

# Presentation Notes for MAT 355: Differential Geometry

Sam Park

April 2025

## 1 Introduction

The presentation will be a gentle introduction into the proof of Poincare's conjecture for dimension  $\geq 6$ . The proof requires a working knowledge of manifolds, algebraic topology, in particular higher homotopy and homology groups, and assumes the  $h$ -cobordism theorem.

## 2 Poincare's Conjecture

**Generalized Poincare's Conjecture** Every closed  $n$ -manifold (compact manifold without boundary) that is homotopy equivalent to the  $n$ -sphere (homotopy  $n$ -sphere) in the chosen category (i.e. topological manifolds, PL manifolds, or smooth manifolds) is isomorphic in the chosen category (i.e. homeomorphic, PL-homeomorphic, or diffeomorphic) to the standard  $n$ -sphere,  $S^n$ .

For more precision, we define a manifold with boundary rigorously. The prototype of a manifold with boundary is the closed upper half-space

$$\mathcal{H}^n = \{(x^1, \dots, x^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x^n \geq 0\}$$

with the subspace topology inherited from  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The points  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$  where  $x^n > 0$  are called the interior points of  $\mathcal{H}^n$  and the points with  $x^n = 0$  are called the boundary points of  $\mathcal{H}^n$ . These two sets are denoted by  $\text{int}(\mathcal{H}^n)$  and  $\partial(\mathcal{H}^n)$ . The upper half plane then serves as model for manifolds with boundary. We say that a topological space  $M$  is locally  $\mathcal{H}^n$  if every point  $p \in M$  has a neighborhood  $U$  homeomorphic to an open subset of  $\mathcal{H}^n$  (equipped with subspace topology). A topological  $n$ -manifold with boundary is a second, countable, Hausdorff topological space that is locally  $\mathcal{H}^n$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , a chart on  $M$  is defined to be a pair  $(U, \phi)$  that consists of an open set  $U$  in  $M$  and a homeomorphism  $\phi : U \rightarrow \phi(U) \subset \mathcal{H}^n$  of  $U$  with an open subset  $\phi(U)$  of  $\mathcal{H}^n$ . When  $n = 1$ , we have to allow two local models, the right half plane  $\mathcal{H}^1$  and the left half plane  $\mathcal{L}^1$ . Then a chart  $(U, \phi)$  in dimension 1 consists of an open set  $U$  in  $M$  and a homeomorphism  $\phi$  of  $U$  with an open subset of  $\mathcal{H}^1$  or  $\mathcal{L}^1$ . A collection  $\{(U, \phi)\}$  of charts is a  $C^\infty$  atlas if for any two charts  $(U, \phi)$  and  $(V, \psi)$ , the transition map

$$\psi \circ \phi^{-1} : \phi(U \cap V) \rightarrow \psi(U \cap V) \subset \mathcal{H}^n$$

is a diffeomorphism. A  $C^\infty$  manifold with boundary is a topological manifold with boundary together with a maximal  $C^\infty$  atlas. A point  $p$  of  $M$  is called an interior point if in some chart  $(U, \phi)$ , the point  $\phi(p)$  is an interior point of  $\mathcal{H}^n$ . Likewise,  $p$  is a boundary point of  $M$  if  $\phi(p)$  is a boundary point of  $\mathcal{H}^n$ . The definition is independent of the charts since if we assume that  $(V, \psi)$  is another chart on  $M$ , then the diffeomorphism  $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$  maps  $\phi(p)$  to  $\psi(p)$ . The result follows from the fact that if  $U$  and  $V$  are open subsets of the upper half-space  $\mathcal{H}^n$  and  $f : U \rightarrow V$  a diffeomorphism, then  $f$  maps interior points to interior points and boundary points to boundary points.

**Poincare's Conjecture (Stephen Smale)** For  $n \geq 6$ , any simply connected, closed  $n$ -manifold  $M$  whose homology groups  $H_p(M)$  are isomorphic to  $H_p(S^n)$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .

Note that the version above is a stronger theorem than GPC. But we do not that every closed topological manifold of dimension  $\geq 6$  is homeomorphic to a CW complex (found in Kirby-Siebenmann).

