Numerical Analysis

First topic: solving nonlinear equations.

Question: what is a nonlinear equation? Come in two Grms:

1) A rootsinding problem: find x st f(x) = 0

2) A fixed point problem: find X St g(x) = X

In both cases, 5:1R -> R is nonlinear, so is g. Clearly, it 5 or g is linear, these equations are trivial to solve.

austron: On we solve these problems, in general? ... what does that even mean?

E.g. Find x st ax2+bx+c=0.

A quadretic equation... what's the solution?

$$x = -b \pm \sqrt{5^2 - 4ac}$$

I we an solve it.

V We have the answer. Exact. Analytic.

Con we always find an analytic solution to a nonlinear equation? No. Many examples.

E.S. Can solve up to 4th order polynomial equations, but not higher.

You can definitely got roots of high degree polynoms.

So... this "no analytic solution" problem might be a bit of a red herring.

If we change our mindset from "reed exact /analytic" solution to reed some correct digits, things be come much more tractable. After all, computers have finite memory, so it's not really possible to represent a solution to infinite anyway (at east not in all bases).

Numerical analysis is the study of algorithms for approximating solutions to the problems of continuous math (and by extension: physics).

§ Newton's method

Let's say we want to solve:

f (x)=0

so this means find x* EIR satisfying the motfinding equation. Or... just satisfies it approximately.

Reall: the Taylor expansion or 5 about x with increment his:

 $f(x+h) = f(x) + f'(x)h + \cdots + \frac{h!}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) + R_n$

where the remainder Rn satisfies: 1Rn1 = 0(hn+1). Recall, the Landau notation (or "big D" notation) 5(h) = O(g(h)) means: < p lim 15(h)1 4->0/3(h)/ Toylor expansion:

S(x) 5(x)+5(x)h

"make a local polynomial
to 5 about
approximation to 5 about

X. using derivative
information of 5 at x" 1st . (der T. poly.: $f(x) + f'(x)h + D(h^2)$ tells you the vate at which the crow goes to D as h > 0 2nd order T. poly: $f(x) + f'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(x) + O(h)$ This assumes som "regularity" on 5: namely, derivatives need to aist, and thy con't be too Crazy. Or at least, the exact error depends on the (n+1) or derivative.

Let's ty to develop an algorithm for finding x* st S(x*) =0. We will we a central idea in numerical analysis: relaxation. "Relax exact equation to get easier-to-solve approximate equation." her's replace x with xn + Dxn: · introduce a seguence xo, x1,...-· goal: xn >> x* as n >> po . Notetion: $\Delta x_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$. Never to x_n as the "iterate" When we relax, we replace x* w/ xn+ Dxn. Assuming tacitly that $x^* \approx x_n + \Delta x_n$. (Should be true by det. as n > 20) where does that leave us? Let's see: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Relaxation} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Relaxation} = \int_{\mathbb{R}$ $\simeq \xi(x_n) + \xi'(x_n) \Delta x_n$. Trucate and approximate w/

Altogether, we get: $0 = 5(x_n) + 5'(x_n) \Delta x_n, n=0,1,...$ 6 c . or: $\Delta x_{n} = -\frac{S(x_{n})}{S'(x_{n})}$ or: $\lambda_{n+1} = x_{n} - \frac{S(x_{n})}{S'(x_{n})}$ This is the Newton iteration. Technically, it we have chosen to, then $\begin{cases} x_0 = fixed \\ x_{ni} = x_n - \frac{5(x_n)}{5!(x_n)!} & n = 0... \end{cases}$ Newton's method. Notice: not too hard to see that: $\chi^* - \chi_{\Omega} = \rho(\nabla x_{\Omega})$ under artin cirameterces. Le'll come how test beck to this. This tells you

NM converses ... if it converts,