors which means A is diagonalizable.

$$x'_1 = 4x_1 + 2x_3$$

The solution to the system $x'_2 = 2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3$ is \diamond .

$$x'_3 = -2x_3$$

Exercise 2 -- The Markov Chain

2A

```
A = [.7 .20 .10; .20 .70 .20; .10 .10 .70]
```

```
A = 3x3
    0.7000    0.2000    0.1000
    0.2000    0.7000    0.2000
    0.1000    0.1000    0.7000
```

```
x = [.70; .15; .15] %x0 (probability vector for two years ago)
```

```
x = 3x1
    0.7000
    0.1500
    0.1500
```

```
x = A*x %x1 (probability vector for right now)
```

```
x = 3x1
    0.5350
    0.2750
    0.1900
```

```
x = A*x %x2 (probability vector for two years later)
```

```
x = 3x1
    0.4485
    0.3375
    0.2140
```

Now, 53.5% of those surveyed drive cars, 27.5% minivans, and 19% suv.

Two years later, 44.85% of those surveyed will drive cars, 33.75% minivans, and 21.4% suv.

2B see Sol_DiffEq.m

2C

```
% Method 1
x = [.70; .15; .15]; %x0 (initial probability vector)
Sol_DiffEq(A,x)
```

```
ans = 3x1
    0.3500
    0.4000
    0.2500
```

```
%%%%%
% Method 2
```

```
[P,D] = eigvec(A)
```

```
P = 3x3
    1.4000   -1.0000   -1.0000
    1.6000    0.0000    1.0000
    1.0000    1.0000     0
D = 3x3
    1.0000     0     0
     0    0.6000     0
     0     0    0.5000
```

```
x = [.70; .15; .15]; %x0 (initial probability vector)
% write a single command to solve for Pc = x0, where P = [v1 v2 v3], v_i is
% an eigenvector of A
c = rref([P x])
```

```
c = 3x4
    1.0000     0     0    0.2500
     0    1.0000     0   -0.1000
     0     0    1.0000   -0.2500
```

```
c(:, end)
```

```
ans = 3x1
    0.2500
   -0.1000
   -0.2500
```

Express x_0 as a linear combination of eigenvectors of A:

$$x_0 = 0.25 \begin{bmatrix} 1.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + -0.1 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + -0.25 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, a general solution to the difference equation is $x_k =$

$$0.25(1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + (-0.1)(0.6)^k \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + (-0.25)(0.5)^k \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, 

Do you have the same solution from both methods? Yes. As k approaches infinity, the second and third eigenvectors in the linear combination approach 0. Therefore, only the first eigenvector is left and

$$0.25 \begin{bmatrix} 1.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.35 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.25 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Conclusion: In the long run, we expect 35% of those surveyed will drive cars, 40% minivans, and 25% suv.

The steady-state vector for matrix A is $\begin{bmatrix} 0.35 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.25 \end{bmatrix}.$

2D

```
x = [.60; .20; .20]; %x0 (enter entries for a different initial probability vector)
Sol_DiffEq(A,x)
```

```
ans = 3x1
    0.3500
    0.4000
    0.2500
```

```
x = [.30; .40; .30]; %x0 (enter entries for another initial probability vector)
Sol_DiffEq(A,x)
```

```
ans = 3x1
    0.3500
    0.4000
    0.2500
```

The steady-state vector is $\begin{bmatrix} 0.35 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.25 \end{bmatrix}$ for any initial vector x_0 because every stochastic matrix A has a unique

probability vector called p that satisfies the equation $Ap = p$. This probability vector is called the steady-state vector.

Exercise 3 -- Inner Products & Orthogonal Projections

3A

```
u1 = [2 1 3 -2].'
```

```
u1 = 4x1
     2
     1
     3
    -2
```

```
u2 = [1 2 3 4].'
```

```
u2 = 4x1
     1
     2
     3
     4
```

```
v1 = [1 -2 3 -4].'
```

```
v1 = 4x1
     1
    -2
     3
    -4
```

```
v2 = [2 4 6 8].'
```

```
v2 = 4x1
     2
     4
     6
```

```
v3 = [-3 -6 -9 -12].'
```

```
v3 = 4x1
    -3
    -6
    -9
   -12
```

```
%do the calculations for dot product and norms
dot(u1, v1)
```

```
ans = 17
```

```
norm(u1)
```

```
ans = 4.2426
```

```
norm(v1)
```

```
ans = 5.4772
```

```
%Verify the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for u1 and v1
abs(dot(u1,v1))
```

```
ans = 17
```

```
norm(u1)*norm(v1)
```

```
ans = 23.2379
```

```
%repeat the process for u2, v2, and u2, v3
abs(dot(u2,v2))
```

```
ans = 60
```

```
norm(u2)*norm(v2)
```

```
ans = 60
```

```
abs(dot(u2,v3))
```

```
ans = 90
```

```
norm(u2)*norm(v3)
```

```
ans = 90
```

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

- For u_1 and v_1 : $|u_1 \cdot v_1| < \|u_1\| \|v_1\|$
- For u_2 and v_2 : $|u_2 \cdot v_2| < \|u_2\| \|v_2\|$
- For u_2 and v_3 : $|u_2 \cdot v_3| = \|u_2\| \|v_3\|$
- "=" when u and v are parallel.

3B see projection.m

3C

```
[y_hat,z] = projection(v1,u1)
```

```
y_hat = 4x1
 1.8889
 0.9444
 2.8333
-1.8889
z = 4x1
-0.8889
-2.9444
 0.1667
-2.1111
```

```
y_hat+z
```

```
ans = 4x1
 1
-2
 3
-4
```

```
dot(z,u1) %rounded to zero
```

```
ans = 8.8818e-16
```

Verify if $v1 = y_hat + z$ and if z is orthogonal to $u1$, it is

```
[y_hat,z] = projection(v2,u2)
```

```
y_hat = 4x1
 2
 4
 6
 8
z = 4x1
 0
 0
 0
 0
```

```
y_hat+z
```

```
ans = 4x1
 2
 4
 6
 8
```

```
dot(z,u2)
```

```
ans = 0
```

Verify if $v2 = y_hat + z$ and if z is orthogonal to $u2$, it is

z is the zero vector because y is parallel to y_hat . Since z is the distance between y and y_hate and $z = 0$, there is no distance between y and y_hat . Therefore, they are parallel.