Moreover, one version of Whitehead's theorem states that a homology equivalence between simply connected CW complexes is a homotopy equivalence. (If a closed  $n$ -manifold is a homotopy sphere, then its fundamental group is obviously trivial and the homology groups are isomorphic).

Dimension 3 was proved by Grigori Perelman, dimension 4 was proved by Michael Freedman, and dimension  $\geq 5$  was proved by Stephen Smale, who all won the Fields Medal. It turns out that the conjecture is true in **Top** in all dimensions, true in **PL** in dimensions other than 4, unknown in dimension 4, and false generally in **Diff** with the first counterexample found in dimension 7 (by John Milnor who proved the existence of exotic 7-spheres that are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to the 7-sphere).

### 3 Prerequisites

We assume a working knowledge of homotopy and isotopy.

**Definition 3.1. Path Homotopy** Let  $X$  be a topological space. A path is a continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ . Let  $I = [0, 1]$  be an index set, such that  $t \in I$  is an index for a family of path functions  $f_t : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ . A path homotopy is then a continuous function  $F : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  where  $F(s, t) = f_t(s)$  is continuous (both along  $s$  and  $t$ ) and  $f_t(0) = x_0, f_t(1) = x_1$  are fixed. The notion captures the intuition behind continuously deforming two paths with fixed starting and ending point.

**Definition 3.2** This definition extends to the idea of a homotopy between two continuous functions  $f$  and  $g$  that share the same domain and codomain. A homotopy between continuous maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous function  $H : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  such that  $H(x, 0) = f(x), H(x, 1) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . If there is a homotopy between  $f$  and  $g$ , we write  $f \simeq g$ .

**Definition 3.3** An isotopy is a homotopy between topological (or smooth) embeddings. A diffeotopy is a homotopy  $F : M \times I \rightarrow M$  such that  $M$  is a manifold and each  $f_t$  is a diffeomorphism. From now on, we will denote two diffeomorphic spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  as  $X \cong Y$ .

**Definition 3.4** Two topological spaces  $X, Y$  are **homotopy equivalent** if there exists continuous maps  $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ g \simeq \mathbb{1}_Y$  and  $g \circ f \simeq \mathbb{1}_X$ . We then write  $X \simeq Y$ . This notion is slightly weaker than homeomorphism (counterexamples: a line and a point is homotopy equivalent but not homeomorphic (one direction is trivial, the other direction, just consider  $f_t = (1 - t)x$ ) / disk with a hole and a circle are homotopy equivalent but not homeomorphic). Intuitively, homotopy equivalence captures the idea of deforming / squashing a space. Homotopy equivalence is yet a powerful tool for classifying surfaces (preserves homotopy groups and homology groups).

**Lemma 3.1** The connected components of a locally path-connected space are the same as its path-connected components.

*Proof:* We use the fact that the path connected components of a locally path connected space  $X$  are always open in  $X$ . Since path connectedness implies connectedness, one direction is obvious. It remains to check that every connected component is path connected. BWOC, suppose a connected component is not path connected. Then, the connected component is covered by path connected components, each of which is open in  $X$ . This leads to a contradiction.

**Definition 3.5** The  $n$ -th homotopy group of a space  $X$  with basepoint  $x_0$ , denoted  $\pi_n(X, x_0)$  refers to the group whose elements are based homotopy classes of maps  $f : S^n \rightarrow X$ . Equivalently, we may define  $\pi_n(X, x_0)$  to be the group of homotopy classes of maps  $f : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(\partial[0, 1]^n)$  gets mapped to the same point.

Extending the definition,  $\pi_0(X, x_0)$  will then be the set of path connected component (in particular, if the space is locally path connected, then it is the set of all connected components). A space  $X$  is simply connected if  $\pi_1(X)$ ,  $\pi_0(X)$  is trivial and  $n$ -connected if  $\pi_i(X)$  is trivial for all  $i \leq n$ . Higher fundamental groups are algebraic groups but the proofs are non-trivial. We take this for granted.

