

# Chain Rule for Randomness Deficiency

Samuel Epstein\*

October 13, 2023

## Abstract

This paper is an exposition of the addition equality theorem for algorithmic entropy in [Gó1], applied to the specific case of infinite sequences. This application implies that randomness deficiency of infinite sequences obeys the chain rule, analogous to the finite Kolmogorov complexity case. It is unclear whether this result is folklore, but in any case this paper presents a dedicated proof of the equality.

## 1 Introduction

Prefix free Kolmogorov complexity,  $\mathbf{K}$ , obeys the chain rule, with for  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,

$$\mathbf{K}(x, y) =^+ \mathbf{K}(x) + \mathbf{K}(y|x, \mathbf{K}(x)).$$

In this paper, we apply the addition equality theorem for algorithmic entropy in [Gó1] to the specific case of infinite sequences. The consequence to this is a result about randomness deficiency  $\mathbf{D}$ , where for computable probability  $\mu$ , for infinite sequences,  $\mathbf{D}(\alpha|\mu, x) = \sup_n -\log \mu(\alpha[0..n] - \mathbf{K}(\alpha[0..n]|x, \mu))$ . The randomness deficiency over the space  $\{0, 1\}^\infty \times \{0, 1\}^\infty$ , is  $\mathbf{D}(\alpha, \beta)|\mu, \nu = \sup_n -\log \mu(\alpha[0..n]) - \log \nu(\beta[0..n]) - \mathbf{K}(\alpha[0..n]|\beta[0..n])$ . The result detailed in this paper is as follows.

**Theorem.** ([Gó1]) *Relativized to computable probabilities  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  over  $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ ,  $\mathbf{D}(\alpha, \beta)|\mu, \nu =^+ \mathbf{D}(\alpha|\mu) + \mathbf{D}(\beta|\nu, \lceil \mathbf{D}(\alpha|\mu) \rceil)$ .*

## 2 Results

As shown in [Gó1],  $2^{\mathbf{D}(\alpha|\mu)} \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{t}_\mu(\alpha)$  where  $\mathbf{t}_\mu$  is a universal lower computable  $\mu$ -test. Furthermore, similar arguments can be used to show that  $2^{\mathbf{D}(\alpha, \beta)|\mu, \nu} \stackrel{*}{=} \mathbf{t}_{\mu, \nu}(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $\mathbf{t}_{\mu, \nu}$  is a universal lower computable test over  $\{0, 1\}^\infty \times \{0, 1\}^\infty$ . For measure  $\mu$  and lower continuous function  $f$  over  $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ , we use the notation  $\mu^x f(x) = \int_{x \in \{0, 1\}^\infty} f(x) d\mu(x)$ . Throughout this section, the universal Turing machine is assumed to be relativized to computable probabilities  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  over  $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ . put the  $\nu$  measure of effectively open sets.

**Proposition 1**  $-\mathbf{D}(x|\mu) <^+ -\log \nu^y 2^{\mathbf{D}(x, y)|\mu, \nu}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f(x, \mu, \nu) = -\log \nu^y 2^{\mathbf{D}(x, y)|\mu, \nu}$ . The function  $f$  is upper computable and has  $\mu^x 2^{-f(x, \mu, \nu)} \leq 1$ . The proposition follows from the universal properties of  $\mathbf{t}_\mu$ , where  $2^{-f} \stackrel{*}{<} \mathbf{t}_\mu$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2** *For a computable function  $f : N^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$-\mathbf{D}(x|\mu, y) <^+ \mathbf{K}(z) - \mathbf{D}(x|\mu, f(y, z)).$$

---

\*JP Theory Group. samepst@jpththeorygroup.org

**Proof.** The function

$$g_\mu(x, y) = \sum_z 2^{\mathbf{D}(x|\mu, f(y, z)) - \mathbf{K}(z)},$$

is lower computable and  $\mu^x g_\mu(x, y) \leq \sum_z 2^{-\mathbf{K}(z)} \leq 1$ . So  $g_\mu(x, y) \stackrel{*}{<} 2^{\mathbf{D}(x|\mu, y)}$ . The left hand side is a summation, so the inequality holds for each element of the sum, proving the proposition.  $\square$

**Proposition 3** *If  $i < j$ , then*

$$i - \mathbf{D}(x|\mu, i) <^+ j - \mathbf{D}(x|\mu, j).$$

**Proof.** Using Proposition 2, with  $f(i, n) = i + n$ , we have

$$-\mathbf{D}(x|\mu, i) + \mathbf{D}(x|\mu, j) <^+ \mathbf{K}(j - i) <^+ j - i.$$

**Definition 1** *Let  $F : \{0, 1\}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$  be an upper semicomputable function. An  $(\mu, F)$ -test is a function  $t : \{0, 1\}^\infty \times \{0, 1\}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$  that is lower semicomputable and  $\mu^x t(x, y) \leq 2^{-F(y)}$ . There exists a maximal  $(\mu, F)$  test,  $\mathbf{t}_{(\mu, F)}$ , such that  $t \stackrel{*}{<} \mathbf{t}_{(\mu, F)}$ .*

