

Hyper-Sensitive Optimal Control Problem

The objective of this project is to provide experience with formulating the first-order optimality conditions of an optimal control problem and to solve these optimality conditions using a simple shooting method.

As stated, the first-order optimality conditions for each problem are solved using a shooting method. A shooting method is formulated as follows. Consider the following two-point boundary-value problem. Determine the trajectory $y(t) \in R_{ny}$ on the time interval $t \in [t_0, t_f]$ that satisfies the system of ordinary differential equations

$$\dot{y}(t) = f(t, y(t)) \quad (1)$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$b(y(t_0), t_0, y(t_f), t_f) = 0 \quad (2)$$

where,

$$b : R_n \times R \times R_n \times R \rightarrow R_{nb}.$$

Note that the function b given in Eq. (2) may be such that some of the boundary conditions are given at the initial time, t_0 , while other boundary conditions may be given at the terminal time t_f . A shooting method for solving the aforementioned boundary value problem is given as follows:

- (1) Choose an initial guess for any or all components of $y(t_0)$ that are necessary to provide a full set of initial conditions.
- (2) Choose an initial guess for the initial time, t_0 , and/or the terminal time, t_f .
- (3) Numerically solve the differential equations given in Eq. (1) on the time interval $t \in [t_0, t_f]$.
- (4) Using the value of $y(t_f)$ together with all other required quantities, evaluate the boundary conditions given in Eq. (2).
- (5) Update the unknown values of $y(t_0)$, t_0 and/or t_f and return to Step (3) until the boundary conditions in Eq. (2) are satisfied to within a given tolerance.

Note that the iterative procedure given in Steps (1)–(5) above together form a shooting method.

In this assignment the boundary-value problem will be solved using a shooting method that incorporates the MATLAB algebraic equation solver `fsolve` together with the differential equation `ode113`.

To determine the trajectories $x(t)$ and the control input $u(t)$ on the interval $t \in [t_0, t_f]$ where, $t_0 = 0$ with the objective to minimize the cost function.

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_f} (x^2(t) + u^2(t)) dt$$

Subject to constraints

$$\dot{x} = -x + u \rightarrow f(x) - \dot{x} = 0$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} x(0) &= x_0 = 1 \\ x(t_f) &= x_f = 1 \\ t_f &= \text{fixed} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda(t) = \text{Lagrange multiplier Associated with the dynamics constraints.}$

Let,

$$\begin{aligned} J_a &= J + \int_0^{t_f} \lambda(f(x, u) - \dot{x}) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_f} (x^2(t) + u^2(t)) dt + \int_0^{t_f} \lambda(f(x, u) - \dot{x}) dt \\ &= \int_0^{t_f} L + \lambda(f - \dot{x}) dt \\ J_a &= \int_0^{t_f} H - \lambda \dot{x} dt \end{aligned}$$

We know that the optimal solution of $J_a = J_a^*$ should be equal to the optimal solution.

For the 1st Variation of J_a

$$\delta J_a = \int_0^{t_f} \delta H - \lambda \delta \dot{x} - \delta \lambda \dot{x} dt$$

we know that $H = H(x, u, \lambda)$

$$\delta H = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \delta u + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \delta \lambda$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta J_a &= \int_0^{t_f} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \delta u + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \delta \lambda - \lambda \delta \dot{x} - \delta \lambda \dot{x} dt \\ &= -\lambda \delta x_0^{t_f} + \int_0^{t_f} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \delta x + \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \delta u + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} \delta \lambda - \lambda \delta \dot{x} + \delta x \dot{\lambda} dt \\ \delta J_a &= \int_0^{t_f} \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + \dot{\lambda} \right) \delta x + \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} \delta u + \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} - \dot{x} \right) \delta \lambda dt \end{aligned}$$

For $\delta J_a = 0$ we need,

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} + \dot{\lambda} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} - \dot{x}\right) = 0$$

Therefore,

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} = f$$

$$\dot{\lambda} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = 0$$

we know, $H = L + \lambda f = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + u^2) + \lambda(-x + u)$

This implies,

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \lambda} = -x + u \rightarrow \dot{x} = -x + u$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = x - \lambda \rightarrow \dot{\lambda} = -x + \lambda$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = u + \lambda \rightarrow u = -\lambda$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

$x(0) = x_0$ is specified

- the transversality conditions on the costate does not apply
- Since the start and end times are fixed the transversality condition on the costates does not apply.
- Transversality conditions on the Hamiltonian at t_0 and t_f do not apply

The highlighted equation represents the first order differential equations governing this process.

Solution from Single Shooting Method.

The roots of the function are at $\lambda_0 = 0.4142$

The following are my plots of state 'x' when simulated at time $t_f \in [10, 20, 30, 40, 50]$.