**Definition 3.6** A relative  $n$ th homotopy group of a space  $X$  with subspace  $X'$  and basepoint  $x \in X'$  consists of elements that are equivalence classes of maps  $f : D^n \rightarrow X$  under based homotopy such that  $f(\partial D^n) = f(S^{n-1}) \subset X'$  and the base point  $x_0 = f(y)$  for some fixed  $y \in S^{n-1}$ . The  $n$ th relative homotopy group is denoted  $\pi_n(X, X')$  or  $\pi_n(X, X', x_0)$ . It trivially follows that the relative homotopy group  $\pi_n(X, x_0, x_0)$  is equivalent to  $\pi_n(X, x_0)$  since a map that identifies  $\partial D^n \equiv S^{n-1}$  to a point is essentially a map with domain  $S^n$ .

Every continuous map between topological spaces  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  induces a map  $\pi_n(f) : \pi_n(X) \rightarrow \pi_n(Y)$ .  $\pi_n(f)$  essentially sends the image of  $S^n$  in  $X$  to  $Y$  via composition with  $f$ . Induced maps are well defined since if  $H : S^n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  defines a homotopy between images in  $X$ , then  $f \circ H : S^n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$  defines a homotopy between images in  $Y$ . We omit the details.

**Definition 3.6** A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $n$ -connected if the induced maps  $\pi_i(f)$  is an isomorphism for all  $i < n$  and  $\pi_n(f)$  is surjective.

Suppose  $X \subset Y$ . Then the inclusion map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is 1-connected if  $\pi_0(f)$  is an isomorphism (i.e. the numbers of path components of  $X$  and  $Y$  are same) and  $\pi_1(f)$  is a surjection (there are no new elements of fundamental group added from  $X - Y$ ).

## 4 h-cobordism

**Definition 4.1** An  $n$ -dimensional cobordism is a 5-tuple,  $(W; M_0, f_0, M_1, f_1)$ , where  $W$  is a compact  $n$ -dimensional manifold,  $M_0, M_1$  are  $n - 1$ -dimensional closed manifolds such that  $\partial W = \partial_0 W \sqcup \partial_1 W$  and there exist diffeomorphisms  $f_i : M_i \rightarrow \partial_i W$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . A compact manifold is a manifold that is compact (we are assuming that a manifold possesses an inherent topology). A closed manifold is a manifold without boundary that is compact. Conventionally, we write cobordisms as a triple  $(W; \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W)$  and treat each  $f_i$  as an identity map.

**Definition 4.2** A cobordism  $(W; \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W)$  is an  $h$ -cobordism if the inclusion map  $\partial_0 W \rightarrow W$  and  $\partial_1 W \rightarrow W$  are both homotopy equivalences.

**Definition 4.3** If  $(W; M_0, f_0, M_1, f_1)$  and  $(W'; M'_0, f'_0, M'_1, f'_1)$  have the same dimension, they are diffeomorphic relative to  $M_0$  if there is a diffeomorphism  $F : W \rightarrow W'$  such that  $F \circ f_0 = f'_0$ .

**Definition 4.4** An  $h$ -cobordism  $(W; \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W)$  is called trivial if it is diffeomorphic to  $(\partial_0 W \times [0, 1]; \partial_0 W \times \{0\}, \partial_0 W \times \{1\})$  relative to  $\partial_0 W$ .

**Theorem 4.1  $h$ -Cobordism Theorem** Any  $h$ -cobordism  $(W; M_0, f_0, M_1, f_1)$  where  $M_0$  is simply connected, closed manifold and  $\dim(W) \geq 6$  is trivial.

## 5 Handles

A diffeomorphism class refers to an equivalence class of manifolds,  $[M]_{diff} = \{N | N \cong M\}$ . These structures are called **handles**.

**Definition 5.1** An  $n$ -dimensional handle of index  $q$  is a structure diffeomorphic to  $D^q \times D^{n-q}$ . We will refer to this as an  $n, q$  handle or if the dimension is clear, simply a  $q$ -handle. We impose certain rules on how a handle can be embedded in a topological space.

We note that  $(n, q)$  handles are  $n$ -manifolds with boundary since it is the product of  $q$ -manifolds and  $n - q$  manifolds, each with a boundary. It is a classic result that the boundary of the product manifold  $M \times N$  is then  $(\partial M \times N) \cup (M \times \partial N)$ .

We define one more concept. A manifold with corners is a Hausdorff, second countable topological object that is homeomorphic such that every point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open set of  $[0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . In fact, every manifold with corner is homeomorphic to a manifold with boundary.

