# Top 10 Risk List

# Risk 1 - Low adoption/usage rate

#### **Context**

The Nutrition Manager will have a low adoption/ usage rate when the intended users do not warm up to the idea and functionality of the app.

### Condition(s)

- 1. Poor system design.
- 2. Poor UX/UI design, causing the system to be unintuitive.
- 3. The system being slow to respond.
- 4. The system not being sufficiently secure.

# Risk 2 - Poor system design

#### **Context**

The Nutrition Manager will have a poor system design in a number of scenarios. These scenarios include poor communication amongst stakeholders and developers, incompetency of developers, and poor application of skills by developers.

### Condition(s)

- 1. Factors pertaining to organizational behaviour and psychology such as organizational hierarchy, modus operandi, and many more.
- 2. Poor amalgamation of knowledge of the system with the developers technical skills.

# Risk 3 - Poor UI/UX design

#### Context

This likely happens when an interface is developed with the frame of mind that every user has a similar level of competence when it comes to interface navigation.

### Condition(s)

- 1. For instance, an a 80 year who has witnessed the transition from pen and paper to computers when faced with a complex UI/UX may find it challenging to navigate.
- 2. Hence, the developer needs decouple the development from the User Experience.

# Risk 4 - High Total Cost of Ownership

#### **Context**

This happens when a choice of new hires/ contracts/ overhead(s) for a project is made based on degrees from prestigious organizations coupled with high degree achievements, and a package is offered with the same in mind.

### Condition(s)

The cause for this is two-fold:

- 1. Past experiences and perceptions of the hiring team.
- The knowledge base for the application content is dependent on a third party or a nutrition expert, which may escalate the cost.
- 3. Fringe benefits offered to employees which escalate the cost.

# Risk 5 - Abandonment before completion

#### Context

This occurs when there are unforeseen changes in the management structure, hierarchy or thought process.

### Condition(s)

- 1. Poor response from stakeholders, be it users or the management team itself.
- 2. Financial stability of the organisation dictates the gestation period of a project, hence a project may be abandoned before completion if the results are not as anticipated.

# Risk 6 - High system complexity

#### Context

This is most likely to occur when developers get too boxed in and use their technical prowess in a manner which present simple ideas in a more complex manner than they can potentially be modelled. This takes away the elegance and clarity of a system.

### Condition(s)

- The previous job profiles of the developer may have been demanding in terms of complexity.
- 2. The current job of the developer is below the level their technical/ academic prowess.

# Risk 7 - Poor scalability

#### Context

Poor scalability is caused due to a variety of reasons including scarcity of development time, rigid directions leaving no scope for additions to the system, limited system capacity, etc.

### Condition(s)

- 1. Poor planning and launching of a project with less foresight than required.
- 2. Authoritarian team of employers.
- 3. Budget of the project.

# Risk 8 - Poor maintainability

#### Context

This happens when the development, maintenance, and testing team is unable to make frequent, agile updates/ changes.

### Condition(s)

- 1. Not enough funding is allocated towards maintainability.
- 2. There is not a designated team to look after the maintenance.
- 3. A poor feedback loop from stakeholders to the maintenance team.

# Risk 9 - High level of developer dependence

#### Context

This likely happens when an organisation employs only one developer and relies on them.

### Condition(s)

- 1. The developer has a set pattern of working based on their perception, eduction, experiences, etc. Hence, all results may appear similar.
- 2. In times of a crisis or personal requirement, the individual might not be available to take the project forward.

# Risk 10 - Slow rate of adoption

#### Context

This occurs when there is poor marketing and accessibility.

### Condition(s)

- 1. Insufficient allocation towards marketing in the budget.
- 2. Poor planning and a skewed focus, more towards project development, than project projection and accessibility.

# Risk assessment 1 (Risk 3 - Poor UI/UX design)

### Probability of occurrence

The probability of occurrence for this risk is high.

### Potential impact(s)

- 1. Low rate of usage
- 2. High adoption time required by users

# Risk assessment 1 (Risk 3 - Poor UI/UX design)

### Mitigation plan

Make the application in consultation with the users and stakeholders themselves by means of one or more of the following :

- 1. Market research survey
- 2. Elicitation interviews
- 3. Usability tests

### Risk assessment 2 (Risk 5 - Abandonment before completion)

#### Probability of occurrence

The probability of occurrence for this risk is medium.

### **Potential impact**

- 1. Possible need for new hires
- 2. Reorganisation of project planning
- 3. Indefinite extension of project deadline

### Risk assessment 2 (Risk 5 - Abandonment before completion)

### **Mitigation Plan**

Proactive project course correction by means of the following:

- 1. Interim project review
- 2. Reinvestment in developer training
- 3. Finding potential new hires
- 4. Reallocation of funding to different facets of the project
- 5. Perform Alpha and Beta tests

### Risk assessment 3 (Risk 10 - Slow rate of adoption)

### Probability of occurrence

The probability of occurrence for this risk is low.

### **Potential impact**

- 1. Poor application usage rate
- 2. Low exhibition of interest by potential users

# Risk assessment 3 (Risk 10 - Slow rate of adoption)

### **Mitigation Plan**

The following can mitigate this risk:

- 1. Increased investment in application marketing
- 2. Alteration(s) to system design
- 3. Alteration(s) to UI/UX design
- 4. Enhancement of application visibility for users (web presence)

