

# Outliers are in the Physical World

Samuel Epstein\*

July 13, 2022

## Abstract

The Independence Postulate (IP) is a finitary Church Turing Thesis, postulating that certain infinite and finite sequences cannot be found in nature, a.k.a. have high “physical addresses”. In this paper we show that IP implies that outliers are found in the physical world.

## 1 Introduction

$\mathbf{K}(x|y)$  is the conditional prefix Kolmogorov complexity. For probability  $p$  over  $\mathbb{N}$ , the deficiency of randomness is  $\mathbf{d}(a|p) = \lfloor -\log p(a) \rfloor - \mathbf{K}(a|P)$ .  $\mathbf{I}(a; \mathcal{H}) = \mathbf{K}(a) - \mathbf{K}(a|\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the halting sequence. An elementary probability measure  $\mathbb{N}$  has finite support and range in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Stochasticity is

$$\Lambda(a|b) = \min\{\mathbf{K}(Q|b) + 3 \log \max\{\mathbf{d}(a|Q, b), 1\} : Q \text{ is an elementary probability measure}\}.$$

$$\Lambda(a|b) < \Lambda(a) + O(\log \mathbf{K}(b)).$$

The information between two sequences is

**Definition 1** For  $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}^{\ast\infty}$ ,  $\mathbf{I}(\alpha : \beta) = \log \sum_{x, y \in \{0, 1\}^{\ast}} 2^{\mathbf{K}(x) + \mathbf{K}(y) - \mathbf{K}(x, y) - \mathbf{K}(x|\alpha) - \mathbf{K}(y|\beta)}$ .

The Independence Postulate [Lev84, Lev13] statement is:

**IP:** Let  $\alpha$  be a sequence defined with an  $n$ -bit mathematical statement, and a sequence  $\beta$  can be located in the physical world with a  $k$ -bit instruction set. Then  $\mathbf{I}(\alpha : \beta) < k + n + c$  for some small absolute constant  $c$ .

It is well known in the literature that stochastic numbers have high mutual information with the halting sequence. One such occurrence is [Eps21].

**Lemma 1**  $\Lambda(x) <^{\log} \mathbf{I}(x; \mathcal{H})$ .

**Theorem 1** For computable probability  $p$  over  $\mathbb{N}$  and for  $D \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|D| = 2^s$ ,  $s < \max_{a \in D} \mathbf{d}(a|p) + \Lambda(D) + \mathbf{K}(s) + \mathbf{K}(p) + O(\log \mathbf{K}(s)\mathbf{K}(p))$ .

**Proof.** We relativize the universal Turing machine to  $p$  and  $s$ . Let  $Q$  be an elementary probability distribution that realizes  $\Lambda(D)$ . Let  $d = \mathbf{d}(D|Q)$ . Let  $V$  be the combined elements of encoded sets of size  $2^s$  in the support of  $Q$ . Suppose each element of  $V$  is selected independently with probability  $cd2^{-s}$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  is chosen later. The selected set is  $F$ , and  $\mathbf{E}[p(F)] \leq cd2^{-s}$ . Furthermore

$$\mathbf{E}[Q(\{G : |G| = 2^s, G \cap F = \emptyset\})] \leq \sum_G Q(G)(1 - cd2^{-s})^{2^s} < e^{-cd}.$$

Thus finite  $F \subset \mathbb{N}$  can be chosen such that  $p(F) \leq 2cd2^{-s}$  and  $Q(\{G : |G| = 2^s, G \cap F = \emptyset\}) \leq e^{1-cd}$ . Now it must be that  $D \cap F \neq \emptyset$ . Otherwise, using the  $Q$ -test,  $t(G) = e^{cd-1}$  if  $|G| = 2^s, G \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $t(G) = 0$  otherwise. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}(D|Q, d, c) &<^+ -\log Q(D) - (\log e)cd \\ (\log e)cd &<^+ -\log Q(D) - \mathbf{K}(D|Q) + \mathbf{K}(d, c) \\ (\log e)cd &<^+ d + \mathbf{K}(d, c), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction for large  $c$ . Thus there is an  $a \in D \cap F$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}(a) &<^+ -\log p(a) + \log d - s + \mathbf{K}(d) + \mathbf{K}(Q) \\ s &<^+ \mathbf{d}(a|p) + \Lambda(D). \end{aligned}$$