**Definition 5.2** The core of an  $(n, q)$  handle is  $D^q \times \{0\}$ . The cocore of an  $(n, q)$ -handle is  $\{0\} \times D^{n-q}$ . The boundary of the core is then  $S^{q-1} \times \{0\}$  and the boundary of the cocore is  $\{0\} \times S^{n-q-1}$ .

**Definition 5.3** The transverse sphere of a handle  $(\phi^q)$  (this is a  $q$  handle assuming that the dimension is clear) is the boundary of the cocore,  $\{0\} \times S^{n-q-1}$ .

## 6 Attaching Handles

**Definition 6.1** Given an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  with boundary  $\partial M$  and a smooth embedding (a topological embedding that is an immersion)  $\phi^q : S^{q-1} \times D^{n-q} \rightarrow \partial M$ , one can attach a  $q$ -handle to  $M$ . This yields a new manifold  $M + (\phi^q) = M \cup_{\phi^q} D^q \times D^{n-q}$ .

We prove that  $M + \phi^q$  is a manifold with boundary. Since  $M$  and  $D^q \times D^{n-q}$  are both  $n$ -manifolds, any point that does not belong in  $\phi^q(S^{q-1} \times D^{n-q})$  has a neighborhood that is homeomorphic to an open set in  $\mathcal{H}^n$ . Now, consider the image of  $\phi^q$ . Since  $S^{q-1} \times D^{n-q}$  is a subset of  $\partial q$ -handle, and  $\phi^q$  is an embedding of the subset of the boundary of the handle to the boundary of  $M$ , any point in the topological interior of image has a neighborhood homeomorphic to one copy of  $\mathcal{H}^n$  and another copy of  $\mathcal{H}^n$  (this follows from the fact that the basis of  $\mathcal{H}^n$  consists of  $B(p, r) \cap \mathcal{H}^n$  and if the intersection is nonempty, the basis element is homeomorphic to the half plane itself). Hence, the embedding will glue these two copies of the upper half plane along  $x^n = 0$ . The resulting space is  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and hence  $M + (\phi)^q$  is a topological manifold. (Indeed, it is a smooth manifold but we omit the proof).

It follows that the boundary of  $M + \phi^q$  can be obtained from the boundary of  $M$ , removing the interior of the image of  $\phi^q$ , and adding the boundary of the handle that is not embedded into  $M$ . Recall that  $\partial \phi^q = \partial(D^q \times D^{n-q}) = S^{q-1} \times D^{n-q} \cup D^q \times S^{n-q-1}$ . Hence  $D^q \times S^{n-q-1}$  is a part of the boundary of the new manifold  $M + \phi^p$ . To make this rigorous, the attaching map uses only

$$S^{q-1} \times D^{n-q} = S^{q-1} \times (\text{int} D^{n-q}) \cup S^{q-1} \times S^{n-q-1}.$$

Since the interior of a product space is the product of the interiors, the interior of  $S^{q-1} \times D^{n-q}$  is  $S^{q-1} \times \text{int} D^{n-q}$ . Let  $A$  be the image of the embedding. Since  $\phi^q$  is an embedding,

$$\text{Int}_{\partial M} A = \phi^q(S^{q-1} \times \text{int} D^{n-q})$$

Then,

$$\partial H - S^{q-1} \times \text{int} D^{n-q} = S^{q-1} \times D^{n-q} \cup D^q \times S^{n-q-1} - S^{q-1} \times \text{int} D^{n-q}$$

is mapped to the boundary of the new manifold. In particular,  $D^q \times S^{n-q-1}$  will be part of the boundary of the new manifold.

**Definition 6.2** Let  $W$  be an  $(n-1)$  manifold. Then an embedding  $\phi : S^{q-1} \times D^{n-q} \rightarrow W$  is said to be trivial if  $\phi$  is a composition of two embeddings,  $f \circ g$  where  $f : D^{n-1} \rightarrow W$  and  $g : S^{q-1} \times D^{n-q} \rightarrow D^{n-1}$ . This means that any trivial embedding sends  $S^{q-1} \times D^{n-q}$ .

## 7 Handlebody Decomposition

Recall that a cobordism is essentially a collection of manifolds,  $(W, \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W)$  such that  $\partial W = \partial_0 W \sqcup \partial_1 W$ . We aim to trivialize  $W$  (recall that this is showing that  $W$  is diffeomorphic to  $\partial W_0 \times [0, 1]$  relative to  $\partial_0 W$ ).

