

# AIT Blog

## Conservation Inequalities over Quantum Operations

Samuel Epstein\*

December 26, 2022

In [Eps19], algorithmic notions of randomness and information between two quantum mixed states were introduced. These notions were shown to satisfy conservation inequalities with respect to unitary transforms and partial traces. This blog entry generalizes these results by proving conservation of randomness and information with respect to quantum operations. Quantum operations model not only reversible unitary transforms of isolated systems, but also transient interactions with the environment and the effects of measurements. Thus, quantum operations are the most general physically realizable transform that can be applied to a quantum state. We show an (elementary described) quantum operation cannot increase the deficiency of randomness of one state with respect to another. Similarly, a quantum operation cannot increase the algorithmic mutual information shared between two states. To strengthen the results to computable quantum operations, inroads need to be made with respect to an algorithmic theory of linear algebra, as discussed in the last section.

## 1 Conventions

We use  $\mathcal{H}_n$  to denote a Hilbert space with  $n$  dimensions, spanned by bases  $|\beta_1\rangle, \dots, |\beta_n\rangle$ . A qubit is a unit vector in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}_2$ , spanned by vectors  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$ . To model  $n$  qubits, we use a unit vector in  $\mathcal{H}_{2^n}$ , spanned by basis vectors  $|x\rangle$ , where  $x$  is a string of size  $n$ .

A pure quantum state  $|\psi\rangle$  of length  $n$  is a unit vector in  $\mathcal{H}_{2^n}$ . Its corresponding element in the dual space is denoted by  $\langle\phi|$ . The conjugate transpose of a matrix  $A$  is  $A^*$ . The tensor product of two matrices  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \otimes B$ .  $\text{Tr}$  is used to denote the trace of a matrix, and for Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_X \otimes \mathcal{Y}$ , the partial trace with respect to  $Y$  is  $\text{Tr}_Y$ .

For positive semi-definite matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , we say  $B \preceq A$ , iff  $A - B$  is positive semi-definite. For functions  $f$  whose range are Hermitian matrices, we use  $\overset{*}{<}f$  and  $\overset{*}{>}f$  to denote  $\preceq f/O(1)$  and  $\succeq f/O(1)$ . We use  $\overset{*}{=}f$  to denote  $\overset{*}{<}f$  and  $\overset{*}{>}f$ .

Density matrices are used to represent mixed states, and are self-adjoint, positive definite matrices with trace equal to 1. Semi-density matrices are used in this paper, and they are density matrices except they may have a trace in  $[0,1]$ .

Pure and mixed quantum states are elementary if their values are complex numbers with rational coefficients, and thus they can be represented with finite strings. Thus elementary quantum states  $|\phi\rangle$  and  $\rho$  can be encoded as strings,  $\langle|\phi\rangle\rangle$  and  $\langle\rho\rangle$ , and assigned Kolmogorov complexities  $\mathbf{K}(|\phi\rangle)$ ,  $\mathbf{K}(\rho)$  and algorithmic probabilities  $\mathbf{m}(|\phi\rangle)$  and  $\mathbf{m}(\rho)$ . They are equal to the complexity (and algorithmic probability) of the strings that encodes the states.

---

\*JP Theory Group. samepst@jpththeorygroup.org

More generally, a complex matrix  $A$  is elementary if its entries are complex numbers with rational coefficients and can be encoded as  $\langle A \rangle$ , and has a Kolmogorov complexity  $\mathbf{K}(A)$  and algorithmic probability  $\mathbf{m}(A)$ .

In [G01], a universal lower computable semi-density matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  was introduced. It is the quantum analogy to  $\mathbf{m}$ . It can be defined (up to a multiplicative constant) by

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{/x} = \sum_{\text{elementary } |\phi\rangle} \mathbf{m}(|\phi\rangle |x, n\rangle |\phi\rangle \langle \phi|),$$

where the summation is over all  $n$  qubit elementary pure quantum states. We use  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  to denote  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{/\emptyset}$ .

We say a semi-density matrix  $\rho$  is lower computable if there a program  $p \in \{0, 1\}^*$  such that when given to the universal Turing machine  $U$ , outputs, with or without halting, a finite or infinite sequence of elementary matrices  $\rho_i$  such that  $\rho_i \preceq \rho_{i+1}$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho_i = \rho$ . If  $U$  reads  $\leq \|p\|$  bits on the input tape, then we say  $p$  lower computes  $\rho$ . From [G01] Theorem 2, if  $q$  lower computes  $\rho$ , when  $\mathbf{m}(q|n)\rho <^* \boldsymbol{\mu}$ .

## 2 Quantum Operations

A map transforming a quantum state  $\sigma$  to  $\varepsilon(\sigma)$  is a quantum operation if it satisfies the following three requirements

1. The map of  $\varepsilon$  is positive and trace preserving, with  $\text{Tr}(\sigma) = \text{Tr}(\varepsilon(\sigma))$ .
2. The map is linear with  $\varepsilon(\sum_i p_i \sigma_i) = \sum_i p_i \varepsilon(\sigma_i)$ .
3. The map is completely positive, were any map of the form  $\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}$  acting on the extended Hilbert space is also positive.