**Proposition 4** *Let  $F : \{0, 1\}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$  be an upper semicomputable function,. For all  $x$  and with  $\mathbf{t}_{(\nu, F)}(y) > -\infty$ ,*

$$\mathbf{t}_{(\nu, F)}(x, y) \stackrel{*}{=} 2^{-F(y)} \mathbf{t}_\nu(x|y, F(y)).$$

**Proof.** To prove the inequality  $\stackrel{*}{>}$ , let  $g(x, y, m) = \max_{i \geq m} 2^{-i} \mathbf{t}_\nu(x|y, i)$ . This function is lower computable, and decreasing in  $m$ . Let  $g(x, y) = g_\nu(x, y, F(y))$  is lower semicomputable since  $F$  is upper semi-computable. The multiplicative form of Proposition 3 implies

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, y, m) &\stackrel{*}{=} 2^{-m} \mathbf{t}_\nu(x|y, m) \\ g(x, y) &\stackrel{*}{=} 2^{-F(y)} \mathbf{t}_\nu(x|y, F(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{t}_\nu$  is a test:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^x 2^{-m} \mathbf{t}_\nu(x|y, m) &\leq 2^{-m} \\ \nu^x g(x, y) &\stackrel{*}{<} 2^{-F(y)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $g(x, y) \stackrel{*}{<} \mathbf{t}_{(\nu, F)}(x, y)$  by the optimality of  $\mathbf{t}_{(\nu, F)}$ . We now consider the upper bound. Let  $\mathbf{t}'_{(\nu, F)}(x, y, m)$  be the modification of  $\mathbf{t}_{(\nu, F)}$ , which is a lower computable function such that  $\nu^x \mathbf{t}'_{(\nu, F)}(x, y, m) \leq 2^{-m+1}$  and if  $\nu^x \mathbf{t}_{(\nu, F)}(x, y) \leq 2^{-m}$  then  $\mathbf{t}'_{(\nu, F)}(x, y, m) = \mathbf{t}_{(\nu, F)}(x, y)$ . The function  $2^{m-1} \mathbf{t}'_{(\nu, F)}(x, y, m)$  is a test conditioned on  $y, m$  so it has  $\stackrel{*}{<} \mathbf{t}_\nu(x|y, m)$ . Substituting  $F(y)$  for  $m$ , we have that  $\nu^x \mathbf{t}_{(\nu, F)} \leq 2^{-m}$  and so

$$\mathbf{t}_{(\nu, F)}(x, y) = \mathbf{t}'_{(\nu, F)}(x, y, F(y)) \stackrel{*}{<} 2^{-F(y)+1} \mathbf{t}_\nu(x|y, F(y)).$$

$\square$

**Theorem 1** ([G01]) *Relativized to computable probabilities  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  over  $\{0, 1\}^\infty$ ,*

$$\mathbf{D}(x, y|\mu, \nu) =^+ \mathbf{D}(x|\mu) + \mathbf{D}(y|\nu, (x, \lceil \mathbf{D}(x|\mu) \rceil)).$$

**Proof.** We first prove the  $<^+$  inequality. Let  $G(x, y, m) = \min_{i \geq m} i - \mathbf{D}((y|\nu, (x, i)))$ , which is upper computable and increasing in  $m$ . So the function

$$G(x, y) = G(x, y, \lceil -\mathbf{D}(x|\mu) \rceil).$$

which is also upper computable because  $m$  is replaced with an upper computable function  $\lceil -\mathbf{D}(x|\mu) \rceil$ . Proposition 2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y, m) &=^+ m - \mathbf{D}(y|\nu, (x, m)), \\ G(x, y) &=^+ -\mathbf{D}(x|\mu) - \mathbf{D}(y|\nu, (x, \lceil -\mathbf{D}_\mu(x|\nu) \rceil)). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^y 2^{-m + \mathbf{H}(y|\nu, (x, m))} &\leq 2^{-m} \\ \nu^y 2^{-G(x, y)} &\stackrel{*}{<} 2^{\mathbf{D}(x|\mu)}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over  $x$  gives  $\mu^x \nu^y 2^{-G(x, y)} \stackrel{*}{<} 1$ , implying  $-\mathbf{D}(x, y|\mu, \nu) <^+ G(x, y)$ .

To prove the  $>^+$  inequality, let  $f(x, y) = 2^{\mathbf{D}(x, y|\mu, \nu)}$ . Proposition 1 implies there exists  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\nu^y f(x, y) \leq 2^{\mathbf{D}(x|\mu) + c}$ . Let  $F(x, \mu) = \lceil -\mathbf{D}(x|\mu) \rceil$ . Note that if  $h$  is a lower computable function such that  $\nu^y h(x, y) \stackrel{*}{<} 2^{\mathbf{D}(x|\mu)}$ , then  $\mu^x \nu^y h(x, y) \stackrel{*}{<} \mu^x \mathbf{t}_\mu(x) \stackrel{*}{<} 1$ , so  $h \stackrel{*}{<} f$ , so  $f$  is a universal  $F$ -test. Proposition 4 (substituting  $y$  for  $x$  and  $(x, \mu)$  for  $y$ ) gives

$$-\mathbf{D}(x, y|\mu, \nu) = -\log f(x, y) >^+ F(x) - \mathbf{D}(y|\nu, (x, F(x))).$$

□

## References

- [G01] P. Gács. Quantum Algorithmic Entropy. *Journal of Physics A Mathematical General*, 34(35), 2001.