**Definition 7.1** A handlebody decomposition of a manifold  $W$  with  $\partial W = \partial_0 W \sqcup \partial_1 W$  (relative to  $\partial_0 W$ ) refers to a manifold  $W'$  that is diffeomorphic to  $W$  and

$$W' = \partial_0 W \times [0, 1] + (\phi_1^{q_1}) + \cdots + (\phi_r^{q_r}).$$

We furthermore require that the image of  $\phi_i^{q_i}$  is contained inside  $\partial_1(\partial_0 W \times [0, 1] + (\phi_1^{q_1} + \cdots + \phi_{i-1}^{q_{i-1}}))$ . We check that this construction is well defined using induction. Consider the base manifold  $X_0 = \partial_0 W \times [0, 1]$ . We may write  $\partial X_0$  as  $\partial_0 X_0 \sqcup \partial_1 X_0$  where  $\partial X_0 = \partial_0 W \times \{0\}$  and  $\partial_1 X_0 = \partial X_0 \setminus \partial_0 X_0$ . Since  $\partial_0 W$  is a manifold without boundary,  $\partial X_0 = \partial_0 W \times \{0\} \sqcup \partial_0 W \times \{1\}$  each of which is diffeomorphic to  $\partial_0 W$ . This makes  $X_0$  a cobordism. Now, moving to the inductive step, suppose  $X_i$  is a cobordism whose boundary is decomposed as above. Let

$$X_{i+1} = X_i \cup_{\phi_{i+1}^{q_{i+1}}} (D^{q_{i+1}} \times D^{n-q_{i+1}})$$

such that  $\text{im} \phi_{i+1}^{q_{i+1}} \subset \partial_1 X_i$ . Since  $X_{i+1}$  is a manifold with boundary and  $\partial_0 X_{i+1} = \partial_0 W \times \{0\}$  is unaffected by the gluing, it only remains to verify  $\partial_1 X_{i+1}$  is a manifold, which trivially follows from induction.

**Lemma 7.1** If  $W$  is a compact manifold with dimension larger or equal to 6 and  $\partial W = \partial_0 W \sqcup \partial_1 W$ , then there is a handlebody decomposition of  $W$  relatively to  $\partial_0 W$ . The proof requires Morse theory which is beyond the scope of the paper.

**Lemma 7.2** Let  $(W, \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W)$  be an oriented, compact  $h$ -cobordism of dimension at least 6 with  $\partial_0 W$  simply connected. Then for any  $2 \leq q \leq n-3$ , we have handles such that

$$W \cong \partial_0 W \times [0, 1] + \sum_{i=1}^{p_q} (\phi_i^q) + \sum_{i=1}^{p_{q+1}} (\phi_i^{q+1})$$

The handlebody decomposition of the form above is called a normal form.

The rest of the proof follows by using a representative matrix of an  $h$ -cobordism which is a  $p_q \times p_q$  matrix describing the action of the boundary operator on the basis  $\{[\phi_i^{q+1}]\}_{1 \leq i \leq p_{q+1}}$  in terms of the basis  $\{[\phi_i^q]\}_{1 \leq i \leq p_q}$ . These are very technical notions and hence the details of the proof of the  $h$ -cobordism theorem (and even the sketch) is way beyond the scope of the presentation. For those who are interested, we direct you to Mackie-Mason's "The  $h$ -Cobordism Theorem".

## 8 Poincare Conjecture

**Theorem (Poincare's Conjecture):** For  $n \geq 6$ , any simply connected, closed  $n$ -manifold  $M$  whose homology groups  $H_p(M)$  are isomorphic to  $H_p(S^n)$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .

**Theorem h-cobordism theorem** Any  $h$ -cobordism  $(W; \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W)$  with dimension of  $W \geq 6$  and  $\partial_0 W$  simply connected is diffeomorphic relative to  $\partial_0 W$  to the trivial  $h$ -cobordism  $(\partial_0 W \times [0, 1]; \partial_0 W \times \{0\}, \partial_0 W \times \{1\})$ .