Removing the relativization of  $p$  and  $s$

$$\begin{aligned} s &< -\log p(a) - \mathbf{K}(a|p, s) + \Lambda(D|p, s) \\ s &< \max_{a \in D} \mathbf{d}(a|p) + \Lambda(D) + \mathbf{K}(s) + \mathbf{K}(p) \\ &+ O(\log \mathbf{K}(s)\mathbf{K}(p)). \end{aligned}$$

\*JP Theory Group. samepst@jpththeorygroup.org

## □ References

For  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\langle \tau \rangle = \langle \tau[1] \rangle \langle \tau[2] \rangle \langle \tau[3] \rangle \dots$ . Let  $\tau(n)$  be the first  $2^n$  unique numbers found in  $\tau$ . The sequence  $\tau$  is assumed to have an infinite amount of unique numbers, and represents a series of observations.

**Theorem 2** *For probability  $p$  over  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , let  $s_\tau$  be the smallest number such that  $\max_{a \in \tau(n)} \mathbf{d}(a|p) > n - 4\mathbf{K}(n) - s_\tau$ . Then  $s_\tau <^{\log} \mathbf{I}(\langle \tau \rangle : \mathcal{H}) + \mathbf{K}(p)$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorem 1, Lemma 1, and the fact that  $\mathbf{I}(x; \mathcal{H}) <^+ \mathbf{I}(\alpha : \mathcal{H}) + \mathbf{K}(x|\alpha)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} n &< \max_{a \in \tau(n)} \mathbf{d}(a|p) + \mathbf{I}(\tau(n); \mathcal{H}) + \mathbf{K}(p) + 2\mathbf{K}(n) \\ &\quad + O(\log(\mathbf{I}(\tau(n); \mathcal{H}) + \mathbf{K}(p))), \\ n &< \max_{a \in \tau(n)} \mathbf{d}(a|p) + 4\mathbf{K}(n) + \mathbf{I}(\langle \tau \rangle : \mathcal{H}) + \mathbf{K}(p) \\ &\quad + O(\log(\mathbf{I}(\langle \tau \rangle : \mathcal{H}) + \mathbf{K}(p))), \\ n - 4\mathbf{K}(n) - (\mathbf{I}(\langle \tau \rangle : \mathcal{H}) + \mathbf{K}(p)) \\ &\quad + O(\log(\mathbf{I}(\langle \tau \rangle : \mathcal{H}) + \mathbf{K}(p))) < \max_{a \in \tau(n)} \mathbf{d}(a|p). \end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $k$  be the physical address of an infinite sequence of numbers  $\tau$ . The halting sequence  $\mathcal{H}$  can be described in a mathematical statement of size equal to some small constant  $c_{\text{HM}}$ . Then by Theorem 2, for some sequence  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and probability  $p$  over  $\mathbb{N}$ , **IP** states

$$s_\tau <^{\log} \mathbf{I}(\langle \tau \rangle : \mathcal{H}) + \mathbf{K}(p) <^{\log} k + c_{\text{HM}} + c + \mathbf{K}(p).$$

Thus sequences  $\tau$  with large  $s_\tau$ , as defined in Theorem 2, will have large physical addresses. Thus it is hard to find physical sequences which do not have large outliers, and completely impossible to find sequences with no outliers. As the complexity of the probability  $p$  in the randomness deficiency term increases, the bounds loosen.

- [Eps21] Samuel Epstein. All sampling methods produce outliers. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 67(11):7568–7578, 2021.
- [Lev84] L. A. Levin. Randomness conservation inequalities; information and independence in mathematical theories. *Information and Control*, 61(1):15–37, 1984.
- [Lev13] L. A. Levin. Forbidden information. *J. ACM*, 60(2), 2013.