The operator  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity matrix. Another means to describe quantum operations is through a series of operators. A quantum operation  $\varepsilon$  on mixed state  $\sigma_A$  can be seen as the appending of an ancilla state  $\sigma_b$ , applying a unitary transform  $U$ , then tracing out the ancilla system with

$$\varepsilon(\sigma_A) = \text{Tr}_B (U(\sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B)U^*). \quad (1)$$

A third way to characterize a quantum operation is through Kraus operators, which can be derived using an algebraic reworking of Equation 1. Map  $\varepsilon$  is a quantum operation iff it can be represented (not necessarily uniquely) using a set of matrices  $\{M_i\}$  where  $\varepsilon(\sigma) = \sum_i M_i \sigma M_i^*$  and  $\sum_i M_i^* M_i \leq \mathbf{1}$ .

A quantum operation  $\varepsilon$  is elementary iff it admits a represented of the form in Equation 1 where  $B$ ,  $U$ , and  $\sigma_B$  are each elementary, in that they each can be encoded with a finite string. The encoding of an elementary quantum operation is denoted by  $\langle \varepsilon \rangle = \langle B \rangle \langle U \rangle \langle \sigma_B \rangle$ . Each elementary quantum operation admits an elementary Kraus operator representation  $\{M_i\}$ , in that each  $M_i$  is an elementary matrix. This elementary Kraus operator is computable from  $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ .

## 3 Conservation of Randomness and Information

In [G01], the deficiency of randomness of a mixed state  $\sigma$  with respect to computable mixed state  $\rho$  was introduced. A positive semi-definite matrix  $\nu$  is a  $\rho$ -test if it is lower computable and  $\text{Tr} \rho \nu \leq 1$ . Since  $\rho$  is computable, the set of  $\rho$ -tests,  $\{\nu_i\}$ , is enumerable. Thus the deficiency of randomness of  $\sigma$  with respect to  $\rho$  was defined to be  $\text{Tr} \sigma \sum_i \mathbf{m}(i) \nu_i$ . Like the classical variant, this measured the level of typicality of  $\sigma$  with respect to  $\rho$ .

In [Eps19], the deficiency of a randomness of a mixed state  $\sigma$  with respect to an arbitrary (not necessarily computable) matrix  $\rho$  was introduced. Like [G01],  $\nu$  is a  $\rho$ -test,  $\nu \in \mathcal{T}_\rho$ , if it is positive semi-definite and lower computable and  $\text{Tr} \rho \nu \leq 1$ . The lower probability of a lower computable mixed state was defined, with  $\underline{\mathbf{m}}(\nu|x) = \sum \{\mathbf{m}(q|x) : q \text{ lower computes } \nu\}$ . The deficiency of randomness of  $\sigma$  with respect to  $\rho$  is defined as follows.

**Definition 1 ([Eps19])**

For  $n$  qubit semi-density matrices  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$ ,  $\mathbf{d}(\sigma|\rho) = \log \text{Tr} \sigma \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{T}_\rho} \underline{\mathbf{m}}(\nu|n) \nu$ .

In [Eps19], the algorithmic information of two mixed states  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$  was introduced, using notions of quantum tests seen in the deficiency of randomness definition. Let  $\mathcal{C}_{C \otimes D}$  be the set of all lower computable matrices of the form  $A \otimes B$ , where  $\text{Tr}(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) \leq 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{C}_{C \otimes D} = \sum_{A \otimes B \in \mathcal{C}_{C \otimes D}} \underline{\mathbf{m}}(A \otimes B|n) A \otimes B$  be an aggregation of  $C \otimes D$  tests of the form  $A \otimes B$ , weighted by their lower probability. Using  $\mathfrak{C}$ , we get the following definition of information.

**Definition 2 ([Eps19])**

For semi-density matrices  $\sigma$  and  $\rho$ ,  $\mathbf{I}(\sigma : \rho) = \log \text{Tr} \mathfrak{C}_{\mu \otimes \mu}(\sigma \otimes \rho)$ .

The following theorem shows conservation of randomness with respect to elementary quantum operations. It generalizes Theorems 2 and 3 from [Eps19].

**Theorem 1 (Randomness Conservation)** *Relativized to elementary quantum operation  $\varepsilon$ , for semi-density matrices  $\rho, \sigma$ ,  $\mathbf{d}(\varepsilon(\rho)|\varepsilon(\sigma)) <^+ \mathbf{d}(\rho|\sigma)$ .*

The following theorem shows information nongrowth with respect to elementary quantum operations. It generalizes Theorems 5 and 10 from [Eps19].

**Theorem 2 (Information Conservation)** *Relativized to elementary quantum operation  $\varepsilon$ , for semi-density matrices  $\rho, \sigma$ ,  $\mathbf{I}(\varepsilon(\rho) : \sigma) <^+ \mathbf{I}(\rho : \sigma)$ .*

## 4 Algorithmic Linear Algebra

The theorems above are with respect to elementary quantum operations, and thus they must be described with rational values. However a more natural formulation would be for the quantum operations to be computable, i.e. there is a program than can compute them to arbitrary degree of precision. For this to be proven, the following conjecture needs to be solved. Similar results might be interesting to round out a general theory of linear algebra and alorithmic information theory, with applications to quantum mechanics.

**Conjecture 1** *If  $A$  is lower computable and  $M$  is computable, then  $M^*AM$  is lower computable.*

## References

- [Eps19] S. Epstein. On the algorithmic probability of sets. *CoRR*, abs/1907.04776, 2019.
- [G01] P. Gács. Quantum Algorithmic Entropy. *Journal of Physics A Mathematical General*, 34(35), 2001.