We show in this presentation how Poincare's conjecture can be derived from the  $h$ -cobordism theorem. This is a remarkable moment where differential geometry intersects with topology.

**Lemma 9.1** For  $n \geq 6$ , let  $M$  be a simply connected  $n$ -manifold with  $H_j(M)$  isomorphic to  $H_j(S^n)$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Take two disjoint disks  $D_i^n \subset M$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . Let  $N = M - \text{int}(D_0^n) - \text{int}(D_1^n)$ . Then the inclusion of the boundary spheres  $S_i^{n-1} \rightarrow N$  is a homotopy equivalence for  $i = 0, 1$ . (Here, a disk the embedding of a closed ball in the manifold. Existence follows from the very definition of a manifold).

*Proof:* Before proceeding to the proof, we note that the homology groups of spheres are as follows:

$$H_k(S^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0, n \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = n, n \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = 0, n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We also claim a sublemma as follows: If  $f : (Y, B) \rightarrow (Z, C)$  is a map of pairs such that  $f : Y \rightarrow Z, f|_B : B \rightarrow C$  are both homotopy equivalences, then  $H_n(Y, B)$  and  $H_n(Z, C)$  are isomorphic to each other. We first show that a relative homology group

$$H_j(M - \text{int}(D_0^n) - \text{int}(D_1^n), S_0^{n-1}) = 0$$

for all  $j$ . By excision theorem, if we pick a small enough open ball  $U$  in  $\text{int}D_0^n$  such that  $\bar{U} \subset \text{int}D_0^n$ , then  $H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n), D_0^n)$  is isomorphic to  $H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n) - U, D_0^n - U)$ . Since  $M - \text{int}(D_1^n) - U$  deformation retracts to  $M - \text{int}(D_1^n) - D_0^n$  and the deformation map restricted to  $D_0^n - U$  is also a deformation retraction to  $S_0^{n-1}$ , we conclude that  $H_j(M - \text{int}(D_0^n) - \text{int}(D_1^n), S_0^{n-1})$  is isomorphic to  $H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n), D_0^n)$ . (this follows from the fact that a deformation retraction is a homotopy equivalence and the sublemma above). Hence, it suffices to prove that  $H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n), D_0^n) = 0$  for all  $j$ .

Now consider the long exact sequence of a pair  $(M - \text{int}(D_1^n), D_0^n)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow H_j(D_0^n) &\longrightarrow H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) \longrightarrow H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n), D_0^n) \\ \longrightarrow H_{j-1}(D_0^n) &\longrightarrow H_{j-1}(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) \longrightarrow H_{j-1}(M - \text{int}(D_1^n), D_0^n) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Since all disks are homotopic to points,  $H_j(D_0^n) = 0$  for all  $j$ . Hence this sequence leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \longrightarrow 0 &\longrightarrow H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) \longrightarrow H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n), D_0^n) \longrightarrow 0 \\ &\longrightarrow H_{j-1}(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) \longrightarrow H_{j-1}(M - \text{int}(D_1^n), D_0^n) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Any two terms bracketed by trivial groups are isomorphic to each other by the properties of long exact sequence. That is,  $H_n(M - \text{int}(D_1^n), D_0^n)$  is isomorphic to  $H_n(M - \text{int}(D_1^n))$ . Hence, we consider another exact sequence from  $(M, M - \text{int}(D_1^n))$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) &\rightarrow H_j(M) \rightarrow H_j(M, M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) \\ &\rightarrow H_{j-1}(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) \rightarrow H_{j-1}(M) \rightarrow H_{j-1}(M, M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Now by excision theorem and the sublemma, we may excise  $M - D_1^n$  from  $H_j(M, M - \text{int}(D_1^n))$  in a similar manner as above. This gives a sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) &\rightarrow H_j(M) \rightarrow H_j(D_1^n, S_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_{j-1}(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) \\ &\rightarrow H_{j-1}(M) \rightarrow H_{j-1}(D_1^n, S_1^{n-1}) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Now  $H_j(D_1^n, S_1^{n-1})$  is isomorphic to  $H_j(S^n)$  (proof can be found in Hatcher). But by our hypothesis, we know that  $H_j(M)$  also is the same since  $M$  has homology groups isomorphic to those of  $S^n$ . Hence whenever  $j \neq n$  and  $j \neq n-1$ , we have a short exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n))$  then just becomes 0. (when  $j = 0$ , there is some complication. we can solve this complication by considering the reduced homology group instead). For other cases,

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_n(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_{n-1}(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

The map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is essentially a map between  $H_n(M) \rightarrow H_n(M, M - \text{int}(D_1^n))$  which is an isomorphism since  $M$  is a  $n$ -manifold whose homology groups are isomorphic to  $S^n$  (the rest follows from the theorem on good pair which is beyond the scope of the presentation). Therefore,  $H_j(M - \text{int})$  is 0 when  $j = n, n-1$  by the property of exact sequences. Hence we have  $H_j(M - \text{int}(D_1^n)) = H_j(N, S_0^n) = 0$  for all  $j$ . Using the same logic, we get  $H_j(N, S_1^n) = 0$ . We also claim that  $N$  is simply connected while the proof of this is beyond the scope of the presentation. (this follows from the fact that  $(M, W)$  is a CW complex with no  $m$  cells for  $m \leq n-1$  which turns out to be  $n-1$  connected). By Whitehead's theorem, if a continuous mapping  $f$  between CW complexes  $X$  and  $Y$  induces isomorphisms on all homotopy groups, then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence. This completes the proof.

**Lemma 9.2** Any homeomorphism  $h : S^k \rightarrow S^k$  can be extended to a homeomorphism  $H : D^{k+1} \rightarrow D^{k+1}$ .

*Proof:* We may treat  $D^{k+1}$  as the product  $S^k \times [0, 1]$  with  $S^k \times \{0\}$  identified to a single point. (this is the same as saying that the closed ball is equivalent to the cone of the boundary via the projection map). Then define  $H$  by  $H(x, t) = (t \cdot h(x), t)$ .  $H$  is a homeomorphism since  $h$  is a homeomorphism. (product of homeomorphism is a homeomorphism). This is also called the Alexander's trick.

**Theorem 9.1** Poincare's Conjecture for dimension  $\geq 6$

*Proof:* Let  $D_i^n$  be two disjoint disks embedded in  $M$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . Let  $(N = M - \text{int}(D_0^n) - \text{int}(D_1^n))$ . Then  $(N; S_0^{n-1}, S_1^{n-1})$  is a cobordism since  $\partial M = \partial D_0^n \sqcup \partial D_1^n = S_0^{n-1} \sqcup S_1^{n-1}$  since  $M$  is a closed manifold and moreover a  $h$ -cobordism by lemma 9.1. Since  $S^{n-1}$  is simply connected, by  $h$ -cobordism theorem,  $(N; S_0^{n-1}, S_1^{n-1}) \cong (S_0^{n-1} \times [0, 1]; S_0^{n-1} \times \{0\}, S_0^{n-1} \times \{1\})$ . More precisely,  $F : N \rightarrow S_0^{n-1} \times [0, 1]$  is a diffeomorphism where  $F$  restricted to  $S_0^{n-1}$  is an identity map and also defines some diffeomorphism  $S_0^{n-1} \rightarrow S_0^{n-1} \times \{1\}$ . Now, extend this to a homeomorphism  $F : D_0^n \rightarrow D_1^n$  using Alexander's trick. Now we may reassemble  $M$  by attaching  $D_0^n$  to the bottom  $S^{n-1} \times \{0\}$  and  $D_1^n$  to the top  $S^{n-1} \times \{1\}$  using the identifications  $\partial D_0^n = S^{n-1} \times \{0\}$  and  $\partial D_1^n = S^{n-1} \times \{1\}$  each glued by the identity and homeomorphism. This gives a bijection

$$h : N \cup_{\partial D_0} D_0^n \cup_{\partial D_1} D_1^n \rightarrow D_0^n \times \{0\} \cup \partial D_0^n \times [0, 1] \cup D_0^n \times \{1\}.$$

But the target space is just a decomposition of the  $n$ -sphere into two caps and an equatorial cylinder. Hence this proves the Poincare conjecture.

## 9 Citation

- [1] Allen Hatcher, Algebraic Topology
- [2] Andrew R. Mackie-Mason, The h-Cobordism Theorem
- [3] John M. Lee, Introduction to Smooth Manifolds
- [4] John Milnor, Morse Theory